

## Structure and classification of pseudo-reductive groups

Brian Conrad and Gopal Prasad

ABSTRACT. The theory of pseudo-reductive groups, developed by the authors jointly with Gabber [CGP], was motivated by applications to finiteness theorems over local and global function fields. Subsequent work [CP] aimed at a more comprehensive understanding of exceptional behavior in characteristic 2 yielded improvements to the general theory in *all* positive characteristics and a classification theorem in terms of a “generalized standard” construction over arbitrary fields (depending on many ingredients from the initial work [CGP]).

We provide an overview of the general theory from the vantage point of improvements found during the more recent work and survey the proof of the general classification theorem, including examples and applications illustrating many phenomena.

### CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Motivation	2
1.2. Initial definitions and examples	5
1.3. Terminology and Notation	9
1.4. Simplifications and corrections	10
1.5. Acknowledgements	11
2. Standard groups and dynamic methods	12
2.1. Basic properties of pseudo-reductive groups	12
2.2. The standard construction	14
2.3. Dynamic techniques and pseudo-parabolic subgroups	19
3. Roots, root groups, and root systems	24
3.1. Root groups	24
3.2. Pseudo-simplicity and root systems	28
3.3. Open cell	32
4. Structure theory	35
4.1. Bruhat decomposition	35
4.2. Pseudo-completeness	40
4.3. Properties of pseudo-parabolic subgroups	43
5. Refined structure theory	47
5.1. Further rational conjugacy	47

---

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 20G15.

©0000 (copyright holder)

5.2. General Bruhat decomposition	51
5.3. Relative roots	52
5.4. Applications of refined structure	55
6. Central extensions and standardness	63
6.1. Central quotients	63
6.2. Central extensions	65
7. Non-standard constructions	72
7.1. Groups of minimal type	72
7.2. Rank-1 groups and applications	77
7.3. A non-standard construction	82
7.4. Root fields and standardness	85
7.5. Basic exotic constructions	89
8. Groups with a non-reduced root system	99
8.1. Preparations for birational constructions	99
8.2. Construction via birational group laws	104
8.3. Properties of birational construction	110
9. Classification of forms	116
9.1. Automorphisms and Galois-twisting	116
9.2. Tits-style classification	124
10. Structural classification	130
10.1. Exceptional constructions	130
10.2. Generalized standard groups	138
References	146
Index	148

## 1. Introduction

**1.1. Motivation.** Let  $G$  be a smooth connected affine group over a field  $k$ . The theory of pseudo-reductive groups begins with the observation that the unipotent radical  $\mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}})$  over an algebraic closure  $\bar{k}$  of  $k$  generally does not arise from a  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  when  $k$  is not perfect (though it always does when  $k$  is perfect, by Galois descent); see Example 1.2.3 for the most basic counterexamples over every imperfect field.

Such failure of  $k$ -descent arises almost immediately upon confronting several natural questions in the arithmetic of linear algebraic groups over global function fields over finite fields. This was the reason that the authors with Gabber first investigated pseudo-reductive groups, which we later learned had been studied by Borel and Tits as part of their work on rational conjugacy theorems for general smooth connected affine groups (announcing some results in [BoTi3] without proofs).

An important ingredient missing in the work of Borel and Tits is the “standard construction” (see §2.2). One of the main results emerging from [CGP] and [CP] is that all pseudo-reductive groups are “standard” away from characteristics 2 and 3 and that the “non-standard” possibilities in characteristics 2 and 3 can be described in a useful way via a “generalized standard” construction (see §10.2). The story of standardness and how it can fail in small characteristics underlies the most interesting arithmetic applications and provides a valuable guide to the *characteristic-free* general structure theory.

The proof of the ubiquity of the generalized standard construction in [CP] depends on many results in [CGP], in addition to requiring further new techniques. This survey is a user's guide to that proof.

REMARK 1.1.1. The nearly 900-page total length of [CGP] and [CP] is due to the treatment of many topics, some of which are not directly relevant to the study of generalized standardness. To provide a geodesic path through the proof that most pseudo-reductive groups are standard (in fact, away from characteristics 2 and 3 all pseudo-reductive groups are standard), and that every pseudo-reductive group (over an arbitrary field) has a canonical pseudo-reductive central quotient that is generalized standard, we use some of the newer ideas in [CP].

In this survey we aim to supply enough discussion of intermediate results, proofs, and examples to give the reader a sense of the scope and usefulness of the overall theory, but some topics are only touched upon here in an abbreviated form; e.g., the difficult construction (via birational group laws) of “split” pseudo-reductive groups with an irreducible *non-reduced* root system of any rank (over any imperfect field of characteristic 2) is only briefly described.

The robust theory of root groups and open cells in pseudo-reductive groups, as given in [CGP, Ch. 3], rests on scheme-theoretic dynamic techniques with 1-parameter subgroups described in [CGP, Ch. 2]. Although the theory of pseudo-reductive groups rests on the theory of reductive groups, the same dynamic methods can also be used to simplify the development of the theory of reductive groups (even over rings [C3]). In this article we will survey dynamic methods (and their applications to root groups and root systems), the general structure theory, and Galois-twisted forms. This includes a Tits-style classification of perfect pseudo-reductive groups  $G$  (see [CP, §6.3]) in the spirit of Tits' work [Tit] (completed by Selbach [Sel]) in the connected semisimple case.

REMARK 1.1.2. It is surprising that a Tits-style classification theorem holds in the perfect pseudo-reductive case because a “Chevalley form” (i.e.,  $k_s/k$ -form admitting a  $k$ -split maximal  $k$ -torus) generally *does not exist*, even over every local and global function field (see [CP, Ex. C.1.6]).

The main point of the theory of pseudo-reductive groups is two-fold:

- (i) problems for arbitrary linear algebraic groups over imperfect fields  $k$  can often be reduced to the pseudo-reductive case, but there is generally no simple way to reduce rationality problems to the reductive case,
- (ii) there is a rich structure theory for pseudo-reductive groups  $G$  in terms of root systems, root groups, and open cells similar to the reductive case.

In (ii) there are subtleties not encountered in the reductive case; e.g., there is no link to  $SL_2$  with which one can develop the structure of root groups and open cells in the pseudo-reductive case, root groups can have very large dimension even if  $k = k_s$ , and in the perfect pseudo-reductive case the automorphism functor is represented by an affine  $k$ -group scheme that is generally non-smooth with maximal smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup whose identity component is larger than  $G/Z_G$ .

EXAMPLE 1.1.3. An interesting rationality question for which the difficulties alluded to in (i) block any easy inference from the reductive case is this: if  $G$  is a smooth connected affine group over a field  $k$  then is  $G(k)$ -conjugation transitive on the set of maximal split  $k$ -tori in  $G$ ? The answer is well-known to be affirmative

for reductive  $G$  [**Bo2**, 20.9(ii)], and was announced in general (without proof) by Borel and Tits in [**BoTi3**]; a complete proof is given in [**CGP**, Thm. C.2.3]. We will present this result as Theorem 4.2.9, its proof makes use of the structure of pseudo-reductive groups via Theorem 4.2.4.

One source of arithmetic motivation for the development of the general theory of pseudo-reductive groups was the desire to strengthen results in Oesterlé’s beautiful work [**Oes**] on Tamagawa numbers for smooth connected affine groups over global function fields over finite fields. For example, parts of [**Oes**] were conditional on the finiteness of the degree-1 Tate–Shafarevich set

$$\text{III}_S^1(k, G) := \ker(\text{H}^1(k, G) \longrightarrow \prod_{v \notin S} \text{H}^1(k_v, G))$$

for any smooth connected affine group  $G$  over a global function field  $k$  and finite set  $S$  of places of  $k$ . (The analogous finiteness problem with  $k$  a number field was settled affirmatively by Borel and Serre [**BS**, Thm. 7.1] by using several ingredients: a finiteness result for adelic coset spaces [**Bo1**, Thm. 5.4], finiteness of degree-1 Galois cohomology of linear algebraic groups over local fields of characteristic 0, and class field theory. Their approach does not adapt to positive characteristic.)

A well-known application of the finiteness of  $\text{III}_S^1(k, G)$ , going back to [**BS**] over number fields, is to finiteness aspects of the failure of local-global principles for homogeneous spaces over  $k$  (see Example 1.1.4 below). But even for homogeneous spaces under “nice” groups, it is the Tate–Shafarevich sets of *stabilizer* subgroup schemes (at  $k$ -points) that are relevant. Since such subgroup schemes can be non-smooth when  $\text{char}(k) > 0$ , one wants finiteness for  $\text{III}_S^1(k, G)$  without smoothness hypotheses on  $G$  (in which case  $\text{H}^1(k, G)$  denotes the set of isomorphism classes of  $G$ -torsors for the fppf topology over  $k$ , and likewise over each  $k_v$ ). The avoidance of smoothness is not a trivial matter, since if  $k$  is imperfect then in general  $G_{\text{red}}$  is not  $k$ -smooth, nor even a  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  (see [**CGP**, Ex. A.8.3] for examples).

**EXAMPLE 1.1.4.** Let  $k$  be a global field,  $X$  a homogeneous space for an affine  $k$ -group scheme  $H$  of finite type, and  $S$  a finite set of places of  $k$ . For the equivalence relation on  $X(k)$  of being in the same  $H(k_v)$ -orbit for all  $v \notin S$ , does each equivalence class consist of only finitely many  $H(k)$ -orbits? (More informally, is the failure of a local-to-global principle for the  $H$ -action on  $X$  governed by a finite set?) This problem for the equivalence class of a point  $x_0 \in X(k)$  is very quickly reduced to the question of finiteness of  $\text{III}_S^1(k, H_{x_0})$ , where  $H_{x_0} := \{h \in H \mid h.x_0 = x_0\}$  is the *scheme-theoretic* stabilizer of  $x_0$  in  $X$ ; see the beginning of [**C2**, §6] for this well-known reduction step.

Even if  $H$  is connected reductive, the stabilizer  $H_{x_0}$  may be arbitrarily bad (e.g., if  $X = \text{GL}_n/G$  for a closed  $k$ -subgroup scheme  $G \subset \text{GL}_n =: H$  and  $x_0 = 1$  then  $H_{x_0} = G$ ). By a trick with torsors when  $\text{char}(k) > 0$ , the general question of finiteness of  $\text{III}_S^1(k, G)$  for affine  $k$ -group schemes  $G$  of finite type can be reduced to the case of smooth connected affine  $G$ ; see [**C2**, Lemma 6.1.1, §6.2].

The finiteness of  $\text{III}_S^1(k, G)$  for connected semisimple  $G$  is a consequence of the Hasse Principle for simply connected semisimple  $k$ -groups (see [**Ha2**, Satz A] and [**BP**, App. B] when  $\text{char}(k) > 0$ ), and for commutative  $G$  it is a consequence of class field theory and the structure theory of Tits [**CGP**, App. B] for possibly non-split smooth connected unipotent groups over imperfect fields when  $\text{char}(k) > 0$  (see [**Oes**, IV, 2.6(a)]).

The analogous general finiteness problem over number fields is deduced from the settled semisimple and commutative cases in [BS] using that  $\mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}})$  descends to a smooth connected unipotent normal  $k$ -subgroup  $U \subset G$  (which moreover must be  $k$ -split) since  $k$  is perfect. But no such  $k$ -descent  $U$  generally exists when  $k$  is not perfect (see Examples 1.2.3 and 1.2.4). The structure theory of pseudo-reductive groups, especially the role of the “standard construction”, makes possible what may presently appear to be impossible: to deduce the finiteness of  $\text{III}_S^1(k, G)$  for general smooth connected affine  $k$ -groups  $G$  from the settled commutative case (over  $k$ ) and semisimple case (over *finite extensions* of  $k$ ).

**1.2. Initial definitions and examples.** Let  $G$  be a smooth connected affine group over a field  $k$ . In the absence of a descent of  $\mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}}) \subset G_{\bar{k}}$  to a  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$ , the following notion is the best substitute:

DEFINITION 1.2.1. The  $k$ -unipotent radical  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  is the maximal smooth connected unipotent normal  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$ .

If  $K/k$  is any extension field then obviously

$$(1.2.1.1) \quad \mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)_K \subset \mathcal{R}_{u,K}(G_K).$$

Standard spreading-out and specialization arguments yield equality in (1.2.1.1) if  $K/k$  is separable [CGP, Prop. 1.1.9(1)], such as when  $K = k_s$  or when  $k$  is the function field of a regular curve  $X$  (or higher-dimensional normal variety) over a field and  $K$  is the fraction field of the completed local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^\wedge$  at a point  $x \in X$ .

If  $K/k$  is not separable, such as  $K = \bar{k}$  when  $k$  is imperfect, then the inclusion (1.2.1.1) is generally strict. To make examples with non-equality in (1.2.1.1) we shall use Weil restriction  $R_{k'/k}$  through a finite extension of fields  $k'/k$  that is not separable, so let us first review why  $R_{k'/k}$  is a very well-behaved operation when  $k'/k$  is separable. The key point is that the product decomposition  $k' \otimes_k k_s = \prod_{\sigma} k_s$  defined by  $a' \otimes b \mapsto (\sigma(a')b)$ , with  $\sigma$  varying through the set of  $k$ -embeddings of  $k'$  into  $k_s$ , yields a direct product decomposition of  $k_s$ -schemes

$$(1.2.1.2) \quad R_{k'/k}(X')_{k_s} = R_{(k' \otimes_k k_s)/k_s}(X'_{k' \otimes_k k_s}) = \prod_{\sigma} (X' \otimes_{k', \sigma} k_s)$$

for any quasi-projective  $k'$ -scheme  $X'$ . For example, if  $G'$  is a connected reductive  $k'$ -group then  $R_{k'/k}(G')$  is a connected reductive  $k$ -group since  $R_{k'/k}(G')_{k_s}$  is a direct product of connected reductive groups.

REMARK 1.2.2. For a finite extension of fields  $k'/k$ , the Weil restriction functor  $R_{k'/k}$  on quasi-projective  $k'$ -schemes preserves smoothness (by the infinitesimal criterion) but if  $k'/k$  is not separable then the  $\bar{k}$ -algebra  $k' \otimes_k \bar{k}$  is not a direct product of copies of  $\bar{k}$  and consequently  $R_{k'/k}$  has bad properties (illustrated in [CGP, A.5]): it generally destroys properness, surjectivity, geometric connectedness, geometric irreducibility, and non-emptiness. Thus, the good behavior for separable  $k'/k$  (inspired by the classical idea of viewing a  $d$ -dimensional complex manifold as a  $2d$ -dimensional real-analytic manifold by using the  $\mathbf{R}$ -basis  $\{1, i\}$  of  $\mathbf{C}$  to convert local holomorphic coordinates into local real-analytic coordinates) is not generally a useful guide to the non-separable case.

Here are examples in which  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G) = 1$  but  $\mathcal{R}_{u,\bar{k}}(G_{\bar{k}}) \neq 1$ , with  $k$  any imperfect field, so (1.2.1.1) fails to be an equality with  $K = \bar{k}$ :

EXAMPLE 1.2.3. Let  $k$  be any imperfect field, with  $p = \text{char}(k) > 0$ , and let  $k'/k$  be a nontrivial purely inseparable finite extension. The Weil restriction  $G = \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\text{GL}_1)$  (informally, “ $k'^{\times}$  as a  $k$ -group”) is a commutative smooth connected affine  $k$ -group. Explicitly,  $G$  is the Zariski-open subspace complementary to the hypersurface defined by vanishing of the norm polynomial  $N_{k'/k}$  in the affine space over  $k$  associated to the  $k$ -vector space  $k'$ , so  $G$  is smooth and connected with dimension  $[k' : k] > 1$ .

The commutative unipotent smooth connected  $k$ -group  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  is trivial because the  $p$ -torsion group  $G(k_s)[p]$  is equal to  $k_s^{\times}[p] = 1$ . However,  $\mathcal{R}_{u,\bar{k}}(G_{\bar{k}}) \neq 1$  since  $G$  is not a torus (as  $G/\text{GL}_1$  has dimension  $[k' : k] - 1 > 0$  and is killed by the  $p$ -power  $[k' : k]$ , so it is unipotent).

More generally, if  $k'/k$  is a finite extension of fields and  $G'$  is any connected reductive  $k'$ -group then consideration of the functorial meaning of Weil restriction (instead of using the crutch of commutativity as above) shows that  $G := \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G')$  satisfies  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G) = 1$  [CGP, Prop. 1.1.10]. However, if  $k'/k$  is not separable and  $G' \neq 1$  then necessarily  $\mathcal{R}_{u,\bar{k}}(G_{\bar{k}}) \neq 1$  (see [CGP, Ex. 1.1.12, Ex. 1.6.1]).

EXAMPLE 1.2.4. Let  $k'/k$  be a purely inseparable extension of degree  $p = \text{char}(k)$  and consider  $G = \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\text{SL}_p)/\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\mu_p)$ . The inclusion  $G \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\text{PGL}_p)$  has codimension  $\dim \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\mu_p) = \dim \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\text{GL}_1)[p] = p - 1 > 0$  with image  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\text{PGL}_p))$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G) = 1$ , but  $\mathcal{R}_{u,\bar{k}}(G_{\bar{k}}) \neq 1$  [CGP, Prop. 1.3.4, Ex. 1.3.5].

DEFINITION 1.2.5. A *pseudo-reductive*  $k$ -group is a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$  such that  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G) = 1$ . If also  $G = \mathcal{D}(G)$  then  $G$  is *pseudo-semisimple*.

EXAMPLE 1.2.6. A mild but very useful generalization of Example 1.2.3 is given by direct products: the pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups  $\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G')$  for nonzero finite reduced  $k$ -algebras  $k'$  and smooth affine  $k'$ -groups  $G'$  with connected reductive fibers. (Concretely, if  $k' = \prod k'_i$  for fields  $k'_i$  and if  $G'_i$  denotes the  $k'_i$ -fiber of  $G'$  then  $\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G') = \prod \mathbf{R}_{k'_i/k}(G'_i)$ .) This construction is far from exhaustive: the pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  built in Example 1.2.4 is *not* a  $k$ -isogenous quotient of any  $k$ -group of the form  $\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G')$  for a nonzero finite reduced  $k$ -algebra  $k'$  and smooth affine  $k'$ -group  $G'$  with connected reductive fibers [CGP, Ex. 1.4.7].

Over perfect  $k$  pseudo-reductivity coincides with reductivity (in the connected case), but Examples 1.2.3 and 1.2.4 provide many non-reductive pseudo-reductive groups over any imperfect field. If we define the  $k$ -radical  $\mathcal{R}_k(G)$  similarly to  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  by replacing “unipotent” with “solvable” then any pseudo-semisimple  $G$  satisfies  $\mathcal{R}_k(G) = 1$  (as  $\mathcal{R}(G_{\bar{k}}) = \mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}})$ , since  $G_{\bar{k}}$  is perfect) but the converse is false! More specifically, for any imperfect field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$  and degree- $p$  purely inseparable extension  $k'/k$ , the Weil restriction  $G = \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\text{PGL}_p)$  is pseudo-reductive (by Example 1.2.3) and satisfies  $G \neq \mathcal{D}(G)$  (as we will explain in Example 2.2.3) but  $\mathcal{R}_k(G) = 1$ ; see [CGP, Ex. 11.2.1].

If  $G$  is a smooth connected affine group over a field  $k$  then  $G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  is clearly pseudo-reductive, so every such  $G$  uniquely fits into a short exact sequence

$$(1.2.6) \quad 1 \longrightarrow U \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow G/U \longrightarrow 1$$

expressing it as an extension of a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group by a smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -group  $U$ . The usefulness of (1.2.6) rests on being able to analyze the outer terms. For the left term, this requires applying Tits’ structure theory for smooth connected unipotent groups [CGP, App. B] because if  $k$  is not perfect then

$U$  is often not  $k$ -split (i.e.,  $U$  may not admit a composition series consisting of smooth closed  $k$ -subgroups with successive quotients  $k$ -isomorphic to  $\mathbf{G}_a$ ):

EXAMPLE 1.2.7. If  $k'/k$  is a nontrivial purely inseparable finite extension in characteristic  $p > 0$  and  $G := \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\mathrm{GL}_n)/\mathrm{GL}_1$  with  $n \geq 1$  then the central smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup

$$U := \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)/\mathrm{GL}_1 = \ker(G \twoheadrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\mathrm{PGL}_n))$$

of dimension  $[k' : k] - 1 > 0$  is unipotent since it is killed by the  $p$ -power  $[k' : k]$  yet  $U$  does not contain  $\mathbf{G}_a$  as a  $k$ -subgroup [CGP, Ex. B.2.8]. Thus,  $U$  is not  $k$ -split.

In §2.2 we will introduce the *standard construction* that builds many pseudo-reductive groups from Weil restrictions of connected reductive groups over finite extensions of  $k$ . (This construction also involves auxiliary commutative pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups.) The ubiquity of the standard construction when  $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2, 3$  leads to a useful general principle (requiring care in characteristics 2 and 3):

to solve a problem for general smooth connected affine  $k$ -groups,  
the structure theory of pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups should reduce  
the task to the commutative case over  $k$  and the connected  
semisimple case over all finite extensions  $k'/k$ .

The method by which one applies the structure theory to carry out such a reduction depends on the specific problem under consideration. Here are two examples:

EXAMPLE 1.2.8. Let  $k$  be a global function field over a finite field. In Example 1.1.4 we saw that the problem of finiteness of degree-1 Tate–Shafarevich sets  $\mathrm{III}_S^1(k, G)$  for arbitrary affine  $k$ -group schemes  $G$  of finite type and finite sets  $S$  of places of  $k$  naturally leads one to the study of pseudo-reductive groups. After reducing this problem to the case of smooth connected  $G$ , one can apply Galois-twisting to (1.2.6) to eventually reduce to pseudo-reductive  $G$ ; see [C2, §6.3]. (This latter reduction is harder than its analogue over number fields because  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  is generally not  $k$ -split.)

Pseudo-reductivity has not yet played a role beyond its definition. The structure theory of pseudo-reductive groups, especially the ubiquity of the “standard construction” away from characteristics 2 and 3 and a precise understanding of the “non-standard” possibilities in characteristics 2 and 3, is what allows one to reduce the pseudo-reductive case over  $k$  to the settled commutative case over  $k$  and the settled semisimple case over finite extensions of  $k$  to solve the general finiteness problem for  $\mathrm{III}_S^1(k, G)$  (see [C2, §6.4]).

EXAMPLE 1.2.9. For global function fields  $k$ , the finiteness of the Tamagawa number of any smooth connected affine  $k$ -group was settled by Harder [Ha1] and Oesterlé [Oes, IV, 1.3] in the semisimple and commutative cases respectively, and the general case is deduced from this via the structure theory of pseudo-reductive groups in [C2, §7.3–§7.4]. This deduction uses standardness (and control of non-standardness when  $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2, 3$ ) very differently from how standardness (and its controlled failure in small characteristic) is used in the proof of finiteness of degree-1 Tate–Shafarevich sets.

The failure of the standard construction to be exhaustive in characteristics 2 and 3 is due to three sources (at least the first two of which below were known to Tits in an embryonic form [Ti3, Cours 1991-92, 5.3, 6.4]). Firstly, one can

make “exotic” generalizations of the standard construction by using non-central Frobenius factorizations (see [CGP, §7.1, §7.4]) that exist in characteristic  $p > 0$  if and only if the Dynkin diagram has an edge of multiplicity  $p$  (i.e.,  $p = 2, 3$ ). Here are constructions with  $p = 2$ :

EXAMPLE 1.2.10. Over a field  $k$  of characteristic 2, consider the exceptional isogeny between types  $B_n$  and  $C_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ , with  $B_1$  and  $C_1$  understood to denote  $A_1$ ). This is given by  $\pi_q : \mathrm{SO}(q) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(\overline{B}_q)$  for any non-degenerate quadratic space  $(V, q)$  of dimension  $2n + 1$  over  $k$  and the associated symplectic space  $(V/V^\perp, \overline{B}_q)$  of dimension  $2n$ , where  $V^\perp$  is the defect line of  $q$  and  $\overline{B}_q$  is induced by the bilinear form  $B_q(v, v') = q(v + v') - q(v) - q(v')$ . The kernel of the composite map

$$\mathrm{Spin}(q) \longrightarrow \mathrm{SO}(q) \xrightarrow{\pi_q} \mathrm{Sp}(\overline{B}_q)$$

is killed by the Frobenius isogeny  $F_{\mathrm{Spin}(q)/k} : \mathrm{Spin}(q) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spin}(q^{(2)})$  (with  $q^{(2)}$  the scalar extension of  $q$  by the squaring endomorphism of  $k$ ), thereby yielding a non-central factorization of  $F_{\mathrm{Spin}(q)/k}$ :

$$\mathrm{Spin}(q) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(\overline{B}_q) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Spin}(q^{(2)}).$$

There is a not so widely known analogue of this Frobenius factorization for any simply connected  $k$ -group  $G$  of type  $C_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) in place of  $\mathrm{Spin}(q)$ . This is fully explained in Example 7.5.5, and goes as follows. Among the minimal non-central  $k$ -subgroup schemes of  $\ker F_{G/k}$  that are normal in  $G$ , there is a unique minimal one; call it  $N$ . For  $\overline{G} := G/N$ , the restriction to  $V := \mathrm{im}(\mathrm{Lie}(G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(\overline{G}))$  of the quadratic map  $X \mapsto X^{[2]}$  on  $\mathrm{Lie}(\overline{G})$  is valued in a unique line  $L$ , and the resulting quadratic form  $q : V \rightarrow L$  is  $\overline{G}$ -invariant and non-degenerate. The composite  $k$ -homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \overline{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(q)$  uniquely factors through the central isogeny  $\mathrm{Spin}(q) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(q)$  via a purely inseparable isogeny  $G \rightarrow \mathrm{Spin}(q)$  through which  $F_{G/k}$  uniquely factors.

In §7.5 such non-central Frobenius factorizations yield non-standard pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -groups  $H$  for which  $H_k^{\mathrm{ss}} := H_{\overline{k}}/\mathcal{R}(H_{\overline{k}})$  is simply connected of any type  $B_n$  or  $C_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) that we wish (and adapts to  $F_4$  for  $p = 2$  and  $G_2$  for  $p = 3$ ).

To describe a second source of non-standard examples, we need to make an observation concerning root systems associated to standard pseudo-reductive groups. In §2.3 we will see that if  $G$  is a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group and  $T$  is a split maximal  $k$ -torus in  $G$  (as exists when  $k = k_s$ ) then the set  $\Phi(G, T)$  of nontrivial  $T$ -weights on  $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$  is a root system (spanning the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -vector space  $X(T')_{\mathbf{Q}}$  for the maximal  $k$ -torus  $T' := T \cap \mathcal{D}(G)$  in  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  that is an isogeny complement in  $T$  to the maximal central  $k$ -torus in  $G$ ). Inspection of the standard construction shows that this root system is always *reduced* when  $G$  is standard [CGP, Cor. 4.1.6], and in fact without any standardness hypotheses  $\Phi(G, T)$  is reduced whenever  $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$  (see Theorem 3.1.7) or  $k$  is perfect.

Now choose an imperfect field  $k$  with characteristic 2 and an integer  $n \geq 1$ . There exist pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -groups  $G$  with a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$  of dimension  $n$  such that  $\Phi(G, T)$  is the unique *non-reduced* irreducible root system  $\mathrm{BC}_n$  of rank  $n$ . The construction of such  $G$  (see §8) is rather delicate, involving birational group laws, in contrast with the preceding constructions that rest only on concrete operations with affine groups via Weil restrictions and fiber products. The existence of such  $k$ -groups  $G$  is ultimately due to the combinatorial fact that



among all reduced and irreducible root systems, precisely type  $C_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) admits a root that is divisible in the weight lattice (and moreover only divisible by  $\pm 2$ ).

**REMARK 1.2.11.** The pseudo-semisimple groups  $G$  with root system  $BC_n$  whose construction is reviewed in §8 admit a natural quotient map  $f : G \twoheadrightarrow \overline{G} = \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$  for a nontrivial finite extension  $k'/k$  contained inside  $k^{1/2}$ . If  $[k : k^2]$  is finite then such  $G$  can be built for which the induced map  $G(k) \rightarrow \overline{G}(k) = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(k')$  is bijective, and the structure of  $G$  ensures that the inverse bijection is a counterexample to a conjecture [BoTi2, 8.19] of Borel and Tits on the algebraicity of certain “abstract” homomorphisms between connected linear algebraic groups, even if we restrict to perfect connected linear algebraic groups. This affirms the expectation of Borel and Tits that restrictions on  $k$  (e.g., avoidance of imperfect fields of characteristic 2) may be needed in their conjecture.

A third source of non-standard pseudo-reductive groups occurs only over imperfect fields  $k$  of characteristic 2. In the rank-1 pseudo-semisimple case, one class of such  $k$ -groups arises from purely inseparable finite extensions  $K/k$  and nonzero proper  $kK^2$ -subspaces  $V \subset K$  such that the ratios  $v'/v$  of nonzero elements of  $V$  generate  $K$  as a  $k$ -algebra. Given such data, which exist over  $k$  if and only if  $[k : k^2] > 2$ , the  $k$ -subgroup  $H_{V,K/k} \subset \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$  generated by the points  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & v \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ v & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  for all  $v \in V$  is perfect and pseudo-reductive. The  $k$ -isomorphism class of  $H_{V,K/k}$  depends on  $V$  precisely up to  $K^\times$ -scaling, so this class of  $k$ -groups constitutes a “continuous family”; it plays a role in the above birational construction for  $BC_n$ , and admits as higher-rank generalizations certain “special orthogonal” groups attached to a distinguished class of degenerate quadratic forms in characteristic 2 (see §7.3). The properties of the  $k$ -groups  $H_{V,K/k}$  are addressed in §7.2.

**1.3. Terminology and Notation.** For a finite flat extension  $B \rightarrow B'$  of noetherian rings, we denote by  $\mathbf{R}_{B'/B}$  the Weil restriction functor assigning to any quasi-projective  $B'$ -scheme  $X'$  the quasi-projective  $B$ -scheme  $\mathbf{R}_{B'/B}(X')$  representing the functor on  $B$ -algebras  $A \rightsquigarrow X'(A \otimes_B B')$ ; we refer the reader to [CGP, A.5] for a discussion of the existence and basic properties of this functor (especially beyond the classical case when  $B'$  is finite étale over  $B$ ).

For any scheme  $X$ , the underlying reduced closed subscheme (with the same topological space) is denoted  $X_{\mathrm{red}}$ . For a group scheme  $H$  of finite type over a field  $k$ ,  $H^{\mathrm{sm}}$  denotes the maximal smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup; see [CGP, C.4.1–C.4.2] for its existence and basic properties and see [CGP, A.8.2] for the equality with  $H_{\mathrm{red}}$  when  $H$  is of multiplicative type (but  $H^{\mathrm{sm}}$  is usually much smaller than  $H_{\mathrm{red}}$ ; see [CGP, A.8.3, C.4.2] for examples).

For a smooth affine group  $G$  over a field  $k$ ,  $G_k^{\mathrm{red}}$  denotes the quotient of  $G_{\overline{k}}$  modulo its unipotent radical; we define  $G_k^{\mathrm{ss}}$  similarly using the radical. A finite-dimensional quadratic space  $(V, q)$  over a field  $k$  is *non-degenerate* if  $q \neq 0$  and the projective hypersurface  $(q = 0) \subset \mathbf{P}(V^*)$  is  $k$ -smooth.

For a group scheme  $G$  of finite type over a field  $k$  and smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup  $H$ , the *scheme-theoretic centralizer*  $Z_G(H)$  is the closed  $k$ -subgroup scheme of  $G$  representing the functor assigning to any  $k$ -algebra  $A$  the group of points  $g \in G(A)$  whose conjugation action on the  $A$ -group  $G_A$  is trivial on  $H_A$ ; see [CGP, A.1.9ff.] for the existence of  $Z_G(H)$ . In the special case  $H = G$  (with  $G$  smooth) it is called the *scheme-theoretic center* and is denoted by  $Z_G$  (e.g.,  $Z_{\mathrm{SL}_n} = \mu_n$  for all integers

$n > 1$ ). The existence and basic properties of  $Z_G(H)$  when  $H$  is of multiplicative type (but possibly not smooth) is addressed in [CGP, Prop. A.8.10].

Whenever we speak of “centralizer”, “kernel” (for a homomorphism), and “intersection” (of closed subgroup schemes), it is always understood that we intend the scheme-theoretic notions (which may not be smooth). In [CGP, A.1] many basic definitions in the group scheme context are reviewed and the relationship with more classical definitions (when available) is discussed, such as quotients modulo closed subgroups.

**1.4. Simplifications and corrections.** In addition to surveying the combined works [CGP] and [CP], we have taken the opportunity to provide some simplifications and improvements, as well as a few corrections. For the convenience of the reader we highlight those items here, beginning with the simplifications.

(i) It is an important fact in the general theory that the Weil restriction  $R_{k'/k}(G')$  is perfect for any (possibly non-separable) finite extension of fields  $k'/k$  and connected semisimple  $k'$ -group  $G'$  that is simply connected. The original proof given in [CGP, Cor. A.7.11] relies on group scheme techniques over artinian rings; in Proposition 2.2.4 we provide a shorter and simpler proof using only smooth affine groups over fields.

(ii) In the study of pseudo-reductivity, an important notion is that of a *pseudo-parabolic*  $k$ -subgroup of a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$ . The definition of pseudo-parabolicity via a dynamic procedure (rather than by a geometric property of the associated coset space), given in Definition 2.3.6, may initially look ad hoc. However, it is a powerful concept (and is equivalent to parabolicity when  $G$  is reductive).

As for parabolic  $k$ -subgroups, every pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$  is its own scheme-theoretic normalizer; we give a proof of this fact in Theorem 4.3.6 that is substantially simpler than the proof given in [CGP, Prop. 3.5.7].

(iii) It is very useful that any pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  admitting a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$  (such as whenever  $k = k_s$ ) contains a *Levi  $k$ -subgroup*  $L \supset T$  (i.e.,  $L_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  is an isomorphism); moreover, one can control the position inside  $G$  of the simple positive root groups for  $L$ . The proof here as Theorem 5.4.4 is simpler than the one in [CGP, Thm. 3.4.6].

(iv) The first main classification theorem in the general theory of pseudo-reductive groups is that the standard construction is ubiquitous away from specific situations over imperfect fields of characteristics 2 and 3. This is made precise in the absolutely pseudo-simple case in Theorem 7.4.8, whose proof is much simpler than the one in [CGP, Cor. 6.3.5, Prop. 6.3.6].

One of the key facts this rests upon, recorded here in Theorem 7.2.5(i), is that for any field  $k$  that is not imperfect of characteristic 2 and any absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $G$  whose root system over  $k_s$  has rank 1 and whose minimal field of definition for its geometric unipotent radical is  $K/k$ , the natural map  $i_G : G \rightarrow R_{K/k}(G_K/\mathcal{R}_{u,K}(G_K))$  is an isomorphism. The proof here, based on ideas from [CP, §3.1], is a substantial simplification of the proof given in [CGP, Thm. 6.1.1].

(v) One of the main results in [CP] concerns the ubiquity of the “generalized standard” construction, a generalization of the standard construction that

accounts for exceptional phenomena over fields  $k$  of characteristic 2 satisfying  $[k : k^2] > 2$ . A crucial step towards the proof of its ubiquity is that the “generalized standard” property is insensitive to passage to the derived group. We give a proof of this fact (in Proposition 10.2.5) that is significantly simpler than the proof in [CP, §9.1].

Now we mention four corrections. The first correction concerns the relationship between pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups of a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  and parabolic subgroups of  $G' = G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$ . The formulation of such a dictionary in [CGP, Prop. 3.5.4] omits the hypothesis that the chosen maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$  is split; this is needed to justify scalar extension to  $k_s$  at the start of the argument. We provide a much simpler proof of the corrected formulation in Proposition 4.3.3, moreover avoiding the passage to  $k_s$ . That missing split hypothesis does not harm the proofs of results in [CGP] (or work in [C2] and [CP] relying on [CGP]) because every appeal to [CGP, Prop. 3.5.4] (e.g., in the proof of [CP, Prop. 8.1.4]) takes place over a separably closed field (where all tori are split) with two exceptions:

- (a) [CGP, Cor. 3.5.11] has a formulation that permits its proof to begin by extending the ground field to its separable closure,
- (b) [CGP, Prop. 11.4.4] concerns a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group with a split maximal  $k$ -torus, and its proof works using the corrected formulation of [CGP, Prop. 3.5.4] because *every* pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup contains a split maximal  $k$ -torus (see Lemma 4.2.7, which has no logical dependence on anything in [CGP, Ch. 11]),

The second correction involves [CGP, Prop. 3.3.15] that provides three basic properties of minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups  $P$  in a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  containing a split maximal  $k$ -torus. The formulation is correct but there is a gap in Step 1 of the proof in [CGP]: it was overlooked to show (as is needed in the proof) that every minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of such a  $G$  necessarily contains a split maximal  $k$ -torus. We establish [CGP, Prop. 3.3.15(1),(2)] by more direct means as Proposition 3.3.7, and establish part (3) as Proposition 4.2.8.

The third correction is at the end of the proof of [CGP, Lemma 7.1.2]. Replace the last sentence with: “By the Chevalley commutation relations [SGA3, XXIII, 3.3.1(iii), 3.4.1(iii)], if  $c$  is a positive root and  $c'$  is a short positive root such that  $ic + c'$  is a long root then  $r_{i,1} = p$  vanishes in  $k$ .” (That  $c$  may be short was missed.)

The final correction is that [CP, Prop. 8.4.3] was not formulated in enough generality for later needs (in the proof of [CP, Thm. 9.2.1]), but its proof applies in the required additional generality. We record that result here in the appropriate generality as Proposition 10.1.15 and provide a proof; it implies that certain data entering into the “generalized standard” construction can be canonically recovered from the output of that construction (see Corollary 10.2.6). Galois descent then ensures that the “generalized standard” property over a field  $k$  is insensitive to scalar extension to  $k_s$  (Corollary 10.2.8), so when proving a given pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group is generalized standard (as in one of our main results, Theorem 10.2.13) it is sufficient to work over  $k_s$ . Passage to  $k_s$  is essential for accessing calculations with root groups and properties of the rank-1 case.

**1.5. Acknowledgements.** The authors are grateful to the Tulane University Mathematics Department for its warm hospitality in hosting the Clifford Lectures, to Michel Brion and Mahir Can for providing us with the opportunity to speak

on our work, and to the referee for careful reading and valuable comments and corrections on an earlier version. B.C. was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1100784 and G.P. was partially supported by NSF grant DMS-1401380.

## 2. Standard groups and dynamic methods

**2.1. Basic properties of pseudo-reductive groups.** If  $K/k$  is a separable extension of fields (e.g.,  $K = k_s$ ) then a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$  is pseudo-reductive if and only if  $G_K$  is pseudo-reductive, since (1.2.1.1) is an equality in such cases. In particular, since  $G(k_s)$  is Zariski-dense in  $G_{k_s}$ , it follows easily by Galois descent from  $k_s$  that if  $G$  is pseudo-reductive and  $N$  is a smooth connected normal  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  then  $N$  is pseudo-reductive. For example, the derived group  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  of a pseudo-reductive group is always pseudo-reductive.

A consequence of the pseudo-reductivity of the derived group is that solvable pseudo-reductive groups  $G$  are always commutative [CGP, Prop. 1.2.3]. Indeed, solvability implies that  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  is unipotent (as we may check over  $\bar{k}$  by using the structure of solvable smooth connected affine  $\bar{k}$ -groups), yet  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  inherits pseudo-reductivity from  $G$  and hence  $\mathcal{D}(G) = 1$ ; i.e.,  $G$  is commutative. However, in contrast with tori (which can be studied by means of Galois lattices), it is generally very difficult to say anything about the structure of commutative pseudo-reductive groups (e.g., they can admit nontrivial étale  $p$ -torsion in characteristic  $p > 0$  [CGP, Ex. 1.6.3]). Hence, in structure theorems for pseudo-reductive groups we shall treat the commutative case as a black box.

Further similarities with the reductive case are given by the following result that often enables one to reduce general questions for pseudo-reductive groups to the separate consideration of commutative and pseudo-semisimple cases:

PROPOSITION 2.1.1. *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group and  $T \subset G$  a  $k$ -torus.*

- (i) *The scheme-theoretic centralizer  $Z_G(T)$  is pseudo-reductive, and it is commutative when  $T$  is maximal in  $G$ .*
- (ii) *Any Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C$  of  $G$  is commutative and pseudo-reductive and  $G = C \cdot \mathcal{D}(G)$ .*
- (iii) *The derived group  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  is perfect (i.e., pseudo-semisimple).*

PROOF. The proof of the first part of (i) entails using the analogue for  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}} := G_{\bar{k}}/\mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}})$  and the good behavior of torus centralizers under quotient maps (such as  $G_{\bar{k}} \twoheadrightarrow G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$ ) to show that  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(Z_G(T)) \subset \mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$ . Any Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C$  is certainly nilpotent, so the derived group  $\mathcal{D}(C)$  is a smooth connected *unipotent* normal  $k$ -subgroup of  $C$ . But we have shown that  $C$  is pseudo-reductive, so  $\mathcal{D}(C) = 1$ ; i.e.,  $C$  is commutative. This proves (i).

We next claim that for any smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $H$  and Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C$  of  $H$ ,  $H = C \cdot \mathcal{D}(H)$ . Indeed,  $H/\mathcal{D}(H)$  is commutative and hence is its own Cartan  $k$ -subgroup, so the Cartan subgroup  $C$  of  $H$  maps onto  $H/\mathcal{D}(H)$ . This yields (ii).

To prove (iii), let  $C$  be a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$ . By [CGP, Lemma 1.2.5(ii)],  $C \cap \mathcal{D}(G)$  is a Cartan subgroup of  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{D}(G) = (C \cap \mathcal{D}(G)) \cdot \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}(G)) \subset C \cdot \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}(G))$ . Therefore,  $G = C \cdot \mathcal{D}(G) = C \cdot \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}(G))$ . But  $C$  is commutative, so  $G/\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}(G))$  is commutative and thus  $\mathcal{D}(G) \subset \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{D}(G))$ . The reverse inclusion is obvious.  $\square$

The commutativity of any Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C$  of a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  implies immediately that  $C$  coincides with its own scheme-theoretic centralizer in  $G$ , so  $C$  contains the scheme-theoretic center  $Z_G$  of  $G$  (as in the reductive case). Another feature of pseudo-reductive groups reminiscent of the connected reductive case (and not shared by smooth connected affine groups in general) is that normality is *transitive* for smooth connected  $k$ -subgroups:

**PROPOSITION 2.1.2.** *If  $G$  is a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group,  $H$  is a smooth connected normal  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$ , and  $N$  is a smooth connected normal  $k$ -subgroup of  $H$  then  $N$  is normal in  $G$ .*

The case of perfect  $N$  can be settled by using the known analogous result for  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$ . The general case is deduced from this via considerations with root systems over  $k_s$ . See [CGP, Prop. 1.2.7, Rem. 3.1.10] for details.

Despite the preceding favorable basic properties, in general pseudo-reductivity is *not* an especially robust notion (in contrast with reductivity):

**EXAMPLE 2.1.3.** Over any imperfect field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ , pseudo-reductivity is usually not inherited by quotients modulo central  $k$ -subgroup schemes (e.g.,  $\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\text{SL}_p)/\mu_p$  is not pseudo-reductive for any nontrivial purely inseparable finite extension  $k'/k$  in characteristic  $p$  [CGP, Ex. 1.3.5]) or modulo pseudo-semisimple normal  $k$ -subgroups (see [CGP, Ex. 1.6.4]).

Although central quotients  $G/Z$  of pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups  $G$  can fail to be pseudo-reductive, such failure is governed by the commutative case: for any Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C$  of  $G$ , the  $k$ -smooth central quotient  $G/Z$  is pseudo-reductive if and only if its Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C/Z$  is pseudo-reductive [CGP, Lemma 9.4.1].

**EXAMPLE 2.1.4.** The failure of  $\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\text{SL}_p)/\mu_p$  to be pseudo-reductive for a nontrivial purely inseparable finite extension  $k'/k$  in characteristic  $p$  is explained by the failure of pseudo-reductivity of its Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $Q = \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\text{GL}_1^{p-1})/\mu_p$ , where  $\mu_p$  is canonically included into the first factor  $\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\text{GL}_1)$ . Indeed, for a degree- $p$  subextension  $k'_0/k$  of  $k'/k$  the quotient  $\mathbf{R}_{k'_0/k}(\mu_p)/\mu_p$  is a  $k$ -subgroup of  $Q$ , and since  $k'_0{}^p \subset k$  this  $k$ -subgroup coincides with the  $k$ -group  $\mathbf{R}_{k'_0/k}(\text{GL}_1)/\text{GL}_1$  that is smooth, connected, and unipotent of dimension  $p - 1 > 0$ .

Among the central quotients of a pseudo-reductive group  $G$ , the central quotient  $G/Z_G$  (with  $Z_G \subset G$  the scheme-theoretic center) is especially useful. Fortunately,  $G/Z_G$  is *always* pseudo-reductive and has trivial scheme-theoretic center (but it might not be perfect, in contrast with the reductive case); see §6.1.

