The rolling sphere, the quantum spin and a simple view of the Landau-Zener problem

Alberto G. Rojo*

Department of Physics, Oakland University, Rochester, MI 48309.

Anthony M. Bloch†

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109

(Dated: April 20, 2010)

We consider the problem of a sphere rolling on a curved surface and solve it by mapping it to the precession of a spin 1/2 in a magnetic field of variable magnitude and direction. The mapping can be of pedagogical use in discussing both rolling and spin precession. As an interesting example we show that the Landau-Zener problem corresponds to the rolling of a sphere on a Cornu spiral, and derive the probability of a non-adiabatic transition using the rolling language. We also discuss the adiabatic limit and the vanishing of geometric phases for rolling on curved surfaces.

I. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider a question similar to that posed in the title of Ref. [1]: How much does a sphere rotate when rolling on a curved surface? In Ref. [1], the old problem of the rotation of a torque free, non-spherical body is reanalyzed. Here we consider a related but different problem: a sphere is made to roll without slipping on a given curve Γ on a surface. The question is, if the sphere completes a circuit, what is the rotation matrix connecting the initial and final configuration of the sphere? The problem we are considering is therefore a kinematic rather than a dynamic one: the trajectory of the contact point of the sphere and the surface is dictated externally and the rolling constraint is imposed. We make contact with recent approaches that consider the same problem [2, 3] (but on a plane), in particular, we address a nice question posed by Brockett and Dai [4]: a sphere lies on a table and is made to rotate by a flat plane on top of it, parallel to the table. The question is: if every point of the plane describes a circle, what is the trajectory and motion of the sphere?

We treat the problem by exploiting its isomorphism to the precession of a spin 1/2 in a time-dependent magnetic field. In the mapping, the arc length of the curve plays the role of time. For rolling on a plane the magnitude of the magnetic field is $1/R$ with R the radius of the sphere, and the direction of the magnetic field is that of the instantaneous angular velocity of the rolling sphere. For a curved surface the normal curvature and the torsion of the curve affect the value of the effective magnetic field. Closely related to the present paper is the use of the isomorphism between classical dynamics and that of a spin 1/2 by Berry and Robbins in Ref. [5], especially their classical view of the Landau-Zener [7] problem. From a pedagogical perspective, the novel contribution of this

paper is to use the isomorphism to discuss rolling spheres on an arbitrary surface.

The precession of a spin 1/2 is widely treated in the literature and one can borrow those results to acquire an intuition for the rolling sphere. Conversely, since a rolling sphere is a tangible physical problem, the present treatment can be useful pedagogically in presenting spin precession, Berry's phases and it's classical counterpart, Hannay's angle [6].

As a nice application we show that the Landau-Zener problem corresponds to the rolling of a sphere on a Cornu spiral, and derive the probability of a non-adiabatic transition using the rolling language. We do so by a qualitative argument and by an exact computation of the rotation matrix in the non-adiabatic approximation.

II. ROLLING MOTION

Rolling motion is an example of motion subject to a nonholonomic constraint – a constraint on the velocities of a system but not its position.

More precisely constraints on mechanical systems are linear in the velocity and take the form

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k^j(q^k)\dot{q}^k = 0.$$

where the q^k are the coordinates of the system.

If the set of constraints is such that they cannot be rewritten as constraints on position (they are not integrable) they are said to be nonholonomic. Otherwise they are said to be holonomic.

Typical examples are a rolling disk, an ice skate and a rolling ball, the last being the subject of this paper.

Perhaps the simplest nonholonomic constraint is that of an ice skate moving on a plane where the constraint. is of the form

$$\dot{x}\cos\theta - \dot{y}\sin\theta = 0,$$

————

*Electronic address: `rojo@oakland.edu`
†Electronic address: `abloch@.umich.edu`

where the blade makes an angle θ with the x-axis. This simply means that the blade connot move in the perpendicular direction to its blade direction.

One can consider the kinematics or dynamics of such systems. The dynamics is given by the Lagrange D'Alembert principle, while for the kinematics, which is what we are concerned with here, we are concerned simply with possible kinematic motions subject to the constraints. Physically this is equivalent of having direct control of system velocities rather than inducing motion by external forces or torques. Details msy be found in [10]. Such kinematic motion is important in the study of robotics.

III. ROLLING ON A PLANE AND QUANTUM PRECESSION

Consider a sphere of radius R rolling on a curve Γ on a plane. We define a local triad of unit vectors at the contact point (the so called Darboux frame [8]): the tangent $\mathbf{t}$ to Γ, the normal $\mathbf{n}$ to the surface, and $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{t}$, the tangent normal. For rolling on a plane $\mathbf{n}$ is a constant vector, and the velocity of the center of the sphere is along the tangent to the curve. This situation will change for rolling on a curved surface, but, as we will see, the general idea of the mapping to a precessing spin is the same.

The translational velocity of the sphere is $\mathbf{V} = \mathbf{t}V(t)$ and the rolling constraint means that the instantaneous velocity at the contact point is zero [9]:

$$\overrightarrow{\omega} \times (\mathbf{n}R) = \mathbf{V} = \mathbf{t}V(t) \qquad (1)$$

with $\overrightarrow{\omega}$ the angular velocity and R the radius of the sphere. This equation is nonintegrable and constitutes a paradigmatic nonholonomic constraint [10].

Taking the cross product with $\mathbf{n}$ on both sides of the above equation we have

$$\overrightarrow{\omega} = \frac{V(t)}{R} \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{t} \equiv \frac{V(t)}{R} \mathbf{u}. \qquad (2)$$

Notice that in the above equation we have used the "no twist" condition $\overrightarrow{\omega} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0$, that is, we are consider rolling without an instantaneous rotation along the normal.

