

# INTEGRATION AND OPTIMIZATION OF MULTIVARIATE POLYNOMIALS BY RESTRICTION ONTO A RANDOM SUBSPACE

ALEXANDER BARVINOK

February 2005

ABSTRACT. We consider the problem of efficient integration of an  $n$ -variate polynomial with respect to the Gaussian measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and related problems of complex integration and optimization of a polynomial on the unit sphere. We identify a class of  $n$ -variate polynomials  $f$  for which the integral of any positive integer power  $f^p$  over the whole space is well-approximated by a properly scaled integral over a random subspace of dimension  $O(\log n)$ . Consequently, the maximum of  $f$  on the unit sphere is well-approximated by a properly scaled maximum on the unit sphere in a random subspace of dimension  $O(\log n)$ . We discuss connections with problems of combinatorial counting and applications to efficient approximation of a hafnian of a positive matrix.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We consider the problem of efficient integration of multivariate polynomials with respect to the Gaussian measure in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let us assume that the real  $n$ -variate homogeneous polynomial  $f$  of degree  $m$  is given to us by some “black box”, which inputs an  $n$ -vector  $x = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$  and outputs the value of  $f(x)$ . We want to compute or estimate the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\mu_n,$$

where  $\mu_n$  is the standard Gaussian measure with the density

$$(2\pi)^{-n/2} e^{-\|x\|^2/2}, \quad \text{where } \|x\| = \xi_1^2 + \dots + \xi_n^2 \quad \text{for } x = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n).$$

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 68W20, 68W25, 60D05, 90C26.

*Key words and phrases.* polynomials, integration, Wick formula, algorithms, random subspaces, Gaussian measure.

This research was partially supported by NSF Grant DMS 0400617.

If  $m$  is odd then the integral is 0, so the interesting case is that of an even degree  $m$ .

An equivalent problem is to integrate  $f$  over the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ . Assuming that  $m = 2k$  is even, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(x) dx = \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{2^k \Gamma(n/2 + k)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f d\mu_n,$$

where  $dx$  is the rotation invariant Haar probability measure on  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ . This and related formulas for integrals of polynomials over the unit sphere and over the Gaussian measure on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  can be found, for example, in [B02b].

The most straightforward and the most general approach to integration is to employ the Monte Carlo method, that is, to sample  $N$  random points  $x_i \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  and approximate the integral by the sample mean:

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(x) dx \approx \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(x_i).$$

Although one can show that for a “typical” polynomial the Monte Carlo method works reasonably well, there are simple examples of polynomials where one would require to sample exponentially many points to get reasonably close to the integral.

**(1.1) Example.** Suppose that  $f(x) = \xi_1^{2k}$  for  $x = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n)$ . Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(x) dx = \frac{\Gamma(n/2)\Gamma(1/2 + k)}{\sqrt{\pi}\Gamma(n/2 + k)}.$$

If we choose  $k \sim n/2$  then the integral is of the order of  $2^{-n}$  for large  $n$ .

On the other hand, if we sample  $N$  random points  $x_i$  on the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$ , then with high probability we will have  $|\xi_1| = O(\sqrt{\ln N/n})$  for the first coordinate  $\xi_1$  of every sampled point, cf., for example, Section 2 of [MS86]. Thus to approximate the integral within a factor  $c^n$  for some absolute constant  $c$ , the number  $N$  of samples should be exponentially large in  $n$ .

The reason why the Monte Carlo method doesn’t work well on the above example is clear: the polynomial  $f(x) = \xi_1^{2k}$  acquires some large values for an exponentially small fraction of  $x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  but those values significantly contribute to the integral. In other words, the Monte Carlo method wouldn’t work well if the graph of the polynomial looks “needle-like”. In this paper, we suggest a method tailored specifically for such needle-like polynomials.

The following defines the class of “needle-like” or “focused” polynomials we deal with.

**(1.2) Definitions.** Let

$$\langle x, y \rangle = \xi_1 \eta_1 + \dots + \xi_n \eta_n \quad \text{for } x = (\xi_1, \dots, \xi_n) \quad \text{and} \quad y = (\eta_1, \dots, \eta_n)$$

be the standard scalar product in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let us fix a number  $0 < \delta \leq 1$  and a positive integer  $N$ . We say that a homogeneous polynomial  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree  $m$  is  $(\delta, N)$ -*focused* if there exist  $N$  non-zero vectors  $c_1, \dots, c_N \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

- for every pair  $(i, j)$  the cosine of the angle between  $c_i$  and  $c_j$  is at least  $\delta$ ;
- the polynomial  $f$  can be written as a non-negative linear combination

$$f(x) = \sum_I \alpha_I \prod_{i \in I} \langle c_i, x \rangle,$$

where the sum is taken over subsets  $I \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$  of cardinality  $|I| = m$  and  $\alpha_I \geq 0$ .

Our first result is that the value of the integral of a focused polynomial over a random lower-dimensional subspace allows one to predict the value of the integral over the whole space.