As a final illustration of the contrast between pseudo-reductive and reductive groups, recall that any connected reductive group  $H$  over a field  $k$  is unirational [Bo2, 18.2(ii)]. (The  $k$ -group  $H$  is generated by its perfect derived group and its maximal central  $k$ -torus, so alternatively one can appeal to the more general fact [CGP, Prop. A.2.11] that *every* perfect smooth connected affine  $k$ -group is generated by  $k$ -tori.) This unirationality property yields the important consequence that  $H(k)$  is Zariski-dense in  $H$  when  $k$  is infinite. This fails badly in the pseudo-reductive case:

**EXAMPLE 2.1.5.** For every imperfect field  $k$  there exist pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups  $G$  that are not unirational, and for rational function fields  $k = \kappa(v)$  over fields  $\kappa$  of positive characteristic there exist nontrivial pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups

$G$  such that  $G(k)$  is not Zariski-dense in  $G$ . In view of the unirationality of the perfect  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  [CGP, Prop. A.2.11] and the equality  $G = C \cdot \mathcal{D}(G)$  for a (commutative pseudo-reductive) Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C \subset G$ , all obstructions arise in the commutative case.

To make a commutative pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group that is either not unirational or does not have a Zariski-dense locus of  $k$ -points, it suffices to construct a smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -group  $U$  with either of these properties and build a commutative pseudo-reductive extension of  $U$  by  $\mathrm{GL}_1$  over  $k$ .

Let  $U = \{y^q = x - c^{p-1}x^p\}$  where  $p = \mathrm{char}(k) > 0$ ,  $c \in k - k^p$ , and  $q = p^r > 1$ . In [CGP, Ex. 11.3.1] it is shown that:  $U$  admits a commutative pseudo-reductive extension by  $\mathrm{GL}_1$  over  $k$ ,  $U$  is not unirational over  $k$  if  $q > 2$ , and when  $k = \kappa(v)$  for a field  $\kappa$  of characteristic  $p > 0$  the group  $U(k)$  is finite if  $q > 2$  and  $c = v$ .

**2.2. The standard construction.** A large class of pseudo-reductive groups can be built by using actions of commutative pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups on Weil restrictions to  $k$  of connected reductive groups over finite (possibly inseparable) extensions of  $k$ . Before describing this construction, we address the preservation of pseudo-reductivity under certain central pushouts that “replace” a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup with another commutative pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group.

**PROPOSITION 2.2.1.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group and  $\mathcal{C}$  a commutative pseudo-reductive  $k$ -subgroup satisfying  $\mathcal{C} = Z_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{C})$ . Let  $C$  be another commutative pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group equipped with an action on  $\mathcal{G}$  and with a  $k$ -homomorphism  $\phi : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow C$  respecting the actions on  $\mathcal{G}$ . The cokernel  $G$  of the central inclusion*

$$\alpha : \mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{G} \rtimes C$$

*defined by  $c \mapsto (c^{-1}, \phi(c))$  is pseudo-reductive.*

Informally,  $G$  is obtained from  $\mathcal{G}$  by replacing  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $C$ .

**PROOF.** It is elementary to check that  $H := \mathcal{G} \rtimes C$  is pseudo-reductive and that any central  $k$ -subgroup of  $H$  is contained in  $\mathcal{C} \times C$ . Hence, to prove that  $U := \mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  is trivial it suffices to show that the (visibly solvable) smooth connected normal preimage  $N \subset H$  of  $U$  is central, as then naturally  $U = N/\mathcal{C} \hookrightarrow C$ , forcing  $U = 1$  since  $C$  is commutative and pseudo-reductive.

To show that  $N$  is central in  $H$  it is enough to prove that the smooth connected normal commutator  $k$ -subgroup  $(H, N)$  in the pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $H$  is unipotent. But for any smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $H$  and *solvable* smooth connected normal  $k$ -subgroup  $N$ , the commutator subgroup  $(H, N)$  is unipotent (as we easily check over  $\bar{k}$  by working with the maximal reductive quotient  $H_{\bar{k}}^{\mathrm{red}}$  in which the solvable normal image of  $N_{\bar{k}}$  must be a normal torus, hence central due to the connectedness of  $H_{\bar{k}}^{\mathrm{red}}$ ).  $\square$

The main class of  $\mathcal{C}$ 's of interest for applying Proposition 2.2.1 is the Cartan  $k$ -subgroups of  $\mathcal{G}$ , and in such cases the  $k$ -group  $C = (\mathcal{C} \times C)/\alpha(\mathcal{C})$  is a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$ . There are many natural examples in which  $\phi$  is not surjective; these arise in the “standard construction” (see Definition 2.2.6) and in the study of both  $k_s/k$ -forms and automorphism schemes of general pseudo-semisimple groups.

To apply Proposition 2.2.1 in the special case  $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(G')$  for a finite extension of fields  $k'/k$  and a connected reductive  $k'$ -group  $G'$ , it is convenient (for motivational purposes) to first review how the behavior of  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}$  on linear algebraic

groups is sensitive to whether or not  $k'/k$  is separable. If  $k'/k$  is separable and  $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$  is a surjection between affine  $k'$ -schemes of finite type then it is an immediate consequence of (1.2.1.2) and considerations over  $k_s$  that  $R_{k'/k}(f')$  is surjective. If we drop the separability condition on  $k'/k$  then  $R_{k'/k}(f')$  is surjective provided that  $f'$  is also *smooth* (in which case  $R_{k'/k}(f')$  is smooth too) [**CGP**, Cor. A.5.4(1)], but surjectivity fails to be preserved in the absence of smoothness:

**EXAMPLE 2.2.2.** Consider the  $p$ -power endomorphism  $f' : \mathrm{GL}_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1$  over a degree- $p$  inseparable extension  $k'/k$  in characteristic  $p$ . The map  $R_{k'/k}(f')$  is the  $p$ -power endomorphism of the smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $R_{k'/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  of dimension  $p$ . The image of  $R_{k'/k}(f')$  lies inside the canonical  $k$ -subgroup  $\mathrm{GL}_1$  (and so coincides with this  $k$ -subgroup) because the image of the  $p$ -power map on  $R_{k'/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)(k_s) = (k' \otimes_k k_s)^\times$  is contained inside  $k_s^\times = \mathrm{GL}_1(k_s)$ .

Although Weil restriction through a finite extension of fields  $k'/k$  does not preserve many properties when  $k'/k$  is not separable (Remark 1.2.2), it does preserve the property “smooth and geometrically connected” [**CGP**, Prop. A.5.9] (such as for smooth connected affine groups), and if  $X'$  is a smooth affine  $k'$ -scheme with pure dimension  $n$  then  $X := R_{k'/k}(X')$  is  $k$ -smooth (by the infinitesimal criterion) with pure dimension  $n[k' : k]$  (as we may check by computing tangent spaces at the Zariski-dense set of  $k_s$ -points in  $X_{k_s} = R_{k'_s/k_s}(X' \otimes_{k'} k'_s)$  for  $k'_s := k' \otimes_k k_s$ ).

Also, if  $f' : X' \rightarrow Y'$  is a torsor for a *smooth* affine  $k'$ -group  $H'$  then  $R_{k'/k}(f')$  is an  $R_{k'/k}(H')$ -torsor [**CGP**, Cor. A.5.4(3)]. For our purposes, the most important example is that the natural map

$$R_{k'/k}(G')/R_{k'/k}(H') \longrightarrow R_{k'/k}(G'/H')$$

is an isomorphism for any affine  $k'$ -group scheme  $G'$  of finite type and *smooth* closed  $k'$ -subgroup  $H'$ . (In particular, if such an  $H'$  is normal in  $G'$  then  $R_{k'/k}(H')$  is normal in  $R_{k'/k}(G')$  and the associated quotient group is  $R_{k'/k}(G'/H')$ .)

The  $k'$ -smoothness hypothesis on  $H'$  is crucial, since Example 2.2.2 shows that inseparable Weil restriction generally does not carry isogenies to surjections, even when working with smooth connected affine groups. The bad behavior of inseparable Weil restriction with respect to isogenies has interesting consequences in the context of connected semisimple groups, such as a non-perfect inseparable Weil restriction of such a group:

**EXAMPLE 2.2.3.** Let  $k$  be imperfect of characteristic  $p$ , and let  $k'/k$  be a non-trivial finite extension satisfying  $k'^p \subset k$ . The smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $R_{k'/k}(\mathrm{PGL}_p)$  is *not* perfect. To understand this, and more generally to analyze the structure of this  $k$ -group, the quotient presentation  $\mathrm{PGL}_p \simeq \mathrm{SL}_p/\mu_p$  over  $k'$  is not useful because  $R_{k'/k}$  is not compatible with the formation of this central quotient by the non-smooth  $\mu_p$  (as we shall see).

We need a quotient presentation entirely in terms of smooth  $k'$ -groups. The central quotient description  $\mathrm{GL}_p/\mathrm{GL}_1$  could be used, but for later purposes it is more convenient to consider another central quotient description with smooth  $k'$ -groups, as follows.

Let  $T' \subset \mathrm{SL}_p$  be a maximal  $k'$ -torus (such as the diagonal  $k'$ -torus), and define  $\overline{T}' := T'/\mu_p$  to be its maximal  $k'$ -torus image in  $\mathrm{PGL}_p$ . The conjugation action of  $\mathrm{SL}_p$  on itself naturally factors through an action on  $\mathrm{SL}_p$  by the central quotient  $\mathrm{PGL}_p$ , so in this way the  $k'$ -subgroup  $\overline{T}' \subset \mathrm{PGL}_p$  naturally acts on  $\mathrm{SL}_p$ . This yields

a  $k'$ -isomorphism

$$\mathrm{PGL}_p \simeq \mathrm{SL}_p / \mu_p \simeq (\mathrm{SL}_p \rtimes \overline{T}') / T',$$

where  $T' \hookrightarrow \mathrm{SL}_p \rtimes \overline{T}'$  is the central anti-diagonal inclusion  $t' \mapsto (t'^{-1}, t' \bmod \mu_p)$ . The right side involves only smooth  $k'$ -groups and so yields a  $k$ -isomorphism

$$(2.2.3) \quad \mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(\mathrm{PGL}_p) \simeq (\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(\mathrm{SL}_p) \rtimes \mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(\overline{T}')) / \mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(T')$$

in which  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(\overline{T}')$  acts on  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(\mathrm{SL}_p)$  by applying the functoriality of  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}$  to the  $\overline{T}'$ -action on  $\mathrm{SL}_p$ . (Beware that  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(T') \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(\overline{T}')$  is *not* surjective, as  $T'_{k'_s} \rightarrow \overline{T}'_{k'_s}$  is the direct product of  $\mathrm{GL}_1^{p-2}$  against the  $p$ -power map  $\mathrm{GL}_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1$ .)

On the right side of (2.2.3) we have an instance of the cokernel construction in Proposition 2.2.1, and the  $k$ -group  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(\mathrm{SL}_p)$  is perfect since its group of  $k_s$ -points  $\mathrm{SL}_p(k'_s)$  is perfect (as  $\mathrm{SL}_n(F)$  is generated by subgroups of the form  $\mathrm{SL}_2(F)$  for any field  $F$ ). Thus, the commutativity of  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(\overline{T}')$  implies that

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(\mathrm{PGL}_p)) = \mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(\mathrm{SL}_p) / \mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(\mu_p),$$

with  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(\mu_p) = \ker([p] : \mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1)$  of dimension  $p - 1 > 0$  (as noted in Example 1.2.4). But  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(\mathrm{PGL}_p)$  and  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(\mathrm{SL}_p)$  have the same dimension, so it follows that  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(\mathrm{PGL}_p)$  is *not* perfect.

To put the construction in Example 2.2.3 into a broader framework, as a first step we record an important result that explains the dichotomy between the perfectness of  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(\mathrm{SL}_p)$  and the failure of perfectness of  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(\mathrm{PGL}_p)$  above:

**PROPOSITION 2.2.4.** *If  $k'/k$  is a finite extension of fields and  $G'$  is a connected semisimple  $k'$ -group that is simply connected then  $G := \mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(G')$  is perfect (and hence is pseudo-semisimple).*

**PROOF.** Suppose the commutative quotient  $H := G/\mathcal{D}(G)$  is nontrivial, so the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of  $H$  is a nonzero  $G$ -equivariant quotient of  $\mathfrak{g} := \mathrm{Lie}(G)$  with trivial  $G$ -action. Hence, it suffices to show that the space  $\mathfrak{g}_G$  of  $G$ -coinvariants of  $\mathfrak{g}$  vanishes. By treating the factor fields of  $k' \otimes_k k_s$  separately we may assume  $k = k_s$ , so  $\mathfrak{g}_G = \mathfrak{g}_{G(k)}$ . Identifying  $G(k)$  and  $G'(k')$  is compatible with identifying  $\mathfrak{g}$  and the underlying  $k$ -vector space of  $\mathfrak{g}'$  [CGP, Cor. A.7.6], so it suffices to prove  $\mathfrak{g}'_{G'} = 0$ .

The simply connectedness hypothesis implies that a maximal torus  $T'$  of  $G'$  is the direct product of coroot groups  $a^\vee(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  for roots  $a$  in a basis  $\Delta$  of  $\Phi(G', T')$ , and pairs of opposite root groups (relative to the positive system of roots associated to  $\Delta$ ) generate  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ 's inside  $G'$ . The Lie algebras of these  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ 's span  $\mathrm{Lie}(G')$  (as one sees via consideration of an open cell), so the vanishing of  $G'$ -coinvariants under  $\mathrm{Ad}_{G'}$  reduces to the case  $G' = \mathrm{SL}_2$  that is verified by direct calculation.  $\square$

2.2.5. The following construction of a large class of pseudo-reductive groups will admit a refined formulation via Proposition 2.2.4. Let  $k$  be a field,  $k'$  a nonzero finite reduced  $k$ -algebra, and  $G'$  a smooth affine  $k'$ -group whose fibers over the factor fields of  $k'$  are connected reductive. (The reason we consider such a product  $k'$  of fields as a single  $k$ -algebra, rather than treat its factor fields  $k'_i$  and the corresponding fiber groups  $G'_i$  of  $G'$  separately, is due to convenience in later Galois descent arguments since scalar extension along  $k \rightarrow k_s$  generally does not carry fields to fields.)

Let  $T' \subset G'$  be a maximal  $k'$ -torus, and let  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(G'/Z_{G'})$  act on  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(G')$  by applying  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}$  to the natural  $G'/Z_{G'}$ -action on  $G'$ . (If the  $k'$ -group  $Z_{G'}$  is non-étale over some point of  $\mathrm{Spec}(k')$  that is not  $k$ -étale then  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(G'/Z_{G'})$  is generally larger



than  $R_{k'/k}(G')/R_{k'/k}(Z_{G'})$ .) Finally, consider a commutative pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $C$  equipped with a factorization

$$(2.2.5.1) \quad R_{k'/k}(T') \xrightarrow{\phi} C \longrightarrow R_{k'/k}(T'/Z_{G'})$$

of the natural  $k$ -homomorphism  $R_{k'/k}(T') \rightarrow R_{k'/k}(T'/Z_{G'})$  (which is generally not surjective when  $Z_{G'}$  is not  $k'$ -étale over some point where  $\text{Spec}(k')$  is not  $k$ -étale).

Since  $T'$  is a Cartan  $k'$ -subgroup of  $G'$ ,  $R_{k'/k}(T')$  is a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup of  $R_{k'/k}(G')$  [CGP, Prop. A.5.15(3)]. Thus, by Proposition 2.2.1 the central quotient

$$(2.2.5.2) \quad (R_{k'/k}(G') \rtimes C)/R_{k'/k}(T')$$

modulo the anti-diagonal inclusion  $R_{k'/k}(T') \hookrightarrow R_{k'/k}(G') \rtimes C$  is pseudo-reductive.

**DEFINITION 2.2.6.** A *standard pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group* is a  $k$ -group that is  $k$ -isomorphic to (2.2.5.2) for some 4-tuple  $(G', k'/k, T', C)$  as above equipped with a factorization (2.2.5.1).

Note that every commutative pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group is standard, by letting  $k' = k$  and  $G' = 1$ . The pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -groups in Example 1.2.4 that do not arise as a  $k$ -isogenous quotient of the Weil restriction of a connected reductive group over any finite extension of  $k$  are nonetheless standard; see Example 2.2.8. In practice, to solve problems for a standard pseudo-reductive group one can often reduce to the study of  $R_{k'/k}(G')$ ; this makes standardness a useful notion.

Beware that using different 4-tuples (equipped with respective factorizations (2.2.5.1)) as the data in Definition 2.2.6 can yield the same  $G$ . For instance, the data specifies a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C \subset G$ , and if there is a proper  $k$ -subalgebra  $k'_0 \subset k'$  over which  $k'$  is étale then we can replace  $(G', k'/k)$  with  $(R_{k'/k'_0}(G'), k'_0/k)$ . Hence, for non-commutative standard pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups  $G$ , two questions arise:

- (1) Does  $G$  admit a “standard” description relative to *any* Cartan  $k$ -subgroup?
- (2) Can  $(G', k'/k)$  be chosen so that the fibers of  $G'$  over the factor fields of  $k'$  are absolutely simple (to avoid the artificial presence of separable Weil restriction in  $G'$ )?

The answers are affirmative, and (provided that  $G'$  has absolutely simple and simply connected fibers over the factor fields of  $k'$ , as may always be arranged in the non-commutative case) this allows us to arrange that the data  $(G', k'/k, T', C)$  and (2.2.5.1) are *uniquely determined* up to unique isomorphism by the pair  $(G, C)$ . Most of the proofs involve root groups and are addressed in a more general setting later (see Corollary 10.2.6 and Proposition 10.2.7). For now we only need the existence aspect in (2), so we address that and then introduce dynamic constructions underlying a robust theory of root groups in the pseudo-reductive case.

**PROPOSITION 2.2.7.** *Any non-commutative standard pseudo-reductive group  $G$  arises from a 4-tuple  $(G', k'/k, T', C)$  and factorization (2.2.5.1) such that the fibers of  $G' \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k')$  are semisimple, absolutely simple, and simply connected.*

**PROOF.** Choose an initial 4-tuple  $(G', k'/k, T', C)$  and diagram (2.2.5.1) giving rise to  $G$ . Since  $R_{k'/k}(T') \times C$  is a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup of  $R_{k'/k}(G') \rtimes C$  (see [CGP, Prop. A.5.15(3)]), it follows that in the quotient  $G$  the inclusion  $C \hookrightarrow G$  is a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup. The derived group  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  is perfect (Proposition 2.1.1(iii)), so by the commutativity of  $C$  it follows that the image of  $\mathcal{D}(R_{k'/k}(G'))$  in  $G$  is  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ . In particular,  $G'$  is non-commutative since  $\mathcal{D}(G) \neq 1$  by hypothesis.

Let  $\mathcal{G}' = \mathcal{D}(G')$ , so  $\mathcal{T}' := T' \cap \mathcal{G}'$  is a maximal  $k'$ -torus in  $\mathcal{G}'$  [**CGP**, Cor. A.2.7]. (Some fibers of  $G'$  over  $\text{Spec}(k')$  might be commutative, and the corresponding fibers of  $\mathcal{G}'$  are trivial.) For the simply connected central cover  $\pi : \tilde{G}' \twoheadrightarrow \mathcal{G}'$ , the preimage  $\tilde{T}' = \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{T}')$  is a maximal  $k'$ -torus and the image of  $\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\pi)$  is  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\mathcal{G}'))$  by Proposition 2.2.4 (since the commutator morphism  $\mathcal{G}' \times \mathcal{G}' \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$  factors through  $\pi$ , so likewise after applying  $\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}$ ). The pseudo-reductivity of  $\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G')$  implies that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G'))$  is perfect (Proposition 2.1.1(iii)), yet the latter derived group is contained in  $\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\mathcal{G}')$  since

$$1 \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\mathcal{G}') \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G') \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G'/\mathcal{G}')$$

is exact with commutative final term, so

$$\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G')) = \text{image}(\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\tilde{G}') \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\mathcal{G}')).$$

In other words,  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  is the image of  $\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\tilde{G}') \rightarrow G$ .

The composite map  $\tilde{G}' \rightarrow \mathcal{G}' \hookrightarrow G'$  carries  $\tilde{T}'$  into  $T'$  and  $Z_{\tilde{G}'}$  into  $Z_{G'}$  inducing an isomorphism  $\tilde{G}'/Z_{\tilde{G}'} \simeq G'/Z_{G'}$  between maximal adjoint semisimple quotients, so likewise we obtain a natural isomorphism  $\tilde{T}'/Z_{\tilde{G}'} \simeq T'/Z_{G'}$ . Using the diagram

$$\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\tilde{T}') \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(T') \xrightarrow{\phi} C \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(T'/Z_{G'}) \simeq \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\tilde{T}'/Z_{\tilde{G}'})$$

whose composition is the natural map, we can make a standard pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group

$$H := (\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\tilde{G}') \rtimes C) / \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\tilde{T}')$$

equipped with an evident  $k$ -homomorphism

$$f : H \longrightarrow (\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G') \rtimes C) / \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(T') =: G = \mathcal{D}(G) \cdot C$$

that is visibly surjective.

We claim that  $\ker f = 1$ . It suffices to show that if a point  $(\tilde{g}', c) \in \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\tilde{G}') \rtimes C$  (valued in a  $k$ -algebra) maps into the anti-diagonal  $k$ -subgroup

$$\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(T') \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G') \rtimes C$$

then  $\tilde{g}' \in \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\tilde{T}')$ . This follows immediately from the compatibility of  $\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}$  with fiber products, as that gives

$$\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\tilde{T}') = \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\mathcal{T}') \times_{\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\mathcal{G}')} \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\tilde{G}') = \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(T') \times_{\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G')} \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\tilde{G}').$$

By replacing  $(G', T')$  with  $(\tilde{G}', \tilde{T}')$  and working with the factorization diagram

$$\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\tilde{T}') \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\tilde{T}'/Z_{\tilde{G}'})$$

built above, we reduce to the case that all fibers of  $G'$  over factor fields of  $k'$  are semisimple and simply connected. Those factor fields  $k'_i$  of  $k'$  for which the fiber  $G'_i$  of  $G'$  is trivial may clearly be dropped from consideration, so we may assume that every  $G'_i$  is nontrivial.

By working over each factor field of  $k'$  separately, it is well-known (see [**CGP**, Prop. A.5.14]) that there exists a finite étale cover  $\text{Spec}(K') \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k')$  and smooth affine  $K'$ -group  $H'$  whose fibers are connected semisimple, absolutely simple, and simply connected such that  $\mathbf{R}_{K'/k'}(H') \simeq G'$ . By [**CGP**, Prop. A.5.15(1),(2)] we have  $Z_{G'} = \mathbf{R}_{K'/k'}(Z_{H'})$  and there exists a unique maximal  $K'$ -torus  $S'$  in  $H'$  such that  $\mathbf{R}_{K'/k'}(S') = T'$ , so  $\mathbf{R}_{K'/k'}(S'/Z_{H'}) = \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(T'/Z_{G'})$  and  $\mathbf{R}_{K'/k}(S') =$

$R_{k'/k}(T')$  since  $K'$  is  $k'$ -étale. Hence, using the 4-tuple  $(H', K'/k, S', C)$  and corresponding factorization diagram recovers  $G$  in the desired manner.  $\square$

**EXAMPLE 2.2.8.** The nontrivial standard pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -groups  $G$  are precisely the pseudo-reductive central quotients  $R_{k'/k}(G')/Z$  where  $k'$  is a nonzero finite reduced  $k$ -algebra and  $G'$  is a smooth affine  $k'$ -group whose fibers over the factor fields of  $k'$  are connected semisimple, absolutely simple, and simply connected.

Indeed, if we describe  $G$  using a 4-tuple as in Proposition 2.2.7 then the  $k$ -group  $G = \mathcal{D}(G)$  is the image of the map  $R_{k'/k}(G') \rightarrow G$ , and the kernel  $Z$  of this latter map is exactly

$$\ker(\phi : R_{k'/k}(T') \rightarrow C) \subset \ker(R_{k'/k}(T') \rightarrow R_{k'/k}(T'/Z_{G'})) = R_{k'/k}(Z_{G'}),$$

so  $Z$  is central in  $R_{k'/k}(G')$ .

Conversely, for such pairs  $(k'/k, G')$  and a closed  $k$ -subgroup  $Z \subset Z_{R_{k'/k}(G')} = R_{k'/k}(Z_{G'})$  (see [CGP, Prop. A.5.15(1)] for the equality), we have to show that any central quotient  $G := R_{k'/k}(G')/Z$  that is *pseudo-reductive* is necessarily standard. Let  $T' \subset G'$  be a maximal  $k'$ -torus, so  $C := R_{k'/k}(T')$  is a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup of  $R_{k'/k}(G')$  due to [CGP, Prop. A.5.15(3)]. Hence,  $C/Z$  is a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup of  $R_{k'/k}(G')/Z$ , so if  $R_{k'/k}(G')/Z$  is pseudo-reductive then  $C/Z$  is pseudo-reductive. The converse holds too: since  $R_{k'/k}(G')/Z \simeq (R_{k'/k}(G') \times (C/Z))/C$  with  $C/Z$  acting through its natural homomorphism into  $R_{k'/k}(T'/Z_{G'})$ , if  $C/Z$  is pseudo-reductive then  $R_{k'/k}(G')/Z$  is given by the “standard” construction and thus is pseudo-reductive.

**2.3. Dynamic techniques and pseudo-parabolic subgroups.** The structure of split connected reductive groups over a field  $k$  rests on the fact that a connected semisimple  $k$ -group with a split maximal  $k$ -torus of dimension 1 is  $k$ -isomorphic to  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  or  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ . In particular, the construction of root groups and root data for split connected reductive groups ultimately rests on this rank-1 classification. Nothing similar is available early in the study of pseudo-reductive groups.

It is true that if  $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$  then a pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -group with a split maximal  $k$ -torus of dimension 1 is  $k$ -isomorphic to  $R_{k'/k}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$  or  $R_{k'/k}(\mathrm{PGL}_2)$  for a purely inseparable finite extension  $k'/k$ , but (i) the proof requires the full force of the techniques to be discussed in this section, and (ii) in characteristic 2 there is no comparable result. Hence, to develop a *characteristic-free* structure theory involving root groups and root systems we need an alternative viewpoint.

A systematic study of limiting behavior of orbits under 1-parameter subgroups provides an adequate substitute for the lack of a uniform rank-1 classification early on (even if we were to avoid characteristic 2). Motivation for this arises from a description of parabolic subgroups, their unipotent radicals, and Levi factors in  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  entirely in terms of 1-parameter subgroups (without reference to the usual definitions of parabolicity, unipotence, or Levi factors). Consider an increasing flag

$$0 = F_0 \subsetneq F_1 \subsetneq \cdots \subsetneq F_m = V$$

of subspaces of a nonzero finite-dimensional  $k$ -vector space  $V$ ; this corresponds to a parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P \subset G := \mathrm{GL}(V)$  as the stabilizer of the flag  $F_\bullet$ . Let  $V_j$  be a linear complement to  $F_{j-1}$  in  $F_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq m$ , so the stabilizer  $L$  of the ordered  $m$ -tuple  $(V_1, \dots, V_m)$  is a Levi factor of  $P$  (i.e.,  $P = L \times U$  for the  $k$ -descent  $U = \mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  of  $\mathcal{R}_u(P_{\bar{k}})$ ). The decomposition  $\bigoplus V_j$  of  $V$  is encoded in terms of a 1-parameter  $k$ -subgroup  $\lambda : \mathrm{GL}_1 \rightarrow G$  by making  $\mathrm{GL}_1$  act on  $V_j$  through the

character  $t \mapsto t^{a_j}$  for integers  $a_1 > \cdots > a_m$ ; the  $V_j$ 's are the weight spaces for the weights occurring in this  $\mathrm{GL}_1$ -action on  $V$ .

Choose ordered bases for each  $V_j$  and use these to make an ordered basis  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$  for  $V$  by putting the basis vectors from  $V_j$  before  $V_{j+1}$  for all  $j$ . Denote the unique  $V_j$  containing  $v_r$  as  $V_{j_r}$  (so  $j_1 \leq \dots \leq j_n$ ). Under the resulting identification  $V = k^n$ , any point  $g = (x_{rs}) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(R) = G(R)$  (valued in a  $k$ -algebra  $R$ ) satisfies

$$\lambda(t)g\lambda(t)^{-1} = (t^{a_{j_r} - a_{j_s}} x_{rs}).$$

Thus, if we make  $\mathrm{GL}_1$  act on  $G$  via conjugation through  $\lambda$  then the orbit map  $(\mathrm{GL}_1)_R \rightarrow G_R$  through  $g$  defined by  $t \mapsto t.g := \lambda(t)g\lambda(t)^{-1}$  extends to an  $R$ -scheme map  $\mathbf{A}_R^1 \rightarrow G_R$  (i.e., the map of coordinate rings  $R[G] \rightarrow R[T, 1/T]$  lands inside  $R[T]$ ) precisely when  $x_{rs} = 0$  whenever  $j_r > j_s$ , which is to say if and only if  $g \in P(R)$ . In such cases, we express the existence of this extended map on  $\mathbf{A}_R^1$  by saying “ $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t.g$  exists”, and the image in  $G(R)$  of the zero section under this extended map is referred to as  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t.g$ . Hence, the condition that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t.g$  exists and is equal to 1 is precisely that  $x_{rs} = \delta_{rs}$  whenever  $j_r \geq j_s$ , which is to say if and only if  $g \in U(R)$ . Finally, a point  $g \in G$  centralizes  $\lambda$ , or equivalently  $t \mapsto t.g$  is the constant  $R$ -morphism to  $g \in G(R)$ , if and only if  $g$  preserves each  $(V_j)_R$ , which is to say  $g \in L(R)$ .

The preceding calculations show that  $P$ ,  $U$ , and  $L$  can be recovered dynamically in terms of the  $\mathrm{GL}_1$ -action on  $G$  via  $(t, g) \mapsto \lambda(t)g\lambda(t)^{-1}$ . Observe that not only is  $P$  equal to  $L \times U$ , but the opposite parabolic  $P^-$  relative to  $L$  is obtained upon replacing  $\lambda$  with the reciprocal 1-parameter subgroup  $t \mapsto \lambda(1/t) = \lambda(t)^{-1}$ ; the traditional additive notation for characters and cocharacters leads us to denote this latter cocharacter as  $-\lambda$  rather than as  $1/\lambda$ .

REMARK 2.3.1. For the  $k$ -unipotent radical  $U^-$  of  $P^-$ , the multiplication map of  $k$ -schemes  $U^- \times P = U^- \times L \times U \rightarrow G = \mathrm{GL}_n$  is an open immersion. Indeed, we may assume  $k = \bar{k}$ , and it is a general fact in algebraic geometry that a map between smooth  $k$ -varieties is an open immersion if it is injective on  $k$ -points and bijective on tangent spaces at  $k$ -points of the source.

Injectivity on  $k$ -points is clear since  $U^-(k) \cap P(k) = 1$  by inspection. Using left translation by  $U^-(k)$  and right translation by  $P(k)$  reduces bijectivity on tangent spaces at  $k$ -points to the bijectivity of the addition map  $\mathrm{Lie}(U^-) \oplus \mathrm{Lie}(P) \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie}(G)$ . Under the adjoint action of  $\mathrm{GL}_1$  on  $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ ,  $\mathrm{Lie}(U^-)$  is the span of the negative weight spaces and  $\mathrm{Lie}(P)$  is the span of the non-negative weight spaces.

The above considerations with  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  inspire the following generalization to  $\mathrm{GL}_1$ -actions on arbitrary affine group schemes of finite type over fields. First, we make a definition over rings. For any ring  $R$  and map of affine  $R$ -schemes  $f : (\mathrm{GL}_1)_R \rightarrow X$ , we say “ $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(t)$  exists” if  $f$  extends to an  $R$ -scheme map  $\tilde{f} : \mathbf{A}_R^1 \rightarrow X$ , which is to say that  $f^* : R[X] \rightarrow R[T, 1/T]$  lands inside  $R[T]$ . Such an  $\tilde{f}$  is obviously unique if it exists, in which case the  $R$ -point  $\tilde{f}(0) \in X(R)$  is referred to as  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} f(t)$ .

LEMMA 2.3.2. *Let  $(t, x) \mapsto t.x$  be a  $\mathrm{GL}_1$ -action on an affine scheme  $X$  of finite type over a field  $k$ . The functor of points  $x \in X$  such that  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t.x$  exists is represented by a closed subscheme of  $X$ .*

PROOF. The coordinate ring  $k[X]$  is the direct sum of its weight spaces  $k[X]_n$  under the  $\mathrm{GL}_1$ -action (with  $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ ); i.e.,  $\mathrm{GL}_1$  acts on  $k[X]_n$  via  $t.f = t^n f$ . The

ideal generated by the  $k$ -subspaces  $k[X]_n$  for  $n < 0$  defines a closed subscheme of  $X$  which does the job. See [CGP, Lemma 2.1.4] for details.  $\square$

2.3.3. In the special case that  $\mathrm{GL}_1$  acts on an affine  $k$ -group scheme  $G$  of finite type through conjugation against a  $k$ -homomorphism  $\lambda : \mathrm{GL}_1 \rightarrow G$ , we denote the closed subscheme of  $G$  arising from Lemma 2.3.2 as  $P_G(\lambda)$ . Since  $(t.g)(t.g') = t.(gg')$  for points  $g, g'$  of  $G$  valued in a common  $k$ -algebra, it is clear that  $P_G(\lambda)$  is stable under multiplication inside  $G$ . Likewise,  $P_G(\lambda)$  passes through the identity point and is stable under inversion, so  $P_G(\lambda)$  is a  $k$ -subgroup scheme of  $G$ .

The scheme-theoretic intersection

$$Z_G(\lambda) := P_G(\lambda) \cap P_G(-\lambda)$$

represents the functorial centralizer of  $\lambda$  in  $G$  because for any  $k$ -algebra  $R$  the only  $R$ -scheme maps  $\mathbf{P}_R^1 \rightarrow G_R$  into the affine target  $G_R$  are constant maps to elements of  $G(R)$ . Finally, the scheme-theoretic kernel

$$U_G(\lambda) := \ker(P_G(\lambda) \longrightarrow G)$$

of the map  $g \mapsto \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t.g$  clearly has trivial schematic intersection with  $Z_G(\lambda)$ .

For any positive integer  $m$  we have

$$(2.3.3) \quad P_G(\lambda^m) = P_G(\lambda), \quad U_G(\lambda^m) = U_G(\lambda), \quad Z_G(\lambda^m) = Z_G(\lambda)$$

since whether or not an element of  $R[T, 1/T]$  lies in  $R[T]$  is unaffected by replacing  $T$  with  $T^m$ ; in particular, the  $k$ -subgroups  $P_G(\lambda), U_G(\lambda), Z_G(\lambda)$  only depend on  $\lambda$  through the subset  $\mathbf{Q}_{>0} \cdot \lambda \subset X_*(T)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ .

By functorial considerations, if  $G'$  is an affine  $k$ -group scheme of finite type and  $G \subset G'$  is a  $k$ -subgroup inclusion (always a closed immersion [SGA3, VI<sub>B</sub>, 1.4.2]) then obviously

$$G \cap P_{G'}(\lambda) = P_G(\lambda), \quad G \cap U_{G'}(\lambda) = U_G(\lambda), \quad G \cap Z_{G'}(\lambda) = Z_G(\lambda).$$

The case  $G' = \mathrm{GL}_n$  thereby helps to reduce some problems for general  $G$  to the case of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ . For example,  $U_G(\lambda)$  is always a *unipotent*  $k$ -group scheme because upon choosing a  $k$ -subgroup inclusion of  $G$  into  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  (as we may always do [CGP, Prop. A.2.3]),  $U_G(\lambda)$  is a  $k$ -subgroup scheme of the  $k$ -group  $U_{\mathrm{GL}_n}(\lambda)$  that has been seen to be the unipotent radical of a parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ .

REMARK 2.3.4. Unipotence for a  $k$ -group scheme is defined without smoothness hypotheses in [SGA3, XVII, 1.3]: it means that over  $\bar{k}$  there is a finite composition series of closed subgroup schemes such that each successive quotient is isomorphic to a  $\bar{k}$ -subgroup of  $\mathbf{G}_a$ . A review of this notion for our purposes is given in [CGP, A.1.3–A.1.4].

The main properties of the preceding dynamic group scheme constructions are recorded in the following important result.

THEOREM 2.3.5. *Define  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathrm{Lie}(G)$  equipped with the  $\mathrm{GL}_1$ -action through the adjoint representation. Let  $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{g}^{\mathrm{GL}_1}$ , define  $\mathfrak{g}_+$  to be the span of the weight spaces in  $\mathfrak{g}$  for the positive weights, and define  $\mathfrak{g}_-$  likewise with negative weights.*

- (i) *Inside  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathrm{Lie}(Z_G(\lambda)) = \mathfrak{g}_0$  and  $\mathrm{Lie}(U_G(\pm\lambda)) = \mathfrak{g}_{\pm}$ .*
- (ii) *The natural multiplication map  $Z_G(\lambda) \times U_G(\lambda) \rightarrow P_G(\lambda)$  is an isomorphism of  $k$ -schemes, and the natural multiplication map*

$$\Omega_G(\lambda) := U_G(-\lambda) \times P_G(\lambda) \longrightarrow G$$

is an open immersion. In particular, if  $G$  is smooth then  $P_G(\lambda)$ ,  $Z_G(\lambda)$ , and  $U_G(\lambda)$  are smooth, and if  $G$  is connected then each of these three  $k$ -groups is connected.

- (iii) If  $H \subset G$  is a closed  $k$ -subgroup through which  $\lambda$  factors then  $H \cap \Omega_G(\lambda) = \Omega_H(\lambda)$ .
- (iv) The unipotent  $k$ -group scheme  $U_G(\lambda)$  is connected, and if  $G$  is smooth then the smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -group  $U_G(\lambda)$  is  $k$ -split.

PROOF. The details are given in [CGP, Prop. 2.1.8] (which works more generally over rings) except for the  $k$ -split assertion in (iv) that is [CGP, Prop. 2.1.10]. Here we limit ourselves to a few remarks.

The proof of (i) is a consequence of the functorial characterizations of  $Z_G(\lambda)$  and  $U_G(\pm\lambda)$  applied to points valued in the dual numbers over  $k$ . The crux of the first isomorphism in (ii) is that for any  $g \in P_G(\lambda)(R)$  (with a  $k$ -algebra  $R$ ), the limit  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t.g \in G(R)$  lies in  $Z_G(\lambda)(R)$ . The intuition is that for any point  $t' \in \mathrm{GL}_1$ , the limiting behavior of  $t'.(tg) = (t't).g$  as  $t \rightarrow 0$  is independent of  $t'$ .

The open immersion assertion in (ii) has been discussed earlier for  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ , and the general case is reduced to this by applying (iii) to an inclusion  $G \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n$ . That is, (iii) has to be proved before (ii). The idea behind the proof of (iii) is to pick a linear representation of  $G$  for which  $H$  is the scheme-theoretic stabilizer of a line, and to study how that description of  $H$  interacts with the dynamically-defined  $k$ -subgroups under consideration; this is a non-trivial task.

The connectedness of  $U_G(\lambda)$  in (iv) is clear because any geometric point  $u$  of  $U_G(\lambda)$  is connected to the identity via a rational curve arising from the map  $\mathbf{A}_{\bar{k}}^1 \rightarrow U_G(\lambda)_{\bar{k}}$  extending  $t \mapsto t.u$ . The  $k$ -split property of  $U_G(\lambda)$  for smooth  $G$  lies much deeper because we cannot deduce the general case from the easy case of  $\mathrm{GL}_n$  via an inclusion of  $G$  into some  $\mathrm{GL}_n$ ; the problem is that  $k$ -split unipotent smooth connected  $k$ -groups often contain *non-split* smooth connected  $k$ -subgroups when  $k$  is imperfect! (For example, if  $p = \mathrm{char}(k) > 0$  and  $c \in k - k^p$  then the smooth connected 1-dimensional  $k$ -subgroup  $y^p = x - cx^p$  of the  $k$ -split  $\mathbf{G}_a^2$  is not  $k$ -split [CGP, B.2.3].) To overcome this difficulty, one has to use substantial input from Tits' unpublished work [Ti2] on the general structure of smooth connected unipotent groups over imperfect fields; see [CGP, App. B] for a modern account of that structure theory.  $\square$

In the general theory of pseudo-reductive groups  $G$  over a field  $k$ , the role of parabolic  $k$ -subgroups for the reductive case is replaced with the dynamically-defined subgroups  $P_G(\lambda)$  for 1-parameter  $k$ -subgroups  $\lambda$ . To see why this is done, consider a nontrivial purely inseparable finite extension of fields  $k'/k$  and a connected reductive  $k'$ -group  $G'$  with a proper parabolic  $k'$ -subgroup  $P'$ . The quotient

$$\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(G')/\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(P') \simeq \mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(G'/P')$$

is *never* proper [CGP, Ex. A.5.6]. On the other hand, the parabolic  $k'$ -subgroups of  $G'$  are precisely the  $k'$ -subgroups of the form  $P_{G'}(\lambda')$  for 1-parameter  $k'$ -subgroups  $\lambda' : \mathrm{GL}_1 \rightarrow G'$  [CGP, Prop. 2.2.9], so choosing  $\lambda'$  such that  $P_{G'}(\lambda') = P'$  yields the description

$$\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(P') = \mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(P_{G'}(\lambda')) = P_{\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(G')}(\lambda)$$

where  $\lambda : \mathrm{GL}_1 \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(G')$  corresponds to  $\lambda'$  via the mapping property of  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}$  [CGP, Prop. 2.1.13].

The  $k$ -subgroups  $Q \subset G$  of the form  $P_G(\lambda)$  generally do not admit a characterization in terms of properties of  $G/Q$  beyond the reductive case (see Remark 4.2.5). To make a definition for this class of  $k$ -subgroups applicable beyond the pseudo-reductive case (as is sometimes convenient in proofs) we incorporate the  $k$ -unipotent radical:

**DEFINITION 2.3.6.** If  $G$  is a smooth connected affine group over a field  $k$  then a  $k$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  is *pseudo-parabolic* if  $P = P_G(\lambda)\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  for a 1-parameter  $k$ -subgroup  $\lambda : \mathrm{GL}_1 \rightarrow G$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.3.7.** If  $k'/k$  is a finite extension of fields and  $G'$  is a connected reductive  $k'$ -group then the pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups of  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(G')$  are *precisely* the  $k$ -subgroups  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(P')$  for parabolic  $k'$ -subgroups  $P'$  of  $G'$ ; see [CGP, Prop. 2.2.13] for a generalization.

If  $G$  is a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group and  $P$  is a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  then  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  is  $k$ -split since writing  $P = P_G(\lambda)$  implies  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P) = U_G(\lambda)$  (because the torus centralizer  $Z_G(\lambda)$  is pseudo-reductive). In the reductive case this recovers the well-known fact that  $k$ -unipotent radicals of parabolic  $k$ -subgroups are  $k$ -split.

Pseudo-parabolicity behaves well under passage to quotients in the pseudo-reductive case. More generally, we have the extremely useful:

**PROPOSITION 2.3.8.** *If  $f : G \twoheadrightarrow \bar{G}$  is an arbitrary surjective homomorphism between smooth connected affine  $k$ -groups and  $\lambda : \mathrm{GL}_1 \rightarrow G$  is a 1-parameter  $k$ -subgroup then for  $\bar{\lambda} = f \circ \lambda$  the inclusions*

$$(2.3.8) \quad f(P_G(\lambda)) \subset P_{\bar{G}}(\bar{\lambda}), \quad f(U_G(\lambda)) \subset U_{\bar{G}}(\bar{\lambda}), \quad f(Z_G(\lambda)) \subset Z_{\bar{G}}(\bar{\lambda}),$$

*are equalities.*

See [CGP, Cor. 2.1.9] for a more general result without smoothness hypotheses but assuming  $f$  to be flat.

**PROOF.** The inclusions have closed image, so  $f(\Omega_G(\lambda))$  is a closed subset of the dense open  $\Omega_{\bar{G}}(\bar{\lambda})$ . But  $f(\Omega_G(\lambda))$  is dense in  $\bar{G}$  since  $f$  is dominant, so it follows that  $f(\Omega_G(\lambda)) = \Omega_{\bar{G}}(\bar{\lambda})$ . Hence, all three inclusions above are equalities.  $\square$

Applying the preceding to the maximal pseudo-reductive quotient  $q : G \twoheadrightarrow G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  of a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$ , if  $P$  is a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  then  $q(P)$  is a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of  $G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$ . Moreover,  $P \mapsto q(P)$  is a bijection between the sets of pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  and  $G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  with inverse  $\bar{P} \mapsto q^{-1}(\bar{P})$  [CGP, Prop. 2.2.10].

We will now prove the following useful result which shows that there is considerable flexibility in the choice of  $\lambda : \mathrm{GL}_1 \rightarrow G$  in the description of pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P$  in Definition 2.3.6.