The instantaneous velocity $\dot{\mathbf{X}}$ of a point of coordinate $\mathbf{X}$ (with respect to the center of the sphere) on the surface of the sphere is

$$\dot{\mathbf{X}} = \overrightarrow{\omega} \times \mathbf{X} = \frac{V(t)}{R} \mathbf{u} \times \mathbf{X}. \qquad (3)$$

Now we rewrite $V(t) = ds/dt$ where s is the arc length of the curve $\Gamma(t)$, and (3) becomes

$$\frac{d\mathbf{X}}{ds} = \frac{\mathbf{u}}{R} \times \mathbf{X}. \qquad (4)$$

If we regard $\mathbf{X} = (x, y, z)$ as a magnetic moment, the above equation describes its precession in the presence of

a magnetic field $\mathbf{B} = -\frac{1}{R}(u_x, u_y, u_z) = -\overrightarrow{\omega}$ of constant magnitude $1/R$. The direction of $\mathbf{B}$ is $-\mathbf{u}$, and varies with s, the arc length, which plays the role of time. If the rolling is on a horizontal plane, then $B_z=0$, but we keep this notation to make contact with the rolling on an arbitrary surface.

There is an isomorphism between the rolling sphere written in this way with a spin $1/2$ precessing in this magnetic field. This isomorphism can be seen clearly if, (using $\mathbf{B} = -\overrightarrow{\omega}$) we rewrite Equation (4) in the form

$$\frac{d}{ds} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_z & -B_y \\ -B_z & 0 & B_x \\ B_y & -B_x & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (5)$$

which is the same as the following equation of motion for two complex numbers a and b (we write s instead of t for time in order to keep the analogy)

$$i\frac{d}{ds} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} B_z & B_x - iB_y \\ B_x + iB_y & -B_z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (6)$$

with the identification

$$\begin{aligned} x &\equiv ab^* + ba^* \\ y &\equiv i(ab^* - ba^*) \\ z &\equiv aa^* - bb^*. \end{aligned} \qquad (7)$$

The real numbers (x, y, z) represent the coordinates of a point on the surface of the sphere referred to a coordinate system fixed in space (that is, not rotating), and whose origin is in the center of the sphere. The above mapping is certainly possible because of the $SU(2) - SO(3)$ isomorphism [11].

Equation (6) is Schrödinger's equation for the spinor $\chi = (a, b)$ in the presence of a magnetic field $\mathbf{B}$:

$$i\frac{d}{ds}\chi = -\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{S}\chi \equiv H\chi, \qquad (8)$$

where $\hbar = 1$ and H is the Hamiltonian. Also, the vector $\mathbf{S} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_x, \sigma_y, \sigma_z)$ is the spin operator, and σ_i are Pauli's matrices. Notice that in this mapping, the magnetic fields and the frequencies have units of inverse length,

Equation (7) implies that we can extract the behavior of the rolling sphere as a function of arc length by solving the motion of a spin $1/2$ in a time-varying magnetic field. To our knowledge the equivalence between the motion of rigid body and a two-level system (a spin $1/2$), in the form of the mapping of Eq. (7) was first pointed out by Feynman, Vernon and Hellwarth [12] and later discussed several times [15]. Earlier, Bloch [13] had derived the precession equation for the density matrix of spin $1/2$ and therefore the points (x, y, z) that result from the mapping from spinors are called the Bloch sphere.

The pedagogical novelty of the present paper (an alternative title of which could well have been "The rolling of the Bloch sphere") is to discuss the rolling using the arc length as time and identifying the isomorphism between the rolling sphere and the quantum spin in exactly solvable cases.

FIG. 1: The lollipop, or a sphere rolling counterclockwise on a circle of radius r corresponds to a spin $1/2$ precessing on a magnetic field that rotates in the xy plane.

IV. WARMUP: CONSTANT MAGNETIC FIELD

Consider the simplest case of constant magnetic field. We choose $\mathbf{B} = B_0\hat{\mathbf{k}}$, constant in the $+z$ (vertical) direction. This corresponds to the sphere rolling on a vertical plane. Eq (6) becomes:

$$i\frac{d}{ds}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} B_0 & 0 \\ 0 & -B_0 \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} a \\ b \end{pmatrix}, \qquad (9)$$

with solutions:

$$\begin{pmatrix} a(s) \\ b(s) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} e^{isB_0/2}a(0) \\ e^{-isB_0/2}b(0) \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (10)$$

Substituting (10) in (7) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} x(s) &= x(0)\cos\left(\frac{B_0 s}{2}\right) + y(0)\sin\left(\frac{B_0 s}{2}\right) \\ y(s) &= y(0)\cos\left(\frac{B_0 s}{2}\right) - x(0)\sin\left(\frac{B_0 s}{2}\right) \\ z(s) &= z(0), \end{aligned} \qquad (11)$$

which means that the sphere is rotating clockwise around a constant axis in the z direction. This corresponds to $\vec{\omega}$ in the $-z$ direction. In other words, a constant magnetic field in the z direction corresponds to the sphere moving in a straight line in the xy plane, rolling on a vertical wall. The same situation applies if a constant field is directed in any other orientation.

V. THE LOLLIPOP AND THE PLANAR FIELD

Consider a magnetic field varying on the xy plane as $\mathbf{B} = B(\cos\alpha s, \sin\alpha s, 0)$. This corresponds to $\mathbf{u}$ rotating with the same frequency in the same plane, and the rolling problem becomes that of a sphere of radius $R = 1/B$ rolling counterclockwise on a circle of radius $r = 1/\alpha$ as will prove below (see Figure 1).