For a  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , let  $\mu_k$  be the Gaussian measure concentrated on  $L$  with the density  $(2\pi)^{-k/2} \exp\{-\|x\|^2/2\}$  for  $x \in L$ . We pick a  $k$ -dimensional subspace at random with respect to the Haar probability measure on the Grassmannian  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and consider the integral

$$\int_L f \, d\mu_k.$$

We claim that as long as  $k \sim \log N$ , the properly scaled integral over  $L$  approximates the integral over  $\mathbb{R}^n$  within a factor of  $(1 - \epsilon)^{m/2}$ .

**(1.3) Theorem.** *There exists an absolute constant  $\gamma > 0$  with the following property.*

*For any  $\delta > 0$ , for any positive integer  $N$ , for any  $(\delta, N)$ -focused polynomial  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree  $m$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , and any positive integer  $k \geq \gamma \epsilon^{-2} \delta^{-2} \ln(N + 2)$ , the inequality*

$$(1 - \epsilon)^{m/2} \int_L f \, d\mu_k \leq \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{m/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f \, d\mu_n \leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-m/2} \int_L f \, d\mu_k$$

*holds with probability at least  $2/3$  for a random  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .*

Assuming that we can integrate efficiently over lower-dimensional subspaces (see Section 1.5 below), we get a randomized approximation algorithm for computing the integral of  $f$  over  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Namely, we sample a random  $k$ -dimensional subspace

$L$ , compute the integral over  $L$  and output the value of that integral multiplied by  $(n/k)^{m/2}$ . To sample  $L$  from the uniform distribution on the Grassmannian  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , one can sample  $k$  vectors  $x_1, \dots, x_k$  independently from the Gaussian distribution in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $L = \text{span}\{x_1, \dots, x_k\}$ .

One “anti-Monte Carlo” feature of the algorithm is that the estimator is decidedly biased: the expected value of the output is essentially greater (by a factor of  $(n/k)^{m/2}$ ) than the value we are trying to approximate. This is so because the distribution of the integral over a random subspace has a “thick tail”: there are subspaces which result in large integrals that significantly contribute to the integral over the whole space but such subspaces are very rare.

To increase the probability of obtaining the right approximation, one can use the standard approach of sampling several random subspaces and finding the median value of the outputs.

One can observe that if  $f$  is  $(\delta, N)$ -focused then  $f^p$  is also  $(\delta, N)$ -focused for any positive integer  $p$ . This allows us to deduce that the maximum of  $f$  over the unit sphere is well approximated by the scaled maximum of the restriction of  $f$  onto the sphere in a lower-dimensional subspace.

**(1.4) Corollary.** *There exists an absolute constant  $\gamma > 0$  with the following property.*

*For any  $\delta > 0$ , for any positive integer  $N$ , for any  $(\delta, N)$ -focused polynomial  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree  $m$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , and any positive integer  $k \geq \gamma \epsilon^{-2} \delta^{-2} \ln(N + 2)$ , the inequality*

$$(1 - \epsilon)^{m/2} \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap L} f(x) \leq \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{m/2} \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(x) \leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-m/2} \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap L} f(x)$$

*holds with probability at least  $2/3$  for a random  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .*

The problem of optimization of a polynomial on the unit sphere has attracted some attention recently, see [F04] and [K+04]. Note that by restricting the polynomial onto a  $k$ -dimensional subspace we effectively reduce the number of variables to  $k$  in the optimization problem. Using methods of computational algebraic geometry allows one to optimize a polynomial over the sphere in time exponential in the number of variables. Hence with  $k = O(\log N)$ , we obtain a quasi-polynomial algorithm of  $m^{O(\log N)}$  complexity which approximates the maximum value of the polynomial on the sphere within a  $(1 - \epsilon)^{m/2}$  factor. If the degree  $m$  of the polynomial is fixed and  $N$  is bounded by a polynomial in the number  $n$  of variables, we get a polynomial time approximation algorithm.

**(1.5) On the computational complexity.** Let  $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a homogeneous polynomial of degree  $m$  given by its “black box” which outputs the value of  $f(x)$  for an input  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Then one can compute the monomial expansion

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} \mathbf{x}^{\alpha} \quad \text{where} \quad \mathbf{x}^{\alpha} = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \quad \text{for} \quad \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n)$$

in  $O\left(\binom{n+m-1}{m}^3\right)$  time through the standard procedure of interpolation, cf. also [KY91] for the sparse version. If  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a  $k$ -dimensional subspace, by choosing an orthonormal basis in  $L$ , we can identify  $L$  with  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Then the monomial expansion of the restriction  $f_L$  can be computed in  $O\left(\binom{k+m-1}{m}^3\right)$  time. If  $k$  is fixed, we get a polynomial time algorithm. In we choose  $k = O(\log N)$ , the algorithms we obtain will be “quasi-polynomial”, with the complexity of  $m^{O(\log N)}$ .