**LEMMA 2.3.9.** *Let  $G$  be a smooth connected affine group over a field  $k$  and  $P = P_G(\lambda)\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $T$  be a maximal  $k$ -torus of  $P$ . There exists  $g \in \mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)(k)$  such that the  $k$ -homomorphism  $\mu : \mathrm{GL}_1 \rightarrow G$  given by  $t \mapsto g\lambda(t)g^{-1}$  is valued in  $T$  and  $P = P_G(\mu)\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\pi : P \rightarrow \bar{P} := P/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  be the quotient map. The  $k$ -group  $U := \mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  contains  $U_G(\lambda)\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$ , so  $\bar{P}$  is a quotient of  $Z_G(\lambda)$ . Hence, the image of  $\lambda$  in  $\bar{P}$  is a central torus, so it lies in the maximal  $k$ -torus  $\bar{T} := \pi(T)$

of  $\bar{P}$ . We conclude that  $\lambda(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  is contained in the smooth connected solvable  $k$ -subgroup  $H := \pi^{-1}(\bar{T}) = T \times U$ . All maximal  $k$ -tori of  $H$  are  $U(k)$ -conjugate to each other [Bo2, 19.2], so there exists  $g \in U(k)$  such that the 1-parameter  $k$ -subgroup  $\mu : t \mapsto g\lambda(t)g^{-1}$  is valued in  $T$ . Since  $P_G(\mu) = gP_G(\lambda)g^{-1}$ , we see that  $P_G(\mu)\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G) = P$ .  $\square$

REMARK 2.3.10. The flexibility in the choice of  $\lambda$  for describing a given  $P$  leads to some subtleties:

- (i) It is not obvious if pseudo-parabolicity descends through arbitrary separable extension of the ground field (even in the Galois case). This is a contrast with parabolicity, whose geometric definition clearly descends through any extension of the ground field. It is true that for separable field extensions  $K/k$  (especially  $k_s/k$ ), a  $k$ -subgroup  $P$  of a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  is pseudo-parabolic when  $P_K$  is pseudo-parabolic in  $G_K$  (the converse is obvious), and this is essential for the utility of pseudo-parabolicity. The proof of this descent result requires substantial input from the theory of root groups in pseudo-reductive groups; see Proposition 4.3.4.
- (ii) Since there is no “geometric” characterization of pseudo-parabolicity in the spirit of parabolicity (see Remark 4.2.5 for a precise statement), it is not at all evident if pseudo-parabolicity is transitive with respect to subgroup inclusions: for a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P$  of a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$  and a smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $P$ , is  $Q$  pseudo-parabolic in  $G$  if and only if  $Q$  is pseudo-parabolic in  $P$ ? Neither implication is obvious. For instance, if  $Q$  is pseudo-parabolic in  $G$  then it isn’t clear if  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P) \subset Q$ , and if  $Q = P_P(\lambda)\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  for a 1-parameter  $k$ -subgroup  $\lambda : \mathrm{GL}_1 \rightarrow P$  then generally  $Q \neq P_G(\lambda)\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  (as one sees even in the split reductive case by considering the positions of closed half-spaces relative to roots). This problem is settled affirmatively by using root systems for pseudo-reductive groups; see Corollary 4.3.5.
- (iii) If  $P$  is a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  and  $Q$  is a smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  containing  $P$  then is  $Q$  pseudo-parabolic in  $G$ ? This is not easy, in contrast with the analogue for parabolicity, and the affirmative proof involves many arguments with root systems; see Proposition 4.3.7.

In the next section we use dynamic methods to develop a theory of root systems and root groups in the pseudo-reductive setting.

### 3. Roots, root groups, and root systems

**3.1. Root groups.** For a split connected reductive group  $H$  over a field  $F$ , a split maximal  $F$ -torus  $S \subset H$ , and  $a \in \Phi(H, S)$ , the root group  $U_a$  admits a dynamic description as follows. Consider the codimension-1 subtorus  $S_a := (\ker a)_{\mathrm{red}}^0$  killed by  $a$ . The centralizer  $Z_H(S_a)$  is a connected reductive  $F$ -group containing  $S$  whose set of  $S$ -roots is  $\Phi(H, S) \cap \mathbf{Q} \cdot a = \{\pm a\}$  (as the root system  $\Phi(H, S)$  is reduced). The natural map  $S_a \times a(\mathrm{GL}_1) \rightarrow S$  is an isogeny and  $Z_H(S_a)$  is an isogenous quotient of  $S_a \times H_a$ , where  $H_a := \langle U_a, U_{-a} \rangle$  is  $F$ -isomorphic to  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  or  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$  with  $a(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  going over to the diagonal  $F$ -torus.

Since  $U_{\pm a} \simeq \mathbf{G}_a$  and the  $S$ -action on  $U_{\pm a}$  is thereby identified with multiplication on  $\mathbf{G}_a$  through  $\pm a$ , inspection of the open cell  $U_{-a} \times S \times U_a \subset Z_H(S_a)$  shows



that  $P_{Z_H(S_a)}(\pm a^\vee) = S \cdot U_{\pm a}$ . Thus,  $U_{\pm a} = U_{Z_H(S_a)}(\pm a^\vee)$ . It is useful to modify this to remove the appearance of the coroot, as follows.

For a cocharacter  $\lambda : \mathrm{GL}_1 \rightarrow S$  such that  $\lambda(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  is an isogeny-complement to  $S_a$  inside  $S$  (equivalently,  $\langle a, \lambda \rangle \neq 0$ ), by replacing  $\lambda$  with  $-\lambda$  if necessary to arrange that  $\langle a, \lambda \rangle > 0$  we may use the same reasoning to obtain

$$U_a = U_{Z_H(S_a)}(\lambda).$$

This dynamic description of root groups in the reductive case motivates:

**DEFINITION 3.1.1.** A smooth connected affine group  $G$  over a field  $k$  is *pseudo-split* if there exists a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T \subset G$ . We denote by  $\Phi(G, T)$  the set of nontrivial  $T$ -weights occurring on  $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ , and for  $a \in X(T)_{\mathbf{Q}} - \{0\}$  the codimension-1 subtorus of  $T$  killed by every  $na \in X(T)$  with integers  $n \neq 0$  is denoted  $T_a$ . Define  $U_{(a)} = U_{(a)}^G := U_{Z_G(T_a)}(\lambda_a)$  for any  $\lambda_a \in X_*(T)$  satisfying  $\langle a, \lambda_a \rangle > 0$ .

**REMARK 3.1.2.** The  $k$ -split unipotent smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup  $U_{(a)} \subset G$  is independent of  $\lambda_a$  due to (2.3.3) because the isogeny  $T_a \times \mathrm{GL}_1 \rightarrow T$  via  $(t, x) \mapsto t \cdot \lambda_a(x)$  implies that for any other choice  $\lambda'_a$  there exist integers  $m, m' > 0$  such that  $m'\lambda'_a = m\lambda_a + \mu$  for some  $\mu \in X_*(T)$  valued in the *central* torus  $T_a$  of  $Z_G(T_a)$ .

**EXAMPLE 3.1.3.** Let  $k'/k$  be a finite purely inseparable extension of fields and  $G'$  a connected reductive  $k'$ -group with a split maximal  $k'$ -torus  $T'$ . The pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G := R_{k'/k}(G')$  is pseudo-split because the split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$  in  $R_{k'/k}(T')$  is a maximal  $k$ -torus in  $G$  (as the natural map  $G_{k'} \rightarrow G'$  is surjective with smooth connected unipotent kernel [CGP, Prop. A.5.11(1),(2)]).

We have  $Z_G(T) = R_{k'/k}(Z_{G'}(T')) = R_{k'/k}(T')$  [CGP, Prop. A.5.15(1)], and under the natural identification  $X(T) \simeq X(T')$  the set  $\Phi(G, T)$  is carried onto  $\Phi(G', T')$  [CGP, Ex. 2.3.2]. If  $a' \in \Phi(G', T')$  corresponds to  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$  then inspection of Lie algebras shows that the evident inclusion  $R_{k'/k}(U_{a'}) \subset U_{(a)}^G$  of smooth connected  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  is an equality. (Here we use the natural identification of the functor  $\mathrm{Lie} \circ R_{k'/k}$  with “underlying Lie algebra over  $k$ ” [CGP, Cor. A.7.6].)

For any  $k$ -torus  $S \subset G$  the functorial definition of  $Z_G(S)$  implies via consideration of points valued in the dual numbers that  $\mathrm{Lie}(Z_G(S)) = \mathrm{Lie}(G)^S$ . Thus, for nonzero  $a \in X(T)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ ,  $\mathrm{Lie}(Z_G(T_a))$  is the span of  $\mathrm{Lie}(Z_G(T)) = \mathrm{Lie}(G)^T$  and the  $T$ -weight spaces for all  $b \in \Phi(G, T)$  that are trivial on  $T_a$  (equivalently  $b \in \mathbf{Q} \cdot a$ ). Hence, by Theorem 2.3.5(ii) and  $T$ -weight space considerations we obtain all but the final assertion in:

**PROPOSITION 3.1.4.** *The Lie algebra  $\mathrm{Lie}(U_{(a)})$  is the span of the  $T$ -weight spaces for all  $b \in \Phi(G, T) \cap \mathbf{Q}_{>0} \cdot a$ ; in particular,  $U_{(a)} \neq 1$  if and only if  $\mathbf{Q}_{>0} \cdot a$  meets  $\Phi(G, T)$ . The  $k$ -subgroups  $Z_G(T)$  and  $\{U_{(a)}\}_{a \in \Phi(G, T)}$  generate  $G$ . If  $G$  is perfect then the  $U_{(a)}$ 's, for  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$ , generate  $G$ .*

**PROOF.** We just need to explain why the  $k$ -subgroup  $N$  generated by the  $U_{(a)}$ 's coincides with  $G$  when  $G$  is perfect. Since each  $Z_G(T)$  normalizes  $U_{(a)}$  (as we may verify using  $k_s$ -points), so  $Z_G(T)$  normalizes  $N$ , and  $G$  is generated by  $N$  and  $Z_G(T)$ , it follows that  $N$  is normal in  $G$ . The quotient  $G/N$  has trivial  $T$ -action on its Lie algebra since  $\mathrm{Lie}(G/N) = \mathrm{Lie}(G)/\mathrm{Lie}(N)$ , so for the maximal torus image  $\overline{T}$  of  $T$  in  $G/N$  we see that the inclusion  $Z_{G/N}(\overline{T}) \subset G/N$  between *smooth* connected affine  $k$ -groups is an equality on Lie algebras and hence is an equality.

In other words,  $G/N$  is a perfect smooth connected affine  $k$ -group with a central maximal  $k$ -torus. But then the quotient by that central maximal torus is unipotent (as any smooth connected affine  $k$ -group which does not contain a nontrivial  $k$ -torus is unipotent), so  $G/N$  is solvable. Perfectness of  $G/N$  then forces  $G/N = 1$ ; i.e.,  $N = G$ .  $\square$

Now we focus on pseudo-split pseudo-reductive  $G$ , for which we shall see that the  $k$ -subgroups  $U_{(a)}$  have some structural properties reminiscent of root groups in the reductive case. Example 3.1.3 illustrates that such  $U_{(a)}$  can be vector groups over  $k$  with very large dimension, in contrast with the split reductive case that always has 1-dimensional root groups, though such examples arise from 1-dimensional vector groups over a finite extension  $k'/k$ .

A consequence of the subsequent structure theory of pseudo-reductive groups will be that in the pseudo-split case such  $U_{(a)}$ 's always arise from 1-dimensional vector groups over finite extensions of  $k$  *except* when  $k$  is imperfect with  $\text{char}(k) = 2$  (for which counterexamples are given by constructions in §7.3, §7.2, §8.2–§8.3, and §10.1). The first step towards establishing good properties of  $U_{(a)}$ 's in the pseudo-reductive case is to relate  $\Phi(G, T)$  to  $\Phi(G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}, T_{\bar{k}})$  up to rational multipliers:

**LEMMA 3.1.5.** *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group with a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$ . Each  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$  admits a unique  $\mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ -multiple in  $\Psi := \Phi(G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}, T_{\bar{k}})$  and every element of  $\Psi$  arises in this way from some such  $a$ . Moreover, for all  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$  the  $k$ -group  $U_{(a)}$  is commutative and if  $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$  then  $U_{(a)}$  is  $p$ -torsion.*

**PROOF.** By Proposition 2.3.8 and the compatibility of torus centralizers with quotient maps between smooth affine groups, for any nonzero  $a \in X(T)_{\mathbf{Q}} = X(T_{\bar{k}})_{\mathbf{Q}}$  the quotient map  $\pi : G_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}} =: H$  carries  $(U_{(a)}^G)_{\bar{k}}$  onto  $U_{(a)}^H$  upon identifying  $T_{\bar{k}}$  with a maximal torus in  $H$ . It is a general fact that a smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup  $U$  of  $G$  satisfying  $U_{\bar{k}} \subset \mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}})$  must be trivial [CGP, Lemma 1.2.1], so  $U_{(a)}^G \neq 1$  if and only if  $U_{(a)}^H \neq 1$ . This implies that each  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$  admits a  $\mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ -multiple in  $\Psi := \Phi(G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}, T_{\bar{k}})$ , with the multiplier being unique since  $\Psi$  is reduced. Similarly, Proposition 3.1.4 implies that any element of  $\Psi$  admits a  $\mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ -multiple in  $\Phi(G, T)$ .

For any  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$  we have  $U_{(a)}^H = \mathbf{G}_a$ , so  $\pi(\mathcal{D}(U_{(a)}^G)_{\bar{k}}) = 1$ , forcing  $\mathcal{D}(U_{(a)}^G) = 1$ ; i.e.,  $U_{(a)}^G$  is commutative. By the same reasoning, if  $p = \text{char}(k) > 0$  then  $U_{(a)}^G$  is killed by  $p$  since  $U_{(a)}^H$  is killed by  $p$ .  $\square$

A  $p$ -torsion commutative smooth connected affine group over a field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$  need *not* be a vector group (i.e., a direct product of copies of  $\mathbf{G}_a$ ) when  $k$  is imperfect; e.g., if  $c \in k - k^p$  then the 1-dimensional  $y^p = x - cx^p$  is not a vector group [CGP, B.2.3]. But the  $k$ -groups  $U_{(a)}^G$  considered in Lemma 3.1.5 will turn out to always be vector groups because they satisfy an additional property: they are normalized by  $T$ , and the resulting  $T$ -action on their Lie algebra has only nontrivial  $T$ -weights (in fact, only  $\mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ -multiples of  $a$ ).

Tits proved the remarkable fact [CGP, Thm. B.4.3] that every  $p$ -torsion smooth connected commutative unipotent group  $U$  in characteristic  $p > 0$  equipped with an action by a torus  $T$  such that  $\text{Lie}(U)^T = 0$  is necessarily a vector group and even admits a *linear structure* (i.e.,  $\mathbf{G}_a$ -module scheme structure) that is  $T$ -equivariant. Combining this with the unique (algebraic exponential) isomorphism  $U \simeq \text{Lie}(U)$

inducing the identity on Lie algebras for commutative unipotent groups  $U$  in characteristic 0 yields the first part of:

**PROPOSITION 3.1.6.** *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group admitting a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$ .*

- (i) *For each  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$ ,  $U_{(a)}$  is a vector group admitting a  $T$ -equivariant linear structure.*
- (ii) *If  $a, b \in \Phi(G, T)$  and  $ra + sb \notin \Phi(G, T)$  for all  $r, s \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$  then  $U_{(a)}$  commutes with  $U_{(b)}$ .*

**PROOF.** Let  $\pi : G_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}} =: H$  be the canonical quotient map. Lemma 3.1.5 provides  $q, q' \in \mathbf{Q}_{>0}$  such that  $qa, q'b \in \Phi(H, T_{\bar{k}})$ , and the images  $\pi((U_{(a)}^G)_{\bar{k}})$  and  $\pi((U_{(b)}^G)_{\bar{k}})$  respectively coincide with the root groups  $U_{qa}^H$  and  $U_{q'b}^H$  in  $H$  for the respective roots  $qa$  and  $q'b$ .

Necessarily  $q'b \neq -qa$ , as otherwise  $2a + (q'/q)b = a \in \Phi(G, T)$ , contradicting the hypotheses. Since  $qa + q'b$  is not a root of  $H$  (again, due to the hypotheses), it follows that the root groups  $U_{qa}^H$  and  $U_{q'b}^H$  in the reductive group  $H$  commute. Hence, the commutator  $(U_{(a)}, U_{(b)})$  is killed by  $\pi$  over  $\bar{k}$ , so this commutator is trivial since  $G$  is pseudo-reductive [**CGP**, Lemma 1.2.1].  $\square$

For any pseudo-split pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  and split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$ , Lemma 3.1.5 gives that the two subsets  $\Phi(G, T)$  and  $\Phi(G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}, T_{\bar{k}})$  of  $X(T) = X(T_{\bar{k}})$  coincide up to  $\mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ -multipliers on their elements. It is important that these rational multipliers can be very tightly controlled:

**THEOREM 3.1.7.** *The sets  $\Phi(G, T)$  and  $\Phi(G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}, T_{\bar{k}})$  coincide except possibly when  $k$  is imperfect of characteristic 2 and  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  contains a connected semisimple normal subgroup that is simply connected of type  $C_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ). In general  $\Phi(G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}, T_{\bar{k}}) \subset \Phi(G, T)$ , and if  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$  is not a  $T_{\bar{k}}$ -root for  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  then  $2a$  is such a root.*

This result is proved in [**CGP**, Thm. 2.3.10], and the basic idea goes as follows. From the explicit description of irreducible root systems, we see that the only irreducible and reduced semisimple root datum admitting a root that is twice a weight is simply connected type C. Thus, we can replace  $G$  with  $\mathcal{D}(Z_G(T_a))$  for suitable nonzero  $a \in X(T)_{\mathbf{Q}}$  to reduce to the case  $\dim T = 1$  (using [**CGP**, Lemma 1.2.5(iii)] to control  $\dim(T \cap \mathcal{D}(Z_G(T_a)))$ ). In the rank-1 case,  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  is isomorphic to  $\text{SL}_2$  or  $\text{PGL}_2$ . Nontrivial computations using Proposition 3.1.6(i) and the position of roots in the character lattices of  $\text{SL}_2$  and  $\text{PGL}_2$  eventually yield the result.

**REMARK 3.1.8.** The exceptional case in Theorem 3.1.7 with a root that is twice another root does occur over any imperfect field of characteristic 2, with  $\Phi(G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}, T_{\bar{k}})$  of type  $C_n$  for any desired  $n \geq 1$ . The construction of such  $G$  is a highly nontrivial matter (as we shall discuss in §8).

**DEFINITION 3.1.9.** Let  $G$  be a pseudo-split pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group, and  $T \subset G$  a split maximal  $k$ -torus. Elements of  $\Phi(G, T)$  are called *roots*, and  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$  is called *divisible* (resp. *multipliable*) if  $a/2 \in \Phi(G, T)$  (resp.  $2a \in \Phi(G, T)$ ).

The preceding terminology is reasonable because  $\Phi(G, T)$  is a root system in its  $\mathbf{Q}$ -span inside  $X(T)_{\mathbf{Q}}$  (though in contrast with the reductive case, it can be non-reduced when  $k$  is imperfect with characteristic 2); see Proposition 3.2.7. Note in particular that  $\Phi(G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}, T_{\bar{k}})$  is always the set of non-multipliable elements of  $\Phi(G, T)$ .

**COROLLARY 3.1.10.** *For  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$  there exists a unique smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup  $U_a \subset G$  normalized by  $T$  such that  $\text{Lie}(U_a)$  is the  $a$ -weight space in  $\text{Lie}(G)$  when  $a$  is not multipliable and is the span of the weight spaces for  $a$  and  $2a$  when  $a$  is multipliable. The  $k$ -group  $U_a$  is a vector group admitting a  $T$ -equivariant linear structure, and this linear structure is unique when  $a$  is not multipliable.*

We call  $U_a$  the *root group* associated to  $a$ .

**PROOF.** When  $a$  is not divisible then  $U_{(a)}$  does the job. If  $a$  is divisible then upon choosing a  $T$ -equivariant linear structure on  $U_{(a)}$ , the  $a$ -weight space for this linear structure does the job. If  $a$  is not divisible then the uniqueness of  $U_a$  is a consequence of the good behavior of the dynamic constructions with respect to intersections against equivariant subgroups, but the divisible case requires rather more effort (using centralizers of  $\mu_2$ -actions in characteristic 2); see [CGP, Prop. 2.3.11] for details.  $\square$

By uniqueness (or by construction), the formation of  $U_a$  commutes with any separable extension on  $k$ . Hence, by working over  $k_s$  and using uniqueness we see that  $U_a$  is normalized by  $Z_G(T)$ .

**REMARK 3.1.11.** The pseudo-semisimple derived group  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  of a pseudo-split pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  is pseudo-split and generated by the root groups  $U_a$  relative to a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$ . To prove this, choose a  $T$ -equivariant linear structure on  $U_a$ . The absence of the trivial  $T$ -weight on  $\text{Lie}(U_a)$  implies that  $(T, U_a) = U_a$ . Hence, each  $U_a$  lies inside  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ .

The maximal  $k$ -torus  $T' = T \cap \mathcal{D}(G)$  of  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  [CGP, Cor. A.2.7] is certainly split, and if  $Z$  is the maximal central  $k$ -torus in  $G$  then the natural map  $T' \times Z \rightarrow T$  is an isogeny [CGP, Lemma 1.2.5(iii)]. Thus, under the finite-index inclusion  $X(T) \hookrightarrow X(T') \oplus X(Z)$  we see that  $\Phi(G, T)$  is identified with  $\Phi(\mathcal{D}(G), T') \times \{0\}$ . In particular, the  $T$ -root groups of  $G$  are the *same* as the  $T'$ -root groups of  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  is perfect, we may conclude via the final assertion in Proposition 3.1.4 (applied to  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ ).

**3.2. Pseudo-simplicity and root systems.** The core of the theory of connected semisimple  $k$ -groups is the absolutely simple case, characterized by irreducibility of the root system over  $k_s$ . One source of the importance of irreducibility is that the Weyl group of an irreducible root system acts transitively on the set of roots with a given length. In the study of pseudo-reductive groups, the case of irreducible root systems over  $k_s$  will play a similarly important role.

Before discussing root systems in the pseudo-reductive context, it is convenient to develop the analogue of “absolutely simple” by group-theoretic means (to be informed by the properties of  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  from the existing structure theory of reductive groups). We begin with an elementary lemma (see [CGP, Def. 3.1.1, Lemma 3.1.2]):

**LEMMA 3.2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a smooth connected affine group over a field  $k$ . The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i)  $G$  is non-commutative and it does not contain a nontrivial smooth connected proper normal  $k$ -subgroup;
- (ii)  $G$  is pseudo-semisimple and the connected semisimple maximal reductive quotient  $G_{k_p}^{\text{red}}$  over the perfect closure  $k_p$  of  $k$  is  $k_p$ -simple.

Any  $G$  satisfying the equivalent conditions in Lemma 3.2.1 is called *pseudo-simple* (over  $k$ ); if  $G_{k_s}$  is pseudo-simple then we say that  $G$  is *absolutely pseudo-simple*. In other words, an absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group is a pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -group  $G$  such that the connected semisimple group  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  is simple.

Just as every nontrivial connected semisimple  $k$ -group is a central isogenous quotient of the direct product of its  $k$ -simple smooth connected normal  $k$ -subgroups (which pairwise commute), we have an analogue in the pseudo-semisimple case. This rests on lifting  $k_p$ -simple connected semisimple “factors” of  $G_{k_p}^{\text{red}}$  to pseudo-simple normal  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  (the latter built by means of Galois descent and root groups over  $k_s$ ):

**PROPOSITION 3.2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -group. For each pseudo-semisimple normal  $k$ -subgroup  $N \subset G$ , let  $\bar{N}$  denote the connected semisimple normal image of  $N_{k_p}$  in  $\bar{G} := G_{k_p}^{\text{red}}$ . Then  $N \mapsto \bar{N}$  is a bijection (inclusion-preserving in both directions) between the sets of perfect smooth connected normal  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  and smooth connected normal  $k_p$ -subgroups of  $\bar{G}$ . Moreover,  $N$  is pseudo-simple (over  $k$ ) if and only if  $\bar{N}$  is  $k_p$ -simple.*

**PROOF.** The asserted properties of  $N \mapsto \bar{N}$  permit us to reduce to the case  $k = k_s$  via Galois descent, so all  $k$ -tori are split and hence we may build root groups. Let  $T$  be a maximal  $k$ -torus in  $G$ , so  $\bar{T} := T_{\bar{k}}$  is a maximal torus in the connected semisimple  $\bar{k}$ -group  $\bar{G} = G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$ . We may assume  $G \neq 1$ , so  $\bar{G} \neq 1$ . Let  $\Phi = \Phi(\bar{G}, \bar{T})$ , and let  $\{\Phi_i\}_{i \in I}$  be its (non-empty) set of irreducible components.

The structure theory of connected reductive groups ensures that the minimal nontrivial smooth connected normal subgroups of  $\bar{G}$  pairwise commute and that the set of these subgroups is in natural bijective correspondence with  $I$ , where to  $i \in I$  we associate the  $k$ -subgroup  $\bar{N}_i$  generated by the root groups  $\bar{U}_a$  for  $a \in \Phi_i$ . Likewise, the set of connected semisimple normal subgroups of  $\bar{G}$  is in bijective correspondence with the set of subsets of  $I$ , by associating to any  $J \subset I$  the  $k$ -subgroup  $\bar{N}_J$  generated by  $\{\bar{N}_j\}_{j \in J}$ .

For each  $i \in I$  we define  $N_i$  to be the  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  generated by the groups  $U_{(a)}$  for  $a \in \Phi_i$ . Since every non-multipliable element of  $\Phi(G, T)$  lies in  $\Phi$ , it follows that the  $N_i$ 's generate the same  $k$ -subgroup that is generated by the  $U_{(a)}$ 's for all  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$ . The final assertion in Proposition 3.1.4 ensures the  $N_i$ 's generate  $G$ , and Proposition 3.1.6(ii) implies that  $N_{i'}$  commutes with  $N_i$  for all  $i' \neq i$ . Hence, each  $N_i$  is normal in  $G$ , so  $N_i$  is pseudo-reductive and  $(N_i)_{\bar{k}}$  has image  $\bar{N}_i$  in  $\bar{G}$ .

The intersection  $T_i := T \cap N_i$  is a maximal  $k$ -torus in  $N_i$  [**CGP**, Cor. A.2.7] and  $(T_i)_{\bar{k}}$  maps isomorphically onto the maximal torus  $\bar{T} \cap \bar{N}_i$  in  $\bar{N}_i$ . In view of the identification of  $\Phi_i$  with  $\Phi(\bar{N}_i, \bar{T}_i)$  (compatibly with the equality  $X(\bar{T})_{\mathbf{Q}} = \bigoplus_i X(\bar{T}_i)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ ), the restriction  $a|_{T_i}$  is nontrivial for all  $a \in \Phi_i$ . Hence, a choice of  $T$ -equivariant linear structure on  $U_{(a)}$  shows that  $(T_i, U_{(a)}) = U_{(a)}$  for all  $a \in \Phi_i$ , so  $U_{(a)} \subset \mathcal{D}(N_i)$  for all  $a \in \Phi_i$ . In view of how  $N_i$  was defined, it follows that  $N_i = \mathcal{D}(N_i)$  for all  $i$ .

For any  $J \subset I$ , the smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup  $N_J$  of  $G$  generated by  $\{N_j\}_{j \in J}$  is perfect and normal, hence pseudo-semisimple. The image of  $(N_J)_{\bar{k}}$

in  $\overline{G}$  is  $\overline{N}_J$ , so for each  $\overline{N}$  we have built an  $N$  giving rise to  $\overline{N}$ . The construction of  $N$  from  $\overline{N}$  rests on the choice of  $T$ , but  $N$  is independent of that choice since all such  $T$  are  $G(k)$ -conjugate to each other and the subgroups  $\overline{N} \subset \overline{G}$  and  $N \subset G$  are normal. By construction, it is clear that  $\overline{N} \mapsto N$  is inclusion-preserving.

It remains to show that if  $N'$  is a perfect smooth connected normal  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  such that  $N'_k$  is carried onto  $\overline{N}$  then  $N' = N$ . For the perfect smooth connected normal  $k$ -subgroup  $N'' := (N, N')$ , the natural map  $N''_k \rightarrow \overline{N}$  is surjective. The smooth connected affine quotients  $N/N''$  and  $N'/N''$  are therefore unipotent (as we may check over  $\overline{k}$ , using that  $\ker(G_{\overline{k}} \twoheadrightarrow \overline{G})$  is unipotent), yet each quotient is perfect, so these quotients are trivial. Hence,  $N' = N'' = N$  as desired.  $\square$

REMARK 3.2.3. The perfectness condition on  $N$  and  $\overline{N}$  in Proposition 3.2.2 can be considerably relaxed: a different method of proof (unrelated to root groups) yields a generalization to arbitrary smooth connected affine  $k$ -groups  $G$  (see [CGP, Prop. 3.1.6]), using smooth connected normal subgroups that are “generated by tori” (these constitute a larger class than smooth connected perfect subgroups). In that generality, perfectness of  $N$  is equivalent to the same for  $\overline{N}$ . The “generated by tori” hypothesis cannot be dropped since smooth connected normal  $k$ -subgroups of a pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -subgroup need not be perfect (see [CGP, Ex. 1.6.4] for counterexamples over every imperfect field).

Part (ii) of the following result is a pseudo-semisimple analogue of the isogeny decomposition of a connected semisimple  $k$ -group into  $k$ -simple “factors”.

PROPOSITION 3.2.4. *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group, and  $\{N_i\}_{i \in I}$  the set of minimal nontrivial perfect smooth connected normal  $k$ -subgroups.*

- (i) *The  $N_i$ 's are pseudo-simple over  $k$  and pairwise commute.*
- (ii) *The natural map  $\pi : \prod_{i \in I} N_i \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G)$  is surjective with central kernel that contains no nontrivial smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup.*
- (iii) *The set of perfect smooth connected normal  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  is in bijective correspondence with the set of subsets  $J$  of  $I$ , where to each  $J$  we associate the  $k$ -subgroup  $N_J$  generated by  $\{N_j\}_{j \in J}$ . Moreover,  $N_J \subset N_{J'}$  if and only if  $J \subset J'$ .*

See [CGP, Prop. 3.1.8] for a generalization to arbitrary smooth connected affine  $k$ -groups. Beware that in (ii),  $\ker \pi$  generally has *positive* dimension (unlike the reductive case); see [CGP, Ex. 3.1.9] for such examples over any imperfect field.

PROOF. The case of commutative  $G$  is trivial (using empty  $I$ ), so we may assume  $G$  is non-commutative; i.e., the pseudo-semisimple derived group  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  is nontrivial. By Proposition 2.1.2 in the case of perfect normal  $k$ -subgroups, normality is transitive among perfect smooth connected  $k$ -subgroups. (The more general assertion in Proposition 2.1.2 without perfectness hypotheses *cannot* be used here since the proof in that generality uses the present proposition to reduce to the settled case of perfect subgroups!) Thus, we may replace  $G$  with  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  to reduce to the case where  $G$  is pseudo-semisimple. The same transitivity implies that the  $N_i$ 's are pseudo-simple over  $k$ .

If the result is settled over  $k_s$  in general then by Galois descent and the transitivity of normality in the perfect case it would follow that the pseudo-simple normal  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  correspond to the  $\text{Gal}(k_s/k)$ -orbits of pseudo-simple normal  $k_s$ -subgroups of  $G_{k_s}$ . Thus, by Galois descent we may and do now assume  $k = k_s$ .

The construction of all  $N_i$ 's (in the proof of Proposition 3.2.2) from the smooth connected normal subgroups of  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  yields everything we wish except for the assertions concerning  $\ker \pi$  in (ii).

For any  $(n_i) \in \ker \pi$  valued in a  $k$ -algebra  $A$ , we have that  $n_i \in N_i(A) \cap N_i'(A)$  where  $N_i'$  is the  $k$ -subgroup generated by  $\{N_{i'}\}_{i' \neq i}$ . Clearly  $N_i$  commutes with  $N_i'$  and these two  $k$ -subgroups generate  $G$ , so  $N_i \cap N_i'$  is contained in the scheme-theoretic center  $Z_G$  of  $G$ . In other words,  $n_i \in Z_G(A)$  for all  $i$ , so  $\ker \pi$  is central.

To show that the only smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup  $H \subset \ker \pi$  is the trivial subgroup, observe that by centrality of  $\ker \pi$  any such  $H$  has central image in each  $N_i$ . But  $N_i$  is pseudo-simple over  $k$ , so  $H$  has trivial image in  $N_i$ . The inclusion  $H \hookrightarrow \prod N_i$  therefore has trivial image, so  $H = 1$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.2.5.** *If  $G$  is pseudo-semisimple and  $Z_G = 1$  then  $G \simeq \text{R}_{k'/k}(G')$  for a finite étale  $k$ -algebra  $k'$  and smooth affine  $k'$ -group  $G'$  whose fiber over each factor field of  $k'$  is absolutely pseudo-simple with trivial center. The pair  $(k'/k, G')$  is unique up to unique isomorphism: for another  $(k''/k, G'')$ , any  $k$ -isomorphism  $\text{R}_{k'/k}(G') \simeq \text{R}_{k''/k}(G'')$  arises from a unique pair  $(\alpha, \varphi)$  where  $\alpha : k' \simeq k''$  is a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism and  $\varphi : G' \simeq G''$  is a group isomorphism over  $\alpha$ .*

**PROOF.** This is a straightforward exercise in Galois descent (using Proposition 3.2.4(iii) over  $k_s$ ); see [CP, Lemma 6.3.13].  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.2.6.** *Pseudo-split pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups are absolutely pseudo-simple.*

**PROOF.** Let  $T$  be a split maximal  $k$ -torus in a pseudo-split pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $G$ , so  $T_{k_s}$  is a maximal  $k_s$ -torus in  $G_{k_s}$ . Let  $\{N_i\}$  be as in Proposition 3.2.4(i) applied to  $G_{k_s}$ . By construction in the proof of Proposition 3.2.2, the pseudo-simple normal  $k_s$ -subgroups  $N_i$  of  $G_{k_s}$  correspond to the irreducible components  $\Phi_i$  of  $\Phi(G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}, T_{\bar{k}}) \subset \text{X}(T_{\bar{k}}) = \text{X}(T_{k_s})$ ; explicitly,  $N_i$  is generated by the  $k_s$ -groups  $U_{(a)}^{G_{k_s}}$  for  $a \in \Phi_i$ . But  $T$  is  $k$ -split, so  $\text{X}(T_{k_s}) = \text{X}(T)$  and hence the  $k$ -groups  $U_{(a)}^G$  make sense inside  $G$  for all  $a \in \Phi_i$ . It follows that every  $N_i$  descends to a nontrivial perfect smooth connected normal  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$ , but  $G$  is pseudo-simple over  $k$ , so there is only one  $N_i$ . This says that  $G_{k_s}$  is pseudo-simple, or in other words that  $G$  is absolutely pseudo-simple.  $\square$

We are finally in a position to construct coroots and thereby define the *root datum* associated to a pseudo-split pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$ . Let  $T$  be a split maximal  $k$ -torus of  $G$ , so  $\Phi(G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}, T_{\bar{k}})$  is the set of non-multipliable elements of  $\Phi(G, T)$ . Recall from Remark 3.1.11 that for the split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T' := T \cap \mathcal{D}(G) \subset \mathcal{D}(G)$  [CGP, Cor. A.2.7] that is an isogeny complement in  $T$  to the maximal central  $k$ -torus  $Z$ , we have  $\Phi(G, T) = \Phi(\mathcal{D}(G), T')$  via the natural identification of  $\text{X}(T')_{\mathbf{Q}}$  as a direct summand of  $\text{X}(T)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ .

For each non-multipliable  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$ , define  $a^\vee \in \text{X}_*(T) = \text{X}_*(T_{\bar{k}})$  to correspond to the coroot for  $a_{\bar{k}} \in \Phi(G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}, T_{\bar{k}})$ . If  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$  is multipliable then we define  $a^\vee = 2(2a)^\vee \in \text{X}_*(T)$ . The set of cocharacters  $a^\vee$  for  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$  is denoted  $\Phi(G, T)^\vee$ , and its elements are called *coroots* for  $(G, T)$ . It is clear from the reductive case over  $\bar{k}$  that  $a \mapsto a^\vee$  is a bijection from  $\Phi(G, T)$  onto  $\Phi(G, T)^\vee$ .

**PROPOSITION 3.2.7.** *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-split pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group, and  $T \subset G$  a split maximal  $k$ -torus.*

- (i) The 4-tuple  $R(G, T) := (X(T), \Phi(G, T), X_*(T), \Phi(G, T)^\vee)$  is a root datum.
- (ii) The finite étale  $k$ -group  $W(G, T) := N_G(T)/Z_G(T)$  is constant and the natural map  $W(G, T)(k) \rightarrow \text{Aut}(X(T))$  is injective onto  $W(\Phi(G, T))$ .
- (iii) Let  $Z$  be the maximal central  $k$ -torus in  $G$  and  $\{G_i\}$  the set of pseudo-simple normal  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$ . For the associated  $k$ -split maximal  $k$ -tori  $T_i = G_i \cap T \subset G_i$ , the multiplication map  $Z \times \prod T_i \rightarrow T$  is an isogeny identifying  $\{\Phi(G_i, T_i)\}$  with the set of irreducible components of  $\Phi(G, T)$ .

In particular, for the split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T' := T \cap \mathcal{D}(G) \subset \mathcal{D}(G)$  that is an isogeny complement to  $Z$  in  $T$ ,  $\Phi(G, T)$  is a root system with  $\mathbf{Q}$ -span  $X(T')_{\mathbf{Q}}$  and if  $G$  is pseudo-semisimple then it is (absolutely) pseudo-simple if and only if  $\Phi(G, T)$  is irreducible.

A finer analysis shows that the inclusion  $N_G(T)(k)/Z_G(T)(k) \hookrightarrow W(G, T)(k) = W(\Phi(G, T))$  is bijective; we will address this in Proposition 4.1.3.

PROOF. Apart from the final assertion relating (absolute) pseudo-simplicity and irreducibility of a root system in the pseudo-semisimple case, the rest is largely an exercise in bootstrapping from the reductive case by using Propositions 3.2.2 and 3.2.4; see [CGP, Lemma 1.2.5(ii), 3.2.5–3.2.10] for the details.

Now assume  $G$  is pseudo-semisimple, so by the classical link between simple isogeny factors of a split connected semisimple group and the irreducible components of its root system it follows that the connected semisimple  $\bar{k}$ -group  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  is simple if and only if the root system  $\Phi(G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}, T_{\bar{k}})$  is irreducible. But  $\Phi(G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}, T_{\bar{k}})$  is the set of non-multipliable elements of  $\Phi(G, T)$ , and a root system is irreducible if and only if the root system of its non-multipliable elements is irreducible. Thus, it remains to observe that  $G$  is absolutely pseudo-simple if and only if the connected semisimple  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  is simple, due to Proposition 3.2.2.  $\square$

REMARK 3.2.8. For any  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$ , the 1-dimensional torus  $a^\vee(\text{GL}_1)$  is maximal in an absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  attached to  $a$  similarly to the reductive case, as follows. Defining  $G_a := \langle U_a, U_{-a} \rangle$ , the equality  $a^\vee(\text{GL}_1) = T \cap G_a$  is an easy consequence of the well-known analogue for  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$ ; see [CGP, Prop. 3.2.3]. The absolute pseudo-simplicity of  $G_a$  shall now be deduced from a description of  $G_a$  in terms of derived groups and centralizers of  $k$ -subgroup schemes of tori.

If  $a$  is not divisible then, as in the reductive case, we have  $G_a = \mathcal{D}(Z_G(T_a))$  for the codimension-1 subtorus  $T_a = (\ker a)_{\text{red}}^0 \subset T$  killed by  $a$ ; this is easy to prove since (i) the pseudo-split pseudo-reductive  $Z_G(T_a)$  has as its roots only  $\{\pm a\}$  or  $\{\pm a, \pm 2a\}$  due to the non-divisibility of  $a$ , and (ii) the pseudo-split pseudo-semisimple group  $\mathcal{D}(Z_G(T_a))$  is generated by its root groups (Proposition 3.1.4). If instead  $a$  is divisible (so  $k$  is imperfect with characteristic 2) then similar arguments show that  $G_a = \mathcal{D}(H_a)$  for  $H_a = Z_{Z_G(T_a)}(\mu)^0$  with  $\mu$  denoting the infinitesimal  $k$ -group scheme  $(T \cap G_a)[2] \simeq \mu_2$ , but the pseudo-reductivity of  $H_a$  lies much deeper than that of  $Z_G(T_a)$ ; see [CGP, Prop. 3.4.1] for further details. The description of  $G_a$  in each case implies that  $T \cap G_a$  is a maximal  $k$ -torus in  $G_a$  (because the intersection of a maximal  $k$ -torus with a smooth connected normal  $k$ -subgroup  $N$  is a maximal  $k$ -torus in  $N$  [CGP, Cor. A.2.7]).

**3.3. Open cell.** For a split connected reductive  $k$ -group  $(G, T)$  and the Borel  $k$ -subgroup  $B$  containing  $T$  for which  $\Phi(B, T)$  coincides with a given positive system of roots  $\Phi^+$  in  $\Phi(G, T)$ , the  $k$ -unipotent radical  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(B)$  is directly spanned in any



order by the positive root groups  $\{U_a\}_{a \in \Phi^+}$ ; i.e., if  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  is *any* enumeration of  $\Phi^+$  then the multiplication map  $\prod U_{a_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{R}_{u,k}(B)$  is an isomorphism of  $k$ -schemes. The analogous result for  $k$ -unipotent radicals of parabolic  $k$ -subgroups containing  $B$  is classical. Traditional proofs of these results rest crucially on the 1-dimensionality of the root groups and on an inductive procedure to build up  $\Phi^+$  from well-chosen subsets.

In the pseudo-reductive case such a “direct spanning” result holds for the  $k$ -unipotent radicals of pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups, but the proof is necessarily completely different from the traditional arguments in the reductive case since the root groups are generally not 1-dimensional. Rather generally, one considers a split  $k$ -torus  $S$  acting on any smooth affine  $k$ -group  $G$  and seeks to describe certain  $S$ -stable smooth connected  $k$ -subgroups  $H \subset G$  in terms of the subsemigroup of  $X(S)$  generated by the  $S$ -weights that occur in  $\text{Lie}(H)$ . With the aid of dynamic methods (especially the  $k$ -groups  $U_{H'}(\lambda)$  for  $S$ -stable smooth connected  $k$ -subgroups  $H' \subset G$  and  $\lambda \in X_*(S)$ ), a study of the  $S$ -action on coordinate rings of geometrically integral closed subschemes of  $G$  passing through 1 yields a crucial result [CGP, Prop. 3.3.6]:

**PROPOSITION 3.3.1.** *If  $A \subset X(S)$  is a subsemigroup then among all  $S$ -stable smooth connected  $k$ -subgroups  $H \subset G$  such that all  $S$ -weights on  $\text{Lie}(H)$  lie in  $A$ , there is one such  $k$ -subgroup  $H_A(G)$  that contains all others. If  $0 \notin A$  then  $H_A(G)$  is unipotent.*

**EXAMPLE 3.3.2.** If  $G$  is pseudo-reductive with a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$  and  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$  then for the semigroup  $A = \langle a \rangle$  of multiples  $na$  with positive integers  $n$ , the  $k$ -subgroup  $H_A(G)$  is the  $a$ -root group  $U_a$ . This special case is easily proved since  $\text{Lie}(U_a)$  is the span of all  $T$ -weight spaces in  $\text{Lie}(G)$  for weights in  $A$ .

By investigating the functorial behavior of  $H_A(G)$  upon varying  $A$  and  $G$  (e.g., for an  $S$ -stable smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup  $G' \subset G$ , when does  $G' \cap H_A(G) = H_A(G')$ ?), as is carried out in [CGP, 3.3.8–3.3.10], one obtains a vast generalization [CGP, Thm. 3.3.11] of the direct spanning of  $\mathcal{R}_u(B)$  by positive root groups in the connected reductive case:

**THEOREM 3.3.3.** *Let  $S$  be a split  $k$ -torus and  $U$  a nontrivial smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -group equipped with an  $S$ -action such that the set  $\Psi$  of  $S$ -weights occurring on  $\text{Lie}(U)$  does not contain 0. For any decomposition  $\Psi = \coprod_{j=1}^n \Psi_j$  into disjoint non-empty subsets such that the semigroup  $A_j = \langle \Psi_j \rangle$  is disjoint from  $\Psi_{j'}$  for all  $j' \neq j$ , the natural multiplication map*

$$H_{A_1}(U) \times \cdots \times H_{A_n}(U) \longrightarrow U$$

*is an isomorphism of  $k$ -schemes.*

**EXAMPLE 3.3.4.** Let  $G$  be a pseudo-split pseudo-reductive group, with  $T$  a split maximal  $k$ -torus. Let  $\Phi^+$  be a positive system of roots in  $\Phi := \Phi(G, T)$ , so by general facts in the theory of root systems (see [CGP, Prop. 2.2.8(3)])  $\Phi^+$  is the locus where  $\Phi$  meets an open half-space  $\{\lambda > 0\}$  for some  $\lambda \in X_*(T)$  that is non-vanishing on  $\Phi$ . We apply Theorem 3.3.3 to  $U = U_G(\lambda)$  and  $\Psi = \Phi^+$  with  $\Psi_j$ 's taken to be where  $\Psi$  meets half-lines in  $X(T)_{\mathbf{Q}}$  (so each  $\Psi_j$  is either a singleton consisting of a non-divisible non-multipliable positive root or has the form  $\{a, 2a\}$  for a multipliable positive root  $a$ ). It follows that the root groups  $U_a$

for non-divisible  $a \in \Phi^+$  directly span *in any order* a smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -subgroup  $U_{\Phi^+} \subset G$ . (This  $k$ -subgroup is  $U_G(\lambda)$  by another name, but clearly depends only on  $\Phi^+$  rather than on the choice of  $\lambda$ .)

If  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$  is an enumeration of the non-divisible elements of  $\Phi^+$  then by Theorem 2.3.5(ii) the natural multiplication map

$$\prod U_{-a_i} \times Z_G(T) \times \prod U_{a_i} = U_{-\Phi^+} \times Z_G(T) \times U_{\Phi^+} \longrightarrow G$$

is an open immersion; this is called the *open cell* attached to  $\Phi^+$ . The  $k$ -subgroup  $P_{\Phi^+} = Z_G(T) \times U_{\Phi^+} = P_G(\lambda)$  is pseudo-parabolic, and  $\Phi^+ \mapsto P_{\Phi^+}$  is a bijection from the set of choices of  $\Phi^+$  onto the set of minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups  $P$  of  $G$  such that  $T \subset P$  (see Proposition 3.3.7 below); in the reductive case this recovers the well-known link between Borel subgroups and positive systems of roots (but by an entirely different method of proof!).