In turn, this corresponds to a time (or arc length) dependent Hamiltonian $H = -\mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{S}$, which can be solved by noting that

$$2\mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Be^{-i\alpha s} \\ Be^{i\alpha s} & 0 \end{pmatrix} = U^*\begin{pmatrix} 0 & B \\ B & 0 \end{pmatrix}U, (12)$$

with

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\alpha s/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\alpha s/2} \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (13)$$

Substituting the above relations in (6) we obtain a constant coefficient differential equation for the coefficients $\tilde{\chi}(s) = (\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) = (e^{i\alpha s/2}a, e^{-i\alpha s/2}b)$

$$i\frac{d}{ds}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a} \\ \tilde{b} \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & B \\ B & -\alpha \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a} \\ \tilde{b} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \tilde{H}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a} \\ \tilde{b} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (14)$$

Transformations (12) and (13) correspond to transforming to a frame that rotates with angular velocity α [18]. When transforming to the rotating frame, the angular velocity acquires a component $\alpha = 1/r$ in the z direction and the frequency of rotation in the rotating frame is

$$\Omega = \sqrt{B^2 + \alpha^2} = \frac{1}{rR}\sqrt{r^2 + R^2} \qquad (15)$$

This can be seen in the spinor language by noting that, since $\tilde{H}$ in Eq. (14) is time-independent, the solutions are

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\chi}(s) &= e^{\frac{i}{2}s\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & B \\ B & -\alpha \end{pmatrix}}\tilde{\chi}(0) \\ &= [\cos(\Omega s/2) + i\vec{\sigma}\cdot\mathbf{m}\sin(\Omega s/2)]\tilde{\chi}(0), \quad (16) \end{aligned}$$

with $\pm\Omega = \sqrt{B^2 + \alpha^2}$ (twice) the eigenvalues of $\tilde{H}$ and $\mathbf{m}$ a unit vector in the direction $\alpha/B = R/r$. Notice the presence of the factor of 2 in the relation between the eigenvalues of H and the corresponding rotational frequencies of the rolling problem. This comes from the factor $1/2$ that emerges naturally in the mapping to the spin problem of Eq. (6). It is important to keep track of this factor in switching to each side of the isomorphism. Equation (16) describes a rotation at a rate Ω with respect to an axis in the direction of the "stick" of the lollipop (the direction joining A to the center of the sphere (see Fig. (1)). (Our "lollipop" is a sphere with a stick through the center, as in a child's sweet on a stick.) Notice that solving for the evolution by exponentiating $\tilde{H}$ is possible because $\tilde{H}$ does not depend on s. If there is an s-dependence and the matrices $\tilde{H}$ at different s do not commute the solution is a "time ordered" exponential that in general cannot be simplified further.

After the lollipop completes a circle, the angle δ of rotation is

$$\delta = \frac{2\pi}{\alpha}\Omega = 2\pi\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2}. \qquad (17)$$

Notice that, when $R \ll r$ the angle of rotation is $\delta\, 2\pi r/R$, corresponding to rolling in a line of length equal to the perimeter of the circle.

We see that, after traveling on a circle the sphere is rotated by $2\pi\Omega/\alpha$ with respect to an axis tilted with respect to the plane; this is the nonholonomy treated in [3] and [17].

The angle of rotation δ (of both the spin and the lollipop) has a simple geometric interpretation: when the lollipop rolls, the point of contact C moves on the circular rim of the cone ABC (see Figure 1). At the same time, the point C "paints" on the sphere a circle of diameter $BC = 2rR/\sqrt{r^2 + R^2}$. (This is easily calculated with simple geometrical considerations from Figure 1.) This means that after a revolution of length $2\pi r$ the angle rotated is $2\pi r/(BC/2)$ from which Eq. (17) follows immediately.

At this point we consider Brockett's question mentioned in the Introduction. Notice first that, as the sphere rolls on a circle, the velocity at the top of the sphere is twice the velocity $\mathbf{V}$ at the center of the sphere. Since each point of the plane on top of the sphere describes a circle of radius R_1, the velocity $\mathbf{V}_P$ of the plane also describes a circle. Therefore, since the sphere has a rolling condition with the upper plane, then $\mathbf{V}_P = 2\mathbf{V}$, meaning that, as the plane describes a circle of radius R_1 the sphere describes a circle of radius $R_1/2$.

We showed this with a nice classroom demo: on a piece of paper draw a circle of radius 5 inches (twice that of a tennis ball). Orient the label of the tennis ball at 45 degrees with the vertical (the sphere is going to roll on a circle of radius $r = R$, and therefore the axis of rotation is going to be at 45 degrees and the precession frequency will be, from (15), $\sqrt{2}$). Paint a mark on a transparent glass, which in turn will serve as the upper plane. Also mark three points on the circle separated by $\beta = 127$ degrees $(\pi/\sqrt{2})$. Looking through the glass, guide the mark on the glass over the circle on the paper, and notice that, each time the glass rotates by β, the tennis ball rotates by π with respect to a moving axis at 45 degrees.

Notice also that for $s = 2\pi/\alpha$ the spinor χ changes sign due to the $1/2$ factor in the transformation. Nevertheless, since the mapping of (7) is quadratic in a and b, changing their signs corresponds to the same values (x, y, z) for the orientations. More specifically, the quantities a and b determine univocally x, y and z, but the reverse is not valid: the quantum evolution determines univocally the classical evolution but there is some ambiguity in going from the classical to the quantum case. For example if we perform the "gauge transformation" $(a, b) \rightarrow e^{i\phi(s)}(a, b)$ the mapping to the $\mathbf{X}$ coordinate remains unchanged.