Once a monomial expansion is obtained, it is easy to integrate polynomials since there are explicit formulas to integrate monomials. Given a monomial  $\mathbf{x}^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ , the formula is

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \mathbf{x}^\alpha d\mu_n = \begin{cases} \pi^{-n/2} \prod_{i=1}^n 2^{\alpha_i/2} \Gamma\left(\frac{\alpha_i+1}{2}\right) & \text{if all } \alpha_i \text{ are even} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In Section 2, we prove Theorem 1.3 and Corollary 1.4. In Section 3, we consider some examples and applications, including the problem of approximating the *hafnian* of a positive matrix. In Section 4, we consider the problem of integrating polynomials with respect to the complex Gaussian measure in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . We prove a version of Theorem 1.3 in this case and show connections between efficient complex integration and certain hard problems of combinatorial enumeration.

## 2. PROOFS

One major ingredient of the proof of Theorems 1.3 is the formula for the integral a product of linear forms.

**(2.1) Definitions.** Let  $m = 2k$  be an even positive integer. A *perfect matching*  $I$  of the set  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  is an unordered partition of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  into a union of  $k$  unordered pairwise disjoint pairs

$$I = \left\{ \{i_1, j_1\}, \{i_2, j_2\}, \dots, \{i_k, j_k\} \right\}.$$

Let  $C = (c_{ij})$  be an  $m \times m$  matrix, where  $m = 2k$  is an even integer. The *hafnian*  $\text{haf } A$  of  $A$  is defined by the formula

$$\text{haf } C = \sum_I c_I,$$

where the sum is taken over all perfect matchings  $I$  of the set  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $c_I$  is the product of all  $c_{ij}$  for all pairs  $\{i, j\} \in I$ .

The following result is known as the *Wick formula*, see, for example, [Zv97].

**(2.2) Lemma.** *Let  $m$  be a positive even integer and let  $\ell_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, m$ , be linear functions. Let  $C = (c_{ij})$  be an  $m \times m$  matrix defined by*

$$c_{ij} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \ell_i(x) \ell_j(x) d\mu_n.$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \prod_{i=1}^m \ell_i(x) d\mu_n = \text{haf } C.$$

If  $\ell_i$  is defined by  $\ell_i(x) = \langle a_i, x \rangle$  for some  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  then  $c_{ij} = \langle a_i, a_j \rangle$ .

We also need a version of the Johnson-Lindenstrauss “flattenning” Lemma, see, for example, [Ve04]. We present such a version below (with non-optimal constants), taken off Section V.7 of [B02a].

**(2.3) Lemma.** *Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be a vector and let  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $k$ -dimensional subspace chosen at random with respect to the Haar probability measure on the Grassmannian  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $x'$  be the orthogonal projection of  $x$  onto  $L$ . Then, for any  $0 < \epsilon < 1$ , the probability that*

$$(1 - \epsilon)\|x\| \leq \sqrt{\frac{n}{k}}\|x'\| \leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-1}\|x\|$$

is at least  $1 - 4 \exp\{-\epsilon^2 k/4\}$ .

The following is a straightforward corollary. We establish it in a slightly larger generality than immediately needed, having in mind applications to complex integration in Section 4.

**(2.4) Lemma.** *Let us choose  $\delta > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Suppose that  $a_1, \dots, a_N$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_N$  are vectors from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that the cosine of the angle between every pair  $a_i$  and  $b_j$  of vectors is at least  $\delta > 0$ .*

*Let us choose a  $\rho > 0$  such that*

$$(1 - \rho)^{-2} \leq 1 + \frac{\delta\epsilon}{3}$$

and an integer

$$k \geq \min\left\{n, \quad 4\rho^{-2} \ln(12N^2 + 24N)\right\}.$$

*Let  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $k$ -dimensional subspace chosen at random with respect to the Haar probability measure on the Grassmannian  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $a'_i, b'_j$  be the orthogonal projection of  $a_i, b_j$  onto  $L$ . Then with probability at least  $2/3$*

$$(1 - \epsilon)\langle a_i, b_j \rangle \leq \frac{n}{k}\langle a'_i, b'_j \rangle \leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-1}\langle a_i, b_j \rangle$$

for all pairs  $(i, j)$ .