Since we will use root systems to control pseudo-parabolic subgroups, we now recall the combinatorial notion that corresponds to pseudo-parabolicity:

**DEFINITION 3.3.5.** A subset  $\Psi$  of a root system  $\Phi$  is *parabolic* if it is closed (i.e.,  $a + b \in \Psi$  for any  $a, b \in \Psi$  such that  $a + b \in \Phi$ ) and  $\Psi \cup -\Psi = \Phi$ .

Letting  $V$  be the  $\mathbf{Q}$ -span of  $\Phi$ , it is a classical fact (see [CGP, Prop. 2.2.8]) that the parabolic subsets are precisely the intersections

$$\Phi_{\lambda \geq 0} := \Phi \cap \{\lambda \geq 0\}$$

for linear forms  $\lambda : V \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}$ ; in geometric terms, these are precisely the intersections of  $\Phi$  with a closed half-space in  $V_{\mathbf{R}}$ . For  $\lambda$  that is non-vanishing at all points of  $\Phi$  (the “generic” case), the resulting parabolic subsets  $\Phi_{\lambda \geq 0} = \Phi_{\lambda > 0}$  are precisely the positive systems of roots. (Moreover, closed subsets of  $\Phi$  are precisely the intersections  $\Phi \cap A$  with a subsemigroup  $A \subset V$  [CGP, Prop. 2.2.7].)

**EXAMPLE 3.3.6.** If  $G$  is pseudo-reductive and pseudo-split with a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$ , for the pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups  $P \subset G$  containing  $T$  the subsets  $\Phi(P, T) \subset \Phi$  (consisting of nontrivial  $T$ -weights occurring in  $\text{Lie}(P)$ ) are precisely the parabolic subsets of  $\Phi$ . Indeed, we can choose  $\lambda : \text{GL}_1 \rightarrow T$  such that  $P = P_G(\lambda)$  by Lemma 2.3.9, so then  $\Phi(P, T) = \Phi_{\lambda \geq 0}$  by Theorem 2.3.5(i),(ii).

**PROPOSITION 3.3.7.** *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-split pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group, with  $T$  a split maximal  $k$ -torus and  $\Phi = \Phi(G, T)$ . Consider pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups  $P$  of  $G$  that contain  $T$ . The set  $\Phi(P, T)$  is a positive system of roots in  $\Phi$  if and only if  $P$  is minimal as a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$ , and  $P \mapsto \Phi(P, T)$  is a bijection from the set of such minimal  $P$  onto the set of positive systems of roots in  $\Phi$ .*

Since  $W(G, T)(k) = W(\Phi)$  by Proposition 3.2.7(ii), we have a simply transitive action of  $W(G, T)(k)$  on the set of such  $P$  since  $W(\Phi)$  acts simply transitively on the set of positive systems of roots in  $\Phi$  for any root system  $\Phi$ .

**PROOF.** By Lemma 2.3.9 we can choose  $\lambda \in X_*(T)$  such that  $P = P_G(\lambda)$ , so Theorem 2.3.5(i),(ii) implies

$$\Phi(P, T) = \Phi_{\lambda \geq 0} := \{a \in \Phi \mid \langle a, \lambda \rangle \geq 0\}.$$

This is a positive system of roots precisely when  $\lambda$  is non-vanishing on all elements of  $\Phi$ . Suppose that  $\Phi(P, T)$  is *not* a positive system of roots, so the hyperplane

$\{\lambda = 0\}$  in  $X(T)_{\mathbf{Q}}$  meets  $\Phi$ . Choose  $\lambda' \in X_*(T)_{\mathbf{Q}}$  sufficiently near  $\lambda$  so that it is positive on the finite set  $\Phi_{\lambda > 0}$  and negative on  $\Phi_{\lambda < 0}$  but non-vanishing on  $\Phi_{\lambda = 0}$ . Hence, for  $\mu := n\lambda' \in X_*(T)$  with an integer  $n > 0$  that is sufficiently divisible, the set  $\Phi_{\mu \geq 0} = \Phi_{\mu > 0}$  is a positive system of roots contained in  $\Phi_{\lambda \geq 0}$ . Thus, for the pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $Q := P_G(\mu)$  the containment  $Q \cap P = P_Q(\lambda) \subset Q$  between smooth connected  $k$ -subgroups is an equality on Lie algebras and so is an equality of groups; i.e.,  $Q \subset P$ . But  $\text{Lie}(Q)$  is a proper subspace of  $\text{Lie}(P)$ , so  $P$  is not minimal in  $G$ . In other words, if  $P$  is minimal then  $\Phi(P, T)$  is a positive system of roots.

Suppose instead that  $P$  is not minimal. We wish to show that  $\Phi(P, T)$  is not a positive system of roots. For *this purpose* it is harmless to extend scalars to  $k_s$ . It is likewise harmless to replace  $T$  with a  $P(k)$ -conjugate. Letting  $P'$  be a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  strictly contained in  $P$ , consider a maximal  $k$ -torus  $T' \subset P'$ . Note that  $T'$  is split since we have arranged (for present purpose) that  $k = k_s$ . It is a well-known result of Grothendieck that maximal tori in a smooth affine group over a separably closed field are rationally conjugate to each other [CGP, Prop. A.2.10] (this is much more elementary than the rational conjugacy of maximal split tori in smooth affine – or even just connected reductive! – groups over general fields, which we will address in Theorem 4.2.9). Hence, via suitable  $P(k)$ -conjugation to carry  $T$  onto  $T'$  we can assume  $T \subset P'$ .

The strict containment of  $P'$  in  $P$  implies a strict containment  $\text{Lie}(P') \subsetneq \text{Lie}(P)$ . Since  $T \subset P'$ , so  $P' = P_G(\lambda')$  for some  $\lambda' \in X_*(T)$  by Lemma 2.3.9, clearly  $P' \supset Z_G(\lambda') \supset Z_G(T)$ . Thus,  $\text{Lie}(G)^T \subset \text{Lie}(P')$  and each of  $\text{Lie}(P')$  and  $\text{Lie}(P)$  is spanned by  $\text{Lie}(G)^T$  and the root spaces for roots respectively in  $\Phi(P', T)$  and  $\Phi(P, T)$ . Hence, the *parabolic* subset  $\Phi(P', T)$  of  $\Phi$  inside  $\Phi(P, T)$  must be a proper subset of  $\Phi(P, T)$ , so  $\Phi(P, T)$  is not a positive system of roots.

Now we return to general  $k$  and consider minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups  $P$  and  $Q$  of  $G$  that contain  $T$  such that  $\Phi(P, T) = \Phi(Q, T)$ . We need to show that  $P = Q$ . But each of  $P$  and  $Q$  is generated by  $Z_G(T)$  and root groups of  $G$  for the  $T$ -weights that appear in the respective Lie algebras (e.g., if  $a \in \Phi(P', T) = \Phi_{\lambda' \geq 0}$  then the containment  $U_{(a)}^{P'} \subset U_{(a)}^G$  is an equality on Lie algebras and thus an equality of  $k$ -groups, so  $U_{(a)}^G \subset P'$ ), so obviously  $P = Q$ .  $\square$

## 4. Structure theory

**4.1. Bruhat decomposition.** For a connected reductive  $k$ -group  $G$ , the subgroup structure of  $G(k)$  is governed by the *Bruhat decomposition* as follows. If  $S$  is a maximal split  $k$ -torus (with associated relative root system  ${}_k\Phi = \Phi(G, S)$  that may be non-reduced) and  $P$  is a minimal parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  containing  $S$  then the relative Weyl group  ${}_kW := N_G(S)(k)/Z_G(S)(k)$  (which maps isomorphically onto  $W({}_k\Phi)$ ) labels the  $P(k)$ -double cosets in  $G(k)$ : the natural map

$${}_kW \longrightarrow P(k) \backslash G(k) / P(k)$$

is bijective.

Writing  $n_w \in N_G(S)(k)$  to denote a representative of  $w \in {}_kW$ , in the *split* case the locally closed subsets  $Pn_wP$  constitute a stratification of  $G$  whose closure relations can be expressed entirely in terms of the combinatorics of Coxeter groups and root systems (via the “Bruhat order” on  ${}_kW$  defined by a choice of basis of  ${}_k\Phi$ ). If  $G$  is not assumed to be split then  $P = Z_G(S) \times U$  where  $U := \mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  is

$k$ -split and directly spanned in any order by the root groups associated to members of the positive system of roots  $\Phi(P, S) \subset {}_k\Phi$ , and the Bruhat decomposition

$$G(k) = \coprod_{w \in {}_k W} P(k)n_w P(k)$$

has only group-theoretic rather than geometric meaning.

The preceding Bruhat decomposition is a consequence of general results concerning groups equipped with a Tits system [Bou, Ch. IV, §2.3, Thm. 1], so the main work in its proof is to show that the 4-tuple  $(G(k), P(k), N_G(S)(k), R)$  is a Tits system (see Definition 4.1.6), where  $R = \{r_a\}_{a \in \Delta}$  is the set of reflections in  ${}_k W = W({}_k\Phi)$  associated to a basis  $\Delta$  of  ${}_k\Phi$ . The equality  ${}_k W = W({}_k\Phi)$  is an essential step in relating the structure of  $G(k)$  to the theory of Coxeter groups, and it rests on finding  $n_a \in N_G(S)(k)$  inducing the reflection  $r_a : x \mapsto x - \langle x, a^\vee \rangle a$  in each root  $a \in {}_k\Phi$ .

An analogous structure is available for pseudo-reductive groups, both in the pseudo-split case (using root systems and root groups as introduced in §3) as well as in the general case. This development involves a Bruhat decomposition for  $G(k)$  relative to maximal  $k$ -split tori  $S$  and minimal *pseudo-parabolic*  $k$ -subgroups  $P \supset S$ , as well as  $G(k)$ -conjugacy of all such pairs  $(S, P)$ . The general  $G(k)$ -conjugacy results will be discussed in §4.2 and §5.1, and we now focus on the pseudo-split case because ultimately the proof of the general  $k$ -rational Bruhat decomposition in §5.2 rests on the  $k_s$ -rational Bruhat decomposition.

REMARK 4.1.1. We shall see (in the proof of Theorem 4.1.7) that Tits systems are used to prove the Bruhat decomposition in the pseudo-split case. In contrast, the Bruhat decomposition in the general pseudo-reductive case over  $k$  [CGP, Thm. C.2.8] rests on the settled (pseudo-split) case over  $k_s$ , whereas (akin to the general connected reductive case) verifying the Tits system axioms over  $k$  [CGP, Thm. C.2.20] rests on the Bruhat decomposition over  $k$ . This will be discussed more fully in §5.3.

As a first step, for a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  and split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$  we shall construct representatives in  $N_G(T)(k)$  for reflections in  $W(\Phi(G, T))$  attached to roots in  $\Phi(G, T)$ . It is instructive to recall motivation from the rank-1 split connected semisimple case:

EXAMPLE 4.1.2. Let  $G$  be a split connected semisimple  $k$ -group of rank 1,  $T \subset G$  a split maximal  $k$ -torus, and  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$  one of the two roots. Choose a nontrivial element  $u \in U_a(k) - \{1\}$ . We may pick an isomorphism from  $G$  onto  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  or  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$  carrying  $T$  onto the diagonal  $k$ -torus such that  $U_a$  is carried into the upper-triangular unipotent  $k$ -subgroup. In this way,  $u$  goes over to an element  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  with  $x \in k^\times$ .

An elementary calculation with  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  and  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$  shows that there exist *unique*  $u', u'' \in U_{-a}(k)$  such that  $m(u) := u'uu'' \in N_G(T)(k)$ , and that necessarily  $u' = u'' \neq 1$  with  $m(u)$  representing the unique nontrivial element in  $N_G(T)(k)/T(k)$ . Explicitly,  $u' = u'' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1/x & 1 \end{pmatrix} = m(u)um(u)^{-1}$  and  $m(u)^2 = \mathrm{diag}(-1, -1) = a^\vee(-1)$  regardless of the choice of  $u$ .

We want to adapt Example 4.1.2 to the rank-1 pseudo-split *pseudo-semisimple* case. Two immediate difficulties are: (i) there is no concrete description of the rank-1 possibilities at this stage of the theory, and (ii) over imperfect fields of

characteristic 2 the cases with root system  $BC_1$  are especially difficult to describe even after we have developed a lot more theory.

**PROPOSITION 4.1.3.** *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-split pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group and  $T \subset G$  a split maximal  $k$ -torus. Choose  $a \in \Phi := \Phi(G, T)$ ,  $u \in U_a(k) - \{1\}$ . There exist unique  $u', u'' \in U_{-a}(k)$  such that  $m(u) := u'uu'' \in N_G(T)(k)$ . Moreover,  $u' = u'' = m(u)um(u)^{-1} \neq 1$ ,  $m(u)^2 = a^\vee(-1)$ , and the image of  $m(u)$  in  $W(\Phi)$  is the reflection  $r_a : x \mapsto x - \langle x, a^\vee \rangle a$  arising from the root datum  $R(G, T)$ .*

*In particular, the natural inclusion  $N_G(T)(k)/Z_G(T)(k) \hookrightarrow W(\Phi)$  is an equality and hence if  $G$  is absolutely pseudo-simple (so  $\Phi$  is irreducible) then  $N_G(T)(k)$  acts transitively on the set of roots in  $\Phi$  with a given length.*

See Proposition 5.4.2 (and Proposition 5.3.1 and Theorem 5.3.2(i)) for a version beyond the pseudo-split case.

**PROOF.** We provide a sketch of the main ideas, referring the reader to [CGP, Prop. 3.4.2] for complete details. Let  $N = N_G(T)$  and  $U^\pm = U_{\pm a}$ , and let  $C$  denote the Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $Z_G(T)$ . By using Galois descent and centralizer considerations as near the end of Remark 3.2.8, the general case reduces to the rank-1 case with non-divisible  $a$  and  $k = k_s$  that we now address.

The two possibilities for  $\Phi$  are  $\{\pm a\}$  or  $\{\pm a, \pm 2a\}$  (the latter only possible when  $k$  is imperfect of characteristic 2), and  $N(k)/C(k) = (N/C)(k) = W(\Phi)$  has order 2 (see Proposition 3.2.7(ii)). Thus,  $C$  and  $N - C$  are the connected components of  $N$ , so upon choosing  $n \in N(k) - C(k) = (N - C)(k)$  we have  $N - C = nC$ . Note that  $U^- = nU^+n^{-1}$  since the nontrivial  $n$ -conjugation on  $T$  must act via a nontrivial automorphism of the rank-1 root system  $\Phi$  and hence negates the roots.

The natural quotient map  $\pi : G_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  need not be injective on  $G(k)$  (since  $C$  may have nontrivial étale  $p$ -torsion when  $k$  is imperfect with characteristic  $p$  [CGP, Ex. 1.6.3]). However, the kernels  $\ker(\pi|_{U^\pm(k)})$  are trivial. To prove this triviality, first note that  $\ker(\pi|_{U^\pm(k)}) \subset \mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}})$ . Thus, by pseudo-reductivity of  $G$  and [CGP, Lemma 1.2.1], the Zariski closure of  $\ker(\pi|_{U^\pm(k)})$  has trivial identity component. This says that  $\ker(\pi|_{U^\pm(k)})$  is *finite*. Each restriction  $\pi|_{U^\pm(k)}$  is equivariant with respect to  $T(k) \rightarrow T(\bar{k})$ , so  $\ker(\pi|_{U^\pm(k)})$  is stable inside  $U^\pm(k)$  under conjugation by  $T(k)$ . But  $U^\pm$  admits a  $T$ -equivariant linear structure with only nontrivial weights, so the finite group  $\ker(\pi|_{U^\pm(k)})$  must be trivial; i.e.,  $\pi|_{U^\pm(k)}$  is *injective*.

Since  $\pi$  carries the “open cell”  $(U^- \times C \times U^+)_{\bar{k}} \subset G_{\bar{k}}$  into the corresponding open cell in  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$ , the injectivity of  $\pi|_{U^\pm(k)}$  reduces the proofs of the uniqueness of  $u', u''$  (given their existence!) and the identities  $u' = u'' = m(u)um(u)^{-1} \neq 1$  in  $U^-(k)$  to the settled reductive case over  $\bar{k}$ . Hence, the main problem is existence of  $u'$  and  $u''$ .

**REMARK 4.1.4.** The other desired identity,  $m(u)^2 \stackrel{?}{=} a^\vee(-1)$ , does not take place inside  $U^\pm(k)$  and so does not reduce to the reductive case over  $\bar{k}$ . Its proof involves separate arguments depending on whether or not  $\text{char}(k) = 2$ . If  $\text{char}(k) = 2$  then we use that  $U^\pm(k)$  is 2-torsion, and if  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$  then we use that there exists  $t \in T(k)$  such that  $a(t) = -1 \neq 1$  (since  $k = k_s$  and a root is at worst divisible by 2 in  $X(T)$ ).

Continuing with the proof, we shall construct  $u'$  and  $u''$  such that  $u'uu'' \in N - C = nC$  by studying the multiplication map  $\mu : U^- \times U^+ \times U^- \rightarrow G$ . Working

with points valued in  $k$ -algebras, an identity of the form  $u'uu'' = nc$  for  $u', u'' \in U^-$  and  $c \in C$  can be rewritten as  $n^{-1}u = (n^{-1}u'^{-1}n)cu''^{-1} \in U^+ \times C \times U^-$ . Since  $n^{-1}u \in G(k)$  and the multiplication map  $U^+ \times C \times U^- \rightarrow G$  is an open immersion, it suffices to construct  $u'$  and  $u''$  as  $\bar{k}$ -points! We likewise see that the preimage  $\mu^{-1}(N - C)$  projects isomorphically onto the open subscheme  $\Omega \subset U^+$  of points whose left  $n^{-1}$ -translate lies in the open cell  $U^+CU^- \subset G$ . Thus, it suffices to prove  $U^+(k) - \{1\} \subset \Omega$ .

Injectivity of  $\pi|_{U^+(k)}$  ensures that  $U^+(k) - \{1\}$  is disjoint from  $R := \mathcal{R}(G_{\bar{k}})$ . Thus, it suffices to show that  $U_{\bar{k}}^+ \cap (G_{\bar{k}} - R) \subset \Omega_{\bar{k}}$  (in fact, equality holds), or equivalently that

$$(4.1.4) \quad n(U_{\bar{k}}^+ \cap (G_{\bar{k}} - R)) \subset (U^+CU^-)_{\bar{k}}.$$

For this purpose we can replace  $G$  with  $\langle U^+, U^- \rangle = \mathcal{D}(G)$  since  $R \cap N = \mathcal{R}_u(N)$  for any smooth connected subgroup  $N \subset G_{\bar{k}}$  [**CGP**, Prop. A.4.8] (applied with  $N = \mathcal{D}(G)_{\bar{k}}$ ). Now  $G$  is pseudo-semisimple and  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  is equal to  $\text{SL}_2$  or  $\text{PGL}_2$ . Hence, the analogue of (4.1.4) for  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  is a trivial calculation, so to prove (4.1.4) it suffices to show  $(U^+CU^-)_{\bar{k}}$  is the preimage of its image under  $\pi$ .

In other words, for  $\lambda = a_{\bar{k}}^{\vee}$  we need to show that  $U_{G_{\bar{k}}}(\lambda)P_{G_{\bar{k}}}(-\lambda)$  is stable under right multiplication against  $R$ . But  $R$  is normal in  $G_{\bar{k}}$ , so it is the same to show that

$$U_{G_{\bar{k}}}(\lambda)P_{G_{\bar{k}}}(-\lambda) = U_{G_{\bar{k}}}(\lambda)RP_{G_{\bar{k}}}(-\lambda).$$

Since  $R$  is a *solvable* smooth connected affine group, it coincides with its own “open cell” relative to *any*  $\text{GL}_1$ -action [**CGP**, Rem. 2.1.11, Prop. 2.1.12(1)]. Hence, making  $\text{GL}_1$  act on the normal subgroup  $R \subset G_{\bar{k}}$  through  $\lambda$ -conjugation, the open immersion  $U_R(\lambda) \times P_R(-\lambda) \rightarrow R$  via multiplication is an isomorphism and so we are done.  $\square$

REMARK 4.1.5. By Proposition 3.2.7 we have  $(N_G(T)/Z_G(T))(k) = W(\Phi)$ , so Proposition 4.1.3 implies that the short exact sequence of  $k$ -groups

$$1 \longrightarrow Z_G(T) \longrightarrow N_G(T) \longrightarrow N_G(T)/Z_G(T) \longrightarrow 1$$

induces a short exact sequence on  $k$ -points. This is remarkable because it admits no cohomological explanation. To explain this point, note that the cohomological obstruction to short-exactness on  $k$ -points lies in  $H^1(k, Z_G(T))$ . If  $G$  is reductive then this cohomology group vanishes by Hilbert’s Theorem 90 since  $Z_G(T) = T$  is a split  $k$ -torus.

The general structure of the commutative pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $Z_G(T)$  is mysterious (as the unipotent  $Z_G(T)/T$  *never* contains  $\mathbf{G}_a$  as a  $k$ -subgroup [**CGP**, Ex. B.2.8]), so it isn’t clear if  $H^1(k, Z_G(T))$  vanishes. In fact, over imperfect  $k$  with a sufficiently nontrivial Brauer group there exist “standard” pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $G$  for which  $H^1(k, Z_G(T)) \neq 1$  (this occurs whenever  $k$  coincides with the rational function field  $\kappa(u, v)$  over an algebraically closed field  $\kappa$  with positive characteristic); see [**CGP**, Ex. 3.4.4] for such examples.

Finally, we can adapt techniques from the Borel–Tits structure theory of arbitrary connected reductive groups to establish the Bruhat decomposition for *pseudo-split* pseudo-reductive groups (to be generalized to smooth connected affine groups in Theorem 5.2.2). The complete result in this case involves the following important notion from [**Bou**, IV, §2]:

DEFINITION 4.1.6. A *BN-pair* for a group  $G$  is an ordered pair  $(B, N)$  of subgroups such that:

- (BN1)  $B \cup N$  generates  $G$ , and  $B \cap N$  is normal in  $N$ ,
- (BN2)  $W := N/(B \cap N)$  is generated by a set  $R$  of elements of order 2 that do *not* normalize  $B$ ,
- (BN3) for any  $n \in N$  and representative  $s \in N$  of an element of  $R$ ,  $sBn \subset BnB \cup BsnB$ .

If there exists a nilpotent normal subgroup  $U \subset B$  such that  $B = (B \cap N)U$  then the BN-pair is *weakly split*, and if  $B \cap N = \bigcap_{w \in W} wBw^{-1}$  then the BN-pair is *saturated*. Any such 4-tuple  $(G, B, N, R)$  is called a *Tits system*.

The group  $W$  is called the *Weyl group* of the BN-pair, and the set  $R$  is uniquely determined by the triple  $(G, B, N)$  [**Bou**, IV, §2.4, Rem.(1)].

THEOREM 4.1.7. *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-split pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group with a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$ . Let  $P$  be a minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  containing  $T$ , and define  $N = N_G(T)$  and  $Z = Z_G(T)$ .*

- (i) *The pair  $(P(k), N(k))$  is a saturated BN-pair for  $G(k)$  with associated Weyl group  $W(\Phi(G, T))$ .*
- (ii) *(Bruhat decomposition) The natural map*

$$(4.1.7) \quad N(k)/Z(k) \longrightarrow P(k) \backslash G(k) / P(k)$$

*is bijective.*

We have not yet addressed (and do not presently need) the  $G(k)$ -rational conjugacy of all pairs  $(T, P)$ ; this will be proved in §4.2 and §5.1 (not relying on the present considerations).

PROOF. We shall sketch the proof, and refer the reader to [**CGP**, Thm. 3.4.5] for omitted details. Let  $N = N_G(T)$ ,  $Z = Z_G(T)$ , and  $\Phi = \Phi(G, T)$ . Define  $\Gamma \subset G(k)$  to be the subgroup generated by  $Z(k)$  and  $\{U_a(k)\}_{a \in \Phi}$ . (Eventually we will see that  $\Gamma = G(k)$ , but we do not yet know this.)

Since the natural map  $N(k) \rightarrow W(\Phi)$  is surjective by Proposition 4.1.3, for each  $a \in \Phi$  we may define a  $Z(k)$ -coset  $M_a \subset N(k)$  to be the preimage in  $N(k)$  of the reflection  $r_a \in W(\Phi)$  attached to  $a$  (i.e.,  $r_a : x \mapsto x - \langle x, a^\vee \rangle a$ ). In the work of Bruhat–Tits on the structure of reductive groups over local fields, the notion of a “generating root datum” (of type  $\Phi$ ) [**BrTi**, (6.1.1)] is defined via 6 axioms and a generating property that we do not state here.

The data  $(Z(k), (U_a(k), M_a)_{a \in \Phi})$  satisfies the 6 axioms due to several earlier results: Theorem 2.3.5(ii), the direct spanning of  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  by its  $T$ -root groups in any order (see Example 3.3.4), and Proposition 4.1.3 (as well as [**CGP**, Cor. 3.3.13(2)]). The remaining ingredient to establish that  $(Z(k), (U_a(k), M_a)_{a \in \Phi})$  is a generating root datum (of type  $\Phi$ ) is that  $\Gamma = G(k)$ .

By Proposition 3.3.7,  $\Phi^+ := \Phi(P, T)$  is a positive system of roots in  $\Phi$ . For the  $k$ -groups  $U_{\pm\Phi^+}$  as in Example 3.3.4, clearly  $U_{\pm\Phi^+}(k)$  are generated by the subgroups  $\{U_a(k)\}_{a \in \pm\Phi^+}$ . Thus, for the open cell  $\Omega := U_{-\Phi^+} \times Z \times U_{\Phi^+} \hookrightarrow G$  (via multiplication), clearly  $\Omega(k) \subset \Gamma$ . Hence, to prove  $\Gamma = G(k)$  it is enough to show that  $\Omega(k)$  generates  $G(k)$ . More specifically, we claim that for every  $g \in G(k)$ , the dense open  $\Omega_g := g\Omega \cap \Omega \subset G$  contains a  $k$ -point. This is rather more delicate than in the reductive case since  $G$  is generally *not* unirational (see Example 2.1.5).

Nonetheless, dynamic arguments establish that  $\Omega_g(k)$  is non-empty. (The idea for proving  $\Omega_g(k) \neq \emptyset$  when  $k$  is infinite is to show that  $\Omega_g(k)/P(k) = (\Omega_g/P)(k)$ , a useful equality because  $\Omega_g/P$  is clearly a dense open subscheme of the  $k$ -scheme  $\Omega/P = U^-$  that is an affine space and hence has Zariski-dense locus of  $k$ -points. The case of finite  $k$  is part of the standard Borel–Tits structure theory for connected reductive groups.)

Let  $\Delta$  be the set of simple roots in  $\Phi^+$ . Since  $(Z(k), (U_a(k), M_a)_{a \in \Phi})$  is a generating root datum for  $G(k)$ , by [BrTi, 6.1.11(ii), 6.1.12] it follows that  $(G(k), P(k), N(k), \{r_a\}_{a \in \Delta})$  is a saturated Tits system with Weyl group  $N(k)/Z(k)$  (as  $N \cap P = Z$ , since  $W(\Phi)$  acts freely on the set of positive systems of roots in  $\Phi$ ). But  $N(k)/Z(k) = W(\Phi)$  by Proposition 4.1.3, so the Bruhat decomposition in (ii) is a consequence of the Bruhat decomposition for groups equipped with a Tits system [Bou, Ch. IV, §2.3, Thm. 1].  $\square$

**4.2. Pseudo-completeness.** A lacuna in our formulation of the Bruhat decomposition for  $G(k)$  in the pseudo-split pseudo-reductive case in Theorem 4.1.7 is that we have not yet proved  $G(k)$ -conjugacy of all pairs  $(T, P)$  (with minimal  $P$ ). A new concept will be required in order to settle this issue.

To motivate where the difficulty lies, recall that in the split connected reductive case such conjugacy results are proved via Borel’s fixed point theorem for the action of a  $k$ -split solvable smooth connected affine group on a proper  $k$ -scheme; the proper  $k$ -scheme to which this is applied is  $G/P$ . But in the pseudo-split pseudo-reductive case the quotient  $G/P$  modulo a proper pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P$  is generally *not* proper (see [CGP, Ex. A.5.6]), so Borel’s fixed point theorem does not apply. Fortunately,  $G/P$  satisfies a weaker property that is adequate for establishing an analogue of Borel’s theorem:

**DEFINITION 4.2.1.** A  $k$ -scheme  $X$  is *pseudo-complete* if it is separated, of finite type, and satisfies the valuative criterion for properness with discrete valuation rings  $R$  over  $k$  whose residue field is separable over  $k$ .

This is only of interest for imperfect  $k$ , as otherwise all extensions of  $k$  are separable and hence pseudo-completeness over  $k$  recovers properness (due to the valuation criterion). By [CGP, Prop. C.1.2], pseudo-completeness is insensitive to separable extension of the ground field and to check pseudo-completeness we only need to consider those  $R$  that are also complete and have separably closed residue field. Arguments with Artin approximation imply that it is even enough to consider only  $R = k_s[[x]]$  (see [CGP, Rem. C.1.4]); we will never use this, but it recovers the definition considered by Tits.

**EXAMPLE 4.2.2.** Let  $k'/k$  be a finite extension of fields,  $G'$  a connected reductive  $k'$ -group, and  $P' \subset G'$  a proper parabolic  $k'$ -subgroup. Let  $G = R_{k'/k}(G')$  and  $P = R_{k'/k}(P')$ , so  $G$  is pseudo-reductive over  $k$  and  $P$  is a proper pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  (see [CGP, Prop. 2.2.13]). The quotient  $G/P$  is identified with  $R_{k'/k}(G'/P')$  where  $G'/P'$  is smooth and projective with positive dimension. Hence, if  $k'/k$  is not separable then  $G/P$  is *never* proper (see [CGP, Ex. A.5.6]). Nonetheless,  $G/P$  is pseudo-complete.

More generally, if  $X'$  is a projective  $k'$ -scheme then we claim that the separated  $k$ -scheme  $R_{k'/k}(X')$  of finite type is pseudo-complete. Since pseudo-completeness is insensitive to separable extension on  $k$ , we may extend scalars to  $k_s$  at the cost of replacing  $k'$  with the individual factor fields of  $k' \otimes_k k_s$  (and  $X'$  with its base change



over such fields) to reduce to the case that  $k'/k$  is purely inseparable. By definition, we need to show that if  $A$  is a discrete valuation ring over  $k$  with fraction field  $K$  such that the residue field  $F$  of  $A$  is separable over  $k$  then  $R_{k'/k}(X')(A) = R_{k'/k}(X')(K)$ , or equivalently  $X'(k' \otimes_k A) = X'(k' \otimes_k K)$ . Since  $X'$  is pseudo-complete over  $k'$ , it suffices to show that if  $k/k$  is any purely inseparable extension then  $A := k \otimes_k A$  is a discrete valuation ring with fraction field  $k \otimes_k K$  and residue field  $k \otimes_k F$ . For a uniformizer  $t$  of  $A$  it suffices to prove every nonzero element of  $A$  is a unit multiple of  $t^n$  for a unique  $n \geq 0$ , so we may assume  $[k : k] < \infty$ . But then  $A$  is visibly noetherian and local with  $1 \otimes t$  a non-nilpotent element generating the maximal ideal (as  $k \otimes_k \kappa$  is a field, since  $\kappa/k$  is separable), so  $A$  is a discrete valuation ring by [Ser, Prop. 2, §2, Ch. I].

The proof of Borel's fixed point theorem for a  $k$ -split solvable smooth connected affine  $k$ -group acting on a proper  $k$ -scheme with a  $k$ -point involves extending to  $\mathbf{P}^1$  certain  $k$ -scheme maps from  $\mathbf{G}_a$  or  $\mathrm{GL}_1$ . By elementary denominator-chasing, these extension problems only involve the completed local ring  $k[[x]]$  at 0 or  $\infty$ , so we only need to work with  $R = k[[x]]$  to construct the desired extension. This establishes:

**PROPOSITION 4.2.3.** *If  $H$  is a  $k$ -split solvable smooth connected affine  $k$ -group and  $X$  is a pseudo-complete  $k$ -scheme equipped with an action by  $H$  such that  $X(k) \neq \emptyset$  then  $X(k)$  contains a point fixed by  $H$ .*

Pseudo-completeness underlies a generalization [CGP, Prop. C.1.6] of Example 4.2.2:

**THEOREM 4.2.4.** *If  $P$  is a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$  then  $G/P$  is pseudo-complete over  $k$ .*

**PROOF.** We may assume  $k = k_s$  and  $G$  is pseudo-reductive (as  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G) \subset P$  by definition of pseudo-parabolicity, with  $P/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  pseudo-parabolic in  $G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  by Proposition 2.3.8). Let  $G'$  denote the maximal geometric reductive quotient  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\mathrm{red}}$  of  $G$ , and let  $P'$  be the image of  $P_{\bar{k}}$  in  $G'$ . If  $k$  is perfect, so  $k = \bar{k}$ , then there is nothing to do because over  $k$  pseudo-completeness coincides with properness and pseudo-parabolicity in  $G$  coincides with parabolicity by [CGP, Prop. 2.2.9]. Hence, we may assume  $p = \mathrm{char}(k) > 0$ .

We explain why  $P'$  is parabolic in  $G'$ , and refer the reader to the proof of [CGP, Prop. C.1.6] for the rest of the argument. By definition,  $P = P_G(\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda : \mathrm{GL}_1 \rightarrow G$ . For the maximal geometric reductive quotient  $G' = G_{\bar{k}}^{\mathrm{red}}$  of  $G_{\bar{k}}$ , the image  $P'$  of  $P_{\bar{k}}$  in  $G'$  is  $P_{G'}(\lambda_{\bar{k}})$  by Proposition 2.3.8. Thus,  $P'$  is parabolic in  $G'$  [CGP, Prop. 2.2.9].  $\square$

**REMARK 4.2.5.** It is natural to ask if the converse to Theorem 4.2.4 holds (providing a “geometric” characterization of pseudo-parabolicity). Unfortunately, the converse essentially always fails away from the reductive case, thereby explaining why pseudo-parabolicity is developed via dynamic rather than geometric means.

To make this failure precise, assume  $G$  is pseudo-reductive (a harmless hypothesis since  $P \mapsto P/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  is a bijection between the sets of pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  and  $G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  [CGP, Prop. 2.2.10]). By [CGP, Thm. C.1.9], the following two conditions are equivalent:

- (i) the smooth closed  $k$ -subgroups  $Q$  of  $G$  for which  $G/Q$  is pseudo-complete are precisely the pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups,

(ii) every Cartan  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  is a torus.

Since parabolicity and pseudo-parabolicity are the same in the connected reductive case [CGP, Prop. 2.2.9], it follows that for connected reductive  $G$  the parabolic  $k$ -subgroups are precisely the smooth closed  $k$ -subgroups  $Q \subset G$  such that  $G/Q$  is pseudo-complete. If instead  $G$  is pseudo-reductive but *not* reductive (so  $k$  is imperfect) then the equivalent conditions (i) and (ii) *always* fail except for precisely the special cases to be described in Theorem 7.3.3 that occur over  $k$  if and only if  $k$  is imperfect with characteristic 2.

As an application of the analogue of the Borel fixed point theorem in the pseudo-complete setting (Proposition 4.2.3), we can establish rational conjugacy theorems in the smooth connected affine case that generalize well-known results in the connected reductive case. To get started, we require a nontrivial lemma:

LEMMA 4.2.6. *If  $G$  is a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group and  $P$  is a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup then  $G(k) \rightarrow (G/P)(k)$  is surjective.*

The connected reductive case is part of [Bo2, 20.5].

PROOF. This problem is not easily reduced to the pseudo-reductive case because  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  might not be  $k$ -split. The general case is treated in [CGP, Lemma C.2.1], and here we give a proof when  $G$  is pseudo-reductive with  $G(k)$  Zariski-dense in  $G$ . (This case plays a role in the proof for general  $G$ , via an inductive argument to handle the possibility that  $G(k)$  may not be Zariski-dense in  $G$ , as can happen even for pseudo-reductive  $G$  over infinite  $k$ ; see Example 2.1.5.)

Assume  $G$  is pseudo-reductive, so  $P = P_G(\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda : \mathrm{GL}_1 \rightarrow G$ , and that  $G(k)$  is Zariski-dense in  $G$ . For the dense open subscheme  $\Omega := U_G(-\lambda) \times P \subset G$  (via multiplication), the translates  $\{g\Omega\}_{g \in G(k)}$  constitute an open cover of  $G$  (as this can be checked on  $\bar{k}$ -points, using that  $G(k)$  is Zariski-dense in  $G_{\bar{k}}$ ). Passing to the quotient modulo  $P$ , for the dense open  $\bar{\Omega} := \Omega/P \subset G/P$  the translates  $\{g\bar{\Omega}\}_{g \in G(k)}$  constitute an open cover of  $G/P$ . But  $U_G(-\lambda)(k) \rightarrow \bar{\Omega}(k)$  is bijective, so we are done for such  $G$ .  $\square$

LEMMA 4.2.7. *Let  $G$  be a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group and  $P$  a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup. Every  $k$ -split solvable smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup  $H \subset G$  admits a  $G(k)$ -conjugate contained in  $P$ . In particular,  $P$  contains a  $G(k)$ -conjugate of any split  $k$ -torus  $S \subset G$ .*

PROOF. For  $g \in G(k)$  we have  $g^{-1}Hg \subset P$  if and only if  $HgP \subset gP$ , which is to say that the image of  $g$  in  $(G/P)(k)$  is fixed under the left  $H$ -action. But  $G/P$  is pseudo-complete by Theorem 4.2.4, so the fixed point theorem (Proposition 4.2.3) provides a point in  $(G/P)(k)$  fixed by the left  $H$ -action. By Lemma 4.2.6, this  $k$ -point of  $G/P$  lifts to  $G(k)$ , so we get the desired  $G(k)$ -conjugate of  $H$ .  $\square$

PROPOSITION 4.2.8. *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-split pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group. A pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  is minimal if and only if  $P/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  is commutative.*

PROOF. A split maximal  $k$ -torus of  $G$  admits a  $G(k)$ -conjugate contained in  $P$  by Lemma 4.2.7, so  $P$  contains a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$  of  $G$ . Choose  $\lambda \in X_*(T)$  such that  $P = P_G(\lambda)$ ; such  $\lambda$  exists by Lemma 2.3.9. Clearly  $P = Z_G(\lambda) \times U_G(\lambda)$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P) = U_G(\lambda)$ , so  $P/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P) \simeq Z_G(\lambda)$ .

As  $T \subset Z_G(T) \subset Z_G(\lambda)$  and the Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $Z_G(T)$  is commutative,  $Z_G(\lambda)$  is commutative if and only if the inclusion  $Z_G(T) \subset Z_G(\lambda)$  is an equality. Such equality of smooth connected groups is equivalent to equality of their Lie algebras. These Lie algebras coincide if and only if  $\Phi_{\lambda=0}$  is empty, which is the case if and only if  $\Phi_{\lambda>0}$  is a positive system of roots. Applying Proposition 3.3.7 therefore finishes the proof.  $\square$

**THEOREM 4.2.9 (Borel–Tits).** *Any two maximal split  $k$ -tori in a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$  are conjugate under  $G(k)$ .*

**PROOF.** We proceed by induction on  $\dim G$ , the 0-dimensional case being clear. If  $G$  admits a proper pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P$  then by Lemma 4.2.7 every split  $k$ -torus in  $G$  admits a  $G(k)$ -conjugate contained in  $P$ . Thus, we may rename  $P$  as  $G$  and conclude by induction on dimension. Hence, we may assume that  $G$  does not contain a proper pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup, so the pseudo-reductive quotient  $\overline{G} := G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  also does not contain a proper pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup [**CGP**, Prop. 2.2.10]. In other words, for every  $k$ -homomorphism  $\lambda : \mathrm{GL}_1 \rightarrow \overline{G}$  we have

$$\overline{G} = P_{\overline{G}}(-\lambda) = Z_{\overline{G}}(-\lambda) \times U_{\overline{G}}(-\lambda),$$

so  $U_{\overline{G}}(\lambda) = 1$ . Likewise,  $U_{\overline{G}}(-\lambda) = 1$ , so  $\overline{G} = Z_{\overline{G}}(\lambda)$ . This says that *every*  $\lambda$  is central in  $\overline{G}$ , so every  $k$ -split torus in  $\overline{G}$  is central! In particular, there is a unique maximal  $k$ -split torus  $\overline{S}$  in  $\overline{G}$  and it is central.

Consider the preimage  $H$  of  $\overline{S}$  under  $\pi : G \twoheadrightarrow \overline{G}$ . It is clear that every  $k$ -split torus in  $G$  must be carried by  $\pi$  into  $\overline{S}$  and so lies inside  $H$ . Thus, the problem for  $G$  reduces to the same for  $H$ . But  $H$  is a smooth connected solvable  $k$ -group, and in such a group any two maximal  $k$ -tori (and hence any two maximal split  $k$ -tori) are conjugate to each other by an element of  $H(k)$  [**Bo2**, 19.2].  $\square$

**4.3. Properties of pseudo-parabolic subgroups.** In addition to torus centralizers, proper parabolic  $k$ -subgroups  $P$  in connected reductive  $k$ -groups are a useful source of inductive arguments since  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  is  $k$ -split and  $P/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  is reductive of smaller dimension. The relative root system  ${}_k\Phi = \Phi(G, S)$  for a maximal split  $k$ -torus  $S$  (all choices of which are  $G(k)$ -conjugate to each other) controls the collection of  $P$ 's containing  $S$  as well as the structure of  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  in terms of  $S$ -root groups for such  $P$ . These root groups can have large dimension and  ${}_k\Phi$  can be non-reduced (for  $k$  of any characteristic, even  $k = \mathbf{R}$ ). For semisimple  $G$ ,  $k$ -anisotropy is equivalent to  $G$  having no proper parabolic  $k$ -subgroup.

The analogous notion of relative roots for pseudo-reductive  $G$  will be discussed in §5.3, resting on a robust theory of pseudo-parabolic subgroups in the pseudo-split case (such as over  $k_s$ ). In this section we will address several basic structural results for pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups of pseudo-reductive groups, sometimes in the pseudo-split case and sometimes more generally. Everything we do in the pseudo-split case here will be extended to the general case in §5.3–§5.4.

**PROPOSITION 4.3.1.** *Consider a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  containing a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$ . Let  $\Phi = \Phi(G, T)$  and  $P$  be a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  containing  $T$ .*

- (i) *The subspace  $\mathrm{Lie}(P) \subset \mathrm{Lie}(G)$  is the span of  $\mathrm{Lie}(Z_G(T))$  and the  $T$ -weight spaces for roots in  $\Phi(P, T)$ , and if  $P'$  is a second pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup containing  $T$  then  $P' = P$  if and only if  $\mathrm{Lie}(P') = \mathrm{Lie}(P)$ .*

- (ii) If  $A$  is the subsemigroup of  $\Phi$  spanned by the set  $\Psi$  of non-divisible roots in  $\Phi(P, T)$  outside  $-\Phi(P, T)$  then  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P) = H_A(G)$  is the  $k$ -subgroup directly spanned by the root groups for roots in  $\Psi$ .
- (iii) For pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups  $P, Q$  containing  $T$ , the following are equivalent:  $P \subset Q$ ,  $\text{Lie}(P) \subset \text{Lie}(Q)$ ,  $\Phi(P, T) \subset \Phi(Q, T)$ .

This result (along with Proposition 4.2.8) is [CGP, Prop. 3.5.1], for which  $\text{Lie}(Z_G(T))$  in (i) is mistakenly written as  $\text{Lie}(T)$ , a typographical error not affecting the proof there.

PROOF. The essential point is to reconstruct  $P$  from the set  $\Phi(P, T)$  of non-trivial  $T$ -weights on  $\text{Lie}(P)$ . By Lemma 2.3.9, there exists  $\lambda \in X_*(T)$  such that  $P = P_G(\lambda) = Z_G(\lambda) \ltimes U_G(\lambda)$ , so  $U_G(\lambda) = \mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$ . By Example 3.3.6 we have  $\Phi(P, T) = \Phi_{\lambda \geq 0}$ . For each  $a \in \Phi(P, T)$ , the dynamic definition of  $U_{(a)}$  and the parabolicity of  $\Phi(P, T)$  imply that  $U_{(a)}^G = U_{(a)}^P \subset P$ . Since  $Z_G(T) \subset Z_G(\lambda) \subset P$ , so  $Z_G(T) = Z_P(T)$ , by applying Proposition 3.1.4 to  $P$  we conclude that  $P$  is generated by  $Z_G(T)$  and  $\{U_{(a)}^G\}_{a \in \Phi(P, T)}$ . Passing to Lie algebras yields (i) and (iii). In the setting of (ii) we have  $A \cap \Phi = \Phi_{\lambda > 0}$ , so  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P) = U_G(\lambda) \subset H_A(G)$  by the maximality property of  $H_A(G)$  in Proposition 3.3.1. This containment between smooth connected  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  induces an equality on Lie algebras, so it is an equality of  $k$ -subgroups, establishing (ii).  $\square$

REMARK 4.3.2. Based on experience in the reductive case, it is natural to inquire if the equivalence of “ $P \subset Q$ ” and “ $\text{Lie}(P) \subset \text{Lie}(Q)$ ” in Proposition 4.3.1(iii) is valid more generally for arbitrary pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups  $P, Q$  in a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  without assuming  $P$  and  $Q$  share a common split maximal  $k$ -torus. The answer is affirmative; see Proposition 5.1.4(i) (whose proof uses Proposition 4.3.1(iii) over  $k_s$ ).