VI. ROLLING ON A CORNU SPIRAL AND THE LANDAU-ZENER PROBLEM

In this section we consider a "magnetic field" of constant magnitude B_0 varying on the xy plane as

$$\mathbf{B} = B_0(\cos\phi(s), \sin\phi(s), 0) = -\overrightarrow{\omega}, \qquad (18)$$

which corresponds to the angular frequency rotating with the (varying) frequency $\phi(s)$ in the plane. The rolling problem becomes that of a sphere of radius $R = 1/B_0$ rolling on a planar curve of local curvature given by

$$\kappa(s) = \dot{\phi} \equiv \frac{d\phi}{ds} \qquad (19)$$

.

This corresponds to a time (or arc length) dependent Hamiltonian $H = -\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{S}$, which can be solved by noting that

$$\mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{S} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_0 e^{-i\phi(s)} \\ B_0 e^{i\phi(s)} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$= U^* \begin{pmatrix} 0 & B_0 \\ B_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} U, \qquad (20)$$

with

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\phi(s)/2} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\phi(s)/2} \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (21)$$

Substituting the above relations in (6), and using (19) we obtain a time independent equation for the coefficients $\tilde{\chi}(s) = (\tilde{a}, \tilde{b}) = (e^{i\phi/2}a, e^{-i\phi/2}b)$

$$i\frac{d}{ds}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a} \\ \tilde{b} \end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix} \kappa(s) & B_0 \\ B_0 & -\kappa(s) \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a} \\ \tilde{b} \end{pmatrix} \equiv \tilde{H}\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{a} \\ \tilde{b} \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (22)$$

We have obtained the nice result that rolling on a planar curve is isomorphic to spin precession on a magnetic field that is constant in (some direction of) the xy plane, and with a z component that varies in time according to the local curvature. For example, this means that rolling on a Cornu spiral (a curve whose curvature is proportional to the arc length), defined as

$$\phi(s) = as^2/2, \qquad (23)$$

with a a constant, corresponds to the Landau-Zener[7] problem of a spin in a magnetic field whose z component varies linearly with time $B_z = as$ and a constant xy coupling of magnitude $1/R$. The prototypical question in the Landau-Zener problem is the flipping of a spin that starts, for $t \rightarrow -\infty$, in a well defined orientation in the z direction. In the language of section III this means that $|a(-\infty)| = 1$, and $|b(-\infty)| = 0$. In the sphere isomorphism, the level splitting ΔE (See Figure 2) increases in time (arc length s) as $\Delta E = as$ and the level coupling is $B_0 = 1/R$, constant in time. The problem is also called the avoided level crossing. The name comes from the fact that, when $B_0 = 0$ the levels for spin up and down cross at $s = 0$. The remarkable result obtained by Zener is that the probability of the spin remaining up after the evolution is, in our notation

$$|a(\infty)| = e^{-\pi/2aR^2}. \qquad (24)$$

from R to

$$z_N(\infty) \simeq R\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\theta^2\right)$$

$$\simeq R\left(1 - \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\ell}{R}\right)^2\right)$$

The length ℓ of the segment PQ is:

$$\ell = \sqrt{2}\int_\infty^\infty ds \sin\frac{as^2}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{a}}. \qquad (25)$$

Noting that $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$, we have (see Eq (7))

$$|a(\infty)|^2 = \frac{z_N(\infty)/R + 1}{2} = 1 - \frac{\pi}{2aR^2}, \qquad (26)$$

which [see Eq.(24)] is the exact expression for the Landau-Zener effect in the non-adiabatic limit.

Next we use our isomorphism to re-derive this result calculating the rotation matrix exactly to the same order in $1/aR^2$. The Landau-Zener problem is described by the following evolution:

$$i\frac{d}{ds}\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{a}\\\tilde{b}\end{pmatrix} = -\frac{1}{2}\begin{pmatrix}as & 1/R\\1/R & -as\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}\tilde{a}\\\tilde{b}\end{pmatrix}. \qquad (27)$$

From our previous discussion, in the rolling language this matrix corresponds to the following evolution for a point in the sphere of radius R:

$$\frac{d}{ds}\begin{pmatrix}x\\y\\z\end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{R}\begin{pmatrix}0 & 0 & -\sin\frac{as^2}{2}\\0 & 0 & \cos\frac{as^2}{2}\\\sin\frac{as^2}{2} & -\cos\frac{as^2}{2} & 0\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}x\\y\\z\end{pmatrix}$$

$$\equiv M(s)\begin{pmatrix}x\\y\\z\end{pmatrix}, \qquad (28)$$

or equivalently $\dot{\mathbf{X}} = M(s)\mathbf{X}$. Since the matrices do not commute at different values of s, the formal solution of this equation is

$$\mathbf{X}(s) = Te^{\int_{s_0}^s ds' M(s')}\mathbf{X}(s_0), \qquad (29)$$

with T the normal ordering operator. We are interested in $s_0 = -\infty$ and $s_0 = \infty$. Also, we are interested in large values of R compared to the size $1/\sqrt{a}$ of the spiral (or the non-adiabatic limit for spins) we consider the lowest orders of the expansion of the time ordered exponential

$$Te^{\int_{-\infty}^\infty ds M(s)} \simeq 1 + \int_{-\infty}^\infty ds M(s)$$

$$+ \int_{-\infty}^\infty ds \int_s^\infty ds' M(s)M(s'). \qquad (30)$$

We are interested in the "permanence", after the evolution, in a particular initial state (spin up) which corresponds, in the rolling language, to $\mathbf{X}(-\infty) = (0,0,1)$.

FIG. 2: Equivalence between a) rolling on a Cornu spiral and b) the Landau-Zener problem of the spin flip probability on a time dependent field.