*Proof.* Scaling, if necessary, we may assume that  $\|a_i\| = \|b_j\| = 1$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ , so  $\langle a_i, b_j \rangle \geq \delta$  for all  $i, j$ . We have

$$\langle a_i, b_j \rangle = \frac{\|a_i + b_j\|^2 - \|a_i\|^2 - \|b_j\|^2}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \langle a'_i, b'_j \rangle = \frac{\|a'_i + b'_j\|^2 - \|a'_i\|^2 - \|b'_j\|^2}{2}.$$

We note that

$$(1 - \rho)^{-2} \leq 1 + \frac{\delta\epsilon}{3} \quad \text{and} \quad (1 - \rho)^2 \geq 1 - \frac{\delta\epsilon}{3}.$$

Since there are altogether  $N^2 + 2N$  vectors  $a_i, b_j$ , and  $a_i + b_j$ , by Lemma 2.3, for a random  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $L$ , with probability at least  $2/3$ , we get

$$\|a_i + b_j\|^2 (1 - \rho)^2 \leq \frac{n}{k} \|a'_i + b'_j\|^2 \leq (1 - \rho)^{-2} \|a_i + b_j\|^2$$

and, similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_i\|^2 (1 - \rho)^2 &\leq \frac{n}{k} \|a'_i\|^2 \leq (1 - \rho)^{-2} \|a_i\|^2 \quad \text{and} \\ \|b_i\|^2 (1 - \rho)^2 &\leq \frac{n}{k} \|b'_i\|^2 \leq (1 - \rho)^{-2} \|b_i\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for all pairs  $i, j$ . Since  $\|a_i\| = \|b_j\| = 1$  and  $\|a_i + b_j\| \leq 2$ , we get

$$\|a_i + b_j\|^2 - \frac{4\delta\epsilon}{3} \leq \frac{n}{k} \|a'_i + b'_j\|^2 \leq \|a_i + b_j\|^2 + \frac{4\delta\epsilon}{3}$$

and, similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \|a_i\|^2 - \frac{\delta\epsilon}{3} &\leq \frac{n}{k} \|a'_i\|^2 \leq \|a_i\|^2 + \frac{\delta\epsilon}{3} \quad \text{and} \\ \|b_i\|^2 - \frac{\delta\epsilon}{3} &\leq \frac{n}{k} \|b'_i\|^2 \leq \|b_i\|^2 + \frac{\delta\epsilon}{3}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\langle a_i, b_j \rangle - \delta\epsilon \leq \frac{n}{k} \langle a'_i, b'_j \rangle \leq \langle a_i, b_j \rangle + \delta\epsilon.$$

Since  $\langle a_i, b_j \rangle \geq \delta$ , the proof follows.  $\square$

**(2.5) Corollary.** *There exists an absolute constant  $\gamma > 0$  with the following property.*

*Let  $\delta > 0$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  be numbers, let  $N$  be a positive integer, and let  $a_1, \dots, a_N$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_N$  be vectors from  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that the cosine of the angle between every pair  $a_i, b_j$  of vectors is at least  $\delta$ . Let  $k$  be a positive integer such that*

$$k \geq \gamma \delta^{-2} \epsilon^{-2} \ln(N + 2)$$

and let  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $k$ -dimensional subspace chosen at random with respect to the Haar probability measure in the Grassmannian  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Let  $a'_i, b'_j$  be the orthogonal projections of  $a_i, b_j$  onto  $L$ . Then, with probability at least  $2/3$ , we have

$$(1 - \epsilon)\langle a'_i, b'_j \rangle \leq \frac{k}{n}\langle a_i, b_j \rangle \leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-1}\langle a'_i, b'_j \rangle$$

for all pairs  $a_i, b_j$ .

The proof follows by Lemma 2.4.

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.3.

*Proof of Theorem 1.3.* We can write

$$f(x) = \sum_I \alpha_I \prod_{i \in I} \langle c_i, x \rangle,$$

where the cosine of the angle between every pair of vectors  $c_i$  and  $c_j$  is at least  $\delta$ ,  $I$  ranges over subsets  $I \subset \{1, \dots, N\}$  of cardinality  $m$ , and  $\alpha_I \geq 0$ . For every  $I$ , let us consider the  $m \times m$  matrix  $C_I$  whose entries  $c_{ij}$  are defined by  $c_{ij} = \langle c_i, c_j \rangle$ . Then, by Lemma 2.2,

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(x) d\mu_n = \sum_I \alpha_I \text{haf } C_I.$$

Let  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  be a  $k$ -dimensional subspace. Then the restriction  $f_L$  of  $f$  onto  $L$  can be written as

$$f_L(x) = \sum_I \alpha_I \prod_{i \in I} \langle c'_i, x \rangle,$$

where  $c'_i$  are the orthogonal projections of  $c_i$  onto  $L$ . Therefore,

$$\int_L f(x) d\mu_k = \sum_I \alpha_I \text{haf } C'_I,$$

where the entries  $c'_{ij}$  of  $C'_I$  are defined by  $c'_{ij} = \langle c'_i, c'_j \rangle$ . Since the hafnian of an  $m \times m$  matrix is a non-negative homogeneous polynomial of degree  $m/2$  in the entries of the matrix, the proof follows by Corollary 2.5 where we take  $a_i = b_i = c_i$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Corollary 1.4.* First, we claim that

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(x) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |f(x)|.$$

If the degree  $m$  of  $f$  is odd, this is immediate. If  $m$  is even, let us consider the polynomial  $f^p$  for some odd  $p$ . Since

$$f(x) = \sum_I \alpha_I \prod_{i \in I} \langle c_i, x \rangle \quad \text{where } \alpha_I \geq 0,$$

the polynomial  $f^p$  is also represented as a non-negative linear combination of products of  $\langle c_i, x \rangle$ , where the cosine of the angle between every pair  $c_i, c_j$  of vectors is at least  $\delta$ . It follows from the proof of Theorem 1.3 above that

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f^p dx > 0 \quad \text{for any } p.$$

from which we conclude that the maximum value of  $f$  and the maximum absolute value of  $f$  on the sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  must coincide.