PROPOSITION 4.3.3. *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group with a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$ . Let  $G' = G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$ , and let  $T' \subset G'$  be the (isomorphic) image of  $T_{\bar{k}}$ . Assigning to each pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P \subset G$  containing  $T$  the image  $P'$  of  $P_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow G' := G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  is an inclusion-preserving bijection in both directions between the set of such  $P$  and the set of parabolic subgroups of  $G'$  that contain  $T'$ . Moreover,  $\Phi(P', T') = \Phi(P, T) \cap \Phi(G', T')$  inside  $X(T) = X(T')$ .*

PROOF. By Lemma 2.3.9, the  $k$ -groups  $P$  are exactly  $P_G(\lambda)$  for  $\lambda \in X_*(T)$ . In particular, the image  $P'$  of  $P_{\bar{k}}$  in  $G'$  is  $P_{G'}(\lambda_{\bar{k}})$  by Proposition 2.3.8 (applied to  $G_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow G'$ ). The parabolic subgroups of  $G'$  containing  $T'$  are exactly  $P_{G'}(\mu)$  for  $\mu \in X_*(T')$ , by [CGP, Prop. 2.2.9]. Since  $X_*(T) = X_*(T'_{\bar{k}})$  via  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda_{\bar{k}}$ , as we vary  $P \supset T$  the associated subgroups  $P' \subset G'$  vary through precisely the parabolic subgroups of  $G'$  containing  $T'$ .

Let  $\Phi = \Phi(G, T)$  and  $\Phi' = \Phi(G', T')$ , so  $\Phi'$  is the set of non-multipliable elements of  $\Phi$  (Theorem 3.1.7). For any root system spanning a vector space  $V$ , the parabolic subsets are precisely those with non-negative pairing against a linear form [CGP, Prop. 2.2.8], so  $\Psi \mapsto \Psi \cap \Phi'$  is an inclusion-preserving bijection (in both directions) between the sets of parabolic subsets of  $\Phi$  and of  $\Phi'$ . By Proposition 4.3.1(iii), if  $P, Q \subset G$  are pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups containing  $T$ , then  $P \subset Q$  if and only if  $\Phi(P, T) \subset \Phi(Q, T)$  inside  $\Phi(G, T)$ . Thus, to complete the proof we just have to establish the formula  $\Phi(P, T) \cap \Phi' = \Phi(P', T')$ . Writing  $P = P_G(\lambda)$

with  $\lambda \in X_*(T)$ , we have  $P' = P_{G'}(\lambda')$  for  $\lambda' = \lambda_{\bar{k}}$ . Thus,  $\Phi(P, T) = \Phi_{\lambda \geq 0}$  and  $\Phi(P', T') = \Phi'_{\lambda' \geq 0}$ . Since  $\Phi_{\lambda \geq 0} \cap \Phi' = \Phi'_{\lambda' \geq 0}$ , we are done.  $\square$

Now we are finally in a position to address some subtle points that were noted at the end of §2.3: does pseudo-parabolicity descend through separable extension of the ground field, and is it transitive with respect to subgroup inclusions? Fortunately, both answers are affirmative. We begin with separable extension of the ground field, as an application of Proposition 4.3.1.

**PROPOSITION 4.3.4.** *Let  $G$  be a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group,  $P$  a smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup, and  $K/k$  a separable extension of fields. Then  $P$  is pseudo-parabolic in  $G$  if and only if  $P_K$  is pseudo-parabolic in  $G_K$ , and for a maximal  $k$ -torus  $T \subset G$  the map  $P \mapsto \Phi((P/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G))_{k_s}, T_{k_s})$  is a bijection between the set of pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  containing  $T$  and the set of  $\text{Gal}(k_s/k)$ -stable parabolic sets of roots in  $\Phi((G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G))_{k_s}, T_{k_s})$ .*

**PROOF.** By Galois descent and Proposition 4.3.1(i), the bijectivity assertion follows from the equivalence of pseudo-parabolicity for  $P$  and  $P_K$ . Since it is obvious that  $P_K$  is pseudo-parabolic when  $P$  is pseudo-parabolic, we assume  $P_K$  is pseudo-parabolic and must show that  $P$  is pseudo-parabolic. By definition of pseudo-parabolicity and the equality in (1.2.1.1) for separable  $K/k$ , we have

$$\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)_K = \mathcal{R}_{u,K}(G_K) \subset P_K,$$

so  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G) \subset P$ . Thus, we may pass to  $G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  so that  $G$  is pseudo-reductive.

Suppose  $k = k_s$ , and choose a maximal  $k$ -torus  $T \subset P$ . By Lemma 2.3.9 (applied to the pseudo-parabolic  $K$ -subgroup  $P_K \subset G_K$  containing the  $K$ -split  $T_K$ ) there exists  $\lambda \in X_*(T_K) = X_*(T)$  such that  $P_K = P_{G_K}(\lambda_K) = P_G(\lambda)_K$ , so  $P = P_G(\lambda)$  is pseudo-parabolic as desired. Hence, our remaining problem for general  $k$  is one of descent from  $k_s$  to  $k$ .

Let  $T$  be a (possibly non-split) maximal  $k$ -torus in  $P$ , so it is also maximal in  $G$ . By Lemma 2.3.9, we may write  $P_{k_s} = P_{G_{k_s}}(\mu)$  for some  $\mu \in X_*(T_{k_s})$ , and the problem is that  $\mu$  might not be  $\text{Gal}(k_s/k)$ -invariant. Indeed, if  $\mu$  were Galois-invariant then it would descend to a  $k$ -homomorphism  $\mu_0 : \text{GL}_1 \rightarrow T$  and so  $P_{k_s} = P_{G_{k_s}}((\mu_0)_{k_s}) = P_G(\mu_0)_{k_s}$ , yielding that  $P = P_G(\mu_0)$  is pseudo-parabolic as desired. To overcome this problem we shall use Proposition 4.3.1.

Let  $k'/k$  be a finite Galois extension splitting  $T$ , so a  $k'$ -homomorphism  $\mu' : \text{GL}_1 \rightarrow T_{k'}$  exists that descends  $\mu$ . For each  $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(k'/k)$ , the natural identification of  $G_{k'}$  with its  $\sigma$ -twist  $\sigma^*(G_{k'})$  implies that as  $k'$ -subgroups of  $G_{k'}$  we have

$$P_{k'} = \sigma^*(P_{k'}) = P_{G_{k'}}(\sigma.\mu').$$

Comparing Lie algebras yields

$$\Phi_{\sigma.\mu' \geq 0} = \Phi_{\mu' \geq 0},$$

so for each  $a \in \Phi$  either  $\langle a, \sigma.\mu' \rangle \geq 0$  for all  $\sigma$  or  $\langle a, \sigma.\mu' \rangle < 0$  for all  $\sigma$ . Hence, for the Galois-invariant  $\lambda' = \sum_{\sigma} \sigma.\mu'$  we have  $\langle a, \lambda' \rangle \geq 0$  precisely when  $\langle a, \mu' \rangle \geq 0$ , which is to say  $\Phi(P_{k'}, T_{k'}) = \Phi_{\lambda' \geq 0} = \Phi(P_{G_{k'}}(\lambda'), T_{k'})$ . By Proposition 4.3.1(i) it follows that  $P_{k'} = P_{G_{k'}}(\lambda')$ . As we saw above, this implies the pseudo-parabolicity of the  $k$ -subgroup  $P$  in  $G$  since  $\lambda'$  is  $\text{Gal}(k'/k)$ -invariant.  $\square$

As an application of the two preceding propositions, we can establish the transitivity of pseudo-parabolicity:

**COROLLARY 4.3.5.** *Let  $P$  be a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$ . A smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup  $Q$  of  $P$  is pseudo-parabolic in  $P$  if and only if it is pseudo-parabolic in  $G$ .*

The idea behind the proof of Corollary 4.3.5 is as follows. By Proposition 4.3.4 we may assume  $k = k_s$  (so all  $k$ -tori are split). The argument for pseudo-reductive  $G$  involves a detailed study of root groups, building on the description of  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  in Proposition 4.3.1(ii). The most difficult part is to show that every pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of  $P/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  is the image of a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $P$ . This rests on a description (given in [CGP, Prop. 2.2.8(2)]) of parabolic sets of roots in terms of a basis of a root system (rather than via the construction  $\Phi_{\lambda \geq 0}$ ). We refer the reader to [CGP, Lemma 3.5.5] for the details.

In the theory of connected reductive groups, it is an important theorem that every parabolic subgroup is its own normalizer. In traditional developments this is proved at the level of geometric points, and the stronger result of equality with its scheme-theoretic normalizer is [SGA3, XXII, 5.8.5]. (See [CGP, p. 469] for the existence of the scheme-theoretic normalizer of any smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup of a smooth  $k$ -group.) In the general case the same strengthened normalizer result holds for pseudo-parabolic subgroups:

**PROPOSITION 4.3.6.** *Every pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P$  of a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$  coincides with its own scheme-theoretic normalizer.*

**PROOF.** We may assume  $k = k_s$ . For a smooth  $k$ -subgroup  $H \subset G$  and  $h \in H(k)$  let  $f_h(g) = hgh^{-1}g^{-1}$  (so  $f_h(1) = 1$ ). Since  $H(k)$  is Zariski-dense in the  $k$ -smooth  $H$ , the scheme-theoretic normalizer  $N_G(H)$  of  $H$  in  $G$  is  $\bigcap_{h \in H(k)} f_h^{-1}(H)$  by construction. The pointed map  $f_h$  induces  $\text{Ad}_G(h) - \text{id}$  on the tangent space  $\text{Lie}(G)$  at 1, so

$$\text{Lie}(N_G(H)) = \{X \in \text{Lie}(G) \mid \text{Ad}_G(h)(X) - X \in \text{Lie}(H) \text{ for all } h \in H(k)\}.$$

The first step is to show that  $\text{Lie}(P) = \text{Lie}(N_G(P))$ , which is to say that the smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $N_G(P)$  has full Lie algebra and hence coincides with  $N_G(P)^0$  as schemes; in particular,  $N_G(P)$  would then be  $k$ -smooth. Pick a maximal  $k$ -torus  $T \subset P$ , so  $T$  is split. If the inclusion  $\text{Lie}(P) \subset \text{Lie}(N_G(P))$  of  $T$ -stable subspaces of  $\text{Lie}(G)$  were strict then (as  $T$  is split) we could find an element  $X$  in a  $T$ -weight space of  $\text{Lie}(N_G(P))$  such that  $X$  is not in  $\text{Lie}(P)$ . Let  $a \in X(T)$  be the eigencharacter for  $X$ . Writing  $P = P_G(\lambda)\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  for some  $\lambda \in X_*(T)$  (Lemma 2.3.9), we have  $(a(t) - 1)X \in \text{Lie}(P)$  for all  $t \in T(k)$ . This forces  $a = 1$ , which is to say

$$X \in \text{Lie}(G)^T = \text{Lie}(Z_G(T)) \subset \text{Lie}(Z_G(\lambda)) \subset \text{Lie}(P),$$

a contradiction.

We have proved that  $N_G(P)$  is smooth with identity component  $P$ , so since  $k = k_s$  it remains only to show that any  $g \in G(k)$  normalizing  $P$  lies in  $P(k)$ . We may pass to  $G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  since  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G) \subset P$ , so now  $G$  is pseudo-reductive. Since  $P(k)$  is Zariski-dense in  $P$  (as  $k = k_s$ ), it is the same to show that  $P(k)$  is its own normalizer in  $G(k)$  (i.e., every  $g \in G(k)$  satisfying  $gP(k)g^{-1} = P(k)$  lies in  $P(k)$ ). Choose a minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $B \subset P$  of  $G$  such that  $B$  contains  $T$ , as may be done by Propositions 3.3.7, 4.3.1, and 4.3.4. By Theorem 4.1.7,  $(B(k), N_G(T)(k))$  is a BN-pair for  $G(k)$ . For any group  $G$  equipped with a

BN-pair  $(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{N})$ , every subgroup  $\mathbf{P} \subset \mathbf{G}$  containing  $\mathbf{B}$  is equal to its own normalizer in  $\mathbf{G}$  [Bou, IV, §2.6, Thm. 4(iv)]. Thus, we are done.  $\square$

In Remark 2.3.10(iii) we noted that (in contrast with parabolicity) it is not at all obvious if a smooth closed subgroup  $Q$  of a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$  is necessarily pseudo-parabolic when it contains a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup. As in the reductive case, the answer is affirmative:

**PROPOSITION 4.3.7.** *A smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup  $Q$  of a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$  is pseudo-parabolic if it contains a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P \subset G$ .*

**PROOF.** There is no harm in assuming  $k = k_s$ , shrinking  $P$  to be minimal, and passing to  $G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$ , so  $G$  is pseudo-reductive. Choose a maximal  $k$ -torus  $T \subset P$ , so  $\Phi(P, T)$  is a positive system of roots in  $G$ . Writing  $P = P_G(\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda \in X_*(T)$  not vanishing on  $\Phi$ , the image  $P'$  of  $P_{\bar{k}}$  in  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  is the (pseudo-)parabolic subgroup  $P_{G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}}(\lambda_{\bar{k}})$  that is visibly a Borel subgroup (as  $\Phi(G, T)$  and  $\Phi(G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}, T_{\bar{k}})$  coincide up to rational multipliers). Hence, the image  $Q'$  of  $Q_{\bar{k}}$  in  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  contains a Borel subgroup, so it is parabolic. Thus,  $Q'$  corresponds to a parabolic set of roots in  $\Phi(G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}, T_{\bar{k}})$  containing  $\Phi(P', T_{\bar{k}})$ ; i.e., it is the set of roots with non-negative pairing against some  $\mu \in X_*(T_{\bar{k}}) = X_*(T)$ .

The idea is to use an analysis of root groups to show that  $P_G(\mu) \subset Q$ , and to show that this inclusion is an equality on Lie algebras, so  $P_G(\mu) = Q^0$ . It would then follow that  $Q$  normalizes the pseudo-parabolic  $P_G(\mu)$ , so Proposition 4.3.6 would imply that  $Q = P_G(\mu)$ . The study of root groups to compare  $P_G(\mu)$  and  $Q$  rests on first showing that the natural map  $W(Q, T) \rightarrow W(Q', T_{\bar{k}})$  is an isomorphism (and using transitivity of the  $W(Q', T_{\bar{k}})$ -action on the set of positive systems of roots in  $\Phi(Q'^{\text{red}}, T_{\bar{k}})$ ); see the proof of [CGP, Prop. 3.5.8] for the details.  $\square$

## 5. Refined structure theory

**5.1. Further rational conjugacy.** As a supplement to Theorem 4.2.9 we wish to establish  $G(k)$ -conjugacy of all minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups, as well as rational conjugacy for maximal  $k$ -split unipotent and maximal  $k$ -split solvable smooth connected  $k$ -subgroups. We begin with two preliminary results, the first of which is an application of Tits' structure theory for unipotent groups in positive characteristic [CGP, App. B]:

**THEOREM 5.1.1 (Tits).** *For any smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $H$ , the formation of its maximal  $k$ -split smooth connected unipotent normal  $k$ -subgroup  $\mathcal{R}_{u,s,k}(H)$  commutes with separable extension on  $k$  and  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(H)/\mathcal{R}_{u,s,k}(H)$  does not contain  $\mathbf{G}_a$  as a  $k$ -group.*

See [CGP, Cor. B.3.5] for a proof. In general, a smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -group  $U$  not containing  $\mathbf{G}_a$  as a  $k$ -subgroup is called  *$k$ -wound* (see [CGP, Def. B.2.1, Prop. B.3.2] for alternative characterizations). A very useful property of such  $k$ -groups is that they admit *no nontrivial action* by a  $k$ -torus [CGP, Prop. B.4.4]. This is used in the proof of:

PROPOSITION 5.1.2. *For a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$ , pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P$ , and a maximal split  $k$ -torus  $S$  in  $P$ , the centralizer  $Z_G(S)$  is contained in  $P$  (so  $S$  is maximal as a  $k$ -split torus in  $G$ ). Moreover,  $P$  is minimal in  $G$  if and only if  $P = Z_G(S)\mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P)$ .*

In the reductive case this is a well-known result (essentially part of [Bo2, 20.6]).

PROOF. Assuming  $Z_G(S) \subset P$ , so  $Z_G(S)$  normalizes every normal  $k$ -subgroup of  $P$ , we claim that  $Z_G(S)\mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P) = Z_G(S)\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  or equivalently that the image of  $S$  in  $P/\mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P)$  centralizes  $U := \mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)/\mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P)$ . But  $U$  is  $k$ -wound, so any action on it by a  $k$ -torus must be trivial [CGP, Prop. B.4.4].

For the rest of the argument we may and do consider  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  instead of  $\mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P)$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G) \subset \mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  by definition of pseudo-parabolicity, and the image of  $S$  in  $P/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  is clearly a maximal split  $k$ -torus, we can pass to  $G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  so that  $G$  is pseudo-reductive. Now  $P = P_G(\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda : \mathrm{GL}_1 \rightarrow P$ , so  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P) = U_G(\lambda)$ . Let  $T$  be a maximal  $k$ -torus of  $P$  containing  $S$ . We can assume that  $\lambda$  is valued in  $T$  (Lemma 2.3.9) and then, as  $S$  is the maximal split subtorus of  $T$ ,  $\lambda$  is actually valued in  $S$ . Thus,  $Z_G(S) \subset Z_G(\lambda) \subset P_G(\lambda) = P$ . In particular,  $Z_G(S) = Z_P(S)$ .

The pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups of  $P$  are precisely the pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  that are contained in  $P$  (Corollary 4.3.5), so  $P$  is minimal in  $G$  if and only if the pseudo-reductive quotient  $\bar{P} := P/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  does not contain any proper pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup. The image  $\bar{S}$  of  $S$  in  $\bar{P}$  is clearly a maximal split  $k$ -torus in  $\bar{P}$ , and  $Z_P(S) \rightarrow Z_{\bar{P}}(\bar{S})$  is surjective. Thus, we may rename  $\bar{P}$  as  $G$  to reduce to showing that if  $G$  is pseudo-reductive then it has no non-central split  $k$ -tori if and only if it has no proper pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup. This equivalence is [CGP, Lemma 2.2.3(1)].  $\square$

THEOREM 5.1.3 (Borel–Tits). *The minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups of a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$  are pairwise  $G(k)$ -conjugate.*

PROOF. Let  $P$  be a minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  and let  $Q$  be any pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$ . We seek a  $G(k)$ -conjugate of  $P$  that is contained in  $Q$ . By Proposition 5.1.2,  $P = Z_G(S)U$  for a maximal split  $k$ -torus  $S \subset G$  and  $U := \mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P)$ . The smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $H := SU = S \ltimes U$  is  $k$ -split solvable, so by Lemma 4.2.7 applied to  $H$  and  $Q$  we can replace the triple  $(P, S, U)$  by a suitable  $G(k)$ -conjugate so that  $H \subset Q$ . But maximality of  $S$  in  $G$  implies that  $S$  is a maximal split  $k$ -torus in  $Q$ , so  $Q$  contains  $Z_G(S)$  by Proposition 5.1.2. Hence,  $Q$  contains  $Z_G(S)U = P$ .  $\square$

In §5.2–§5.4 we will extend to general smooth connected affine  $k$ -groups the Borel–Tits structure theory of arbitrary connected reductive  $k$ -groups (replacing parabolic  $k$ -subgroups with pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups). This requires the following generalization of a well-known result in the reductive case:

PROPOSITION 5.1.4. *Consider pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups  $P, Q$  of a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$ .*

- (i) *The  $k$ -group scheme  $P \cap Q$  is smooth and connected, and its maximal  $k$ -tori are maximal in  $G$ . Moreover,  $P \subset Q$  if and only if  $\mathrm{Lie}(P) \subset \mathrm{Lie}(Q)$ .*
- (ii) *The image of  $P \cap Q$  in  $P/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  is pseudo-parabolic.*



- (iii) *If  $P \cap Q$  is pseudo-parabolic then the  $k$ -subgroups  $P$  and  $Q$  are  $G(k)$ -conjugate if and only if  $P = Q$ .*

PROOF. Without loss of generality we may assume  $k = k_s$  and  $G$  is pseudo-reductive. We shall first use the pseudo-split Bruhat decomposition in Theorem 4.1.7 to find a (split) maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$  of  $G$  contained in both  $P$  and  $Q$ . For this purpose, there is no harm in first shrinking  $P$  and  $Q$  to be minimal. Now choose a maximal  $k$ -torus  $S$  of  $G$  contained in  $P$ ; we shall find a  $P(k)$ -conjugate of  $S$  contained in  $Q$ . By Theorem 5.1.3,  $Q = gPg^{-1}$  for some  $g \in G(k)$  since  $P$  and  $Q$  are minimal. The pseudo-split Bruhat decomposition provides  $p, p' \in P(k)$  and  $n \in N_G(S)(k)$  such that  $g = pnp'$ . Hence,  $Q = pnPn^{-1}p^{-1}$ , so  $Q$  contains  $pnSn^{-1}p^{-1} = pSp^{-1}$ . But clearly  $P$  also contains  $pSp^{-1}$ , so  $T := pSp^{-1}$  is contained in both  $P$  and  $Q$ .

We can describe  $P$  and  $Q$  in terms of the Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $Z_G(T)$  and suitable  $T$ -root groups. This provides a mechanism one can use to prove smoothness of  $P \cap Q$  in (i) via the study of Lie algebras as  $T$ -representation spaces, after which the final assertion in (i) is clear since  $\text{Lie}(P \cap Q) = \text{Lie}(P) \cap \text{Lie}(Q)$ . A lengthy analysis of root systems and root groups is required to deduce (ii). The reader is referred to the proof of [CGP, Prop. 3.5.12] for the details.  $\square$

The results on rational conjugacy of maximal split tori (Theorem 4.2.9) and minimal pseudo-parabolic subgroups (Theorem 5.1.3) admit analogues announced by Borel and Tits for maximal split (smooth connected) unipotent subgroups and maximal split (smooth connected) solvable subgroups. The essential step is to prove that the maximal  $k$ -split smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -subgroups of a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group are precisely  $\mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P)$  for minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups  $P$ . A proof inspired by ideas of Kempf [Kem] is given in [CGP, C.3], to which we also refer for a complete discussion of the following consequences:

**THEOREM 5.1.5.** *Let  $U$  be a  $k$ -split smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -subgroup of a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$ , and let  $H$  be a (possibly disconnected) smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  normalizing  $U$ . There exists a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  containing  $H$  such that  $U \subset \mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P)$ .*

In the special case  $H = 1$  this says that there exists a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P$  satisfying  $U \subset \mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P)$ . But if a  $k$ -subgroup  $Q \subset P$  is pseudo-parabolic (either in  $P$  or in  $G$ , equivalent conditions on  $Q$  by Corollary 4.3.5) then  $\mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P)$  is normal in  $Q$  and hence by computing over  $k_s$  we see that  $\mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P) \subset \mathcal{R}_{us,k}(Q)$ .

Thus, a special case of Theorem 5.1.5 is that the maximal  $k$ -split smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -subgroups  $U$  in a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  are precisely  $\mathcal{R}_{us,k}(Q)$  for the minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups  $Q$  of  $G$ , all of which are  $G(k)$ -conjugate to each other by Theorem 5.1.3. (In Corollary 5.1.7 we will see that this description of the maximal  $U$  remains valid *without* a pseudo-reductivity hypothesis on  $G$ .)

It follows that if  $G$  is an arbitrary smooth connected affine  $k$ -group with *no* proper pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup (equivalently, all  $k$ -split tori in  $G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  are central [CGP, Lemma 2.2.3(1)]) then the image in  $G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  of any  $k$ -split smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -group  $U \subset G$  must be trivial and so  $U \subset \mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$ . But  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)/\mathcal{R}_{us,k}(G)$  is  $k$ -wound, so it receives no nontrivial  $k$ -homomorphism from  $U$ , forcing  $U \subset \mathcal{R}_{us,k}(G)$ . In other words:

**THEOREM 5.1.6.** *If a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$  has no proper pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup then  $\mathcal{R}_{us,k}(G)$  contains every  $k$ -split unipotent smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$ . In particular, if  $G$  is pseudo-reductive then it contains a non-central  $\mathrm{GL}_1$  if and only if it contains  $\mathbf{G}_a$  as a  $k$ -subgroup.*

**COROLLARY 5.1.7.** *For a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$ , the maximal  $k$ -split smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -subgroups  $U$  of  $G$  are precisely  $\mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P)$  for the minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups  $P$  of  $G$ .*

**PROOF.** Since all such  $P$  are  $G(k)$ -conjugate to each other by Theorem 5.1.3, we may choose one such  $P$  and seek a  $G(k)$ -conjugate of  $U$  contained in  $\mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P)$ . By Lemma 4.2.7, by passing to such a conjugate we can arrange that  $U \subset P$ . But  $\bar{P} := P/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  is a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group with no proper pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup (due to the minimality of  $P$  and Corollary 4.3.5), so  $\bar{P}$  does not contain any nontrivial  $k$ -split smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -subgroup. Hence,  $U$  has trivial image in  $\bar{P}$ , so  $U \subset \mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$ . The  $k$ -wound quotient  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)/\mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P)$  receives no nontrivial  $k$ -homomorphism from the  $k$ -split  $U$ , so  $U \subset \mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P)$ .  $\square$

Beware that the assertion in Theorem 5.1.6 relating non-central split tori and split unipotent subgroups in pseudo-reductive groups has no analogue without the “split” hypothesis, even in the semisimple case. More specifically, for suitable  $k$  there exist  $k$ -anisotropic connected semisimple groups that contain (necessarily  $k$ -wound!) nontrivial smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -subgroups. Examples of adjoint type A over every local function field are given in [CGP, Rem. C.3.10], and examples in the simply connected case are given in [GQ].

Theorem 5.1.3 and Corollary 5.1.7 yield the unipotent case of:

**THEOREM 5.1.8.** *For a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$ , the maximal  $k$ -split unipotent smooth connected  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  are pairwise  $G(k)$ -conjugate and likewise for the maximal  $k$ -split solvable smooth connected  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$ .*

By using Proposition 5.1.2, the conjugacy of maximal  $k$ -split solvable smooth connected  $k$ -subgroups can be deduced without difficulty from the conjugacy of maximal  $k$ -split unipotent smooth connected  $k$ -subgroups if  $G$  is pseudo-reductive (as pseudo-reductivity of  $G$  implies that  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  is  $k$ -split for any pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P \subset G$ ). However, for general  $G$  we cannot pass to the pseudo-reductive case since the quotient map  $G \rightarrow G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  can fail to be surjective on  $k$ -points when  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  is not  $k$ -split. See [CGP, Thm. C.3.12] for the additional arguments to overcome this problem.

**PROPOSITION 5.1.9.** *Let  $G$  be a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group that is quasi-reductive (i.e.,  $\mathcal{R}_{us,k}(G) = 1$ ). Any maximal proper smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  either is quasi-reductive or is pseudo-parabolic in  $G$ .*

**PROOF.** Assume  $M$  is not quasi-reductive, so  $U := \mathcal{R}_{us,k}(M) \neq 1$ . By applying Theorem 5.1.5 to the images of  $U$  and  $M$  in the maximal pseudo-reductive quotient  $G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  of  $G$ , we obtain a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  containing  $M$  such that  $U \subset \mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P)$ . Since  $\mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P) \neq 1$  (as  $U \neq 1$ ),  $P$  is a proper  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Thus, maximality of  $M$  implies that  $M = P$ .  $\square$

The following result was proved by V. V. Morozov over fields of characteristic 0 and was announced by Borel and Tits in general in [BoTi3].

PROPOSITION 5.1.10. *Let  $G$  be a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group. A smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  is pseudo-parabolic if and only if it is the maximal smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  normalizing  $U := \mathcal{R}_{us,k}(H^0)$ .*

PROOF. If  $H$  is pseudo-parabolic in  $G$  then  $H(k_s)$  is the normalizer in  $G(k_s)$  of  $U(k_s)$  by [CGP, Cor. 3.5.10], so the desired maximality property for  $H$  holds.

Now assume that  $H$  is the maximal smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  normalizing  $U$ . Let  $P$  be a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  containing  $H$  such that  $U \subset \mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P)$ ; the existence of such a  $P$  is easily seen by applying Theorem 5.1.5 to the images of  $U$  and  $H$  in the maximal pseudo-reductive quotient  $G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  of  $G$ . We will show that  $U = \mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P)$ , so  $P$  normalizes  $U$ . Then the maximality of  $H$  forces the inclusion  $H \subset P$  to be an equality, establishing the desired converse.

Let  $V = \mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P)$ , and define  $V_0$  to be the center of  $V$  if  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  and to be the maximal  $k$ -split smooth connected  $p$ -torsion central  $k$ -subgroup of  $V$  when  $\text{char}(k) = p > 0$  (so  $V_0 \neq 1$  when  $V \neq 1$ , using [CGP, Cor. B.3.3] if  $\text{char}(k) > 0$ ). Iterate this for  $V/V_0$  to obtain  $k$ -split smooth connected  $k$ -subgroups  $V_0 \subset V_1 \subset \dots \subset V_n = V$  normalized by  $P$  such that  $V_0$  is central in  $V$  and  $V_j/V_{j-1}$  is central in  $V/V_{j-1}$  for  $0 < j \leq n$ .

Suppose  $V_j$  normalizes  $U$ , as happens for  $j = 0$  (by centrality of  $V_0$  in  $V \supset U$ ). The smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup  $\langle H, V_j \rangle \subset G$  containing  $H$  normalizes  $U$ , so the maximality hypothesis on  $H$  forces  $\langle H, V_j \rangle = H$ ; i.e.,  $V_j \subset H$ . But  $V_j$  is then normal in  $H$  (since  $H \subset P$  and  $V_j$  is normal in  $P$ ), so the  $k$ -split smooth connected unipotent  $V_j$  is contained in  $U$ . Now  $U/V_j$  makes sense and is a  $k$ -subgroup of  $V/V_j$ . If  $j < n$  then the central  $V_{j+1}/V_j \subset V/V_j$  certainly normalizes  $U/V_j$ , so  $V_{j+1}$  normalizes  $U$ . We may induct on  $j$  to eventually obtain that  $V = V_n \subset U$ , so  $V = U$  as desired.  $\square$

**5.2. General Bruhat decomposition.** We will give a proof of a general Bruhat decomposition (announced by Borel and Tits) that removes the pseudo-split and pseudo-reductivity hypothesis in Theorem 4.1.7. This requires an important preliminary result:

PROPOSITION 5.2.1. *The intersection of two pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups in a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$  contains  $Z_G(S)$  for some maximal split  $k$ -torus  $S \subset G$ .*

PROOF. Let  $P$  and  $P'$  be two pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$ . By Proposition 5.1.4(i) we can find a maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$  of  $G$  contained in  $P \cap P'$ . Let  $T_0$  be the maximal split  $k$ -torus in  $T$ . By Lemma 2.3.9, there exist  $\lambda, \lambda' \in X_*(T)$  such that  $P = P_G(\lambda)\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  and  $P' = P_G(\lambda')\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$ . The  $k$ -homomorphisms  $\lambda, \lambda' : \text{GL}_1 \rightrightarrows T$  are valued in  $T_0$ , so clearly  $Z_G(T_0) \subset Z_G(\lambda) \cap Z_G(\lambda') \subset P \cap P'$ . Let  $S$  be a maximal  $k$ -split torus of  $G$  containing  $T_0$ . Then  $Z_G(S) \subset Z_G(T_0) \subset P \cap P'$ .  $\square$

THEOREM 5.2.2 (General Bruhat decomposition). *Let  $G$  be a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group. For any maximal split  $k$ -torus  $S$  and minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P$  containing  $S$ ,  $G(k) = \coprod_{w \in W} P(k)n_w P(k)$  where  $W := N(k)/Z(k)$  for  $N = N_G(S)$  and  $Z = Z_G(S)$  with  $n_w \in N(k)$  a representative of  $w \in W$ .*

PROOF. First we show that every  $P(k)$ -double coset in  $G(k)$  meets  $N(k)$ . For  $g \in G(k)$ , by Proposition 5.2.1 we may choose a maximal split  $k$ -torus  $S'$  of  $G$  contained in  $P \cap gPg^{-1}$ , so the tori  $S, S'$ , and  $g^{-1}S'g$  are maximal split  $k$ -tori in  $P$ . They are  $P(k)$ -conjugate by Theorem 4.2.9, so we obtain  $p, p' \in P(k)$  such that

$pS'p^{-1} = S = p'g^{-1}S'gp'^{-1}$ . Hence,  $p^{-1}$  and  $gp'^{-1}$  each conjugate  $S$  into  $S'$ , so  $pgp'^{-1} \in N(k)$ ; i.e.,  $g \in p^{-1}N(k)p'$ . (Note that the proof of Proposition 5.2.1 rests on Proposition 5.1.4(i), whose proof uses the *pseudo-split* Bruhat decomposition in Theorem 4.1.7 over  $k_s$ .)

By Proposition 5.1.2, in such cases  $P = ZU$  for  $U := \mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$ . Group-theoretic manipulations resting on Theorem 4.2.9 (given at the end of the proof of [CGP, Thm. C.2.8]) allow one to reduce the pairwise disjointness of the double cosets to the disjointness of  $P(k)nP(k)$  from  $P(k)$  for any  $n \in N(k) - Z(k)$ . An even stronger statement is true for such  $n$ : the locally closed subset  $PnP \subset G$  is disjoint from  $P$ . Equivalently, we claim that  $P(\bar{k}) \cap N(\bar{k}) = Z(\bar{k})$ .

That is, if an element  $g \in P(\bar{k})$  normalizes  $S_{\bar{k}}$  then we claim that its conjugation action on  $S_{\bar{k}}$  is trivial. The natural map  $S \rightarrow P/U$  is a  $k$ -subgroup inclusion since  $S$  is a torus and  $U$  is unipotent, so it suffices to check that  $g$ -conjugation on  $(P/U)_{\bar{k}}$  is trivial on  $S_{\bar{k}}$ . But  $P = ZU$  with  $Z = Z_G(S)$ , so this is clear.  $\square$

**REMARK 5.2.3.** Consider minimal  $P$  in the setting of Theorem 5.2.2. In view of the disjointness of  $PnP$  and  $P$  inside  $G$  for  $n \in N(k) - Z(k)$  as established in the proof above, it is natural to ask more generally if the locally closed subsets  $PnP$  and  $Pn'P$  are disjoint for  $n, n' \in N(k)$  that lie in distinct  $Z(k)$ -cosets. This is equivalent to disjointness of sets  $P(\bar{k})nP(\bar{k})$  and  $P(\bar{k})n'P(\bar{k})$ .

The elementary group-theoretic argument which reduces the disjointness of  $P(k)nP(k)$  and  $P(k)n'P(k)$  to the disjointness of  $P(k)$  and  $P(k)n^{-1}n'P(k)$  rests on Theorem 4.2.9 (which is sensitive to extension of the ground field) and so does not carry over to the level of geometric points. The disjointness does hold on geometric points, but its proof requires an entirely different approach, making use of dynamic considerations (especially that the open immersion in Theorem 2.3.5(ii) is an equality in the solvable case) after preliminary reduction to the pseudo-reductive case. See [CGP, Rem. C.2.9] for further details.

**5.3. Relative roots.** The structure of general connected reductive groups is controlled by relative root systems (which treat the anisotropic case as a black box), and in this section we sketch how it can be extended to arbitrary smooth connected affine groups.

As an application of rational conjugacy theorems for maximal  $k$ -split tori and minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups, as well as the disjointness of  $P$  and  $PnP$  (rather than just of  $P(k)$  and  $P(k)nP(k)$ ) for minimal  $P$  and  $n \in N(k) - Z(k)$  shown in the proof of Theorem 5.2.2, there is a good notion of relative Weyl group beyond the pseudo-split pseudo-reductive case (in Proposition 4.1.3):

**PROPOSITION 5.3.1.** *Let  $S$  be a maximal split  $k$ -torus in a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$ . The finite étale quotient  $W(G, S) := N_G(S)/Z_G(S)$  is constant, and the inclusion  $N_G(S)(k)/Z_G(S)(k) \hookrightarrow W(G, S)(k)$  is an equality.*

**PROOF.** Let  $N = N_G(S)$ ,  $Z = Z_G(S)$ , and  $W = W(G, S)$ . For any  $n \in N(k_s)$  and  $\gamma \in \text{Gal}(k_s/k)$ , the conjugation actions of  $n$  and  $\gamma(n)$  on  $S_{k_s}$  are related through  $\gamma$ -twisting (using the canonical  $k_s$ -isomorphism between  $S_{k_s}$  and its  $\gamma$ -twist), but all  $k_s$ -automorphisms of  $S_{k_s}$  descend to  $k$ -automorphisms of  $S$  because  $S$  is  $k$ -split. Hence, these two conjugations on  $S_{k_s}$  coincide, which is to say  $\gamma(n)n^{-1} \in Z(k_s)$ . This says exactly that  $W(k_s)$  has trivial Galois action, or in other words that  $W$  is constant.

There is a natural action of  $W(k) = W(k_s) = N(k_s)/Z(k_s)$  on the set  $\mathcal{P}$  of minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  containing  $S$ : if  $P$  is such a  $k$ -subgroup and  $n \in N(k_s)$  then  $nP_{k_s}n^{-1}$  only depends on  $n$  through its  $Z(k_s)$ -coset  $w \in W(k)$  since  $Z \subset P$  (by Proposition 5.1.2). But  $\gamma(n)$  is in the same coset for all  $\gamma \in \text{Gal}(k_s/k)$ , so  $nP_{k_s}n^{-1}$  is  $\text{Gal}(k_s/k)$ -stable inside  $G_{k_s}$  and thus descends to a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  containing  $S$ . This descent is minimal in  $G$  for dimension reasons, due to Theorem 5.1.3. In this way,  $W(k)$  acts on  $\mathcal{P}$ .

We saw in the proof of Theorem 5.2.2 that for every  $P \in \mathcal{P}$  the inclusion  $Z \subset P \cap N$  is an equality on  $\bar{k}$ -points, so the  $W(k)$ -action on  $\mathcal{P}$  is free. Hence, to finish the proof it suffices to show that  $N(k)$  acts transitively on  $\mathcal{P}$ , which in turn is immediate from Theorem 5.1.3 and Theorem 4.2.9 (the latter applied to an element of  $\mathcal{P}$ ).  $\square$

We want to upgrade Proposition 5.3.1 by showing that in the pseudo-reductive case  $\Phi(G, S)$  is a root system in its  $\mathbf{Q}$ -span and that its Weyl group is naturally identified with  $W(G, S)(k)$ . For later purposes with Tits systems, it is convenient to avoid a pseudo-reductivity hypothesis on  $G$ , though one cannot expect  $\Phi(G, S)$  to be a root system without any hypotheses on  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  (e.g., consider the case where  $G$  is a vector group equipped with a linear  $S$ -action). If  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  is  $k$ -wound then it admits no non-trivial action by a  $k$ -torus, so in such cases  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  centralizes  $S$  and hence does not contribute to  $\Phi(G, S)$ .

**THEOREM 5.3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group,  $S$  a maximal split  $k$ -torus,  $P$  a minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup containing  $S$ .*

- (i) *The set  ${}_k\bar{\Phi} = \Phi(G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G), S)$  is a root system in its  $\mathbf{Q}$ -span in  $X(S)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ , its subset  $\Phi(P/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G), S)$  is a positive system of roots, and the natural map  $N_G(S)(k)/Z_G(S)(k) \rightarrow W({}_k\bar{\Phi})$  is an isomorphism.*
- (ii) *The set of pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  containing  $S$  is in bijection with the set of parabolic sets of roots in  ${}_k\bar{\Phi}$  via  $P' \mapsto {}_k\bar{\Phi}_{P'} := \Phi(P'/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G), S)$ , and  $P' \subset P''$  if and only if  ${}_k\bar{\Phi}_{P'} \subset {}_k\bar{\Phi}_{P''}$ .*
- (iii) *There is a root datum  $({}_k\bar{\Phi}, X(S), {}_k\bar{\Phi}^{\vee}, X_*(S))$  using a canonically associated subset  ${}_k\bar{\Phi}^{\vee} \subset X_*(S) - \{0\}$ .*
- (iv) *Assume  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  is  $k$ -wound. The root system  ${}_k\bar{\Phi}$  consists of the nontrivial  $S$ -weights on  $\text{Lie}(G)$  and its  $\mathbf{Q}$ -span coincides with  $X(S')_{\mathbf{Q}}$ , where  $S'$  is the subtorus  $(S \cap \mathcal{D}(G))_{\text{red}}^0$  in  $S$  that is an isogeny complement to the maximal split central  $k$ -torus  $S_0 \subset G$ . Moreover,  ${}_k\bar{\Phi}^{\vee} \subset X_*(S')$ .*

The proof of this theorem is rather long; we refer to [CGP, Thm. C.2.15] for the details and explain here just two points: why  $N_G(S)(k)/Z_G(S)(k)$  is unaffected by passing to  $\bar{G} := G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  (even though  $G(k) \rightarrow \bar{G}(k)$  is generally not surjective) and how coroots are built (since the method has nothing to do with a rank-1 classification as in the reductive case).

We have  $N_G(S)(k)/Z_G(S)(k) = W(G, S)(k)$  by Proposition 5.3.1, and the map of finite étale (even constant)  $k$ -groups  $W(G, S) \rightarrow W(\bar{G}, S)$  is an isomorphism due to [CGP, Lemma 3.2.1] (using that  $\ker(G \twoheadrightarrow \bar{G})$  is unipotent), so passing to  $k$ -points gives the desired invariance under passage to  $\bar{G}$  (and so reduces the problem of relating  $W(G, S)(k)$  and  $W({}_k\bar{\Phi})$  to the case where  $G$  is pseudo-reductive).

For each  $a \in {}_k\bar{\Phi}$ , we shall define the associated cocharacter  $a^{\vee} \in X_*(S)$  using the scheme-theoretic kernel  $\ker a$  that is of multiplicative type (contained in  $S$ ) and

so has smooth scheme-theoretic centralizer  $Z_G(\ker a)$  [CGP, Prop. A.8.10(1),(2)]. For  $G_a := Z_G(\ker a)^0$  containing  $S$ , by [CGP, Prop. A.8.14] its maximal pseudo-reductive quotient maps isomorphically onto the analogue  $\overline{G}_a$  for  $\overline{G}$ . The centrality of  $\ker a$  in  $G_a$  implies that the finite group  $W(G_a, S)(k)$  has order at most 2; its order is actually 2 because  $N_{G_a}(S)(k)$  acts transitively on the set of minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups of  $G_a$  (Theorem 5.1.3) and there are two such subgroups [CGP, Lemma C.2.14] (proved by dynamic considerations with the maximal pseudo-reductive quotient  $G_a/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G_a) \simeq \overline{G}_a$  in which  $S$  is non-central due to nontriviality of its adjoint representation).

Since  $W(G_a, S)(k)$  is naturally a subgroup of  $W(G, S)(k)$ , we may define  $r_a \in W(G, S)(k)$  to be the unique nontrivial element in  $W(G_a, S)(k)$ . The endomorphism of  $S$  defined by  $s \mapsto s/r_a(s)$  kills  $\ker a$  because  $\ker a$  is central in  $G_a$ , so there exists a unique  $a^\vee \in X_*(S)$  such that  $s/r_a(s) = a^\vee(a(s))$  since the map  $S/(\ker a) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1$  defined via  $a$  is an isomorphism. This yields the habitual formula  $r_a(x) = x - \langle x, a^\vee \rangle a$  on  $X(S)$ .

Building on Theorem 5.3.2, we now associate a BN-pair (in the sense of Definition 4.1.6) to the triple  $(G, S, P)$ , allowing us to analyze the structure of  $G(k)$  (especially when  $G$  is pseudo-reductive, or more generally when  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  is  $k$ -wound):

**THEOREM 5.3.3.** *In the setting of Theorem 5.3.2,  $(P(k), N_G(S)(k))$  is a BN-pair for  $G(k)$  with associated Weyl group  $W({}_k\overline{\Phi})$  and distinguished set of involutions  $R := \{r_a\}_{a \in \Delta}$  for the basis  $\Delta$  of the positive system of roots  ${}_k\overline{\Phi}_P \subset {}_k\overline{\Phi}$ .*

In the pseudo-split pseudo-reductive case this recovers Theorem 4.1.7.

**PROOF.** We sketch a few main points, referring to [CGP, Thm. C.2.20] for full details. That  $P(k)$  and  $N_G(S)(k)$  generate  $G(k)$  is immediate from the Bruhat decomposition in Theorem 5.2.2, the proof of which showed  $P(k) \cap N_G(S)(k) = Z_G(S)(k)$  (so (BN1) in Definition 4.1.6 holds). Hence, the final assertion in Theorem 5.3.2(i) identifies the associated Weyl group with  $W({}_k\overline{\Phi})$ , and by the theory of root systems the latter is generated by  $R$ .

To verify (BN2), it remains to show that elements  $r \in R$  (or rather, their representatives in  $N_G(S)(k)$ ) do not normalize  $P(k)$ . Such elements certainly do not normalize  $P$ , since  $P = N_G(P)$  by Proposition 4.3.6 and  $P \cap N_G(S)(k) = Z_G(S)(k)$ , but working with just  $P(k)$  rather than  $P$  will require a finer technique with “root groups” for  $G$  (not assumed to be pseudo-reductive) since  $P(k)$  is generally not Zariski-dense in  $P$  (see Example 2.1.5).