We now show that the non-adiabatic limit when the levels are crossed very fast (which for rolling corresponds to a sphere much larger than the size of the Cornu spiral) can be obtained in a simple way using the rolling picture.

A. Landau-Zener expression in rolling language

We start by a qualitative derivation of the non–adiabatic limit which reveals the power of the rolling picture. Consider the rolling from P to Q (See Figure 2) of a sphere of radius R much larger than the "size" $\ell = PQ$ of the spiral. We want to estimate the angle θ of rotation of the North pole when the sphere rolls from P to Q, and, from this, obtain the change in the probability of finding the spin up $|a(\infty)|^2$ sing the equivalence stated in Equation (7). Qualitatively, since the sphere is very large, the rotation following the Cornu spiral is roughly that of a rolling on a straight line from P to Q. After the rolling the z_N coordinate of the North pole changes

This means that we only need to consider the $(3,3)$ element of the matrix of the time ordered exponential: $U_{33} = \left[T\exp\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds M(s)\right]_{33} = z(\infty)$. Multiplying the two M matrices we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
z(\infty) &\simeq 1 - \frac{1}{R^2}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} ds \int_s^{\infty} ds' \left(\cos\frac{as^2}{2}\cos\frac{as'^2}{2}\right.\\
&\quad \left. + \sin\frac{as^2}{2}\sin\frac{as'^2}{2}\right)\\
&= 1 - \frac{\pi}{aR^2}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{31}
$$

Now we connect to the spin problem using the equivalence Equation (7) and we obtain:

$$
|a(\infty)|^2 = \frac{z(\infty)+1}{2} = 1 - \frac{\pi}{2aR^2},
\tag{32}
$$

which, again coincides with the exact expression for the Landau-Zener effect in the non-adiabatic limit.

VII. ROLLING ON A CURVED SURFACE

In this section we extend the treatment of rolling on a plane to rolling on a curved surface (See Figure 3). If we call $\mathbf{X}_P$ the coordinate of the contact point, the coordinate $\mathbf{X}_c$ of the center of the sphere is:

$$
\mathbf{X}_c = \mathbf{X}_P + R\mathbf{n},
\tag{33}
$$

and its velocity is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{\mathbf{X}}_c &= \dot{\mathbf{X}}_P + R\dot{\mathbf{n}},\\
&= \left(\mathbf{t} + R\frac{d\mathbf{n}}{ds}\right)\frac{ds}{dt}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{34}
$$

The rolling condition is that the velocity of a point of the sphere in contact with the surface is zero (See Eq.(1)):

$$
\overrightarrow{\omega} \times (\mathbf{n}R) = \dot{\mathbf{X}}_c.
\tag{35}
$$

Again, taking the cross product with $\mathbf{n}$ on both sides of the equation above we obtain

$$
\overrightarrow{\omega} = \frac{1}{R}\mathbf{n} \times \dot{\mathbf{X}}_c.
\tag{36}
$$

We now replace (34) in (36), and use the fact that, for a curved surface, the variation of the normal is given by

$$
\frac{d\mathbf{n}}{ds} = -\kappa_n\mathbf{t} - \tau_r\mathbf{u},
\tag{37}
$$

with κ_n the normal curvature and τ_r the torsion of the curve, both evaluated at the contact point. We obtain

$$
\overrightarrow{\omega} = \left[\frac{1}{R}(1-\kappa_n R)\mathbf{u} + \tau_r\mathbf{t}\right]\frac{ds}{dt}.
\tag{38}
$$

FIG. 3: Sphere rolling along a curve Γ of zero torsion (meaning that the velocity of the center of the sphere is parallel to the tangent of the curve at the contact point).

The discussion for the planar case extends to the curved surface, and the rolling of the sphere is equivalent to a spin 1/2 precessing on a magnetic field $\mathbf{B}(s)$ given by

$$
\mathbf{B}(s) = -\left[\frac{1}{R}(1-\kappa_n R)\mathbf{u} + \tau_r\mathbf{t}\right],
\tag{39}
$$

with the arc length s playing the role of time. In the following section, as an example of this formulation we consider rolling on a spherical surface.

VIII. SPHERE ROLLING ON A SPHERICAL SURFACE

In this section we consider a sphere of radius R rolling on a second sphere of radius r. The rolling line will be a parallel of latitude $\pi/2 - \theta$ (see Figure 4). This means that the normal curvature is constant $1/r$, and also that the torsion is zero. The magnetic field for the corresponding spin problem is therefore:

$$
\mathbf{B}_\pm(s) = -(\pm)\left[\frac{1}{R}\left(1\pm\frac{R}{r}\right)\mathbf{u}\right] = -(\pm)\frac{1}{\widetilde{R}_\pm}\mathbf{u},
\tag{40}
$$

with $\widetilde{R}_\pm = rR/(r\pm R)$ a reduced radius and the plus and minus signs refer to the rolling outside and inside of the sphere of radius r respectively.

For a sphere rolling on a parallel, the instantaneous angular velocity (and the magnetic field) describes a cone forming an angle θ with the vertical. The total arc length of the parallel is $r\sin\theta$ meaning that the vector $\mathbf{u}$ rotates with angular frequency α given by $\alpha = 1/(r\sin\theta)$. The corresponding magnetic field is therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{B}_\pm(s) &= (B_x, B_y, B_z)_\pm\\
&= (\pm)\frac{1}{\widetilde{R}_\pm}(\cos\theta\cos\alpha s, \cos\theta\sin\alpha s, -\sin\theta)
\end{aligned}
\tag{41}
$$

with the term $\mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{S}$ in the corresponding Hamiltonian given in this case by

$$
\mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{S} = \pm\frac{1}{2}\frac{1}{\widetilde{R}_\pm}\begin{pmatrix} -\sin\theta & \cos\theta e^{-i\alpha s}\\ \cos\theta e^{i\alpha s} & \sin\theta \end{pmatrix}.
\tag{42}
$$

FIG. 4: Sphere rolling on a sphere.