Next, as in the proof of Theorem 1.3, we observe that if  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a  $k$ -dimensional subspace such that for the orthogonal projections  $c'_1, \dots, c'_N$  of  $c_1, \dots, c_N$  onto  $L$  we have

$$(1 - \epsilon) \langle c'_i, c'_j \rangle \leq \frac{k}{n} \langle c_i, c_j \rangle \leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-1} \langle c'_i, c'_j \rangle \quad \text{for all pairs } i, j$$

Then

$$(1 - \epsilon)^{mp/2} \int_L f^p d\mu_k \leq \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{mp/2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^p d\mu_n \leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-mp/2} \int_L f^p d\mu_k$$

for all  $p$ . In particular, if the degree  $m$  of  $f$  is even,

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap L} f^p dx > 0 \quad \text{for all } p.$$

Therefore,

$$\max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap L} f(x) = \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap L} |f(x)|.$$

The proof now follows from the identities

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f^{2p}(x) dx \right)^{1/2p} &= \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} |f(x)| = \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f(x), \\ \lim_{p \rightarrow +\infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap L} f^{2p}(x) dx \right)^{1/2p} &= \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap L} |f(x)| = \max_{x \in \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap L} f(x), \\ \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1}} f^{2p}(x) dx &= \frac{\Gamma(n/2)}{2^{mp} \Gamma(n/2 + mp)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f^{2p} d\mu_n, \quad \text{and} \\ \int_{\mathbb{S}^{n-1} \cap L} f^{2p}(x) dx &= \frac{\Gamma(k/2)}{2^{mp} \Gamma(k/2 + mp)} \int_L f^{2p} d\mu_k. \end{aligned}$$

□

### 3. EXAMPLES AND AN APPLICATION

Some natural examples of sets of vectors  $c_1, \dots, c_N \in \mathbb{R}^n$  with the property that for every  $(i, j)$ , the cosine of the angle between  $c_i$  and  $c_j$  is at least  $\delta > 0$  are as follows.

**(3.1) Examples.**

(3.1.1) Let  $c_1, \dots, c_N \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be vectors with positive coordinates such that the ratio of the smallest/largest coordinate for each vector  $c_i$  is at least  $\sqrt{\delta}$ . It is easy to show that the cosine of the angle between  $c_i$  and  $c_j$  is at least  $\delta$  for each pair  $(i, j)$ .

(3.1.2) Suppose that  $n = k(k + 1)/2$  and let us identify  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with the space of  $k \times k$  symmetric matrices with the scalar product  $\langle a, b \rangle = \text{trace}(ab)$ . Let  $c_1, \dots, c_N$  be positive definite matrices such that the ratio of the smallest/largest eigenvalue for each matrix  $c_i$  is at least  $\sqrt{\delta}$ . It is easy to show that the cosine of the angle between  $c_i$  and  $c_j$  is at least  $\delta$  for each pair  $(i, j)$ .

Other examples can be obtained by sampling  $c_1, \dots, c_N$  at random from some biased distribution in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  (a distribution with a non-zero expectation).

Whenever we have a polynomial

$$f(x) = \sum_{\substack{I \subset \{1, \dots, N\} \\ |I|=m}} \alpha_I \prod_{i \in I} \langle c_i, x \rangle \quad \text{where } \alpha_I \geq 0$$

and vectors  $c_i$  as in (3.1.1)-(3.1.2), integration (optimization) of such a polynomial over the unit sphere  $\mathbb{S}^{n-1}$  reduces to integration (optimization) over a random lower-dimensional subspace  $L$ . If we want to achieve a  $(1 - \epsilon)^m$  factor of approximation, the dimension  $k$  of the subspace is only logarithmic in  $N$ , so that as long as  $N$  is bounded by a polynomial in  $n$ , we achieve an exponential reduction in the number of variables.

Finally, we consider the problem of computing (approximating) the hafnian of a given positive matrix. This problem is of interests in combinatorics and statistical physics and generalizes the problem of computing the permanent, see Section 8.2 of [Mi78]. Unlike in the case of the permanent, where a polynomial time approximation algorithm has been recently obtained [J+04], much less is known about computing hafnians.