For any  $b \in X(S) - \{0\}$ , define the smooth connected *root group*  $U_b := H_{(b)}(G)$  via the construction in Proposition 3.3.1. This is unipotent, and in the pseudo-split pseudo-reductive case it recovers the notion of root group considered already in such cases in Corollary 3.1.10 when  $U_b \neq 1$  (since in any root system, such as  ${}_k\overline{\Phi}$ , the only possible root that is a nontrivial  $\mathbf{Q}_{>0}$ -multiple of a given root  $c$  is either  $2c$  or  $c/2$  and not both). We do not make any claims yet concerning the commutativity of  $U_b$  for non-multipliable  $b \in {}_k\overline{\Phi}$ , even assuming  $G$  is pseudo-reductive but possibly not pseudo-split (we will address this later, in Proposition 5.4.2).

Since the basis  $\Delta$  lies inside the positive system of roots  ${}_k\overline{\Phi}_P$ ,  $U_a \subset P$  for any  $a \in \Delta$  due to the dynamic description of  $\overline{P} := P/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  inside  $\overline{G} := G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$ . (Indeed,  $\overline{P} = P_{\overline{G}}(\lambda)$  for some  $\lambda \in X_*(S)$  satisfying  $\langle a, \lambda \rangle \geq 0$ , so the inclusion  $\overline{U}_a \cap \overline{P} = P_{\overline{U}_a}(\lambda) \subset \overline{U}_a$  between smooth connected  $k$ -groups is an equality on Lie algebras and hence an equality of  $k$ -groups. Thus,  $\overline{U}_a \subset \overline{P}$ ; by the dynamic

construction of  $U_a := H_{\langle a \rangle}(G)$  in the proof of Proposition 3.3.1, the quotient map  $G \rightarrow \overline{G}$  carries  $U_a$  into  $\overline{U}_a \subset \overline{P}$  and so  $U_a \subset P$  as desired.) Hence, the  $r_a$ -conjugate of  $P$  contains  $U_{r_a(a)} = U_{-a}$ , so to verify (BN2) it is sufficient to show that  $U_{-a}(k) \not\subset P(k)$  for  $a \in \Delta$ . This is established using properties of the “ $H_A(G)$ ”-construction (for subsemigroups  $A$  of  $X(S)$ ).

The verification of (BN3) is essentially the same as in the connected reductive case, using calculations with the Bruhat decomposition (which can be applied here, due to Theorem 5.2.2).  $\square$

**REMARK 5.3.4.** The BN-pair  $(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{N})$  arising in Theorem 5.3.3 is well-defined up to  $G(k)$ -conjugation, and is called *standard* for  $G(k)$  (relative to the specification of the  $k$ -group  $G$ ). This BN-pair satisfies some additional properties, as follows. Firstly, the associated Weyl group  $\mathbf{W}$  is obviously finite; this is the *spherical* condition. Moreover, the BN-pair is saturated and weakly split in the sense of Definition 4.1.6 (using  $\mathbf{U} := \mathcal{R}_{us,k}(P)(k)$  for the nilpotent normal subgroup of  $\mathbf{B}$  in the weakly-split property); the verification of these two properties is given in [CGP, Rem. C.2.22]. Finally, by root group considerations, if  $k$  is infinite then  $\mathbf{B} \cap r\mathbf{B}r^{-1}$  is of infinite index in  $\mathbf{B}$  for any  $r \in \mathbf{R}$ .

Remarkably, there is a converse result when  $k$  is infinite and  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  is  $k$ -wound (e.g.,  $G$  is pseudo-reductive): any weakly-split saturated spherical BN-pair  $(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{N})$  for  $G(k)$  (with associated set of involutions in its Weyl group denoted as  $\mathbf{R}$ ) such that  $\mathbf{B} \cap r\mathbf{B}r^{-1}$  is of infinite index in  $\mathbf{B}$  for all  $r \in \mathbf{R}$  *must* arise from a pair  $(S, P)$  in  $G$  provided that the BN-pair satisfies a further mild group-theoretic hypothesis related to the  $k$ -isotropic minimal normal  $k$ -simple pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$ ; see [P, Thm. B, Rem. 1] for a precise statement.

**5.4. Applications of refined structure.** The formalism of BN-pairs provides a unified approach to properties of the subgroup structure of  $G(k)$  for connected semisimple  $k$ -groups  $G$  (of interest with finite  $k$  for finite group theory, and  $k = \mathbf{R}$  for Lie theory); uniform simplicity proofs for  $G(k)/Z_G(k)$  with simply connected  $G$  are an especially useful application of this perspective. For any group  $\mathbf{G}$  equipped with a BN-pair  $(\mathbf{B}, \mathbf{N})$  and the associated set  $\mathbf{R}$  of involutions in the Weyl group  $\mathbf{W}$ , there are  $2^{\#\mathbf{R}}$  subgroups of  $\mathbf{G}$  containing  $\mathbf{B}$ ; these are parameterized by the subsets  $I$  of  $\mathbf{R}$  [Bou, IV, §2.5, Thm. 3(b)].

Relative to the Bruhat decomposition  $\mathbf{G} = \coprod_{w \in \mathbf{W}} \mathbf{B}w\mathbf{B}$ , the subgroup  $\mathbf{G}_I$  associated to  $I$  is uniquely determined by the conditions that it contains  $\mathbf{B}$  and meets  $\mathbf{N}$  in the preimage of the subgroup  $\mathbf{W}_I \subset \mathbf{W}$  generated by  $I$ . Equivalently, the  $\mathbf{B}$ -double cosets in  $\mathbf{G}_I$  are precisely the ones labelled by  $\mathbf{W}_I$  via the Bruhat decomposition. When this result for BN-pairs is applied to  $\mathbf{G} = G(k)$  equipped with its standard BN-pair, one gets precise group-theoretic control over the pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  (even though  $P(k)$  need not be Zariski-dense in  $P$ ):

**THEOREM 5.4.1.** *Let  $G$  be a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group, and choose a minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P$  and a maximal split  $k$ -torus  $S \subset P$ . The map  $Q \mapsto Q(k)$  is a bijection from the set of smooth closed  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  containing  $P$  onto the set of subgroups of  $G(k)$  containing  $P(k)$ . Moreover, for any two such  $Q$  and  $Q'$ , we have  $Q \subset Q'$  if and only if  $Q(k) \subset Q'(k)$ .*

**PROOF.** We saw above that the set of subgroups of  $G(k)$  containing  $P(k)$  is naturally labeled by the set of subsets of the basis  $\Delta$  of  ${}_k\overline{\Phi}_P$ . By construction, this labeling is inclusion-preserving in both directions (i.e.,  $G(k)_I \subset G(k)_{I'}$  if and only

if  $I \subset I'$ ). Since the sets of pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  containing  $S$  and of  $\overline{G} = G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  containing (the naturally isomorphic image of)  $S$  are in bijective correspondence via reduction modulo  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$ , it follows that the possibilities for  $Q$  can be labelled after passing to the pseudo-reductive case (but beware that  $Q(k) \rightarrow (Q/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G))(k)$  can fail to be surjective when  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  is not  $k$ -split).

Recall from Theorem 4.3.7 that any smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  containing  $P$  is pseudo-parabolic. For pseudo-reductive  $G$ , the map  $Q \mapsto \Phi(Q, S)$  is a bijection, inclusion-preserving in both directions, from the set of  $Q$ 's containing  $S$  onto the set of parabolic subsets of  $\Phi(G, S)$  (see Theorem 5.3.2(ii),(iv)). Hence, if  $G$  is pseudo-reductive then the set of those  $Q$  containing  $P$  is labeled by the set of parabolic subsets of  $\Phi(G, S)$  containing the positive system of roots  $\Phi(P, S)$ . But for any root system  $\Psi$ , it is well-known that the set of parabolic subsets containing a given positive system of roots  $\Psi^+$  is naturally labeled by the set of subsets of the basis of simple roots in  $\Psi^+$  [Bou, VI, §1.7, Lemma 3]. Thus, the possibilities for  $Q \supset P$  are parameterized by the set of subsets of  $\Delta$ .

In the proof of Theorem 5.3.2(ii) (Step 5 in the proof of [CGP, Thm. C.2.15]) it is shown that for pseudo-reductive  $G$  these two bijections onto the set of subsets of  $\Delta$  are compatible with the map  $Q \mapsto Q(k)$ , and both bijections just considered are inclusion-preserving in each direction, so the pseudo-reductive case is settled. For more general  $G$  additional arguments are required; see [CGP, Thm. C.2.23] for a complete treatment.  $\square$

As a further application of the general Bruhat decomposition in Theorem 5.2.2, we can prove an important extension of Proposition 4.1.3 to the general pseudo-reductive case (i.e., no pseudo-split hypothesis), as follows. Consider a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$ , and a maximal split  $k$ -torus  $S \subset G$ , so  $\Phi = \Phi(G, S)$  is a root system (Theorem 5.3.2(i)). For each  $a \in \Phi$  we defined the unipotent smooth connected root group  $U_a := H_{(a)}(G) \subset G$  in the proof of Theorem 5.3.3. Inspired by the case of relative root groups in connected reductive groups, we now prove that  $U_a$  is a vector group when  $a$  is not multipliable, and much more:

**PROPOSITION 5.4.2.** *Using notation and hypotheses as above, if  $a$  is not multipliable then  $U_a$  is a vector group whereas if  $a$  is multipliable then  $U_{2a}$  is central in  $U_a$  and  $U_a/U_{2a}$  is commutative. For any nontrivial  $u \in U_a(k)$ , the following hold:*

- (i) *There exists unique  $u', u'' \in U_{-a}(k)$  such that  $m(u) := u'uu'' \in N_G(S)(k)$ . The effect of  $m(u)$ -conjugation on  $X(S)$  is  $r_a$ , and  $u', u'' \neq 1$ .*
- (ii) *If  $a$  is not multipliable then  $u' = u'' = m(u)^{-1}um(u)$  and  $m(u)^2 \in S(k)$ .*
- (iii) *The formation of  $m(u)$  is  $Z_G(S)$ -equivariant in the sense that for any extension field  $k'/k$  and  $z \in Z_G(S)(k')$  satisfying  $zuz^{-1} \in U_a(k)$ , necessarily  $zu'z^{-1}, zu''z^{-1} \in U_{-a}(k)$  and  $m(zuz^{-1}) = zm(u)z^{-1}$ .*

The method of proof for the pseudo-split case in Proposition 4.1.3 involves bootstrapping from calculations with  $G_{\overline{k}}^{\text{red}}$  after passing to the rank-1 case. The proof of Proposition 5.4.2 is entirely different, involving no use of  $\overline{k}$ -groups; this allows the result to be extended (with appropriate formulation) to a wider class of smooth connected affine  $k$ -groups (including those whose  $k$ -unipotent radical is  $k$ -wound); see [CGP, Prop. C.2.24] for this additional generality.

**PROOF.** We sketch the proof of (i) (and the proofs of (ii) and (iii) amount to group-theoretic computations, aided by the dynamic relation  $U_G(-\lambda) \cap P_G(\lambda) = 1$ );



for further details we refer to [CGP, Prop. C.2.24]. As a first step, by replacing  $G$  with  $Z_G(\ker a)^0$  (pseudo-reductive due to [CGP, Prop. A.8.14(2)]) we can pass to the case of a rank-1 root system in which  $a$  is non-divisible. Now  $\Phi = \{\pm a\}$  or  $\Phi = \{\pm a, \pm 2a\}$ . By [CGP, Lemma 3.3.8] (and its proof),  $U_{na}$  is a normal  $k$ -subgroup of  $U_a$  with  $(U_a, U_{na}) \subset U_{(n+1)a}$  and each  $U_{na}/U_{(n+1)a}$  is a vector group admitting an  $S$ -equivariant linear structure with  $na$  as the unique  $S$ -weight on the Lie algebra when this quotient is nontrivial. That settles the assertions concerning  $U_a$  and (in the multipliable case)  $U_{2a}$ .

Define  $N = N_G(S)$  and  $Z = Z_G(S)$ , so  $N(k) - Z(k) = Z(k)r_a$  since the Weyl group  $N(k)/Z(k)$  has order 2. (We adopt the standard abuse of notation by writing  $r_a$  where we really intend a representative of  $r_a$  in  $N(k)$ ; for our calculations this will be harmless, but note that the representative may not be an involution.) Fix a nontrivial element  $u \in U_a(k)$ , and consider the Bruhat decomposition relative to the minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P = Z \times U_{-a}$ . Dynamic considerations via Theorem 2.3.5(ii) imply that  $U_a \cap P = 1$ , so the nontrivial  $u$  lies in the complement

$$G(k) - P(k) = P(k)r_aP(k) = U_{-a}(k)Z(k)r_aU_{-a}(k) = U_{-a}(k)(N(k) - Z(k))U_{-a}(k).$$

This provides  $u', u'' \in U_{-a}(k)$  such that  $u = u'^{-1}nu''^{-1}$  for some  $n \in N(k) - Z(k)$ , so  $u'uu'' = n$  acts on  $S$  through  $r_a$ . This proves existence of  $u'$  and  $u''$  in (i).

For uniqueness in (i), observe that if elements  $u', u'' \in U_{-a}(k)$  satisfy  $u'uu'' \in N(k)$  then necessarily  $u'uu'' \in N(k) - Z(k) = Z(k)r_a$  because otherwise  $u \in u'^{-1}Z(k)u''^{-1} \subset U_{-a}(k)Z(k) = P(k)$ , contradicting that  $P \cap U_a = 1$ . Hence, to prove uniqueness we are reduced to showing that if elements  $v', v'' \in U_{-a}(k)$  and  $n \in N(k)$  satisfy  $v'nv'' = nz$  for some  $z \in Z(k)$  then  $v' = 1 = v''$ . But  $v'nv''n^{-1} = nzn^{-1} \in Z(k)$  and  $nv''n^{-1} \in U_a(k)$ , so it is enough to prove that  $(U_{-a}(k)U_a(k)) \cap Z(k) = 1$ . This triviality is immediate since  $P \cap U_a = 1$ .

Finally, to prove that  $u', u'' \neq 1$  it suffices to show that  $U_{-a}(k)(U_a(k) - \{1\})$  is disjoint from  $N(k)$ . Suppose an element  $n \in N(k)$  has the form  $n = v'v$  for  $v' \in U_{-a}(k)$  and nontrivial  $v \in U_a(k)$ . Clearly  $v' = nv^{-1} = (nv^{-1}n^{-1})n \in U_{-a}(k)n$ , so  $n \in U_{-a}(k)$  and hence  $v = v'^{-1}n \in U_{-a}(k)$ . This is an absurdity since  $P \cap U_a = 1$  and we assumed  $v \neq 1$ .  $\square$

In [St, Thm. 5.4], Steinberg gave a new proof of the Isomorphism Theorem for split connected reductive groups over a field  $k$ . Given two such groups  $G$  and  $G'$  equipped with respective split maximal  $k$ -tori  $T \subset G$  and  $T' \subset G'$ , we assume that an isomorphism of root data  $\phi : R(G, T) \simeq R(G', T')$  is given and we wish to construct a  $k$ -isomorphism of pairs  $f : (G', T') \simeq (G, T)$  giving rise to  $\phi$  (and to show that  $f$  is unique up to the action of  $(T/Z_G)(k)$ ).

The idea of Steinberg's proof is to construct  $f$  by constructing its graph  $\Gamma_f$  as a  $k$ -subgroup of  $G' \times G$  satisfying specified conditions (e.g., the graph of the isomorphism  $T' \simeq T$  arising from  $\phi$  is a split maximal  $k$ -torus in this graph). Briefly,  $\phi$  determines which root group of  $(G', T')$  is to be carried to a given root group of  $(G, T)$ , the isomorphism between such root groups is specified for roots from compatible bases, and then the isomorphism is extended to all matching pairs of root groups via Weyl-group actions. In effect, we try to build  $\Gamma_f$  as the smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup of  $G' \times G$  generated by the graphs of specific isomorphisms between certain root groups, and the work is to show that such a  $k$ -subgroup has desired properties (e.g., it is reductive and projects isomorphically onto  $G'$ ).

Steinberg’s method for constructing a  $k$ -subgroup of  $G' \times G$  with specified properties is generalized in Theorem 5.4.3 below to prove a much more general result concerning the existence and uniqueness inside a given smooth connected affine  $k$ -group of pseudo-reductive  $k$ -subgroups for which we have specified its maximal split  $k$ -torus  $S$ , its  $S$ -centralizer, a basis  $\Delta$  for its relative root system, and the root groups for the roots in  $\Delta$ . This is extremely powerful: it provides a unified approach to the construction of certain “exotic” non-standard pseudo-reductive groups (built as  $k$ -subgroups of a Weil restriction), it implies the existence of Levi  $k$ -subgroups of pseudo-split pseudo-reductive groups (see Theorem 5.4.4, a very useful result), and it leads to an “Isomorphism Theorem” for pseudo-split pseudo-reductive groups [CP, Thm. 6.1.1].

**THEOREM 5.4.3.** *Let  $G$  be a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group, and let  $S \subset G$  be a nontrivial split  $k$ -torus. Fix a smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup  $C \subset Z_G(S)$  in which  $S$  is a maximal split  $k$ -torus, and a non-empty linearly independent subset  $\Delta \subset X(S)$ .*

*For each  $a \in \Delta$  let  $F_a$  be a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  containing  $S$  such that  $Z_{F_a}(S) = C$  and  $\{\pm a\} \subset \Phi(F_a, S) \subset \mathbf{Z}a$ . Let  $U_{\pm a}$  be the  $\pm a$ -root groups of  $F_a$ , and assume  $U_a$  commutes with  $U_{-b}$  for all distinct  $a, b \in \Delta$ . Let  $F \subset G$  be the smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup generated by  $\{F_a\}_{a \in \Delta}$ .*

- (i) *The  $k$ -group  $F$  is pseudo-reductive with  $S$  as a maximal split  $k$ -torus,  $Z_F(S) = C$ ,  $\Delta$  is a basis of  $\Phi(F, S)$ , and the  $\pm a$ -root groups of  $F$  are  $U_{\pm a}$  for all  $a \in \Delta$ .*
- (ii) *If each  $F_a$  is reductive then so is  $F$ .*
- (iii) *The  $k$ -group  $F$  is functorial with respect to isomorphisms in the 5-tuple  $(G, S, C, \Delta, \{F_a\}_{a \in \Delta})$ .*

Note that  $C$  is generally not commutative (when  $S$  is not a maximal  $k$ -torus in the  $F_a$ ’s). As a special case, a criterion in [PR, Thm. 2.2] for a pair of quasi-split connected semisimple subgroups of a connected semisimple group to generate a quasi-split semisimple subgroup is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.4.3.

**PROOF.** We refer the reader to [CGP, Thm. C.2.29] for a complete proof (as well as for a more general result in which the pseudo-reductivity hypotheses and conclusion are relaxed). Here we just sketch some ideas in the proof.

Since  $\Delta$  is linearly independent, we may choose a cocharacter  $\lambda \in X_*(S)$  satisfying  $\langle a, \lambda \rangle > 0$  for all  $a \in \Delta$  (this corresponds to  $\lambda$  lying in a specific connected component of the complement in  $X_*(S)_{\mathbf{R}}$  of the union of the hyperplanes killed by the elements of  $\Delta$ ). Such  $\lambda$  can also be chosen to not annihilate any of the finitely many nontrivial  $S$ -weights that occur on  $\text{Lie}(G)$ , so  $Z_G(\lambda) = Z_G(S)$  (hence  $Z_F(\lambda) = Z_F(S)$ ). Although we know very little about the structure of  $F$ , we may nonetheless apply Theorem 2.3.5(ii) to get an open immersion

$$U_F(-\lambda) \times Z_F(S) \times U_F(\lambda) \longrightarrow F$$

via multiplication. Since  $C \subset F$ , clearly  $C \subset Z_F(S)$ .

For a fixed sign, let  $U_{\pm} \subset U_F(\pm\lambda)$  be the smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup generated by  $\{U_{\pm a}\}_{a \in \Delta}$ , so the multiplication map

$$U_- \times C \times U_+ \longrightarrow F$$

is a locally closed immersion. An inductive argument now shows that the Zariski closure of this locally closed subset is stable under left multiplication by  $U_{\pm a}$  for

all  $a \in \Delta$ , as well as obviously stable under left multiplication by  $C$ , so it is stable under left multiplication by  $F$  and therefore coincides with  $F$ .

We conclude that  $U_- \times C \times U_+$  is *open* in  $F$ , so the closed immersion

$$U_- \times C \times U_+ \hookrightarrow U_F(-\lambda) \times Z_F(S) \times U_F(\lambda)$$

is an equality. In other words,  $Z_F(S) = C$  and  $U_{\pm} = U_F(\pm\lambda)$ . This implies that  $S$  is a maximal split  $k$ -torus in  $F$  (by the maximality hypothesis on  $S$  in  $C$ ) and that (for a fixed sign) the  $S$ -weights occurring in  $\text{Lie}(U_F(\pm\lambda))$  lie in the subsemigroup  $A^{\pm} \subset X(S) - \{0\}$  generated by  $\pm\Delta$ . In other words, the set  $\Phi(F, S)$  of nontrivial  $S$ -weights occurring in  $\text{Lie}(F)$  is contained in  $A^+ \cup A^-$ .

Systematic application of properties of the unipotent  $H_{\Sigma}(\cdot)$ -construction from Proposition 3.3.1 with varying subsemigroups  $\Sigma \subset X(S)$  not containing 0 (especially the direct spanning property in Theorem 3.3.3) enables one to prove that  $U_{\pm a} = H_{\pm a}(F)$  for all  $a \in \Delta$  (so  $U_{\pm a}$  is the  $\pm a$ -root group of  $F$  once  $F$  is shown to be pseudo-reductive) and that no positive integral multiple of any  $a \in \Delta$  is a weight on  $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(F))$ . In particular,  $\Delta$  is contained in the root system  ${}_k\bar{\Phi} := \Phi(F/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(F), S)$  that in turn lies inside the set of  $S$ -weights  $\Phi(F, S) \subset A^+ \cup A^-$ . Thus,  $\Delta$  satisfies the condition that uniquely characterizes a basis of  ${}_k\bar{\Phi}$ , so by Theorem 5.3.2(i) applied to  $F$  the Weyl group  ${}_k W$  of this root system is generated by reflections  $\{r_a\}_{a \in \Delta}$  represented by elements of  $N_F(S)(k)$ .

The  $k$ -group  $C$  is pseudo-reductive since it is a torus-centralizer in the pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $F_a$  for any  $a \in \Delta$ , so the smooth connected unipotent normal  $k$ -subgroup  $U := \mathcal{R}_{u,k}(F)$  of  $F$  cannot be contained in  $C = Z_F(S)$  if it is nontrivial. Assuming  $U \neq 1$ , we seek a contradiction. The  $S$ -action on  $U$  must be nontrivial, so there exists a nontrivial  $S$ -weight  $b$  occurring in  $\text{Lie}(U)$ . This  $S$ -weight lies in  $A^+$  or  $A^-$ , and we have shown that  $\Delta$  is a basis of  ${}_k\bar{\Phi}$ , so by normality of  $U$  in  $F$  we may use the action of  ${}_k W$  to arrange that  $b \in A^+$ . The long Weyl element  $w$  in  ${}_k W$  relative to  $\Delta$  carries  $b$  into  $A^-$ . An inductive argument using an expression for  $w$  in reflections  $r_a$  ( $a \in \Delta$ ) eventually produces an element of  $\Delta$  that occurs as an  $S$ -weight in  $\text{Lie}(U)$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $U = 1$ , which is to say  $F$  is pseudo-reductive. This finishes our sketch of the proof of (i). By applying (i) over  $\bar{k}$  we immediately get (ii).

The proof of (iii) amounts to a generalization of Steinberg's graph method for proving the Isomorphism Theorem in the split connected reductive case. More specifically, the graph of the isomorphism we seek to build must be a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -subgroup of  $G' \times G$ , where  $(G', S', C', \Delta', \{F'_{a'}\}_{a' \in \Delta'})$  is the other 5-tuple under consideration. This pseudo-reductive  $k$ -subgroup of  $G' \times G$  can be constructed as an application of (i) in exactly the same way that Steinberg proved the Isomorphism Theorem.  $\square$

An important application of Theorem 5.4.3 is the existence of Levi  $k$ -subgroups of pseudo-split pseudo-reductive groups. Recall that a *Levi  $k$ -subgroup* of a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$  is a smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup  $L$  such that the natural map  $L_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  is an isomorphism.

Such subgroups need not exist in positive characteristic, even over an algebraically closed ground field. For example, if  $F$  is algebraically closed of positive characteristic then for any  $n \geq 2$  the  $F$ -group corresponding to  $\text{SL}_n(W_2(F))$  (with  $W_2$  denoting the functor of length-2 Witt vectors) has no Levi  $F$ -subgroup; see [CGP, A.6] for a proof.

The existence of Levi  $k$ -subgroups in the pseudo-split pseudo-reductive case is proved in [CGP, Thm. 3.4.6] by an indirect process. We now give a completely different and conceptually simpler proof by using Proposition 5.4.2 and Theorem 5.4.3 (adapting the proof of a more general existence result in [CGP, Thm. C.2.30] for split connected reductive  $k$ -subgroups of smooth connected affine  $k$ -groups):

**THEOREM 5.4.4.** *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-split pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group, and  $T \subset G$  a split maximal  $k$ -torus. Let  $\Delta$  be a basis of the reduced root system  $\Phi'$  of non-multipliable roots in  $\Phi(G, T)$ , and  $U_a^G$  the  $a$ -root group of  $G$  for  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$ .*

*For each set  $\{E_a\}_{a \in \Delta}$  of 1-dimensional smooth connected  $k$ -subgroups  $E_a \subset U_a^G$  normalized by  $T$ , there exists a unique Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $L$  of  $G$  containing  $T$  such that  $U_a^L = E_a$  for all  $a \in \Delta$ .*

The hypothesis that  $G$  is pseudo-split cannot be dropped: for any local or global function field  $k$  over a finite field, [CGP, Ex. 7.2.2] provides an absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group with no Levi  $k$ -subgroup.

**PROOF.** Define the  $k$ -subgroup scheme  $M := \bigcap_{a \in \Phi'} \ker a \subset T$  of multiplicative type. Any Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $L$  of  $G$  containing  $T$  is generated by its maximal central  $k$ -torus (necessarily contained in  $T$ ) and its  $T$ -root groups. But  $\Phi(L, T) = \Phi'$  for any such  $L$  by Theorem 3.1.7, so all root groups of  $L$  are centralized by  $M$  and hence  $L$  is centralized by  $M$ . Thus, all Levi  $k$ -subgroups  $L$  containing  $T$  are contained in  $Z_G(M)^0$ . This identity component inherits pseudo-reductivity from  $G$  since  $M$  is a  $k$ -subgroup of  $T$  (see [CGP, Prop. A.8.14(2)]), and since  $X(T/M) = \sum_{a \in \Phi'} \mathbf{Z}a = \bigoplus_{c \in \Delta} \mathbf{Z}c$  we have  $\Phi(Z_G(M)^0, T) = \Phi'$  by [CGP, Prop. A.8.14(3)]. Thus, we may replace  $G$  with  $Z_G(M)^0$  so that  $\Phi := \Phi(G, T)$  is reduced. In particular,  $\Phi(G_k^{\text{red}}, T_k) = \Phi$ .

Next we prove that any  $L \supset T$  is determined inside  $G$  by its  $T$ -root groups for the roots in  $\Delta$ . Since  $L$  is generated by  $T$  and its root groups for roots in  $\pm\Delta$  (as  $\Delta$  is a basis for  $\Phi = \Phi(L, T)$ ), it suffices to show that for each  $a \in \Phi$  (or even just  $a \in \Delta$ ),  $U_{-a}^L$  is uniquely determined inside  $G$  by  $U_a^L$  and  $T$ . Pick a nontrivial  $u_a \in U_a^L(k)$  (this exists since  $U_a^L \simeq \mathbf{G}_a$ ). By Proposition 5.4.2(i),(ii), there exists a (necessarily nontrivial) unique  $u_{-a} \in U_{-a}^G(k)$  such that

$$n_a := u_{-a} u_a u_{-a} \in N_G(T)(k),$$

and  $n_a$ -conjugation on  $T$  induces the reflection  $r_a$  on  $X(T)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ . By Proposition 5.4.2(i) applied to  $L$ , we see that  $u_{-a} \in U_{-a}^L(k)$ . Since  $u_{-a}$  is nontrivial and there exists a  $k$ -isomorphism  $U_{-a}^L \simeq \mathbf{G}_a$  carrying  $T$ -conjugation over to scaling against the nontrivial character  $-a$ , the Zariski-closure in  $G$  of the  $T$ -orbit of  $u_{-a}$  coincides with  $U_{-a}^L$ . Thus, the uniqueness for  $L$  is established.

For any  $a \in \Phi$ , reducedness of  $\Phi$  implies that  $U_a^G$  is a vector group admitting a  $T$ -equivariant linear structure (see Corollary 3.1.10), so there exists a  $T$ -equivariant isomorphism between  $U_a^G$  and a direct sum of copies of the 1-dimensional representation of  $T$  through  $a$ . Hence, any 1-dimensional smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup  $E \subset U_a^G$  that is normalized by  $T$  is a line in the  $T$ -representation space  $U_a^G$ . In particular,  $E \simeq \mathbf{G}_a$ , so  $E(k) \neq 1$ . Upon choosing such  $E_a \subset U_a^G$  for all  $a \in \Delta$ , we seek a Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $L \subset G$  containing  $T$  such that  $U_a^L = E_a$  for all  $a \in \Delta$ .

**STEP 1.** The first task is to define a candidate  $E_{-a} \subset U_{-a}^G$  for  $U_{-a}^L$ . Motivated by the preceding calculations, choose  $u_a \in E_a(k) - \{1\}$  and define  $u'_a \in U_{-a}^G(k)$  in terms of  $u_a$  via Proposition 5.4.2(i): it is the unique element of  $U_{-a}^G(k)$  such that

$n_a := u'_a u_a u'_a \in N_G(T)(k)$ . Define  $E_{-a}$  to be the smooth connected Zariski-closure in  $U_{-a}^G$  of the  $T$ -orbit of  $u'_a$  under conjugation; this is a line in the  $T$ -representation space  $U_{-a}^G$ . To see that  $E_{-a}$  is unaffected if we replace  $u_a$  with another nontrivial  $u \in E_a(k)$ , note that  $u = tu_a t^{-1}$  for some  $t \in T(\bar{k})$  since  $a : T(\bar{k}) \rightarrow \bar{k}^\times$  is surjective, so the associated  $u'$  satisfies  $u' = tu_{-a} t^{-1}$  by Proposition 5.4.2(iii). Thus, replacing  $u_a$  with  $u$  replaces  $(E_{-a})_{\bar{k}}$  with  $t(E_{-a})_{\bar{k}} t^{-1} = (E_{-a})_{\bar{k}}$ . This proves that  $E_{-a}$  is independent of the choice of  $u_a$ .

Consider the smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup  $L \subset G$  generated by  $\{E_{\pm a}\}_{a \in \Delta}$  and  $T$ . It remains to prove:  $L$  is reductive with  $T$  as a (split) maximal  $k$ -torus, the natural map  $L_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  is an isomorphism, and  $U_a^L = E_a$  for all  $a \in \Delta$ . There is nothing to do in the reductive case (as  $L = G$  in such cases), so we may assume  $k$  is infinite. We will first treat the case where  $\Phi$  has rank 1, and then we will reduce the general case to that case.

STEP 2. Now assume that the reduced root system  $\Phi$  has rank 1, so  $\Phi = \{\pm a\}$  for some nontrivial  $a \in X(T)$ . For ease of notation, let  $E_{\pm} := E_{\pm a}$  and  $n := u'uu'$  for a choice of nontrivial  $u := u_a \in E(k)$ , so  $n^2 \in T(k)$  and  $nE_+n^{-1} = E_-$  by Proposition 5.4.2(ii). Define the subset

$$\Gamma = E_+(k)\{1, n\}T(k)E_+(k) = E_+(k)T(k) \coprod E_+(k)nT(k)E_+(k)$$

(disjoint by the Bruhat decomposition for  $G(k)$  in Theorem 5.2.2), so  $\Gamma$  generates a Zariski-dense subgroup of  $L$  due to the Zariski-density of  $T(k)$  in  $T$  and of  $E_{\pm}(k)$  in  $E_{\pm}$  (recall  $T$  is  $k$ -split,  $E_{\pm} \simeq \mathbf{G}_a$ , and  $k$  is infinite).

LEMMA 5.4.5. *The subset  $\Gamma \subset G(k)$  is a subgroup. In particular,  $\Gamma$  is Zariski-dense in  $L$ .*

PROOF. It is clear that  $\Gamma$  is stable under left and right multiplication against  $E_+(k)$  and  $T(k)$  (using that  $T(k)$  normalizes  $E_+(k)$ ), so we just have to check that  $n\Gamma \subset \Gamma$  or more specifically that  $nE_+(k)n^{-1} \subset \Gamma$  (as  $n^2 \in T(k)$  and  $\Gamma$  is stable under left and right multiplication against  $T(k)E_+(k)$ ). Since  $n = u'uu'$  by definition and  $u' = n^{-1}un$  by Proposition 5.4.2(ii), so

$$n = n(u'uu')n^{-1} = (nu'n^{-1})(nun^{-1})(nu'n^{-1}) = u(nun^{-1})u,$$

we see that  $nun^{-1} = u^{-1}nu \in E_+(k)nE_+(k) \subset \Gamma$ .

A nontrivial  $v \in E_+(k)$  has the form  $tut^{-1}$  for some  $t \in T(\bar{k})$ , so

$$nvn^{-1} = (ntn^{-1})(nun^{-1})(ntn^{-1})^{-1} \in (ntn^{-1})(E_+(k)nE_+(k))(ntn^{-1})^{-1}.$$

Hence, it suffices to show that  $ntn^{-1}$ -conjugation preserves  $E_+(k)$  and carries  $n$  to a  $k$ -point in  $nT(k)$ . The effect of  $ntn^{-1}$ -conjugation on  $(E_+)_{\bar{k}} \simeq \mathbf{G}_a$  is scaling against  $(n^{-1}.a)(t) = a(t)^{-1}$  since  $n$  acts on  $X(T)$  through the reflection  $r_a$ , and  $a(t) \in k^\times$  since  $u, v \in E_+(\bar{k})$  are nontrivial  $k$ -points related through scaling against  $a(t)$ . Likewise, the  $ntn^{-1}$ -conjugate of  $n$  is  $ntnt^{-1}n^{-1} \in nT(\bar{k})$  (since  $n$  normalizes  $T$ ) yet is a  $k$ -point since  $tnt^{-1} = m(v)$  by Proposition 5.4.2(iii).  $\square$

Clearly  $\Gamma = n\Gamma = E_-(k)T(k)E_+(k) \coprod nE_+(k)T(k)$  yet  $\Gamma$  is Zariski-dense in  $L$  and  $nE_+(k)T(k)$  has Zariski-closure  $nE_+ \times T \subset nU_a^G \times T$  that is a proper closed subset of  $L$ , so  $E_-(k)T(k)E_+(k)$  is Zariski-dense in  $L$ . For  $\lambda : \text{GL}_1 \rightarrow T$  satisfying  $\langle a, \lambda \rangle > 0$  we have  $E_{\pm} \subset U_L(\pm\lambda)$ , so we have a closed immersion

$$j : E_- \times T \times E_+ \hookrightarrow U_L(-\lambda) \times Z_L(T) \times U_L(\lambda) =: \Omega.$$

But the multiplication map  $\Omega \rightarrow L$  is an open immersion by Theorem 2.3.5(ii), so the density of  $E_-(k)T(k)E_+(k)$  in  $L$  implies that  $j$  is an equality. Equivalently,  $Z_L(T) = T$  and  $E_\pm = U_L(\pm\lambda)$ . In particular, the solvable smooth connected  $k$ -subgroups  $B_\pm := T \times E_\pm \subset L$  (via multiplication) have codimension 1.

Since  $n$ -conjugation on  $T$  swaps the two roots  $\pm a \in \Phi(G, T)$ , there exist  $t \in T(\bar{k})$  such that the commutator  $(ntn^{-1})t^{-1} \in T(\bar{k})$  is nontrivial. Hence,  $\mathcal{D}(L)$  is not unipotent, so the smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $L$  is not solvable. It follows that the  $k$ -subgroups  $B_\pm$  are Borel subgroups, so  $\mathcal{R}_u(L_{\bar{k}}) \subset (B_\pm)_{\bar{k}}$ . But working inside the open subscheme  $\Omega \subset L$  shows that  $B_+ \cap B_- = T$ , so  $\mathcal{R}_u(L_{\bar{k}}) \subset T_{\bar{k}}$ , forcing  $\mathcal{R}_u(L_{\bar{k}}) = 1$ ; i.e.,  $L$  is reductive.

The preceding calculations show  $E_\pm$  are the  $T$ -root groups of  $L$ , so  $\Phi(L, T) = \{\pm a\} = \Phi$ . Thus, the natural map  $f : L_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  induces an isomorphism between maximal tori and between the root systems. The induced map  $f_b$  between root groups for each common root  $b$  is  $T_{\bar{k}}$ -equivariant and hence is *linear* between 1-dimensional root groups, so  $\ker(f_b) = 1$  (as otherwise  $\ker(f_b)$  is the  $b$ -root group of  $L$ , forcing the unipotent *normal* subgroup scheme  $\ker f = L_{\bar{k}} \cap \mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}})$  in  $L_{\bar{k}}$  to contain a nontrivial smooth connected subgroup, contradicting that  $\mathcal{R}_u(L_{\bar{k}}) = 1$ ). Thus,  $f$  induces an isomorphism between open cells, so it is an isomorphism. The case of  $\Phi$  with rank 1 is done.

STEP 3. If  $\Phi$  is empty then  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  is commutative and hence  $G$  is solvable. In such cases  $L = T$ , and this is obviously a Levi  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Thus, we may assume  $\Phi$  has positive rank. The settled rank-1 case applies to  $G_a := Z_G(T_a)$  for the codimension-1 torus  $T_a := (\ker a)_{\text{red}}^0 \subset T$  with any  $a \in \Delta$ , so for all such  $a$  the smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup  $L_a \subset G_a$  generated by  $T$ ,  $E_a$ , and  $E_{-a}$  is reductive with maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$  and  $(L_a)_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow (G_a)_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  is an isomorphism. In particular, for dimension reasons  $E_{\pm a}$  are the  $T$ -root groups of  $L_a$ .

By [CGP, Prop. A.4.8], the natural map  $(G_a)_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}} \rightarrow G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  is an isomorphism onto the  $(T_a)_{\bar{k}}$ -centralizer in  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$ ; i.e.,  $(G_a)_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}} = (G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}})_{(T_a)_{\bar{k}}}$ . These latter groups generate  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$ , so the natural map  $f : L_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  satisfies three properties: it is surjective, it carries  $T_{\bar{k}}$  isomorphically onto a maximal torus of the target, and it carries  $(E_a)_{\bar{k}}$  isomorphically onto the  $a$ -root group of the target for every  $a \in \Delta$ .

Note that  $L$  is generated by  $\{L_a\}_{a \in \Delta}$ ,  $Z_{L_a}(T) = T$  for all  $a \in \Delta$ , and  $E_a$  commutes with  $E_{-b}$  for all distinct  $a, b \in \Delta$  because  $U_a^G$  commutes with  $U_b^G$  for such  $a$  and  $b$  (as  $ma + n(-b)$  is not a root for any integers  $m, n \geq 1$ ; see [CGP, Cor. 3.3.13(2)]). Hence, by Theorem 5.4.3 (applied to the collection of  $k$ -subgroups  $L_a \subset G$  for  $a \in \Delta$ ) the  $k$ -group  $L$  is reductive with  $T$  as a maximal  $k$ -torus, and by design  $\Delta \subset \Phi(L, T) \subset \Phi(G, T) =: \Phi$  with  $\Phi$  a reduced root system having basis  $\Delta$ . Moreover, by Theorem 5.4.3(i),  $\Delta$  is a basis of  $\Phi(L, T)$  and the  $\pm a$ -root groups of  $L$  are  $E_{\pm a}$  for all  $a \in \Delta$ .

The natural subgroup inclusion  $W(L, T) \subset W(\Phi)$  is an equality because the element  $u'_a u_a u'_a \in N_L(T)(k)$  is carried to the reflection  $r_a$  for every  $a \in \Delta$  (and such reflections generate  $W(\Phi)$ ), so  $W(\Phi) \cdot \Delta \subset \Phi(L, T)$ . Reducedness of  $\Phi$  implies that every  $W(\Phi)$ -orbit in  $\Phi$  meets  $\Delta$ , so  $\Phi(L, T) = \Phi$  as required. Each root in  $\Phi(L, T)$  is  $N_L(T)(k)$ -conjugate to a root in  $\Delta$ , so for each  $b \in \Phi(L, T) = \Phi$  the map  $f$  carries the  $b$ -root group of  $L_{\bar{k}}$  isomorphically onto the  $b$ -root group of  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  since the special case  $b \in \Delta$  has been verified. It follows that  $f$  restricts to an isomorphism between open cells, so it is a birational homomorphism between smooth connected groups and thus is an isomorphism.  $\square$

There are conditions that provide a complete characterization of semisimplicity, such as in the following result (proved using Theorem 5.4.4 and additional ideas):

**PROPOSITION 5.4.6.** *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -group. If all root groups of  $G_{k_s}$  are 1-dimensional then  $G$  is reductive. Likewise, if there exists a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P \subset G$  such that  $G/P$  is proper and  $P$  does not contain any  $k$ -simple pseudo-semisimple normal  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  then  $G$  is reductive.*

See [CGP, Thm. 3.4.9] for a proof. (The assertion concerning  $G/P$  is harder, and the role of properness is to ensure that  $P_{\bar{k}}$  contains  $\mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}})$ , due to the Borel fixed point theorem applied to the translation of  $\mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}})$  on  $G_{\bar{k}}/P_{\bar{k}} = (G/P)_{\bar{k}}$ .)

We finish this section by recording an interesting result going beyond the more widely-known reductive case:

**THEOREM 5.4.7.** *Assume  $k$  is infinite, and let  $G$  be a  $k$ -isotropic pseudo-simple  $k$ -group. Let  $G(k)^+$  denote the subgroup of  $G(k)$  generated by the maximal  $k$ -split unipotent smooth connected  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$ . Then  $G(k)^+$  is Zariski-dense in  $G$  and is also perfect. Moreover, any non-central subgroup of  $G(k)$  that is normalized by  $G(k)^+$  must contain  $G(k)^+$ . In particular, the quotient of  $G(k)^+$  modulo its center is a simple group.*

See [CGP, Thm. C.2.34] for a proof of Theorem 5.4.7 (in a more general formulation); this rests on Proposition 5.4.2 and a generalization of Proposition 4.3.1(ii) that drops pseudo-split hypotheses (see [CGP, Prop. C.2.26]).

## 6. Central extensions and standardness

**6.1. Central quotients.** An important feature of connected reductive  $k$ -groups  $G$  is that the formation of the scheme-theoretic center  $Z_G$  is compatible with the formation of central quotients  $\bar{G} := G/Z$  (for a closed  $k$ -subgroup scheme  $Z \subset Z_G$ ); i.e.,  $Z_{\bar{G}} = Z_G/Z$ . This property ultimately rests on the structure of open cells over  $k_s$ , and is specific to the reductive case; e.g., any smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -group  $U$  is nilpotent, so  $Z_U \neq 1$  if  $U \neq 1$  and hence  $Z_{U/Z_U} \neq 1$  if  $U$  is non-commutative. As a special case, if  $G$  is connected reductive then  $G/Z_G$  has trivial center (and it is even perfect, or equivalently semisimple).

For a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$ , two new phenomena occur:

- a central quotient  $G/Z$  need not be pseudo-reductive (see Example 2.1.3),
- the central quotient  $G/Z_G$  may not be perfect; e.g.,  $R_{k'/k}(\mathrm{PGL}_p)$  is not perfect (Example 1.2.4) but it has trivial center [CGP, Prop. A.5.15(1)].

Despite this behavior that deviates from the reductive case, central quotients remain a useful tool in the pseudo-reductive case due to:

**PROPOSITION 6.1.1.** *If  $G$  is a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group then a central quotient  $\bar{G} := G/Z$  is pseudo-reductive if and only if  $Z_G/Z$  does not contain a nontrivial smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -subgroup, in which case  $Z_{\bar{G}} = Z_G/Z$ . In particular,  $G/Z_G$  is pseudo-reductive and has trivial center, and a composition of central homomorphisms between pseudo-reductive groups is central.*

The proof that  $Z_{\bar{G}} = Z_G/Z$  when  $\bar{G}$  is pseudo-reductive rests on an analysis of root groups and open cells over  $k_s$ ; see [CP, Lemma 4.1.1]. The necessity of the condition that  $Z_G/Z$  does not contain a nontrivial smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -subgroup is immediate from the observations that  $Z_{\bar{G}}$  lies inside any Cartan

$k$ -subgroup  $\overline{C} \subset \overline{G}$  and that  $\overline{C}$  is commutative pseudo-reductive if  $\overline{G}$  is pseudo-reductive (so  $\overline{C}$  cannot contain a nontrivial smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -subgroup in such cases).

The sufficiency of this condition for pseudo-reductivity of  $\overline{G}$  clearly reduces to the assertion that  $G/Z_G$  is pseudo-reductive, but such pseudo-reductivity is rather nontrivial, as it rests on a study of automorphism schemes. Since such automorphism schemes pervade proofs of the deeper structure of pseudo-reductive groups, we now review the relevant existence results and properties for these schemes (and then illustrate their use to prove the pseudo-reductivity of  $G/Z_G$ ).