This again is an exactly solvable Hamiltonian that was first studied by Rabi

Using the same transformation matrix of Eq. (13) the above Hamiltonian can be rendered time independent. We write it in the following form

$$\tilde{H} = -\frac{1}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc} -B_\pm\sin\theta + \alpha & B_\pm\cos\theta \\ B_\pm\cos\theta & B_\pm\sin\theta - \alpha \end{array}\right), \quad (43)$$

with $B_\pm = 1/\widetilde{R}_\pm$.

The eigenvalues of $\tilde{H}$ are $E_\pm = \Omega_\pm/2$ with

$$\Omega_\pm = (\pm)\frac{1}{\widetilde{R}_\pm}\sqrt{1 - \frac{2\widetilde{R}_\pm}{r} + \left(\frac{\widetilde{R}_\pm}{r\sin\theta}\right)^2}, \quad (44)$$

with the spinor precessing, in the rotating frame, around an axis that forms an angle β (see Figure 4) with the xy plane, with

$$\tan\beta = \tan\theta - \frac{R}{r+R}\frac{1}{\sin\theta\cos\theta} \quad (45)$$

The second term in (45) reflects the fact that the small sphere rotates instantaneously on the tangent plane that contains BC (see Figure 4). Equation (45) can be easily derived by simple geometric considerations from Figure (4).

After a complete revolution the angle of rotation δ is

$$\delta_\pm = 2\pi r\sin\theta\,\Omega_\pm. \quad (46)$$

After a little algebra we obtain

$$\delta_\pm = \pm 2\pi\cos\theta\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{r\tan\theta}{R}\right)^2} \quad (47)$$

In Section A we derive this same result in the rolling language. Notice that, if we compare with the rotation in a plane from Eq. (15), the rotation corresponds to rolling on a circle of radius equal to that of the unfolded cone tangent to the parallel (See Fig. 4). The angle of rotation along that circle is not 2π but $2\pi\cos\theta$. This geometric factor is the same that appears in Foucault's pendulum and in Berry's phase for a spin precessing on a cone (we will come back to this point below). Also, notice that when $r = R$ the angle of rotation is always 2π independent of latitude.

We finish this section with a discussion of the differences and similarities between the Berry phase for a precessing spin $1/2$ in the adiabatic approximation and the rolling of two spheres.

The Hamiltonian for a spin in a magnetic field that precesses along the z axis at frequency α is given by (43), where in principle α and B_0 are independent parameters. If $\alpha \ll B_0$ (the adiabatic approximation) the eigenvalues (eigenfrequencies) of $\widetilde{H}$ are

$$\Omega \simeq \sqrt{B_\pm^2 - 2\alpha B_\pm\sin\theta} \simeq B_\pm - (\pm)\alpha\sin\theta. \quad (48)$$

After a period of time $2\pi/\alpha$ the change $\Delta\phi$ in the phase of the spin is

$$\Delta\phi = 2\pi\frac{B_\pm}{\alpha} - (\pm)2\pi\sin\theta. \quad (49)$$

The first term is the dynamical phase and the second is a purely geometrical one, independent of the parameters B_0 and α, and given by (half) the solid angle described by the field.

For the rolling sphere we can also study an "adiabatic approximation" since $\alpha \ll B_0$ corresponds to $r \gg R$. In other words, in general the adiabatic approximation will correspond to the radius of the rolling sphere much smaller than the radius of curvature of the surface. On the other hand, in contrast with the spin case, the frequency of rotation $\alpha = 1/r\sin\theta$ "knows" about the latitude and the curvature. So we expect some differences and some similarities. Replacing the values of $B_\pm = \pm 1/\widetilde{R}_\pm \equiv (\pm)1/R \pm 1/r$ in (49) we obtain the angle of rotation of the sphere in each case (in the adiabatic approximation)

$$\Delta\phi_\pm = \pm 2\pi r\sin\theta\left(\frac{1}{R}\pm\frac{1}{r}\right) - (\pm)2\pi\sin\theta.$$
$$= \pm 2\pi\frac{r\sin\theta}{R} \quad (50)$$

Notice that there is a cancelation of the geometric phase for rolling. In the spin problem, the frequency ω of rotation of the field (α for rolling) and the magnitude of the field $B_\pm$ are independent and therefore the total angle of rotation is given by Eq.(49), with the second term a purely geometric term independent of the parameters of the problem. In the rolling case the frequency

and the field are not independent, and the "dynamical" phase contains a term that cancels the geometric one. As a result, the total rotation is given by a magnitude that depends on the parameters of the problem, which, in the spin language corresponds to the dynamical phase only. This cancelation is a general result that we will visit in the next section.

In the next section we discuss the general connection between rolling and the Berry phase for spins in the adiabatic approximation.

IX. THE ADIABATIC APPROXIMATION AND ROLLING ON A CURVED SURFACE

In this section we compare the equivalence between the adiabatic approximation for a spin precessing in a magnetic field that changes direction at a slow rate and rolling on a surface. In the spin case, the dimensionless parameter controlling the approximation is the ratio of the instantaneous frequency (proportional to the instantaneous magnitude of the field) with the rate at which it's direction is changing.

In the rolling case the instantaneous frequency corresponds to the magnitude of $\mathbf{B}(s)$ and the rate of change in its direction is related to the normal curvature and to the curve's torsion.