**(3.2) Computing the hafnian of a positive matrix.** Let  $C = (c_{ij})$  be an  $m \times m$  positive symmetric matrix, where  $m = 2k$  is even. Recall (see Definition 2.1) that the hafnian of  $C$  is the polynomial

$$\text{haf } C = \sum_I c_I,$$

where the sum is taken over all perfect matchings  $I = \{\{i_1, j_1\}, \dots, \{i_k, j_k\}\}$  of the set  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  and  $c_I$  is the product of  $c_{ij}$  for  $\{i, j\} \in I$ .

Suppose that  $C$  is positive semidefinite. Then  $C$  is the Gram matrix of a set of vectors, so  $c_{ij} = \langle c_i, c_j \rangle$  for some vectors  $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and such a representation can be computed efficiently (in polynomial time). Using the Wick formula (Lemma 2.2), we can write

$$\text{haf } C = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} \prod_{i=1}^m \langle c_i, x \rangle d\mu_m.$$

Suppose that for each pair  $c_i, c_j$  of vectors the cosine of the angle between  $c_i$  and  $c_j$  is at least  $\delta$ , which means that  $c_{ij} \geq \delta \sqrt{c_{ii}c_{jj}}$  for every pair  $i, j$ . Then, by Theorem 1.3, to approximate  $\text{haf } C$  within a factor of  $(1 - \epsilon)^{m/2}$ , we can replace the integral by the integral over a random  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  with  $k = O(\epsilon^{-2} \delta^{-2} \ln(m+2))$ . If  $\epsilon$  and  $\delta$  are fixed in advance, we get a quasi-polynomial algorithm of  $m^{O(\ln m)}$  complexity.

One can extend the above argument as follows. We observe that  $\text{haf } C$  does not depend at all on the diagonal entries of  $C$ , so we are free to change the diagonal entries of  $C$  to ensure that the above conditions are satisfied. If we put sufficiently large numbers on the diagonal of  $C$ , we can make sure that  $C$  is positive definite, so  $c_{ij} = \langle c_i, c_j \rangle$  for some vectors  $c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . The goal is to make the cosine of the angle between every pair  $c_i, c_j$  of vectors as large as possible. Suppose that  $c_{ii} = 0$  for all  $i$  and let  $-\lambda$  be the minimum eigenvalue of  $C$ . Then  $C + \lambda I$  is a positive semidefinite matrix and the cosine of the angle between  $c_i$  and  $c_j$  is  $c_{ij}/\lambda$ . Thus as long as the absolute value  $\lambda$  of negative eigenvalues of  $C$  is sufficiently small, we get an efficient algorithm to approximate  $\text{haf } C$ .

#### 4. COMPLEX INTEGRATION

Let  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be real  $n$ -variate homogeneous polynomials. Let us identify  $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus \mathbb{R}^n = \mathbb{C}^n$  via  $x + iy = z$  and let  $\nu_n$  be the Gaussian measure on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  with the density

$$\pi^{-n} e^{-\|z\|^2}, \quad \text{where } \|z\|^2 = \|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2 \quad \text{for } z = x + iy.$$

We recall that  $\bar{z} = x - iy$  is the complex conjugate of  $z = x + iy$ .

Let us define the scalar product on the space of polynomials

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f(z) \overline{g(z)} d\nu_n$$

(although we use the same notation for the standard scalar product on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , we hope no confusion will result since the domains are drastically different). One can easily check that the monomials

$$\mathbf{x}^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} \dots x_n^{\alpha_n} \quad \text{for } \alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n), \quad \text{where } \alpha_i \geq 0 \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

are orthogonal under the scalar product, though not orthonormal:

$$\langle \mathbf{x}^\alpha, \mathbf{x}^\beta \rangle = \begin{cases} \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n! & \text{if } \alpha = \beta = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \neq \beta. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, if

$$f = \sum_{\alpha \in F} a_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha \quad \text{and} \quad g = \sum_{\alpha \in G} b_\alpha \mathbf{x}^\alpha$$

are the monomial expansions of  $f$  and  $g$ , we have

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{\alpha \in F \cap G} a_\alpha b_\alpha \alpha_1! \dots \alpha_n!.$$

It follows from the integral representation that the scalar product is invariant under the action of the orthogonal group: if  $U$  is an orthogonal transformation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and polynomials  $f_1, g_1$  are defined by  $f_1(x) = f(Ux)$  and  $g_1(x) = g(Ux)$ , then  $\langle f_1, g_1 \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$ .

Various problems of combinatorial counting reduce to computing the scalar products of two polynomials.

**(4.1) Example.** Let  $a_1, \dots, a_N$  and  $b$  be some non-negative integer  $n$ -vectors. Let  $M$  be a positive integer. We define

$$f(x) = \prod_{i=1}^N \left( \sum_{k=0}^M \mathbf{x}^{ka_i} \right) \quad \text{and} \quad g(x) = \mathbf{x}^b.$$

Then the monomial expansion of  $f$  contains all monomials  $\mathbf{x}^a$ , where  $a$  is a linear combination of  $a_1, \dots, a_N$  with positive integer coefficients not exceeding  $M$ . Furthermore, if  $b = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$ , then  $\langle f, g \rangle$  is the number of non-negative integer solutions  $(k_1, \dots, k_N)$ ,  $0 \leq k_i \leq M$ , to the equation

$$k_1 a_1 + \dots + k_N a_N = b$$

times  $\beta_1! \dots \beta_n!$ . The number of such solutions  $(k_1, \dots, k_N)$  as a function of  $b$  is often called the *vector partition function*, cf. [BV97]. Computing the vector partition function is generally as hard as counting integer points in a polytope.