**PROPOSITION 6.1.2.** *Let  $G$  be a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group, and  $C$  a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup. The functor  $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{G,C}$  assigning to any  $k$ -algebra  $R$  the group of  $R$ -automorphisms of  $G_R$  restricting to the identity on  $C_R$  is represented by an affine  $k$ -group scheme  $\text{Aut}_{G,C}$  of finite type. If  $G$  is pseudo-reductive then the maximal smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup  $Z_{G,C}$  of  $\text{Aut}_{G,C}$  is commutative and  $Z_{G,C}^0$  is pseudo-reductive.*

**PROOF.** Let  $T$  be the maximal  $k$ -torus in  $C$ . After extending scalars to  $k_s$  to split  $T$ , by Proposition 3.1.4 we see that  $G$  is generated by  $C$  and the (generally non-commutative!) subgroups  $U_{(a)}$  for the nontrivial  $T$ -weights  $a$  that occur in  $\text{Lie}(G)$ . Thus, there is a finite sequence  $\{a_i\}_{i \in I}$  of such weights (possibly with repetitions!) such that the multiplication map  $C \times \prod_{i \in I} U_{(a_i)} \rightarrow G$  is dominant.

The representability of  $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{G,C}$  rests on a detailed study of  $T$ -equivariant filtrations of the coordinate rings  $k[U_{(a)}]$  and the fact that any  $R$ -automorphism  $f$  of  $G_R$  restricting to the identity on  $C_R$  must act  $T_R$ -equivariantly on each  $(U_{(a)})_R$ . This allows us to realize  $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{G,C}$  as a subfunctor of the direct product  $F = \prod_{i \in I} \underline{\text{Aut}}_{U_{(a_i)}, T}$  of  $T$ -equivariant automorphism functors of the  $U_{(a_i)}$ 's.

Although the automorphism functor of each  $U_{(a)}$  is *not* representable when  $\text{char}(k) > 0$ , the subfunctor  $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{U_{(a)}, T}$  is representable [**CGP**, Lemma 2.4.2]. This allows one (after more work) to identify  $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{G,C}$  with a *closed* subfunctor of  $F$ . We refer the reader to [**CGP**, Thm. 2.4.1] for the details (and see [**CGP**, Cor. 2.4.4] for a variant in which  $C$  is replaced with a maximal  $k$ -torus of  $G$ ).  $\square$

**REMARK 6.1.3.** Assume  $G$  is reductive. The representability and structure of  $\text{Aut}_{G,C}$  can be understood in another way (at the cost of invoking much deeper input): the automorphism functor of  $G$  (without reference to  $C$ ) is represented by a smooth  $k$ -group  $\text{Aut}_{G/k}$  whose identity component is  $G/Z_G$  and whose étale component group over  $k_s$  injects into the automorphism group of the based root datum. Since  $C$  coincides with its own maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$  due to reductivity of  $G$ , we conclude that  $\text{Aut}_{G,C} = T/Z_G$ ; in particular,  $Z_{G,C} = T/Z_G$  is *connected*. This alternative approach through  $\text{Aut}_{G/k}$  is much more sophisticated than the proof of Proposition 6.1.2 since the existence and structure of  $\text{Aut}_{G/k}$  requires the Isomorphism Theorem for split reductive groups over  $k$ -algebras, not just over fields.

In contrast with the reductive case, the automorphism functor of a general pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  is *not* representable (see [**CGP**, Ex. 6.2.1] for commutative counterexamples). However, representability holds in the pseudo-semisimple case since the deformation theory of fiberwise maximal tori in smooth affine group schemes [**SGA3**, XI] allows one to build an affine representing object as a non-commutative pushout analogous to the standard construction; see [**CP**, Prop. 6.2.2] (which does not depend on earlier results in [**CP**]).



Since  $G = C \cdot \mathcal{D}(G)$  and  $C' := C \cap \mathcal{D}(G)$  is a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup of the pseudo-semisimple  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ , clearly  $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{G,C} = \underline{\text{Aut}}_{\mathcal{D}(G),C'}$ . Hence, again at the cost of deeper input, one can alternatively deduce the existence of  $\text{Aut}_{G,C}$  from the representability of  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{D}(G)/k}$ . However, this does not illuminate the structure of  $Z_{G,C} = Z_{\mathcal{D}(G),C'}$  since  $\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{D}(G)/k}$  is generally *not* smooth [CP, Ex. 6.2.3] and its identity component is generally *larger* than  $\mathcal{D}(G)/Z_{\mathcal{D}(G)}$  [CP, Rem. 6.2.5].

It is true that  $Z_{G,C}$  is always connected [CP, Prop. 6.1.4], but this rests on a comprehensive understanding of the structure of rank-1 pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple groups with trivial center, an especially delicate task in characteristic 2; we will address the connectedness of  $Z_{G,C}$  in §9.1.

The merit of  $Z_{G,C}^0$  being a commutative pseudo-reductive group is that it allows us to define a *pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group*

$$(G \rtimes Z_{G,C}^0)/C$$

as in Proposition 2.2.1 (using the evident  $k$ -homomorphism  $C \rightarrow Z_{G,C}^0$  respecting the natural actions on each on  $G$ ). The normal image of  $G$  under  $g \mapsto (g, 1) \bmod C$  clearly coincides with  $G/Z_G$ , establishing the pseudo-reductivity of  $G/Z_G$ !

Root systems and root groups behave as nicely with respect to central quotients as in the reductive case:

**PROPOSITION 6.1.4.** *Let  $f : G \twoheadrightarrow \bar{G}$  be a central quotient map between pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups, and assume  $G$  admits a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$ . Let  $\bar{T} = f(T)$ . Then  $\Phi(\bar{G}, \bar{T}) \rightarrow \Phi(G, T)$  is bijective and for corresponding roots the map  $f$  induces an isomorphism between the associated root groups.*

*In particular, if  $G$  is a standard pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group then the root system for  $G_{k_s}$  is reduced.*

The invariance under central quotients in Proposition 6.1.4 is a simple application of the dynamic definition of root groups and properties of dynamic constructions; see [CGP, Prop. 2.3.15] (which establishes such a result for central quotients of any smooth connected affine  $k$ -group). In the standard case,  $G$  is a central quotient of  $\text{R}_{k'/k}(G') \rtimes C$  with a commutative  $k$ -group  $C$ , so the compatibility of the formation of root systems with respect to Weil restrictions (see Example 3.1.3) implies the asserted reducedness of the root system of  $G_{k_s}$  in the standard case.

**6.2. Central extensions.** To prove that a large class of pseudo-reductive groups  $G$  is standard, the essential case is when  $G$  is absolutely pseudo-simple. Our aim in this section is to explain how the task of proving standardness of a given absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $G$  is (under suitable hypotheses) closely related to the study of certain central extensions. We first seek a mechanism to construct intrinsically from a central quotient  $G$  of  $\text{R}_{k'/k}(G')$ , for a purely inseparable finite extension  $k'$  of  $k$  and a semisimple  $k'$ -group  $G'$ , the pair  $(k'/k, G')$  and the (central) quotient map from  $\text{R}_{k'/k}(G')$  onto  $G$ .

As an initial step we show how  $k'/k$  can be recovered from the group  $\text{R}_{k'/k}(G')$ . The key notion for this purpose is “minimal field of definition” for a closed subscheme after a ground field extension, as follows. If  $X$  is any scheme over a field  $k$  and  $Z$  is a closed subscheme of  $X_K$  for an extension field  $K/k$  then among all subfields  $L \subset K$  over  $k$  for which  $Z$  descends to a closed subscheme of  $X_L$  there is one such  $L$  that is contained in all others [EGA, IV<sub>2</sub>, §4.8ff.]; we call  $L/k$  the *minimal field of definition over  $k$*  for  $Z$  inside  $X_K$ .

EXAMPLE 6.2.1. Consider a purely inseparable finite extension of fields  $k'/k$  and a nontrivial connected reductive  $k'$ -group  $G'$ , and define  $G := R_{k'/k}(G')$ . The  $\bar{k}$ -subgroup  $\mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}}) \subset G_{\bar{k}}$  descends to a  $k'$ -subgroup of  $G_{k'}$  since the smoothness of  $G'$  and structure of  $k' \otimes_k k'$  imply immediately that the natural map  $q : G_{k'} \rightarrow G'$  defined functorially on points valued in any  $k'$ -algebra  $A'$  via

$$G_{k'}(A') = G(A') = G'(k' \otimes_k A') = G'(k' \otimes_k k' \otimes_{k'} A') \longrightarrow G'(A')$$

(using the natural quotient map  $k' \otimes_k k' \rightarrow k'$ ) is a surjection with smooth connected unipotent kernel; i.e.,  $\ker q$  is a  $k'$ -descent of  $\mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}})$ . It is a much deeper fact that  $k'/k$  is *minimal* as a field of definition for the geometric unipotent radical; see [CGP, Prop. A.7.8(2)] (whose proof establishes that  $k'/k$  is even minimal as a field of definition for  $\text{Lie}(\mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}}))$  as a  $\bar{k}$ -subspace of  $\text{Lie}(G_{\bar{k}}) = \text{Lie}(G)_{\bar{k}}$ , a rather surprising fact in positive characteristic).

Ultimately we are interested in central quotients of pseudo-semisimple groups of the form  $R_{k'/k}(G')$ , so it is essential to know that  $k'/k$  is characterized by the same minimality property for any such (pseudo-reductive) central quotient. This is a consequence of:

PROPOSITION 6.2.2. *Let  $H$  be a perfect smooth connected affine  $k$ -group and  $\bar{H} := H/Z$  for a central closed  $k$ -subgroup  $Z \subset H$ . Then the minimal fields of definition over  $k$  for the geometric unipotent radicals of  $H$  and  $\bar{H}$  coincide.*

PROOF. The induced map  $H_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}} \rightarrow \bar{H}_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  between connected semisimple groups has central kernel, so it induces an isomorphism between maximal adjoint semisimple quotients. Hence, to prove the proposition it suffices to show that the field of interest for each of  $H$  and  $\bar{H}$  is unaffected if we work with the maximal adjoint semisimple quotient over  $\bar{k}$  rather than with the maximal reductive (equivalently, semisimple) quotient over  $\bar{k}$ . This is a nontrivial problem due to the possibility that the scheme-theoretic center of a connected semisimple  $\bar{k}$ -group may not be étale. We refer the reader to (the self-contained proof of) [CP, Prop. 3.2.6] for this step, based on the fact that any perfect smooth connected affine  $k_s$ -group is generated by its maximal  $k_s$ -tori [CGP, Cor. A.2.11].  $\square$

REMARK 6.2.3. The perfectness of  $H$  in Proposition 6.2.2 cannot be dropped. Indeed, over every imperfect field  $k$  there exists a non-reductive pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $H \neq \mathcal{D}(H)$  such that  $H/Z_H$  is semisimple (of adjoint type) [CGP, Ex. 4.2.6]! The same example shows that for a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $H$ , the finite purely inseparable minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for the kernel

$$\mathcal{R}_u(H_{\bar{k}}) = \ker(H_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow H_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}})$$

of projection onto the maximal geometric reductive quotient can be strictly larger than the analogous subextension  $K'/k$  associated to the kernel of the projection  $H_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow H_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}/Z_{H_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}}$  onto the maximal geometric adjoint semisimple quotient (whereas the equality  $K = K'$  holds for *perfect*  $H$ ; this is the key step in the proof of Proposition 6.2.2).

Now we can relate standardness to the splitting of central extensions. This rests on the following construction:

DEFINITION 6.2.4. Let  $G$  be a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group, and let  $K/k$  be the minimal field of definition over  $k$  for  $\mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}}) \subset G_{\bar{k}}$  (so  $K/k$  is purely inseparable of finite degree). For  $G' := G_K^{\text{red}} = G_K/\mathcal{R}_{u,K}(G_K)$ , define

$$i_G : G \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G')$$

to be the natural map corresponding to the quotient map  $G_K \twoheadrightarrow G'$  via the universal property of  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}$ . For perfect  $G$  we further define  $\xi_G$  to be the unique map

$$\xi_G : G \longrightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G'))$$

through which  $i_G$  factors.

EXAMPLE 6.2.5. Consider  $(k'/k, G')$  as in Example 6.2.1 and define  $G := \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G')$ , so  $K = k'$  and  $i_G$  corresponds to the natural quotient map  $q : G_{k'} \rightarrow G'$  described in Example 6.2.1. By [CGP, Thm. 1.6.2(2)], under the universal property of Weil restriction  $q$  corresponds to the identity map  $G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G')$ . Thus,  $i_G$  is an isomorphism (and is even identified with the identity map of  $G$ ).

EXAMPLE 6.2.6. For an imperfect field  $k$  with characteristic  $p$  and  $a \in k - k^p$ , let  $k' = k(a^{1/p^{2n}})$  and  $\mathfrak{k} = k(a^{1/p^n})$  for an integer  $n > 0$ . By [CGP, Ex. 5.3.7],

$$G := \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\text{SL}_{p^n})/\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{k}/k}(\mu_{p^n})$$

is a standard pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group that is absolutely pseudo-simple and the kernel  $\ker i_G = \ker \xi_G = \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\mu_{p^n})/\mathbf{R}_{\mathfrak{k}/k}(\mu_{p^n})$  has dimension  $(p^n - 1)^2$ .

We shall be interested in  $\xi_G$  primarily for absolutely pseudo-simple  $G$  since the extension field  $K/k$  is a poor invariant for other groups. Nonetheless, this map has an interesting property in the general pseudo-semisimple case:

PROPOSITION 6.2.7. *Let  $H$  be a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group and  $\overline{H} := H/Z$  for a central closed  $k$ -subgroup  $Z \subset H$ . If  $H$  and  $\overline{H}$  are both pseudo-semisimple then the surjectivity of  $\xi_H$  is equivalent to that of  $\xi_{\overline{H}}$ .*

The proof of this proposition, given in [CP, Prop. 4.1.5], rests on a study of open cells and the insensitivity of root groups and root systems with respect to central quotient maps between pseudo-reductive groups.

6.2.8. Let  $G$ ,  $K/k$ , and  $G'$  be as in Definition 6.2.4. If  $G$  is perfect then the  $K$ -group  $G'$  is semisimple, so for such  $G$  it makes sense to consider the simply connected central cover  $\pi : \widetilde{G}' \twoheadrightarrow G'$ . Letting  $\mu' := \ker \pi \subset Z_{\widetilde{G}'}$  and  $\mathcal{Z} := \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mu')$ , we see from [CGP, Prop. 1.3.4] that  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G')) = \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\widetilde{G}')/\mathcal{Z}$ . Thus, we can rewrite  $\xi_G$  as a map

$$\xi_G : G \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\widetilde{G}')/\mathcal{Z}.$$

Assume  $G$  is absolutely pseudo-simple and standard. Then by Example 2.2.8 it is the central quotient  $\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(H')/Z$  for a purely inseparable finite extension  $k'/k$  and a connected semisimple  $k'$ -group  $H'$  that is absolutely simple and simply connected. As  $k'$  is the minimal field of definition over  $k$  for the geometric unipotent radical of  $\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(H')$  (see [CGP, Prop. A.7.8(2)]),  $k' = K$  as a purely inseparable extension of  $k$  by Proposition 6.2.2. Let  $q : \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(H')_K \rightarrow H'$  be as in Example 6.2.1 (with  $H'$  in place of  $G'$ ) and define  $\mu = q(Z_K) \subset Z_{H'}$ , so  $Z \subset \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mu)$ . The maximal reductive quotient of  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(H')_K$  is  $H'$ , so the maximal reductive quotient  $G'$  of

$G_K$  is  $H'/\mu$ . Thus,  $H'$  is the simply connected central cover of  $G'$  and we identify it with  $\tilde{G}'$  over  $G'$ , so  $\mu = \mu'$ .

Hence, if  $G$  is absolutely pseudo-simple and standard then  $G = \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\tilde{G}')/Z$ , where  $\pi : \tilde{G}' \rightarrow G'$  is the simply connected central cover of  $G'$ ,  $Z \subset \mathcal{Z}$ , and the map  $\xi_G$  is the natural quotient map  $G = \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\tilde{G}')/Z \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\tilde{G}')/\mathcal{Z}$  between central quotients of  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\tilde{G}')$ . Thus,  $\xi_G$  is *surjective* with kernel that is *central* in  $G$ . Moreover, we claim that if the order of  $\mu'$  is not divisible by  $\text{char}(k)$  (such as when  $\mu' = 1$ ; i.e., when  $G'$  is simply connected) then  $\xi_G (= i_G)$  is an isomorphism. To see this we may assume  $k = k_s$ , so the finite étale  $K$ -group  $\mu = \mu'$  is constant and hence the only  $k$ -subgroup  $Z \subset \mathcal{Z} := \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mu')$  for which  $q : Z_K \rightarrow \mu' = \mu$  is surjective is  $Z = \mathcal{Z}$ .

Near the end of [Ti3, Cours 1992-93, II], Tits raised the question of characterizing those non-reductive absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $G$  for which  $i_G$  is an isomorphism. He settled most cases for which the root lattice and weight lattice in the root system *coincide*:  $E_8, F_4$  away from characteristic 2, and  $G_2$  away from characteristic 3. We can now give a criterion for  $i_G$  to be an isomorphism; we will revisit the topic in Remark 10.2.12 (after we have a good understanding of the non-standard case).

**PROPOSITION 6.2.9.** *Let  $G$  be a non-reductive absolutely pseudo-simple group over a field  $k$  of characteristic  $p > 0$ . Then  $i_G$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $G$  is standard and the order of the fundamental group of  $G_k^{\text{ss}}$  is not divisible by  $p$ .*

The  $k$ -group  $G = \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\text{PGL}_p))$  with  $K/k$  purely inseparable of degree  $p$  explicitly exhibits the failure of  $i_G$  to be surjective when the order of the fundamental group of  $G_k^{\text{ss}}$  is divisible by  $p$ .

**PROOF.** Let  $K$  be the minimal field of definition over  $k$  for the geometric unipotent radical of  $G$ , and define  $G' = G_K^{\text{ss}}$ . Let us assume first that  $i_G$  is an isomorphism. Then, by definition,  $G$  is standard. To prove that  $p$  doesn't divide the order of the fundamental group of  $G_k^{\text{ss}}$ , we may and do assume  $k = k_s$ . Let  $q : \tilde{G}' \rightarrow G'$  be the simply connected central cover of  $G'$ . We need to prove that  $p$  doesn't divide the order of  $\mu' := \ker q$ .

Surjectivity of  $i_G$  implies that  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G')$  is perfect, and (as we saw in the proof of Proposition 2.2.7) the derived group of  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G')$  coincides with  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\tilde{G}')/\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mu')$  due to the perfectness of  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\tilde{G}')$ . But  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\tilde{G}')$  and  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G')$  have the same dimension, namely  $[K : k]d$  for the common dimension  $d$  of  $G'$  and  $\tilde{G}'$ , so  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mu')$  must be 0-dimensional. If  $p$  divides  $\#\mu'$  then  $\dim \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mu_p) = 0$  since  $\mu_p \subset \mu'$  (as  $K$  is separably closed and  $\mu'$  is finite of multiplicative type). The purely inseparable finite extension  $K/k$  is nontrivial since  $G$  is assumed to be non-reductive. Letting  $k_0/k$  be a degree- $p$  subextension, we obtain that  $\dim \mathbf{R}_{k_0/k}(\mu_p) = 0$ . But this latter dimension is  $p - 1 > 0$  (see [CGP, Ex. 1.3.2]), giving a contradiction.

Conversely, if  $G$  is standard and the order of the fundamental group of  $G_k^{\text{ss}}$  is not divisible by  $p$ , then as we saw in 6.2.8 the map  $i_G$  is an isomorphism.  $\square$

To go further, we require a framework in which certain central quotient maps

$$\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G') \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G')/Z$$

(with simply connected semisimple  $G'$ ) satisfy properties reminiscent of the “simply connected central cover” of a connected semisimple  $k$ -group. This begins with:

DEFINITION 6.2.10. Let  $Z$  be a commutative affine  $k$ -group scheme of finite type. We say that  $Z$  is  $k$ -tame if it does not contain a nontrivial unipotent  $k$ -subgroup scheme. A central extension

$$1 \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow 1$$

of an affine  $k$ -group scheme  $G$  of finite type is  $k$ -tame if  $Z$  is  $k$ -tame.

EXAMPLE 6.2.11. If  $K/k$  is any finite extension of fields and  $M$  is a  $K$ -group scheme of multiplicative type then  $R_{K/k}(M)$  is  $k$ -tame (use the universal property of  $R_{K/k}$ ); the same holds more generally if  $M$  is a  $K$ -tame commutative affine  $K$ -group of finite type, and clearly a closed  $k$ -subgroup of a  $k$ -tame group is  $k$ -tame.

For example, if  $E = R_{k'/k}(G')$  for a nonzero finite reduced  $k$ -algebra  $k'$  and smooth affine  $k'$ -group  $G'$  with connected reductive fibers over the factor fields of  $k'$  then the center  $Z_E = R_{k'/k}(Z_{G'})$  is  $k$ -tame. Hence, every central closed  $k$ -subgroup of such  $E$  is  $k$ -tame.

Arguments with specialization and relative Verschiebung morphisms in positive characteristic ensure that if  $k'/k$  is a separable extension of fields then  $Z$  is  $k$ -tame if and only if  $Z_{k'}$  is  $k'$ -tame [CP, Prop. 5.1.2]. This is used frequently without comment, especially for  $k' = k_s$ .

The interest in  $k$ -tameness is that the perfect smooth connected affine  $k$ -tame central extensions  $E$  of an arbitrary perfect smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$  behave similarly to connected semisimple central extensions of connected semisimple groups. To make this precise, if  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  are two such  $k$ -tame central extensions of such a  $G$  then a *morphism*  $f : E_1 \rightarrow E_2$  is a  $k$ -homomorphism over  $G$ . It is easy to verify that such an  $f$  is unique if it exists (as  $E_1$  is perfect) and is automatically surjective; this defines a partial ordering (“ $E_1 \geq E_2$ ”) among such  $k$ -tame central extensions of  $G$ .

THEOREM 6.2.12. Fix a perfect smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$ , and let  $K/k$  be the minimal field of definition for  $\mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}}) \subset G_{\bar{k}}$ . The functor  $E \rightsquigarrow E_K^{\text{red}} := E_K/\mathcal{R}_{u,K}(E_K)$  is an equivalence between the category of perfect smooth connected  $k$ -tame central extensions of  $G$  and the category of connected semisimple central extensions of the connected semisimple  $K$ -group  $G_K^{\text{red}}$ .

The perfect smooth connected  $k$ -tame central extension  $\tilde{G}$  of  $G$  corresponding to the simply connected central cover of  $G_K^{\text{red}}$  satisfies the following properties:

- (i)  $\tilde{G}$  is initial among all smooth  $k$ -tame central extensions of  $G$ ,
- (ii) if  $G$  is pseudo-semisimple then so is  $\tilde{G}$ ,
- (iii) the formation of  $\tilde{G}$  is compatible with separable extension on  $k$ .

Proposition 6.2.2 ensures that  $E_K^{\text{red}}$  is reductive (so also semisimple, as it is perfect).

PROOF. To establish the equivalence of categories, by Galois descent we may and do assume  $k = k_s$  (so all  $k$ -tori are split). The essential step is to make a natural construction in the opposite direction: given a connected semisimple central extension  $E'$  of  $G' := G_K^{\text{red}}$ , we seek a perfect smooth connected  $k$ -tame central extension  $E$  of  $G$  such that  $E_K^{\text{red}} \simeq E'$  over  $G'$ .

The simply connected central cover  $\tilde{G}'$  of  $G'$  is identified with that of  $E'$ , so

$$\mu_{E'} := \ker(\tilde{G}' \rightarrow E'), \quad \mu := \ker(\tilde{G}' \rightarrow G')$$

satisfy  $\mu_{E'} \subset \mu$ . Hence, it makes sense to form the fiber product

$$\mathcal{G}(E') = G \times_{\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\tilde{G}')/\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mu)} (\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\tilde{G}')/\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mu_{E'})).$$

The evident projection  $\mathcal{G}(E') \rightarrow G$  is clearly surjective with central kernel that is  $k$ -tame since

$$\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mu_{E'})/\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mu) \subset \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mu_{E'}/\mu)$$

with  $\mu_{E'}/\mu$  of multiplicative type.

The  $k$ -group scheme  $\mathcal{G}(E')$  is generally not smooth (see [CP, Ex. 5.1.6] for non-smooth examples over any imperfect field), so this does not provide the desired reverse construction. Arguments with an “open cell”  $\Omega_G(\lambda)$  as in Theorem 2.3.5(iii) yield that the maximal smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup

$$E := \mathcal{D}((\mathcal{G}(E')^{\text{sm}})^0)$$

inside  $\mathcal{G}(E')$  is a perfect connected  $k$ -group for which the projection  $E \rightarrow G$  is central and surjective; see the proof of [CP, Thm. 5.1.3] for the details (showing that  $E' \rightsquigarrow E$  is the sought-after reverse construction).

To verify (i), (ii), and (iii) we return to considering general  $k$ . Properties (i) and (ii) are rather formal, and explained near the beginning of [CP, §5.2]. For (iii) we recall that if  $Z$  is  $k$ -tame then  $Z_{k'}$  is  $k'$ -tame for separable  $k'/k$ .  $\square$

In view of Theorem 6.2.12(i), we call  $\tilde{G}$  the *universal smooth  $k$ -tame central extension* of  $G$ .

EXAMPLE 6.2.13. If  $G = \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\tilde{G}')/Z$  as in 6.2.8 for  $k$ -tame  $Z$  then  $\tilde{G}$  is equal to  $\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(\tilde{G}')$  equipped with the evident central quotient map onto  $G$ .

Here is an interesting application of the existence of  $\tilde{G}$ :

PROPOSITION 6.2.14. *An absolutely pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -group  $G$  is standard if and only if  $G_{k_s}$  is standard.*

This result holds for any pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group, but we do not need that generality until much later and so postpone it to Corollary 10.2.8.

PROOF. It is immediate from the definition of standardness that if  $G$  is standard then so is  $G_{k_s}$ . Conversely, assume  $G_{k_s}$  is standard. Let  $K/k$  be the minimal field of definition for the geometric unipotent radical of  $G$ , so  $K_s = K \otimes_k k_s$  has the same property for  $G_{k_s}$  over  $k_s$  by Galois descent. Let  $G' = G_K/\mathcal{R}_{u,K}(G_K)$  and  $\tilde{G}'$  be the simply connected cover of  $G'$ . Then, by 6.2.8 the  $k_s$ -group  $G_{k_s}$  is a central quotient  $\mathbf{R}_{K_s/k_s}(\tilde{G}'_{K_s})/Z$ . Since  $Z$  is  $k_s$ -tame by Example 6.2.11,  $\mathbf{R}_{K_s/k_s}(\tilde{G}'_{K_s})$  is the universal smooth  $k_s$ -tame central extension of  $G_{k_s}$ .

By Theorem 6.2.12, the universal smooth  $k$ -tame central extension  $\tilde{G}$  of  $G$  is pseudo-semisimple and  $\tilde{G}_{k_s}$  is the universal smooth  $k_s$ -tame central extension  $\mathbf{R}_{K_s/k_s}(\tilde{G}'_{K_s})$  of  $G_{k_s}$  (so  $\tilde{G}$  is absolutely pseudo-simple). Since every pseudo-reductive central quotient of a standard pseudo-semisimple group is standard (by Example 2.2.8 and the preservation of centrality under composition of quotient maps in Proposition 6.1.1), we may replace  $G$  with  $\tilde{G}$  to reduce to the case that

$G_{k_s} \simeq \mathbf{R}_{K_s/k_s}(\tilde{G}'_{K_s})$ . Thus,  $i_{G_{k_s}} (= (i_G)_{k_s})$  is an isomorphism (see 6.2.8). But then  $i_G$  is an isomorphism, so  $G$  is certainly standard.  $\square$

Recall that if  $G$  is standard then the root system of  $G_{k_s}$  is reduced (Proposition 6.1.4). We saw in 6.2.8 that  $\ker \xi_G$  is central in the standard absolutely pseudo-simple case. An important ingredient in standardness proofs is a partial converse:

**PROPOSITION 6.2.15.** *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group such that  $G_{k_s}$  has a reduced root system. Then  $\ker i_G (= \ker \xi_G)$  is central in  $G$ .*

**PROOF.** A detailed proof of this important result is given in [CP, Prop. 2.3.4], and here we sketch the main ideas. We may replace  $k$  with  $k_s$  to arrange that  $k$  is separably closed. Let  $K$  and  $G' = G_K/\mathcal{R}_{u,K}(G_K)$  be as in Definition 6.2.4. Let  $T$  be a maximal  $k$ -torus of  $G$  and  $\Phi = \Phi(G, T)$ . View  $T' := T_K$  as a maximal  $K$ -torus of  $G'$ , so  $\Phi(G', T') = \Phi$  since the root system  $\Phi$  has been assumed to be reduced. For  $a \in \Phi$ , let  $U_a$  be the corresponding root group of  $G$ , and  $U'_a$  that of  $G'$ .

Using the natural actions of  $T$  on  $U_a$  and of  $T'$  on  $U'_a$ , these commutative smooth connected unipotent groups over  $k$  and  $K$  respectively admit unique linear structures equivariant for the respective actions (by Corollary 3.1.10). Using the resulting linear structure on  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(U'_a)$ , the map  $i_G|_{U_a} : U_a \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(U'_a)$  is equivariant with respect to the inclusion  $T \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(T')$  and thus is linear, so  $\ker(i_G|_{U_a})$  is a vector group. This kernel is therefore a smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup, but its geometric fiber is contained in  $\mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}})$ . Pseudo-reductivity of  $G$  implies that  $\ker(i_G|_{U_a}) = 1$ , so the  $a$ -weight space of  $\mathrm{Lie}(\ker(i_G))$  is trivial for all  $a \in \Phi$ .

As  $\ker(i_G)_{\bar{k}} \subset \mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}})$ , we conclude using [CGP, Prop. 2.1.12(2)] that  $\ker i_G \subset C := Z_G(T)$ . Since  $C$  is commutative, and  $T$  was an arbitrary maximal  $k$ -torus, it follows that  $\ker i_G$  commutes with every Cartan  $k$ -subgroup. Any smooth connected affine  $k$ -group is generated by its Cartan  $k$ -subgroups, so  $\ker i_G$  is central.  $\square$

**REMARK 6.2.16.** The reducedness hypothesis in Proposition 6.2.15 is essential: for every  $n \geq 1$  and imperfect field  $k$  of characteristic 2, by [CGP, Thm. 9.8.1(2),(4)] there exist pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $G$  with root system  $\mathrm{BC}_n$  such that  $\ker \xi_G$  is connected, commutative and directly spanned by nontrivial closed  $k$ -subgroups of root groups for multipliable roots (relative to a fixed split maximal  $k$ -torus). Since  $Z_G$  is contained in every Cartan  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$ , consideration of an open cell shows that  $\ker \xi_G$  is necessarily non-central.

**PROPOSITION 6.2.17.** *If  $G$  is an absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group such that  $G_{k_s}$  has a reduced root system then  $G$  is standard if and only if  $\xi_G$  is surjective. In such cases,  $i_G$  is an isomorphism when the connected semisimple  $\bar{k}$ -group  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\mathrm{red}}$  is simply connected.*

**PROOF.** Since the root system is reduced,  $\ker \xi_G$  is central by Proposition 6.2.15. If  $G$  is standard, then surjectivity of  $\xi_G$  was shown in 6.2.8. For the converse, assume  $\xi_G$  is surjective. Let  $\tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  be the universal smooth  $k$ -tame central extension of  $G$ , so  $\xi_{\tilde{G}}$  is surjective by Proposition 6.2.7. If  $\tilde{G}$  is standard then so is its pseudo-reductive central quotient  $G$  by Example 2.2.8 (and 6.2.8) Thus, we may assume  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$  is simply connected. In such cases, the isomorphism property for  $i_G$  was proved in 6.2.8 for standard  $G$ .

It remains to show that standardness must hold under our current hypotheses (with  $G_k^{\text{ss}}$  simply connected). The  $k$ -group  $G$  fits into a central extension

$$(6.2.17) \quad 1 \rightarrow Z \rightarrow G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G') \rightarrow 1$$

where  $K/k$  is a purely inseparable extension field and  $G'$  is a connected semisimple  $K$ -group that is absolutely simple and simply connected. Moreover, the finite type affine commutative  $k$ -group scheme  $Z = \ker \xi_G = \ker i_G$  contains no nontrivial smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup, as any such  $k$ -subgroup would have to be central and unipotent (since  $Z_k \subset \mathcal{R}_u(G_k)$  by definition of  $i_G$ ) yet  $G$  is pseudo-reductive. To prove  $Z = 1$  (so  $\xi_G$  is an isomorphism) it suffices to prove (6.2.17) splits, as then  $Z$  is a direct factor of the smooth connected  $G$  (so  $Z$  is smooth and connected).

The splitting of (6.2.17) is shown in [CGP, Prop. 5.1.3, Ex. 5.1.4], based on a general criterion for splitting a central extension of a nontrivial pseudo-semisimple group  $H$  by such  $Z$ . The criterion is that a Cartan  $k_s$ -subgroup  $C$  of  $H_{k_s}$  is “rationally generated” by root groups relative to the maximal  $k_s$ -torus in  $C$  (in a sense made precise in the statement of [CGP, Prop. 5.1.3]). The applicability of this criterion to  $H = \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G')$  rests on two properties of simply connected groups (such as  $G'$ ): a basis of coroots for a maximal  $k_s$ -torus  $T'$  is a  $\mathbf{Z}$ -basis of  $X_*(T')$ , and the root groups for a pair of opposite roots  $\{a, -a\}$  generate  $\text{SL}_2$  in which the (diagonal) torus  $a^\vee(\text{GL}_1)$  is “rationally generated” by the associated root groups  $U_a$  and  $U_{-a}$  due to the well-known identity

$$\begin{pmatrix} t & 0 \\ 0 & 1/t \end{pmatrix} = u_+(t)u_-(-1/t)u_+(t-1)u_-(1)u_+(-1)$$

for  $u_+(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $u_-(x) := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ . □

REMARK 6.2.18. The splitting criterion used in the preceding proof is not applicable to generalizations of the standard construction in characteristics 2 and 3 that we will encounter later. For those purposes, the universal smooth  $k$ -tame central extension will provide a substitute sufficient for our needs.

## 7. Non-standard constructions

**7.1. Groups of minimal type.** For a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  with minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for its geometric unipotent radical and the associated maximal reductive quotient  $G_K^{\text{red}} := G_K/\mathcal{R}_{u,K}(G_K)$  over  $K$ , consider the map

$$i_G : G \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G_K^{\text{red}})$$

introduced in Definition 6.2.4. In §6.2 we analyzed the kernel and image of  $i_G$  in the absolutely pseudo-simple case. Note that  $\ker i_G$  is not sensitive to the minimality condition on  $K/k$ , and it is unipotent since  $(\ker i_G)_K \subset \mathcal{R}_{u,K}(G_K)$  (see Remark 2.3.4 for the notion of unipotence without smoothness hypotheses). Example 6.2.6 provides *standard* absolutely pseudo-simple  $G$  satisfying  $\dim \ker i_G > 0$  over any imperfect field  $k$ . One of the main difficulties in any attempt to classify pseudo-reductive groups is the structure of the unipotent group scheme  $\ker i_G$ .

EXAMPLE 7.1.1. Let  $k$  be an imperfect field with characteristic  $p$ . There exist commutative pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups  $C$  such that  $\ker i_C = \mathbf{Z}/p\mathbf{Z}$  [CGP, Ex. 1.6.3]; this is interesting because the center of a connected reductive  $k$ -group never has nontrivial étale  $p$ -torsion (as it is a  $k$ -group scheme of multiplicative type). It is natural to ask if there exists an *absolutely pseudo-simple*  $k$ -group  $G$  such that



the unipotent normal  $k$ -subgroup scheme  $\ker i_G$  is nontrivial and étale (forcing it to be central, by connectedness of  $G$ ).

If  $p > 2$  then no such  $G$  exists. The proof is quite nontrivial, and goes as follows. For an absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $G$  that is standard, the  $k$ -group  $\ker i_G = \ker \xi_G$  is connected by [CGP, Thm. 5.3.8] (this applies for all  $p$ ). According to the classification results in Theorem 7.4.8 and Proposition 7.5.10, an absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group is standard except possibly when  $p \leq 3$ , and the only other possibilities for  $G$  when  $p = 3$  are certain “exotic” constructions for type  $G_2$  that have trivial center.

In contrast, if  $p = 2$  and  $[k : k^2] \geq 16$  then there exist pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $G$  with root system  $A_1$  and  $G_k^{\text{red}} \simeq \text{SL}_2$  such that  $\ker i_G = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  [CGP, Rem. 9.1.11]. (No such  $G$  exists if  $[k : k^2] \leq 8$  [CP, Prop. B.3.1].)

If the root system of  $G_{k_s}$  is reduced then the closed normal  $k$ -subgroup  $\ker i_G$  of  $G$  is central by Proposition 6.2.15, so root groups over  $k_s$  contribute nontrivially to  $\ker i_G$  only when  $G_{k_s}$  has a non-reduced root system. In general, for a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C \subset G$ ,

$$\mathcal{C}_G := C \cap \ker i_G$$

is the maximal central unipotent  $k$ -subgroup scheme of  $G$  [CP, Prop. 2.3.7]; thus,  $\mathcal{C}_G$  is independent of  $C$ . Whether or not  $\mathcal{C}_G$  is trivial can be detected after an arbitrary separable extension on  $k$  because  $(\mathcal{C}_G)_{k'} = \mathcal{C}_{G_{k'}}$  inside  $G_{k'}$  for separable extension fields  $k'/k$  [CP, Lemma 2.3.6].

Since  $\mathcal{C}_G/\mathcal{C}_G = 1$  and the  $k$ -groups  $G$  and  $G/\mathcal{C}_G$  share the same minimal field of definition over  $k$  for their geometric unipotent radicals and share the same root data over  $k_s$  (see [CGP, Prop. 9.4.2, Cor. 9.4.3] for proofs), it is natural to focus attention on the cases for which  $\mathcal{C}_G = 1$ . We give these a special name:

**DEFINITION 7.1.2.** A pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  is of *minimal type* if  $\mathcal{C}_G = 1$ .

If  $k'/k$  is a separable extension then  $G$  is of minimal type if and only if  $G_{k'}$  is of minimal type. The minimal type property is also inherited by smooth connected normal  $k$ -subgroups (such as derived groups) and torus centralizers [CP, Lemma 2.3.10]. Likewise, if  $k'/k$  is a finite extension of fields and  $G'$  is a pseudo-reductive  $k'$ -group of minimal type then the Weil restriction  $G := \text{R}_{k'/k}(G')$  is of minimal type. (Indeed, we may assume  $k = k_s$  upon passing to factor fields of  $k' \otimes_k k_s$ , so now  $k'/k$  is purely inseparable. Thus,  $\pi : G_{k'} \rightarrow G'$  is surjective by [CGP, Prop. A.5.11], so the unipotent  $k'$ -group scheme  $\pi((\mathcal{C}_G)_{k'})$  is central in  $G'$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{C}_G \subset \text{R}_{k'/k}(\mathcal{C}_{G'}) = 1$ .)

Examples not of minimal type are given by the standard absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $G$  in Example 6.2.6 (which satisfy  $\mathcal{C}_G = \ker i_G \neq 1$ ). Here are two interesting sources of pseudo-reductive groups  $H$  for which  $\ker i_H = 1$  (so  $H$  is of minimal type):

**PROPOSITION 7.1.3.** *Let  $K/k$  be a purely inseparable finite extension of fields.*

- (i) *Let  $L$  be a connected reductive  $k$ -group. Every smooth connected intermediate  $k$ -group  $L \subset H \subset \text{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  is pseudo-reductive with  $L$  as a Levi  $k$ -subgroup (so the natural map  $H_K \rightarrow L_K$  is a  $K$ -descent of  $H_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow H_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$ , the minimal field of definition  $k'/k$  for  $\mathcal{R}_u(H_{\bar{k}}) \subset H_{\bar{k}}$  is a subextension of  $K/k$ ,  $H \subset \text{R}_{k'/k}(L_{k'})$ , and the latter inclusion is  $i_H$ ).*

- (ii) For any pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  with minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for its geometric unipotent radical and  $G' := G_K/\mathcal{R}_{u,K}(G_K)$ , the  $k$ -group  $H := i_G(G)$  is pseudo-reductive with minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for its geometric unipotent radical and its inclusion into  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G')$  is identified with  $i_H$ . In particular,  $H$  is of minimal type.

In (i), the inclusion  $H \subset \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(L_{k'})$  and its identification with  $i_H$  rest on the fact that via  $L \hookrightarrow H$  the map  $L_{k'} \rightarrow H_{k'}/\mathcal{R}_{u,k'}(H_{k'})$  is a  $k'$ -descent of the analogue over  $K$  that is a  $K$ -isomorphism whose inverse arises from  $H_K \rightarrow L_K$ . Note also that in (ii) we permit  $G_{k_s}$  to have a non-reduced root system, in which case (as  $H_{k_s}$  has a reduced root system)  $\ker i_G$  is non-central in  $G$  (by Proposition 6.1.4).

PROOF. By Example 6.2.5 the natural map  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)_K \rightarrow L_K$  (which restricts to the identity on the  $K$ -subgroup  $L_K \subset \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)_K$ ) has smooth connected unipotent kernel, so  $L$  is a Levi  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$ . Letting  $U = \mathcal{R}_u(\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)_{\bar{k}})$ , the equality  $L_{\bar{k}} \times U = \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)_{\bar{k}}$  implies

$$L_{\bar{k}} \times (U \cap H_{\bar{k}}) = H_{\bar{k}}.$$

But  $H_{\bar{k}}$  is smooth and connected, so its unipotent normal subgroup scheme  $U \cap H_{\bar{k}}$  is forced to be smooth and connected. We conclude that  $U \cap H_{\bar{k}} = \mathcal{R}_u(H_{\bar{k}})$ , so  $L$  is a Levi  $k$ -subgroup of  $H$ .

Since the  $k$ -subgroup  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(H)$  of the pseudo-reductive  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  satisfies

$$\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(H)_{\bar{k}} \subset \mathcal{R}_u(H_{\bar{k}}) \subset U := \mathcal{R}_u(\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)_{\bar{k}}),$$

it follows from [CGP, Lemma 1.2.1] that  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(H) = 1$ . This establishes (i).

Now consider (ii), for which we may assume  $k = k_s$ . Hence, by Theorem 5.4.4 we can choose a Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $L \subset G$ , so the natural map  $L_K \rightarrow G'$  is an isomorphism. Using this  $K$ -isomorphism,  $i_G(G)$  is identified with an intermediate group between  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  and  $L$ . Hence, by (i) we get everything in (ii) except that the minimal field of definition over  $k$  for  $\mathcal{R}_u(H_{\bar{k}}) \subset H_{\bar{k}}$  is merely a subfield  $k' \subset K$  over  $k$  and correspondingly  $H \subset \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(L_{k'})$  inside  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G') = \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  with this inclusion equal to  $i_H$ . Hence, it just has to be shown that  $K = k'$ .

The composite map

$$G \twoheadrightarrow i_G(G) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(L_{k'})$$

corresponds to a  $k'$ -homomorphism  $q : G_{k'} \rightarrow L_{k'}$ . Clearly  $q_K$  corresponds to the map  $i_G : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K) = \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G')$ , so  $q_K$  is surjective with a smooth connected unipotent kernel. Hence,  $q$  is also surjective with a smooth connected unipotent kernel, so  $q$  is a  $k'$ -descent of the maximal geometric reductive quotient of  $G$ . By minimality of  $K/k$ , this forces the inclusion  $k' \subset K$  over  $k$  to be an equality.  $\square$

Pseudo-split pseudo-reductive groups of minimal type with a *reduced* root system always arise as in Proposition 7.1.3(i):

EXAMPLE 7.1.4. Consider pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups  $G$  such that the root system of  $G_{k_s}$  is reduced (as is automatic except when  $k$  is imperfect with characteristic 2). The kernel  $\ker i_G$  is central (Proposition 6.2.15), so  $G$  is of minimal type if and only if  $\ker i_G = 1$ . If  $G$  is of minimal type and pseudo-split and  $K/k$  is the minimal field of definition for the geometric unipotent radical then Theorem 5.4.4 provides a split Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $L$  of  $G$ , so the natural map  $L_K \rightarrow G_K^{\text{red}}$  is an isomorphism and hence  $i_G$  identifies  $G$  with a  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  containing  $L$ .

As an illustration, consider a pseudo-split *pseudo-semisimple*  $k$ -group  $H$  of minimal type with root system  $A_1$  and denote by  $K/k$  the minimal field of definition for its geometric unipotent radical. Fix a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T \subset H$ , so by Theorem 5.4.4 there exists a Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $L \subset H$  containing  $T$ . We may and do identify  $L$  with  $SL_2$  or  $PGL_2$  carrying  $T$  onto the diagonal  $k$ -torus. Since  $\ker i_H = 1$ , this identifies  $H$  with a  $k$ -subgroup of  $R_{K/k}(L_K)$  containing  $L$ . For the  $T$ -root groups  $U^\pm \subset R_{K/k}(\mathbf{G}_a)$  of  $H$  containing the canonical  $\mathbf{G}_a \subset R_{K/k}(\mathbf{G}_a)$ , the stability of  $U^\pm$  under  $T$ -conjugation implies that the subsets  $V^\pm := U^\pm(k)$  of  $K$  containing  $k$  are  $k$ -subspaces, and that conjugation on  $H$  by the standard Weyl element  $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in L(k)$  swaps the root groups via negation relative to the standard parameterizations of the root groups. Hence,  $V^+ = V^-$  inside  $K$ .