In the adiabatic approximation for spins [20], one works in an "instantaneous" basis, treating first s (time) as a parameter and solving the eigenvalue equation as though the problem were static:

$$H(s)\chi(s) = E(s)\chi(s) \equiv \frac{\Omega(s)}{2}\chi(s). \qquad (51)$$

Then the general solution is written as linear combinations of the instantaneous eigenstates. As a result, in the adiabatic approximation, the spinor at time s is given by

$$\chi(s) = e^{i\gamma(s)}e^{i\int_0^s ds' E(s')}\chi(0). \qquad (52)$$

The argument of the second exponential above represents the dynamic phase, which involves the integral of (half) the following angular frequency:

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega(s) &= |\mathbf{B}(s)| = \frac{1}{R}\sqrt{[1-\kappa_n(s)R]^2 + [\tau(s)R]^2} \\ &\simeq \frac{1}{R} - \kappa_n(s)\end{aligned} \qquad (53)$$

This can be seen, for example from Equation (42): the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{B}\cdot\mathbf{S}$ with s treated as a parameter are $\pm|\mathbf{B}(s)|/2$.

The (instantaneous) direction of the field is in the direction $\mathbf{u}_B$ given by

$$\mathbf{u}_B = \frac{\mathbf{B}(s)}{|\mathbf{B}(s)|} = -\frac{(1-\kappa_n R)\,\mathbf{u} + \tau_r\mathbf{t}}{\sqrt{(1-\kappa_n R)^2 + \tau_r^2}} \qquad (54)$$

In general, the eigenvalues of a Pauli matrix in an arbitrary direction $\mathbf{u}_B \cdot \vec{\sigma}$ given by the unit vector $\mathbf{u}_B = (u_x, u_y, u_z)$ are ± 1. This is verified by noting that (defining $u_x + iu_y = \rho e^{i\phi}$)

$$(\mathbf{u}_B \cdot \vec{\sigma})\,\chi_{\pm}(\mathbf{u}_B) = \begin{pmatrix} u_z & \rho e^{-i\phi} \\ \rho e^{i\phi} & -u_z \end{pmatrix} \chi_{\pm}(\mathbf{u}_B) = \pm\chi_{\pm}(\mathbf{u}_B), \qquad (55)$$

with $\chi_{\pm}(\mathbf{u}_B) = (1, \pm(1 - u_z)e^{\pm i\phi}/\rho)$. Notice that the dependence of χ on s is through the orientation of $\mathbf{u}$.

The first term of (52), the geometric phase γ, is the Berry phase, and is given by [21]

$$\dot{\gamma}(s) = i\chi(\mathbf{u}_B(s))^{\dagger}\frac{d}{ds}\chi(\mathbf{u}_B(s)). \qquad (56)$$

Without loss of generality we express $\mathbf{u}_B$ in polar coordinates $\mathbf{u}_B = (\cos\theta\cos\phi, \cos\theta\cos\phi, \sin\theta)$, where the quantization axis z is perpendicular to the instantaneous plane of motion of the center of mass of the rolling sphere. This means that the normalized spinor is:

$$\chi(\mathbf{u}_B(s)) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\cos\theta(s)}{\sqrt{1+\sin\theta(s)}} \\ \sqrt{1+\sin\theta(s)}e^{-i\phi(s)} \end{pmatrix}. \qquad (57)$$

From the above expression and (56) we can compute the geometric phase:

$$\dot{\chi} = i\chi^{\dagger}\frac{d}{ds}\chi = \frac{1+\sin\theta}{2}\frac{d\phi}{ds}. \qquad (58)$$

Here $d\phi$ is the angle of rotation of the center of mass of the sphere with respect to an instantaneous axis of rotation. The first term of the right hand side is 2π after integration on a closed circuit. And the second term cancels the curvature term from Eq. (53). This results from the identity[25]

$$\frac{d\phi}{ds} = \frac{\kappa_n}{\sin\theta}. \qquad (59)$$

Our final result is that, as anticipated in the two spheres case, in general there is no Berry phase for rolling as a result of the above cancelation:

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_{\pm} &= \pm\left(\int_0^s ds'\Omega(s') + 2\gamma(s)\right) \\ &= \pm\frac{L}{R} + 2\pi\end{aligned} \qquad (60)$$

Note that, if we specify this result to the sphere rolling on the parallel of a sphere of radius r, we have $L = 2\pi r\sin\theta$. Replacing these in Eq. (53) we obtain the result of Eq. (50) as expected. The discrepancy of the (unimportant) factor 2π results from the fact that the treatment in the present section is in the rest frame and that of section VIII is in the rotating frame. The plus and minus signs correspond both to the two senses of traveling the circuit and the two sides of the surface on which the sphere can roll.

X. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

APPENDIX A: KINEMATICS OF ROLLING ON A SPHERE

Consider the rolling of a sphere of radius R on a sphere of radius r along a parallel of latitude θ.

In Figure (5) we show the instantaneous motion in the xz plane, where the two spheres (inner and outer) are moving into the plane.

The speed of the center of mass of each sphere is constant along the rolling and given by

$$V_{o,i} = \frac{1}{T} 2\pi(r \pm R)\sin\theta,$$

where T is the time to complete a full rolling. For the picture shown in Figure 5, V is directed into the page.

FIG. 5: Kinematics of rolling spheres

The rolling condition for the angular velocity in each case is

$$\omega_{o,i}R = V_{o,i},$$

where $\omega_{o,i}$ are the magnitudes if the instantaneous rotational angular velocity. Notice that the directions are opposed.