**(4.2) Definition.** Let us fix a number  $0 < \delta \leq 1$  and a positive integer  $N$ . We say that a pair of homogeneous polynomials  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree  $m$  is  $(\delta, N)$ -*focused* if there exist  $N$  non-zero vectors  $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and  $N$  non-zero vectors  $b_1, \dots, b_N \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that

- for every pair  $(i, j)$  the cosine of the angle between  $a_i$  and  $b_j$  is at least  $\delta$ ;
- the polynomial  $f$  can be written as a non-negative linear combination

$$f(x) = \sum_I \alpha_I \prod_{i \in I} \langle a_i, x \rangle,$$

while the polynomial  $g$  can be written as a non-negative linear combination

$$g(x) = \sum_I \beta_I \prod_{j \in J} \langle b_j, x \rangle,$$

where the sum is taken over subsets  $I, J \subset \{1, \dots, m\}$  of cardinality  $|I| = |J| = m$  and  $\alpha_I, \beta_J \geq 0$ .

We prove that the value of the scalar product of a well-focused pair of polynomials can be well-approximated from the scalar product of the restriction of the polynomials onto a random lower-dimensional subspace.

For a  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ , let us consider its complexification  $L_{\mathbb{C}} = L \oplus iL \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $\nu_k$  be the Gaussian measure in  $L_{\mathbb{C}}$  with the density  $\pi^{-k} \exp\{-\|z\|^2\}$  for  $z \in L_{\mathbb{C}}$ . We pick a  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  at random with respect to the Haar probability measure on the Grassmannian  $G_k(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and consider the restrictions  $f_L$  and  $g_L$  onto  $L$  and the integral

$$\langle f_L, g_L \rangle = \int_{L_{\mathbb{C}}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} \, d\nu_k.$$

We claim that as long as  $k \sim \log N$ , the properly scaled integral over  $L_{\mathbb{C}}$  approximates the integral over  $\mathbb{C}^n$  within a factor of  $(1 - \epsilon)^m$ .

**(4.3) Theorem.** *There exists an absolute constant  $\gamma > 0$  with the following property.*

*For every  $\delta > 0$ , for any positive integer  $N$ , for any  $(\delta, N)$ -focused pair of polynomials  $f, g : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  of degree  $m$ , for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and any positive integer  $k \geq \gamma \epsilon^{-2} \delta^{-2} \ln(N + 2)$ , the inequality*

$$(1 - \epsilon)^m \langle f_L, g_L \rangle \leq \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^m \langle f, g \rangle \leq (1 - \epsilon)^{-m} \langle f_L, g_L \rangle$$

*holds with probability at least  $2/3$  for a random  $k$ -dimensional subspace  $L \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ .*

The proof is very similar to that of Theorem 1.3. The only difference is that we need the complex version of the Wick formula.

**(4.4) Definitions.** Let  $m$  be a positive integer. A *permutation* of the set  $\{1, \dots, m\}$  is a bijection  $\sigma : \{1, \dots, m\} \rightarrow \{1, \dots, m\}$ .

Let  $C = (c_{ij})$  be an  $m \times m$  matrix. The *permanent* per  $C$  of  $C$  is defined by the formula

$$\text{per } C = \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^m c_{i\sigma(i)},$$

where the sum is taken over all permutations of the set  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ .

Here is the complex version of the Wick formula. Since the author was unable to locate it in the literature, a proof is given here.

**(4.5) Lemma.** Let  $m$  be a positive integer and let  $f_i, g_i : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be linear functions. Let  $C = (c_{ij})$  be an  $m \times m$  matrix defined by

$$c_{ij} = \int_{\mathbb{C}^n} f_i(z) \overline{g_j(z)} \, d\nu_n.$$

Then

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}^n} \prod_{i=1}^m f_i(z) \overline{g_i(z)} \, d\nu_n = \text{per } C.$$

If  $f_i$  is defined by  $f_i(x) = \langle a_i, x \rangle$  and  $g_j$  is defined by  $g_j(x) = \langle b_j, x \rangle$  for some  $a_i, b_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$  then  $c_{ij} = \langle a_i, b_j \rangle$ .

