

# Notes on Self Concordant Barrier Functions

Anthony Della Pella  
Math Department, University of Michigan

# Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>Background on Cones</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1	The Descent Cone . . . . .	3
1.2	Polyhedral Cones . . . . .	3
<b>2</b>	<b>A New Metric</b>	<b>3</b>
2.1	Angular and S Distance . . . . .	3
<b>3</b>	<b>Relation to Barrier Parameters</b>	<b>4</b>

# Topic 1

## Self-Concordant Barrier Function Parameters: A Result for the Conic Hausdorff Metric

## 1 Background on Cones

### 1.1 The Descent Cone

**Definition 1.1** (Cone). A cone is a set  $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$  that is positively homogeneous:  $K = \tau K$  for all  $\tau > 0$ . A convex cone is a cone which is also a convex set.

**Definition 1.2** (Descent Cone). The descent cone  $\mathcal{D}(f, x)$  associated with a proper convex function  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{R}}$ , and a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$  is the set:

$$\mathcal{D}(f, x) = \bigcup_{\tau > 0} \{u \in \mathbb{R}^n : f(x + \tau u) \leq f(x)\}.$$

It is worth noting that the descent cone of a convex function is itself a convex cone. While we have this guarantee, there are examples where the descent cone may not be closed.

### 1.2 Polyhedral Cones

**Definition 1.3** (Polyhedral Cone). A cone  $C$  is polyhedral if it can be expressed as the intersection of a finite number of half spaces, i.e.

$$C = \bigcap_{i=1}^N \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle u_i, x \rangle \geq 0\} \text{ for some } u_i \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We will denote the set of all closed convex cones in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by  $\mathcal{C}_n$ .

**Definition 1.4** (Polar). The polar cone associated with a general cone in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the closed convex cone

$$K^\circ = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle v, x \rangle \leq 0 \text{ for all } x \in K\}.$$

**Fact 1.5.** The polar  $P^\circ$  of a polyhedral cone  $P$  is itself polyhedral.

## 2 A New Metric

### 2.1 Angular and S Distance

**Definition 1.6** (Angular Distance). The angular distance between two non zero vectors  $x$  and  $y$

$$\text{dist}_S(x, y) = \arccos \left( \frac{\langle x, y \rangle}{\|x\| \|y\|} \right) \text{ for } x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}.$$

**Definition 1.7** (*S Distance*). Using the above definition as well as the conventions that:  $\text{dist}_S(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}) = 0$  and  $\text{dist}_S(\mathbf{0}, x) = \text{dist}_S(x, \mathbf{0}) = \pi/2$  for  $x \neq \mathbf{0}$ , we can define the S distance between two cones  $C, C'$  as

$$\text{dist}_S(C, C') = \inf_{x \in C, y \in C'} \text{dist}_S(x, y)$$

given that  $C, C' \neq \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . In this case, we use the notions above to define distances involving  $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ .

**Definition 1.8** (*Angular Expansion*). The angular expansion  $T_S(C, \alpha)$  of a cone  $C \in \mathcal{C}_n$  by an angle  $0 \leq \alpha \leq 2\pi$  is the set

$$T_S(C, \alpha) = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \text{dist}_S(x, y) \leq \alpha \text{ for some } y \in C\}$$

**Fact 1.9.** The angular expansion of a convex cone is not necessarily convex for all  $\alpha > 0$ . An easy to see example of this phenomenon is to consider  $C$  a proper subspace which has an angular expansion that is never (i.e. for all  $\alpha$ ) convex.

Combining all of the above we can define a metric on  $\mathcal{C}_n$  as follows:

**Definition 1.10** (*Conic Hausdorff Metric*). For  $C_1, C_2 \in \mathcal{C}_n$  we define:

$$\text{dist}_H(C_1, C_2) = \inf \{\alpha \geq 0 : T_S(C_1, \alpha) \supseteq C_2 \text{ and } T_S(C_2, \alpha) \supseteq C_1\}.$$

**Fact 1.11.** The metric space  $(\mathcal{C}_n, \text{dist}_H)$  equipped with the conic Hausdorff metric is a compact metric space. Moreover polarity is a local isometry on  $\mathcal{C}_n$ , that is: For  $\alpha < \pi/2$ ,  $\text{dist}_H(C_1, C_2) = \alpha$  implies  $\text{dist}_H(C_1^\circ, C_2^\circ) = \alpha$ .

We now come to the most important fact of this section:

**Fact 1.12.** Let  $C \in \mathcal{C}_n$  be a closed convex cone. For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a polyhedral cone  $C_\varepsilon \in \mathcal{C}_n$  that satisfies  $\text{dist}_H(C, C_\varepsilon) < \varepsilon$ . That is to say, the set of polyhedral cones is dense in  $\mathcal{C}_n$ .

### 3 Relation to Barrier Parameters

**Theorem 1.13.** Let a cone  $C \in \mathcal{C}_n$  have optimal barrier parameter  $\vartheta(C) = K$ . Then for an arbitrary cone  $D$  with  $\text{dist}_H(C, D) < \delta$ , we have  $\vartheta(D) \sim K \pm O(n\delta^{3/2})$ .

In [1] it was shown that for any polyhedral cone  $C$ , we can calculate the characteristic (and thus universal barrier) function for  $C$  in an algorithmic way needing only a simplicial decomposition of the dual cone  $C^*$ . Using the above result along with the density of the polyhedral cones in  $\mathcal{C}_n$  we can produce a “good” approximation to a desired barrier function for a cone  $D$ , by using a close approximation via polyhedral cones and a corresponding barrier for these cones. Moreover, our approximate barrier function has certain guarantees in terms of optimality of the barrier parameter.

## References

- [1] Osman Güler. Barrier functions in interior point methods. *Mathematics of Operations Research*, 21(4):860–885, 1996.