The instantaneous components of the angular velocity are

$$\overrightarrow{\omega}_o = \frac{2\pi}{T}\left(\frac{r}{R}+1\right)\sin\theta(-\cos\theta, 0, \sin\theta)$$

$$\overrightarrow{\omega}_i = \frac{2\pi}{T}\left(\frac{r}{R}-1\right)\sin\theta(\cos\theta, 0, -\sin\theta)$$

Since the angular velocities are time dependent, we transform to a moving frame, where they are constant. The moving frame is rotating at angular frequency $2\pi/T$ around the vertical (z) axis. This means that, in the moving frame M the corresponding angular frequencies are

$$\overrightarrow{\omega}_{M,o} = \frac{2\pi}{T}\left[-\left(\frac{r}{R}+1\right)\sin\theta\cos\theta, 0, \left(\frac{r}{R}+1\right)\sin^2\theta - 1\right]$$

$$\overrightarrow{\omega}_{M,i} = \frac{2\pi}{T}\left[\left(\frac{r}{R}-1\right)\sin\theta\cos\theta, 0, -\left(\frac{r}{R}-1\right)\sin^2\theta - 1\right]$$

The corresponding angle of rotation $\delta = |\overrightarrow{\omega}_{M,o}|T| = \overrightarrow{\omega}_{M,i}|T$, after a complete circle is

$$\delta = 2\pi\cos\theta\sqrt{\left(\frac{r}{R}\right)^2\tan^2\theta + 1},$$

which corresponds to the result obtained using the spin language.

[1] R. Montgomery, "How much does a rigid body rotate? A Berry's phase from the 18th century". Am. J. Phys, **59**, pp. 394-398 (1991).

[2] M. Levy, "Geometric Phases in the Motion of Rigid Bodies", Arc. Rational. Mech. Anal. **122**, pp. 213-229 (1993).

[3] B. D. Johnson, "The nonholonomy of the rolling sphere", The American Mathematical Monthly, **114**, pp. 500-508 (2007).

[4] R. Brockett and L. Dai, "Non-holonomic kinematics and the role of elliptic functions in constructive controllability", in Z. Li and J. Canny (eds), Nonholonomic Motion Planning, Kluwer, 1993.

[5] M. V. Berry and J. M. Robins, "Classical Geometrical forces of reaction: an exactly solvable model". Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **442** pp. 641-658 (1993).

[6] J. H. Hannay, "Angle Variable Holonomy in Adiabatic Excursions of an Integrable Hamiltonian", J. Phys. **A18** pp. 221-230 (1985). Also reprinted in [16].

[7] C. Zener, "Non-adiabatic Crossing of Energy Levels". Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. A **137** pp.692702 (1932).

[8] H. Guggenheimer, "Differential Geometry" (Chapter 10. "Surfaces". Dover (1977).

[9] L. D. Landau and E. M. Lifshitz, "Mechanics", Third Edition, p. 123, Butterworth-Heineman, Amsterdam, (2003).

[10] A. M. Bloch, ,with J. Baillieul, P. Crouch and J.E. Marsden, "Nonholonomic Mechnaics and Control", Springer Verlag, 2003.

[11] G. B. Arfken and H. J. Weber, "Mathematical Methods for Physicists", Academic Press, pp. 232-236 (1995).

[12] R. P. Feynman, F. L. Vernon and R. W. Hellwarth, "Geometrical Representation of the Shrödinger Equation for Solving Maser Problems", J. Appl. Phys, **28**, pp. 49-52 (1957).

[13] F. Bloch, "Nuclear Induction", Phys. Rev. **70**, pp. 460-474 (1946).

[14] I. I. Rabi, "Space Quantization in a Gyrating Magnetic Field", Phys. Rev. **51** pp. 652-654 (1937).

[15] See for example F. Ansbacher, "A note on the equivalence of the classical motion of rigid bodies with prescribed angular velocities and the quantum mechanical solutions for paramagnetic atoms in external fields", J. Phys. B: Atom. Molec. Phys. **6**, pp. 1616-1619 (1973); H. Urbantke, "Two-level systems: States, phases and holonomy", Am. J. Phys. **59**, pp. 503-509 (1991), and D. J. Siminovitch, "Rotations in NMR: Part I. Euler Rodrigues Parameters and Quaternions", Concepts Magn. Reson., **9** pp. 149-171 (1997).

[16] "Geometric Phases in Physics", edited by A. Shapere and F. Wilczek (World Scientific, Singapore, 1989).

[17] T. Iwai and E. Watanabe, "The Berry phase in the plate-ball problem", Phys. Lett. A, **225** pp. 183-187 (1997).

[18] See for example C. P. Slichter, "Principles of Magnetic Resonance, Third Edition", pp. 25-35 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1990)

[19] For more ellaborate treatments see G. Bor and R. Montgomery, "G_2 and the "Rolling Distribution", preprtint arXiv:math/0612469v1, and J.E. Marsden, R. Montgomery, and T.S. Ratiu, "Reduction, Symmetry and Phase in Mechanics", Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, vol 436, (1990).

[20] J. J. Sakurai, "Modern Quantum Mechanics", (Addison-Wesley, New York), pp. 464-468

[21] M. V. Berry, "Quantal Phase Factors Accompanying Adiabatic Changes". Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, A, **392**, pp. 45–56 (1984)

[22] D. J. Montana, "The Kinematics of Contact and Grasp", The International Journal of Robotics Research, **7**, pp.17-32 (1988).

[23] For a more detailed discussion see B. R. Holstein, "The adiabatic theorem and Berry's phase", Am. J. Phys. **57** pp.1079-1084 (1989).

[24] For a related discussion see M. Levi. "A 'bicycle wheel' proof of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem". Expositiones Mathematicae, **12**, pp.145-164. (1993).

[25] If one calls θ the polar angle of the normal and R_n the local radius of curvature, then one has $ds = R_n \sin\theta d\phi$ from which $d\phi/ds = \kappa_n/\sin\theta$