*Proof.* Given vectors  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_m$ , let

$$p(x) = \prod_{i=1}^m \langle a_i, x \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad q(x) = \prod_{j=1}^m \langle b_j, x \rangle.$$

Our goal is to prove that

$$\langle p, q \rangle = \text{per } C \quad \text{where} \quad c_{ij} = \langle a_i, b_j \rangle.$$

First, we check the identity in the special case when  $a_1 = \dots = a_m = e_1$ , the first basis vector, and  $b_1 = \dots = b_m = b = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_n)$  is an arbitrary vector. In this case,  $p(x) = x_1^m$  and  $q(x) = (\beta_1 x_1 + \dots + \beta_n x_n)^m$ , so we have  $\langle p, q \rangle = \beta_1^m m!$ . On the other hand,  $c_{ij} = \beta_1$  for all  $i$  and  $j$ , so  $\text{per } C = m! \beta_1^m$  as well.

Next, we check the identity when  $a_1, \dots, a_m = a$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_m = b$ , where  $a$  and  $b$  are arbitrary vectors. Applying scaling, if necessary, we can assume that  $\|a\| = 1$ . Since an orthogonal transformation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  does not change either  $\langle p, q \rangle$  or  $C$ , this case reduces to the previous one.

Now we consider the general case. We observe that both quantities  $\langle p, q \rangle$  and  $\text{per } C$  are multilinear and symmetric in  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  and multilinear and symmetric in  $b_1, \dots, b_m$ , so we obtain the general case by polarization. For variables  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)$  and  $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_m)$  we introduce vectors  $a_\lambda = \lambda_1 a_1 + \dots + \lambda_m a_m$  and  $b_\mu = \mu_1 b_1 + \dots + \mu_m b_m$ . If  $F(a_1, \dots, a_m; b_1, \dots, b_m)$  is any polynomial multilinear and symmetric in  $a_1, \dots, a_m$  and multilinear and symmetric in  $b_1, \dots, b_m$ , then  $(m!)^2 F(a_1, \dots, a_m; b_1, \dots, b_m)$  is equal to the coefficient of the product  $\lambda_1 \cdots \lambda_m \mu_1 \cdots \mu_m$  in the expansion of  $F(a_\lambda, \dots, a_\lambda; b_\mu, \dots, b_\mu)$  as a polynomial in  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m, \mu_1, \dots, \mu_m$ . Since if two such polynomials  $F$  and  $G$  agree on all  $(2m)$ -tuples  $(a, \dots, a; b, \dots, b)$ , they agree everywhere. Letting  $F = \langle p, q \rangle$  and  $G = \text{per } C$ , we complete the proof.  $\square$

Now the proof of Theorem 4.5 follows the proof of Theorem 1.3.

## REFERENCES

- [B02a] A. Barvinok, *A Course in Convexity*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, vol. 54, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [B02b] A. Barvinok, *Estimating  $L^\infty$  norms by  $L^{2k}$  norms for functions on orbits*, Found. Comput. Math. **2** (2002), 393–412.
- [BV97] M. Brion and M. Vergne, *Residue formulae, vector partition functions and lattice points in rational polytopes*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **10** (1997), 797–833.
- [Fa04] L. Faybusovich, *Global optimization of homogeneous polynomials on the simplex and on the sphere*, Frontiers in global optimization, Nonconvex Optim. Appl., vol. 74, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Boston, MA, 2004, pp. 109–121.
- [J+04] M. Jerrum, A. Sinclair, and E. Vigoda, *A polynomial-time approximation algorithm for the permanent of a matrix with non-negative entries*, Journal of the ACM **51** (2004), 671–697.
- [KY91] E. Kaltofen and L. Yagati, *Improved sparse multivariate polynomial interpolation algorithms*, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., Symbolic and algebraic computation (Rome, 1988), vol. 358, Springer, Berlin, 1989, pp. 467–474.
- [K+04] E. De Klerk, M. Laurent, and P. Parrilo, *A PTAS for the minimization of polynomials of fixed degree over the simplex*, preprint (2004).
- [Mi78] H. Minc, *Permanents*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 6, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., Reading, Mass., 1978.
- [MS86] V.D. Milman and G. Schechtman, *Asymptotic Theory of Finite- Dimensional Normed Spaces. With an Appendix by M. Gromov*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1200, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1986.
- [Re92] J. Renegar, *On the computational complexity and geometry of the first-order theory of the reals*, I. Introduction. Preliminaries. The geometry of semi-algebraic sets. The decision problem for the existential theory of the reals (255–299), II. The general decision problem. Preliminaries for quantifier elimination (301–327), III. On the computational complexity and geometry of the first-order theory of the reals. III. Quantifier elimination (329–352), J. Symbolic Comput. **13** (1992), 255–352.
- [Ve04] S.S. Vempala, *The Random Projection Method*, DIMACS Series in Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science, vol. 65, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2004.
- [Zv97] A. Zvonkin, *Matrix integrals and map enumeration: an accessible introduction*, Combinatorics and physics (Marseilles, 1995), Math. Comput. Modelling **26** (1997), 281–304.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109-1109, USA

*E-mail address:* barvinok@umich.edu