Denote the common  $k$ -subspace  $V^+ = V^-$  of  $K$  containing 1 as  $V$ , and let  $\underline{V}$  be the corresponding  $k$ -subgroup of  $R_{K/k}(\mathbf{G}_a)$ . By Proposition 3.1.4, pseudo-semisimplicity of  $H$  implies that  $H$  is the  $k$ -subgroup of  $R_{K/k}(SL_2)$  or  $R_{K/k}(PGL_2)$  generated by  $\underline{V}$  inside both root groups relative to the diagonal  $k$ -torus. For each  $L \in \{SL_2, PGL_2\}$ , this describes all possibilities for such  $H$  up to  $k$ -isomorphism in terms of possibilities for  $V$ , but the relationship between  $V$  and  $K/k$  needs to be described, as does the characterization of when two such permissible  $V$ 's give rise to isomorphic  $k$ -groups. These matters will be addressed in §7.2.

The notion of “minimal type” is useful when proving classification results and general structure theorems for pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups  $G$  because the central pseudo-reductive quotient  $G/\mathcal{C}_G$  is of minimal type and has the same associated extension  $K/k$  (so passage to  $G/\mathcal{C}_G$  is compatible with the formation of  $i_G$ ). It is convenient in some proofs to first treat the minimal type case and then to infer the general case. The proof of standardness of all pseudo-reductive groups away from characteristics 2 and 3 (shown in Theorem 7.4.8 for absolutely pseudo-simple groups, and deduced in general in Corollary 10.2.14) uses such a technique.

There is good behavior of the “minimal type” property with respect to the useful operations of passage to normal  $k$ -subgroups and centralizers of subgroup schemes of multiplicative type:

**PROPOSITION 7.1.5.** *For a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  of minimal type, every smooth connected normal  $k$ -subgroup is of minimal type and  $Z_G(M)^0$  is of minimal type for every closed  $k$ -subgroup scheme  $M$  of a  $k$ -torus in  $G$ .*

To make sense of the statement of Proposition 7.1.5 it is necessary to first show that the  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  being considered are pseudo-reductive; the pseudo-reductivity of smooth connected normal  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  is elementary, and for  $k$ -subgroups of the form  $Z_G(M)^0$  it is rather nontrivial when  $M$  is non-smooth; see [CGP, Prop. A.8.14(2)]. The idea of the proof of Proposition 7.1.5 is to show for any  $k$ -subgroup  $H$  of  $G$  that is of either of the two types under consideration, the following two properties hold:  $H \cap \ker i_G = \ker i_H$ , and  $H \cap C$  is a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup of  $H$  for any Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C$  of  $G$  when  $H$  is normal in  $G$  as well as for the specific Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C = Z_G(T)$  when  $H = Z_G(M)^0$  for a maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$  of  $G$  and closed  $k$ -subgroup scheme  $M \subset T$ . It is then immediate that  $\mathcal{C}_H = H \cap \mathcal{C}_G$  by definition of  $\mathcal{C}_H$  (so  $\mathcal{C}_H = 1$  when  $\mathcal{C}_G = 1$ ). The equality  $H \cap \ker i_G = \ker i_H$  amounts to showing  $\ker i_H \subset \ker i_G$  and that  $\mathcal{R}_u(H_{\bar{k}}) = H_{\bar{k}} \cap \mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}})$ , which is nontrivial when  $H = Z_G(M)^0$  for non-smooth  $M$  as above; see [CGP, Prop. 9.4.5] for the details.

EXAMPLE 7.1.6. As an illustration of the technical advantages of the “minimal type” case, consider a smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$  and smooth connected normal  $k$ -subgroup  $N$ . For the maximal pseudo-reductive quotients

$$G^{\text{pred}} := G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G), \quad N^{\text{pred}} := N/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(N)$$

there is a natural map  $N^{\text{pred}} \rightarrow G^{\text{pred}}$  because the smooth connected image of  $N$  in the pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G^{\text{pred}}$  is normal and hence pseudo-reductive, and over any imperfect field  $k$  we give examples in [CP, Rem. 2.3.14] of such  $G$  and  $N$  for which  $\ker(N^{\text{pred}} \rightarrow G^{\text{pred}})$  has positive dimension.

The situation is better if we consider the maximal quotients

$$G^{\text{prmt}} := G^{\text{pred}}/\mathcal{C}_{G^{\text{pred}}}, \quad N^{\text{prmt}} := N^{\text{pred}}/\mathcal{C}_{N^{\text{pred}}}$$

that are pseudo-reductive of minimal type. Indeed, there is a natural map  $N^{\text{prmt}} \rightarrow G^{\text{prmt}}$  since the smooth connected image of  $N$  in  $G^{\text{prmt}}$  is normal and hence pseudo-reductive of minimal type, and we claim that this map always has trivial kernel.

The key to the proof of such triviality, given in detail in [CP, Prop. 2.3.13], is that after reducing to the case  $k = k_s$  with  $N$  pseudo-reductive of minimal type, the unipotent subgroup scheme  $N \cap \mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  is *central* in  $N$ . To establish this centrality, observe that the smooth connected normal commutator  $k$ -subgroup  $(N, \mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)) \subset N$  is unipotent since it is contained in  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$ . Thus, this commutator subgroup is contained in  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(N)$ , and  $\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(N) = 1$  since  $N$  is pseudo-reductive, so the centrality follows. But we arranged that  $\mathcal{C}_N = 1$ , so  $N$  has no nontrivial unipotent central  $k$ -subgroup. Hence,  $N \cap \mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G) = 1$ , so we may replace  $G$  with  $G/\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)$  to make  $G$  pseudo-reductive. We need to show that  $N \cap \mathcal{C}_G = 1$ . The proof of the normal case in Proposition 7.1.5 yields that  $N \cap \mathcal{C}_G = \mathcal{C}_N$ , yet we arranged that  $N$  is of minimal type, so  $\mathcal{C}_N = 1$ .

EXAMPLE 7.1.7. Let  $G$  be a pseudo-split pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group, and  $T$  a split maximal  $k$ -torus in  $G$ . For  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$ , let  $G_a := \langle U_a, U_{-a} \rangle$  be the smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup generated by the  $\pm a$ -root groups.

We saw in Remark 3.2.8 that  $G_a$  is pseudo-split and absolutely pseudo-simple with 1-dimensional maximal  $k$ -torus  $a^\vee(\text{GL}_1) = T \cap G_a$ , and that  $G_a$  is described in terms of passage to successive  $k$ -subgroups considered in Proposition 7.1.5 (depending on whether or not  $a$  is divisible). Hence,  $G_a$  is of minimal type whenever  $G$  is of minimal type (and its root system is  $\{\pm a\}$  when  $a$  is not a multipliable root of  $G$ , and is  $\{\pm a, \pm 2a\}$  otherwise). This often permits passage to the absolutely pseudo-simple rank-1 case when proving general theorems for pseudo-reductive groups of minimal type.

A very important feature of passage to such  $k$ -subgroups  $G_a$  is that it interacts well with the maps  $i_G$  and  $i_{G_a}$ . To explain this, the key point is that the explicit description of  $G_a$ , depending on whether or not  $a$  is divisible, yields the equality of group schemes

$$\mathcal{R}_u((G_a)_{\bar{k}}) = (G_a)_{\bar{k}} \cap \mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}})$$

(use [CGP, Prop. A.4.8] for non-divisible  $a$ , and [CGP, Prop. A.8.14(2)] for divisible  $a$ ). It follows that  $(G_a)_K \cap \mathcal{R}_{u,K}(G_K)$  is a  $K$ -descent of  $\mathcal{R}_u((G_a)_{\bar{k}})$ , so the minimal field of definition  $K_a/k$  for the geometric unipotent radical of  $G_a$  is a subextension of  $K/k$  and the restriction  $i_G|_{G_a}$  is the composition of  $i_{G_a}$  with the *inclusion* of  $k$ -group schemes

$$\mathbf{R}_{K_a/k}((G_a)') \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}((G_a)'_K) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G'),$$

where  $G' := G_K/\mathcal{R}_{u,K}(G_K)$  and  $(G_a)' := (G_a)_{K_a}/\mathcal{R}_{u,K_a}((G_a)_{K_a})$ . In particular, naturally  $i_G(G_a) \simeq i_{G_a}(G_a)$ , so Proposition 7.1.3(ii) applied to  $G_a$  implies that  $i_G(G_a)$  is pseudo-split and absolutely pseudo-simple of minimal type with minimal field of definition  $K_a/k$  for its geometric unipotent radical and root system  $\{\pm a'\}$ , where  $a' = a$  in the non-multipliable case and  $a' = 2a$  in the multipliable case.

**7.2. Rank-1 groups and applications.** The structure theory of split connected reductive groups rests on the fact that  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  and  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$  are the only split connected semisimple groups of rank 1. (For example, this result is the reason that root groups for split connected reductive groups are 1-dimensional.) Likewise, our classification of pseudo-reductive groups will require a description of all pseudo-split pseudo-semisimple groups of minimal type with root system  $A_1$  or  $BC_1$  (the latter only relevant over imperfect fields of characteristic 2).

In this section we describe the  $A_1$ -cases, and in §7.4 use that to define a useful invariant called the *root field*. The proof of exhaustiveness of our list of groups will use the (pseudo-split) Bruhat decomposition, in contrast with the reductive case.

Recall that in Example 7.1.4, for any purely inseparable finite extension  $K/k$  we described all pseudo-split pseudo-simple  $k$ -subgroups of minimal type with root system  $A_1$  and minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for the geometric unipotent radical. This description was given in terms of certain  $k$ -subspaces  $V \subset K$  containing 1. However, we did not characterize exactly which  $V$  can occur, and for any two such  $V$  we did not determine when the associated  $k$ -groups are  $k$ -isomorphic. The most interesting case is when  $k$  is imperfect of characteristic 2 and  $[k : k^2] > 2$ , since in all other cases it will turn out that necessarily  $V = K$ . Thus, we shall begin by describing the rank-1 pseudo-split pseudo-simple construction in Example 7.1.4 from a broader point of view over imperfect fields of characteristic 2.

Let  $k$  be imperfect with  $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$ ,  $K/k$  a nontrivial purely inseparable finite extension, and  $V \subset K$  a nonzero  $kK^2$ -subspace such that the  $k$ -subalgebra  $k\langle V \rangle$  generated by the ratios  $v/v'$  for  $v, v' \in V - \{0\}$  coincides with  $K$ . (If  $[k : k^2] = 2$  then  $V = K$ .) Identify the root groups of  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$  and  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{PGL}_2)$  relative to their diagonal  $k$ -tori with  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathbf{G}_a)$  in the standard manner, and let  $\underline{V}^+$  and  $\underline{V}^-$  be the  $k$ -subgroups of these root groups corresponding to  $V \subset K$  (with  $\underline{V}^+$  inside the upper-triangular root group, and  $\underline{V}^-$  inside the lower-triangular root group).

**DEFINITION 7.2.1.** Let  $H_{V,K/k} \subset \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$  be the  $k$ -subgroup generated by  $\underline{V}^\pm$ , and let  $\mathrm{PH}_{V,K/k} \subset \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{PGL}_2)$  be defined similarly (so there is a natural surjection  $H_{V,K/k} \rightarrow \mathrm{PH}_{V,K/k}$ ).

**REMARK 7.2.2.** It is generally difficult to describe the kernel of  $H_{V,K/k} \rightarrow \mathrm{PH}_{V,K/k}$  as a  $k$ -subgroup of the center  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mu_2)$  of  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$ . Examples of pairs  $(K/k, V)$  for which this kernel is a proper  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mu_2)$  can be built over any  $k$  satisfying  $[k : k^2] \geq 16$ ; see [CP, Rem. 3.1.5].

**PROPOSITION 7.2.3.** *Let  $L$  be  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  or  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$ , and let  $D \subset L$  be the diagonal  $k$ -torus. Let  $H$  denote the corresponding  $k$ -subgroup  $H_{V,K/k}$  or  $\mathrm{PH}_{V,K/k}$  of  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$ , with  $K = k\langle V \rangle$ .*

- (i) *The  $k$ -group  $H$  is absolutely pseudo-simple of minimal type with root system  $A_1$  and the minimal field of definition for its geometric unipotent radical is  $K/k$ . It contains  $D = \mathrm{GL}_1$ , and  $Z_H(D) \subset \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(D_K) = \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  coincides with the  $k$ -subgroup  $V_{K/k}^*$  generated by the ratios  $v/v' \in K^\times =$*

$\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)(k)$  for nonzero  $v, v' \in V$ , and the  $D$ -root groups of  $H$  are equal to  $\underline{V}^\pm$ .

- (ii) If  $V'$  is another nonzero  $kK^2$ -subspace of  $K$  such that  $k\langle V' \rangle = K$  and  $H'$  denotes the associated  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  then  $H' \simeq H$  if and only if  $V' = cV$  for some  $c \in K^\times$ .

The notation  $V_{K/k}^*$  does not keep track of which of the two possibilities for  $L$  is under consideration, but the context will always make the intended meaning clear.

PROOF. The action of  $\mathrm{diag}(c, 1) \in \mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$  on  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  carries  $\underline{V}^+$  onto  $c\underline{V}^+$  and carries  $\underline{V}^-$  onto  $c^{-1}\underline{V}^- = c\underline{V}^-$  (equality since  $V$  is a  $kK^2$ -subspace of  $K$ ). By choosing  $c = 1/v_0$  for a nonzero  $v_0 \in V$ , we reduce the verification of the properties of  $H$  to the cases for which  $1 \in V$ . By construction  $H$  contains the  $k$ -subgroups  $\underline{V}^\pm \subset \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathbf{G}_a)$  that now contain  $\mathbf{G}_a$ ; these  $\mathbf{G}_a$ 's are the  $D$ -root groups of  $L$ . Since  $L$  is generated by such root groups, now  $L \subset H$ . Hence, by Proposition 7.1.3(i),  $H$  is pseudo-reductive of minimal type with  $L$  as a Levi  $k$ -subgroup and  $K/k$  is a (not necessarily minimal) field of definition for  $\mathcal{R}_u(H_{\bar{k}}) \subset H_{\bar{k}}$ .

It is not at all clear that  $K/k$  is minimal as a field of definition for  $\mathcal{R}_u(H_{\bar{k}}) \subset H_{\bar{k}}$ , nor that  $H$  is perfect with  $D$ -root groups  $\underline{V}^\pm$  and  $Z_H(D)$  generated by the ratios  $v/v'$ . The verification of these properties rests on explicit calculations in  $L(K)$  and dynamic considerations with the open cell of  $H$  relative to a 1-parameter subgroup  $\mathrm{GL}_1 \simeq D \subset H$  (Theorem 2.3.5(ii)); see [CP, Prop. 3.1.4] for the details.

Consider  $V'$  and  $H'$  as in (ii) such that there exists a  $k$ -isomorphism  $f : H' \simeq H$ . We want to show that  $V'$  is a  $K^\times$ -multiple of  $V$ . By Theorem 4.2.9, we may compose  $f$  with an  $H(k)$ -conjugation so that  $f(D) = D$ . The effect of  $f$  on  $D = \mathrm{GL}_1$  is either the identity or inversion. Proposition 4.1.3 provides an element in  $N_H(D)(k)$  whose effect on  $D = \mathrm{GL}_1$  is inversion, so composing  $f$  with conjugation by such an element if necessary allows us to arrange that  $f$  restricts to the identity on  $D$ . Hence, the associated  $K$ -isomorphism  $f_K^{\mathrm{red}} : H_K^{\mathrm{red}} \simeq H_K^{\mathrm{red}}$  is identified with a  $K$ -automorphism of  $L_K$  restricting to the identity on  $D_K$ . But  $\mathrm{Aut}_K(L_K)$  is identified with  $\mathrm{PGL}_2(K)$ , so  $f_K^{\mathrm{red}}$  is induced by the action of a unique diagonal matrix  $\mathrm{diag}(c, 1)$  with  $c \in K^\times$ . By canonicity of  $i_H$  and  $i_{H'}$ ,  $f$  is induced by  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(f_K^{\mathrm{red}})$  on  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$ . Hence, inspection of  $D$ -root groups implies that  $cV = V'$ .  $\square$

REMARK 7.2.4. The construction of  $H$  in Proposition 7.2.3 can be carried out if the combined hypotheses that the nonzero  $k$ -subspace  $V \subset K$  is a  $kK^2$ -subspace and that  $k\langle V \rangle = K$  are relaxed to the single weaker hypothesis that  $V$  is a nonzero  $k\langle V^2 \rangle$ -subspace of  $K$  (where  $k\langle V^2 \rangle$  denotes the  $k$ -subalgebra of  $K$  generated by the ratios  $v^2/v'^2$  for nonzero  $v, v' \in V$ ). The proof of Proposition 7.2.3 carries over in this generality essentially without change, except that the minimal field of definition over  $k$  for the geometric unipotent radical of  $H$  is  $k\langle V \rangle$  (a subextension of  $K/k$  that might not contain  $V$  in general, though certainly can be arranged to contain  $V$  after replacing  $V$  with  $(1/v_0)V$  for a nonzero  $v_0 \in V$ ).

An advantage of this more general context for the construction of  $H$  is that it then makes sense to consider how the formation of  $H$  interacts with Weil restriction. This is a subtle problem because the structure (and even merely the dimension!) of the Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $Z_H(D)$  is generally intractable. We refer the reader to [CP, Ex. 3.1.6] for a discussion of these matters.

Now we can complete the analysis of the possibilities for  $V$  in Example 7.1.4 by combining the pseudo-split Bruhat decomposition over general fields and Proposition 7.2.3 over imperfect fields of characteristic 2:

**THEOREM 7.2.5.** *Let  $G$  be an absolutely pseudo-simple group over a field  $k$ , and assume  $G_{k_s}$  has root system of rank 1. Let  $K/k$  be the minimal field of definition for the geometric unipotent radical, and  $G' = G_K/\mathcal{R}_{u,K}(G_K)$ .*

- (i) *If  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$  or  $k$  is perfect then  $i_G : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G')$  is an isomorphism.*
- (ii) *Assume  $k$  is imperfect with characteristic 2 and  $G$  is pseudo-split. Let  $L \subset G$  be a Levi  $k$ -subgroup containing a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T \subset G$ , so  $i_G(G)$  is a  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  containing  $L$ . Fix a  $k$ -isomorphism of  $L$  onto  $\text{SL}_2$  or  $\text{PGL}_2$  such that  $T$  is carried onto the diagonal  $k$ -torus  $D$ . There exists a nonzero  $kK^2$ -subspace  $V \subset K$  satisfying  $k\langle V \rangle = K$  such that  $i_G(G)$  is equal to  $H_{V,K/k}$  or  $\text{PH}_{V,K/k}$  respectively.*

Part (i) is [CGP, Thm. 6.1.1], and the proof we give (via arguments in [CP, §3.1]) is a significant simplification in technique due to using Levi  $k$ -subgroups and the Bruhat decomposition (in the pseudo-split case).

**PROOF.** The case of perfect  $k$  in (i) is trivial, so when we consider (i) below we will always assume  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$  (so the root system is  $A_1$  since it is reduced in such cases). Since  $V$  in (ii) is clearly unique if it exists (by inspection of  $D$ -root groups in  $i_G(G)$ ), for the entire proof we may assume  $k = k_s$ . Hence, we are in the pseudo-split situation as considered in Example 7.1.4; we let  $T$  be a split maximal  $k$ -torus of  $G$  and  $L \subset G$  a Levi  $k$ -subgroup containing  $T$  (provided by Theorem 5.4.4). By Proposition 7.1.3, the quotient  $i_G(G)$  is pseudo-reductive of minimal type with the same minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for its geometric unipotent radical, and as a  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  containing  $L$  its inclusion into  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  is identified with  $i_{i_G(G)}$ . Hence, to prove (ii) we may replace  $G$  with  $i_G(G)$  so that  $G$  is of minimal type with root system  $A_1$  (rather than  $\text{BC}_1$ ).

Let us show that for the proof of (i) it is also harmless to replace  $G$  with  $i_G(G)$ . By Proposition 6.2.17, if (i) is settled for  $i_G(G)$  then in general any such  $G$  is at least standard. Being absolutely pseudo-simple, it would follow from 6.2.8 that  $G \simeq \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\text{SL}_2)/Z$  for a closed  $k$ -subgroup  $Z \subset \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mu_2)$ . But  $\mu_2$  is finite étale since  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$  in (i), so since  $K/k$  is purely inseparable it follows that  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mu_2)$  is finite étale. Thus, the natural map  $\mu_2 \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mu_2)$  is an isomorphism by comparison of  $k$ -points (recall  $k = k_s$ ). It follows that the only possibilities for  $Z$  would be  $Z = 1$  and  $Z = \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mu_2)$ , in which case  $G \simeq \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\text{SL}_2)$  and  $G \simeq \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\text{PGL}_2)$  respectively (as  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}$  is compatible with the formation of quotients modulo smooth closed subgroups [CGP, Cor. A.5.4(3)]). The isomorphism property for  $i_G$  in such cases is then part of Example 6.2.5.

For the rest of the argument, now we may assume  $G$  is also of minimal type, so the analysis in Example 7.1.4 is applicable, giving that  $G$  is identified with the  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  generated by  $\underline{v}^\pm$  for a  $k$ -subspace  $V \subset K$  containing 1. It has to be shown that if  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$  then  $V = K$  and that if  $\text{char}(k) = 2$  then  $k\langle V \rangle = K$  and  $V$  is a  $kK^2$ -subspace of  $K$ .

In fact, it suffices in all characteristics to prove that  $v^2 \cdot V \subset V$  for all  $v \in V$ . Indeed, granting this we see that  $(1+v)^2 \cdot v', v^2 \cdot v' \in V$  for all  $v, v' \in V$ , so  $vv' \in V$  when  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ . Thus, now assuming  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ ,  $V$  is a  $k$ -subalgebra of  $K$ ; equivalently,  $V$  is a field  $F$  between  $k$  and  $K$ . But then  $G$  coincides with

the  $k$ -subgroup  $R_{F/k}(L_F)$  inside  $R_{K/k}(L_K)$  because  $R_{F/k}(L_F)$  is generated by its root groups relative to the diagonal  $k$ -torus  $D$  (due to perfectness of  $R_{F/k}(L_F)$  for both possibilities for  $L$  when  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2$ ). The equality  $G = R_{F/k}(L_F)$  forces the inclusion  $F \subset K$  over  $k$  to be an equality by Example 6.2.1.

Suppose instead that  $\text{char}(k) = 2$ , and continue to assume that  $v^2 \cdot V \subset V$  for all  $v \in V$ . Hence,  $V$  is a submodule of  $K$  over the  $k$ -algebra  $k[V^2]$  generated by squares of elements in  $V$ . Since  $k[V^2]$  must be a field and  $1 \in V$ , clearly  $k[V^2] = k\langle V^2 \rangle$ . We are therefore in precisely the situation addressed in Remark 7.2.4, so the  $k$ -groups  $H_{V,K/k}$  and  $PH_{V,K/k}$  make sense and respectively coincide with  $G$  depending on whether  $L$  is equal to  $\text{SL}_2$  or  $\text{PGL}_2$ , and  $k\langle V \rangle$  is the minimal field of definition over  $k$  for its geometric unipotent radical. This forces  $k\langle V \rangle = K$ , so  $V$  is a  $kK^2$ -subspace of  $K$ .

Finally, it remains to show in every characteristic that  $v^2 \cdot v' \in V$  for all  $v, v' \in V$  with  $v \neq 0$ . Since the  $D$ -root groups of  $G$  coincide with  $\underline{V}^\pm$ , so  $G(k)$  meets the strictly upper-triangular subgroup of  $L(K)$  in precisely the points of  $\underline{V}^+(k) = V \subset K$ , it suffices to show that  $\text{diag}(v, 1/v) \in G(k)$  (understood to mean that this element of  $\text{SL}_2(K)$  maps to an element of  $G(k) \subset \text{PGL}_2(K)$  when  $L = \text{PGL}_2$ ) since

$$\begin{pmatrix} v & 0 \\ 0 & 1/v \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1/v & 0 \\ 0 & v \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & v^2 v' \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It remains to find a mechanism to discover  $\text{diag}(v, 1/v)$  inside  $G(k)$ .

Define the elements  $u^+(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $u^-(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ x & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  inside  $L(K)$  for  $x \in K$ . The key idea is to determine the Bruhat decomposition of  $u^+(v)$  in

$$(7.2.5.1) \quad G(k) = (\underline{V}^-(k)nP(k)) \coprod P(k)$$

relative to the minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P := Z_G(D) \times \underline{V}^-$  for any  $v \in V - \{0\}$ , where  $n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in N_L(D)(k) - D(k) \subset N_G(D)(k) - Z_G(D)(k)$ . Since  $v \neq 0$ , clearly  $u^+(v) \notin P(k)$ . Hence,  $u^+(v)$  lies in the first constituent of the decomposition (7.2.5.1). In other words, there exist unique  $v', v'' \in V$  and  $z \in Z_G(D)(k) \subset D(K)$  such that

$$(7.2.5.2) \quad u^+(v) = u^-(v')znu^-(v'').$$

All terms in (7.2.5.2) aside from  $z$  naturally arise from  $\text{SL}_2(K)$ . The diagonal subgroup of  $\text{SL}_2(K)$  is the full preimage of the diagonal subgroup of  $\text{PGL}_2(K)$ , so if  $L = \text{PGL}_2$  then there is a unique  $t \in K^\times$  such that replacing  $z$  with  $\text{diag}(t, 1/t)$  in (7.2.5.2) yields an identity in  $\text{SL}_2(K)$ . Likewise, if  $L = \text{SL}_2$  then  $z = \text{diag}(t, 1/t)$  for a unique  $t \in K^\times$ . Elementary calculations in  $\text{SL}_2(K)$  now imply that  $v = t$ , so if  $L = \text{SL}_2$  then  $\text{diag}(v, 1/v) = z \in G(k)$  whereas if  $L = \text{PGL}_2$  then  $\text{diag}(v, 1/v)$  represents  $z \in G(k) \subset L(K)$ .  $\square$

The good properties of  $i_G(G)$  in Proposition 7.1.3(ii) and of  $G_a$ 's in Example 7.1.7 now yield a consequence of Theorem 7.2.5 that will be crucial in the proofs of later classification results:

**PROPOSITION 7.2.6.** *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-split pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group with a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$  and minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for its geometric unipotent radical. For each  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$ , let  $K_a/k$  be the analogous subextension defined similarly for the pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $G_a := \langle U_a, U_{-a} \rangle$ .*



- (i) The  $k$ -group  $i_G(G_a)$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}_{K_a/k}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$  or  $\mathbf{R}_{K_a/k}(\mathrm{PGL}_2)$  if  $k$  is perfect or  $\mathrm{char}(k) \neq 2$ , and if  $k$  is imperfect of characteristic 2 then  $i_G(G_a)$  is isomorphic to  $H_{V_a, K_a/k}$  or  $\mathrm{PH}_{V_a, K_a/k}$  for a nonzero  $kK_a^2$ -subspace  $V_a \subset K_a$  satisfying  $k\langle V_a \rangle = K_a$ ; such  $V_a$  is unique up to  $K_a^\times$ -scaling.
- (ii) If  $G$  is perfect then  $K$  is generated over  $k$  by its subfields  $K_a$  for non-divisible  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$ .

PROOF. We just need to explain (ii), for which we first introduce some notation. Let  $\Phi = \Phi(G, T)$ , and for each  $a \in \Phi$  define  $a' = a$  when  $a$  is non-multipliable and  $a' = 2a$  when  $a$  is multipliable. Let  $\Phi_{\mathrm{nd}}$  denote the set of non-divisible roots.

By Proposition 3.1.4,  $G$  is generated by its  $k$ -subgroups  $\{G_a\}_{a \in \Phi_{\mathrm{nd}}}$  since  $U_a \subset U_{a/2}$  when  $a$  is divisible, so  $i_G(G)$  is generated by its  $k$ -subgroups  $i_G(G_a)$  for non-divisible  $a$ . Letting  $L \subset G$  be a Levi  $k$ -subgroup containing  $T$  (Theorem 5.4.4), the  $k$ -group  $i_G(G)$  lies between  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  and  $L$ . Since  $\Phi(L, T)$  is identified with the set of non-multipliable roots in  $\Phi$ ,  $a \mapsto a'$  is a bijection from  $\Phi_{\mathrm{nd}}$  onto  $\Phi(L, T)$ .

Consider the subfield  $K' \subset K$  generated by the  $K_a$ 's for non-divisible  $a$ , so inside  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  we have

$$i_G(G_a) = i_{G_a}(G_a) \subset \mathbf{R}_{K_a/k}((L_{a'})_{K_a}) \subset \mathbf{R}_{K'/k}((L_{a'})_{K'}) \subset \mathbf{R}_{K'/k}(L_{K'})$$

for all such  $a$ . Hence,  $i_G(G)$  lies between  $\mathbf{R}_{K'/k}(L_{K'})$  and  $L$ . By Proposition 7.1.3(i) it follows that  $K'/k$  is a field of definition for the geometric unipotent radical of  $i_G(G)$ , yet by Proposition 7.1.3(ii) the minimal such extension is  $K/k$ ! Hence, the inclusion  $K' \subset K$  over  $k$  is an equality.  $\square$

COROLLARY 7.2.7. *If the Cartan subgroups of a pseudo-reductive group  $G$  over a field  $k$  are tori and  $k$  is not imperfect of characteristic 2 then  $G$  is reductive.*

Theorem 7.3.3 lists all non-reductive pseudo-reductive groups over an imperfect field of characteristic 2 whose Cartan subgroups are tori.

PROOF. Without loss of generality we may and do assume  $k = k_s$ . Let  $T$  be a maximal  $k$ -torus in  $G$ , so  $T$  is split. By Proposition 2.1.1(ii) we have  $G = Z_G(T) \cdot \mathcal{D}(G)$ , and by [CGP, Lemma 1.2.5(ii)] we have  $T = Z \cdot T'$  for the maximal central  $k$ -torus  $Z \subset G$  and maximal  $k$ -torus  $T' := T \cap \mathcal{D}(G)$  in  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ . Hence, we may replace  $G$  with  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  so that  $G$  is perfect. Letting  $K/k$  and  $K_a/k$  respectively denote the minimal fields of definition for the geometric unipotent radicals of  $G$  and  $G_a$  for any  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$ , our task is to show that  $K = k$ . By Proposition 7.2.6, it suffices to show that  $K_a = k$  for each  $a$ .

Since  $G_a = \mathcal{D}(Z_G(T_a))$  for the codimension-1 subtorus  $T_a \subset T$  contained in the kernel of  $a$ , and  $T_a$  is an isogeny complement to  $a^\vee(\mathrm{GL}_1) \subset G_a$ , it is clear that the Cartan  $k$ -subgroups of  $G_a$  are tori. Thus, we may assume  $G$  has rank 1. By Theorem 7.2.5(i),  $G$  is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$  or  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{PGL}_2)$ . These each admit  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  as a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup, so  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  is a torus. But  $K/k$  is purely inseparable, so  $K = k$ .  $\square$

The following consequence of Proposition 7.2.6 will permit some classification proofs to be reduced to the rank-1 case.

PROPOSITION 7.2.8. *A pseudo-split pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -group  $G$  of minimal type with a reduced root system and split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$  is determined up to  $k$ -isomorphism by the isomorphism classes of  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\mathrm{red}}$  and of the  $k$ -groups  $G_a := \langle U_a, U_{-a} \rangle$  for all  $a \in \Phi := \Phi(G, T)$ .*

PROOF. By Theorem 5.4.4, we may choose a Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $L \subset G$  containing  $T$ ; the  $k$ -group  $L$  is uniquely determined up to  $k$ -isomorphism as a split  $k$ -descent of  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}}$  (due to the Existence and Isomorphism Theorems for split connected semisimple  $k$ -groups). Let  $K/k$  be the minimal field of definition for  $\mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}}) \subset G_{\bar{k}}$ . Since  $G$  is of minimal type and has a *reduced* root system, so  $\ker i_G = 1$  by Proposition 6.2.15, we may and do identify  $G$  with a  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  containing  $L$ .

For  $a \in \Phi$ , let  $K_a/k$  be the minimal field of definition over  $k$  for the geometric unipotent radical of  $G_a$ . If  $k$  is not imperfect of characteristic 2 then for each  $a \in \Phi$  we have  $G_a = \mathbf{R}_{K_a/k}((L_a)_{K_a})$  inside  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}((L_a)_K)$  by Proposition 7.2.6(i), so  $G$  is uniquely determined inside  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  in such cases because the  $G_a$ 's generate  $G$  (by Proposition 3.1.4, since  $G$  is perfect).

Now suppose  $k$  is imperfect of characteristic 2. By Proposition 7.2.6(i), for each  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$  there is a nonzero  $kK_a^2$ -subspace  $V_a \subset K_a$ , unique up to  $K_a^\times$ -scaling, such that  $k\langle V_a \rangle = K_a$  and  $G_a$  is equal to  $H_{V_a, K_a/k}$  or  $PH_{V_a, K_a/k}$  inside  $\mathbf{R}_{K_a/k}((L_a)_{K_a})$  (depending on whether  $L_a$  is equal to  $\text{SL}_2$  or  $\text{PGL}_2$  respectively). Since  $V_a$  is only unique up to  $K_a^\times$ -scaling and not generally unique as a  $k$ -subspace of  $K_a$ , we require further arguments to justify that  $G$  is uniquely determined up to  $k$ -isomorphism by  $L$  and the  $k$ -isomorphism class of each  $G_a$  (equivalently, the  $K_a^\times$ -homothety class of  $V_a$  for each  $a \in \Phi$ ). For a basis  $\Delta$  of  $\Phi$ , the equality  $N_L(T)(k)/T(k) = W(\Phi)$  implies that  $G$  is generated by  $L$  and  $\{G_a\}_{a \in \Delta}$ . In fact,  $G$  is generated by  $\{G_a\}_{a \in \Delta}$  since  $L$  is generated by its  $k$ -subgroups  $L_a \subset G_a$  for  $a \in \Delta$ .

Suppose  $\mathcal{G}$  is another such  $k$ -group so that its root system is identified with  $\Phi$  in such a manner that  $\mathcal{G}_a \simeq G_a$  for all  $a \in \Phi$  and  $\mathcal{G}_k^{\text{red}} \simeq G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}} = L_{\bar{k}}$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{G}$  admits  $L$  as a Levi  $k$ -subgroup and the minimal field of definition for its geometric unipotent radical is also  $K/k$  due to Proposition 7.2.6(ii). Thus,  $\mathcal{G}$  is a  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  containing  $L$ , so  $\mathcal{G}$  is generated by  $\{\mathcal{G}_a\}_{a \in \Delta}$ . For each  $a \in \Phi$  we have  $\mathcal{G}_a \simeq G_a$  by design, and the  $k$ -subgroup  $\mathcal{G}_a \subset \mathbf{R}_{K_a/k}((L_a)_{K_a})$  arises from the  $kK_a^2$ -subspace  $\lambda_a V_a \subset K_a$  for some  $\lambda_a \in K_a^\times$ . It is therefore sufficient to find

$$\bar{t}_0 \in (T/Z_L)(K) = \mathbf{R}_{K/k}((T/Z_L)_K)(k)$$

whose action on  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  carries  $G_a$  onto  $\mathcal{G}_a$  for each  $a \in \Delta$ . But  $T/Z_L \simeq \text{GL}_1^\Delta$  via  $\bar{t} \mapsto (a(\bar{t}))_{a \in \Delta}$ , so the unique  $\bar{t}_0$  corresponding to  $(\lambda_a) \in (K^\times)^\Delta = (T/Z_L)(K)$  does the job.  $\square$

**7.3. A non-standard construction.** Among non-standard pseudo-reductive groups, it is the absolutely pseudo-simple groups that are the most interesting. Since ultimately it turns out that standardness can only fail in characteristics 2 and 3, any construction of a non-standard pseudo-reductive group must use features specific to these small positive characteristics.

Recall from Proposition 6.1.4 that the formation of the root system and root groups of a pseudo-split pseudo-reductive group is unaffected by passage to a central pseudo-reductive quotient. Thus, by Example 3.1.3 and the reducedness of root systems for connected reductive groups, for any standard pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group the root system over  $k_s$  is *reduced* and the root groups for roots in a common irreducible component of the root system have the same dimension. In particular, an absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group whose root groups over  $k_s$  do not all have the same dimension cannot be standard.

Reducedness of the root system can only fail over imperfect fields of characteristic 2 (Theorem 3.1.7), so non-reducedness of the root system over  $k_s$  can be an obstruction to standardness only over such fields. In fact, over every imperfect field  $k$  of characteristic 2 there *do* exist pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups with root system  $BC_n$  for any desired  $n \geq 1$ . The construction of such groups is quite hard; we will discuss it in §8.

To give the reader a flavor of non-standardness at the present stage we now give a different construction of non-standard absolutely pseudo-simple groups that is specific to characteristic 2, realizing variation in dimension of the root groups as an obstruction to standardness. This construction has the virtue that it also solves a natural problem over any field, having nothing to do with standardness: find all non-reductive pseudo-reductive groups whose Cartan subgroups are tori.

Let  $(V, q)$  be a quadratic space with dimension  $d > 0$  over a field  $k$  of characteristic 2. The symmetric bilinear form  $B_q(v, v') = q(v + v') - q(v) - q(v')$  is alternating since  $\text{char}(k) = 2$ . Assume  $q \neq 0$ . The *defect space*  $V^\perp$  is the set of  $v \in V$  that satisfy  $B_q(v, \cdot) = 0$ , so  $B_q$  induces a (non-degenerate) symplectic form  $\overline{B}_q$  on  $V/V^\perp$ . In particular,  $\dim(V/V^\perp)$  is even. Note that  $q : V^\perp \rightarrow k$  is additive.

Let  $Q$  be the projective quadric hypersurface ( $q = 0$ ). As is explained at the beginning of [CP, §7.1], the quadric  $Q$  is regular (equivalently smooth) at its  $k$ -points if and only if  $q|_{V^\perp}$  is injective, and this property is preserved under separable extension on  $k$ ; we say  $q$  is *regular* in such cases. The smoothness of  $Q$  for even  $d$  is exactly the condition that  $V^\perp = 0$  whereas smoothness of  $Q$  for odd  $d$  is exactly the condition that  $V^\perp$  is a line. If  $Q$  is smooth and  $d \geq 3$  then when  $d = 2m$  is even the group scheme  $O(q)$  is an extension of  $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  by a connected semisimple group  $SO(q)$  of type  $D_m$  whereas when  $d = 2m + 1$  is odd the group scheme  $O(q)$  is the direct product of  $\mu_2$  and a connected absolutely simple group of adjoint type  $B_m$ .

Now assume  $0 < \dim V^\perp < \dim V =: d$ , so  $\dim(V/V^\perp) = 2n$  for some integer  $n > 0$  and hence  $d \geq 2n + 1 \geq 3$ . In these cases  $Q$  is smooth precisely when  $\dim V^\perp = 1$ . We make the weaker hypothesis that  $q$  is regular. In concrete terms, this says

$$q = c_1 x_1^2 + \cdots + c_{d-2n} x_{d-2n}^2 + q_0(x_{d-2n+1}, \dots, x_d)$$

where  $q_0$  is non-degenerate in  $2n$  variables and  $\{c_1, \dots, c_{d-2n}\}$  is  $k^2$ -linearly independent. In particular, the case  $\dim V^\perp > 1$  occurs over  $k$  if and only if  $[k : k^2] \geq 2$ .

If  $\dim V^\perp = 1$  then it is well-known that  $SO(q)$  coincides with the maximal smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup  $O(q)^{\text{sm}}$  of  $O(q)$ . Hence, when  $\dim V^\perp > 1$  we are motivated to make the *definition*

$$SO(q) := O(q)^{\text{sm}}.$$

(See §1.3 for references on the existence and uniqueness of a maximal smooth closed subgroup scheme  $H^{\text{sm}}$  of any group scheme  $H$  of finite type over a field and its relationship with the underlying reduced scheme  $H_{\text{red}}$ .) Note that  $SO(q) = SO(cq)$  for any  $c \in k^\times$ , so there is generally no harm in assuming that  $1 \in q(V^\perp)$  (as is sometimes convenient in calculations).

Arguments in [CP, 7.1.2–7.1.3] establish several results that we now review (recovering well-known properties of  $SO_{2m+1}$  when  $\dim V^\perp = 1$ ). Regularity of  $q_{k_s}$  ensures that the kernel of  $\pi_q : SO(q) = O(q)^{\text{sm}} \rightarrow \text{Sp}(\overline{B}_q)$  has no nontrivial  $k_s$ -points, and inspection of  $q$  in suitable coordinates shows that  $\pi_q$  is surjective,

so it follows that  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  has no nontrivial smooth connected unipotent normal  $k$ -subgroup. This doesn't immediately imply that  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  is pseudo-reductive since it isn't evident if  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  is connected! (Recall that we are now assuming  $\dim V^\perp > 0$ .)

**PROPOSITION 7.3.1.** *Consider regular  $(V, q)$  satisfying  $0 < \dim V^\perp < \dim V$ , and write  $2n = \dim(V/V^\perp)$ . The  $k$ -group  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  is absolutely pseudo-simple with trivial center and root system over  $k_s$  of type  $B_n$ , and its Cartan  $k$ -subgroups are tori. Over  $k_s$  the long root groups have dimension 1 whereas the short root groups have dimension  $\dim V^\perp$  (with both roots understood to be short when  $n = 1$ ).*

*The minimal field of definition over  $k$  for the geometric unipotent radical of  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  is the subfield  $K \subset k^{1/2}$  generated over  $k$  by  $\{\sqrt{q(v)/q(v')}\}_{v, v' \in V^\perp - \{0\}}$ .*

The universal smooth  $k$ -tame central extension of  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  is denoted  $\mathrm{Spin}(q)$ ; it coincides with the usual spin group when  $\dim V^\perp = 1$ . This  $k$ -group inherits absolute pseudo-simplicity from  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  (use Proposition 3.2.2).

Assume  $\dim V^\perp > 1$ , so  $k$  is imperfect and  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  is not reductive (as its short root groups over  $k_s$  have dimension  $\dim V^\perp$ ). If  $n > 1$  then the presence of root groups over  $k_s$  with unequal dimensions (1 for long roots,  $\dim V^\perp$  for short roots) implies that the non-reductive absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  is *not standard* (so  $\mathrm{Spin}(q)$  is not standard, by the characterization in 6.2.8).

**REMARK 7.3.2.** Assume  $n = 1$  (and  $\dim V^\perp > 1$ ). By varying such  $(V, q)$ , when  $[k : k^2] > 2$  the non-reductive absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  can be arranged to be either standard or not standard (depending on  $q$ ) whereas if  $[k : k^2] = 2$  then  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  is always standard. We now establish an interesting *characterization* of standardness when  $n = 1$ : it is equivalent that the  $k$ -subspace  $q(V^\perp)^{1/2} \subset K$  with dimension  $\dim V^\perp \geq 2$  is a line over a subfield of  $K$  strictly containing  $k$  (a property that we can arrange to either hold or fail via suitable choice of  $(V, q)$  if  $[k : k^2] > 2$ , whereas if  $[k : k^2] = 2$  then it cannot ever fail since  $K = k^{1/2}$  is 2-dimensional over  $k$  in such cases).

To prove this characterization we may extend scalars to  $k_s$ , and we may replace  $q$  with a  $k^\times$ -multiple so that  $1 \in q(V^\perp)$ . Use  $q^{1/2}|_{V^\perp}$  to identify  $V^\perp$  with a  $k$ -subspace of  $K$  strictly containing  $k$ , and identify  $\mathbf{G}_a$  with each root group  $U^\pm$  of  $\mathrm{SO}(q)_K^{\mathrm{red}} = \mathrm{PGL}_2$  relative to the diagonal  $K$ -torus via the usual parameterization. Since  $k \subset q(V^\perp)^{1/2}$ , the  $k$ -subspace  $q(V^\perp)^{1/2}$  of  $K$  is a line over a subfield of  $K$  containing  $k$  if and only if  $q(V^\perp)^{1/2}$  is itself a subfield of  $K$  (larger than  $k$ ).

It is shown in the proof of [CP, Prop. 7.2.5] (using [CP, 3.1.3–3.1.4]) that  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  is the  $k$ -subgroup of  $R_{K/k}(\mathrm{PGL}_2)$  between  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$  and  $R_{K/k}(\mathrm{PGL}_2)$  generated by the  $k$ -subgroups of  $R_{K/k}(U_K^\pm) = R_{K/k}(\mathbf{G}_a)$  corresponding to the  $k$ -subspace  $q(V^\perp)^{1/2} \subset K$  strictly containing  $k$ . By [CP, Prop. 3.1.8(ii)], this  $k$ -subgroup of  $R_{K/k}(\mathrm{PGL}_2)$  is standard if and only if  $q(V^\perp)^{1/2}$  is a field  $F \subset K$  (in which case  $q|_{V^\perp}$  is identified with the squaring inclusion  $F \rightarrow k$ ), as desired.

The non-reductive centerless  $k$ -group  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  has  $k$ -automorphisms not arising from  $\mathrm{SO}(q)(k)$ -conjugation, in contrast with the well-known adjoint absolutely simple case for type B when  $\dim V^\perp = 1$ . Nonetheless, all elements of  $\mathrm{Aut}_k(\mathrm{SO}(q))$  arise from a suitable notion of “conformal isometry”; see [CP, Prop. 7.2.2(i)].

Remarkably, the  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ -construction with regular degenerate quadratic spaces  $(V, q)$  satisfying  $V^\perp \neq V$  underlies *all* non-reductive pseudo-reductive groups whose Cartan subgroups are tori. This is made precise in [CP, Prop. 7.3.7]:

**THEOREM 7.3.3.** *Let  $k$  be a field. There exist non-reductive pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups whose Cartan subgroups are tori if and only if  $k$  is imperfect of characteristic 2. For such  $k$ , these  $k$ -groups are precisely  $H \times \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G')$  where  $H$  is a connected reductive  $k$ -group,  $k'$  is a nonzero finite étale  $k$ -algebra, and  $G'$  is a smooth affine  $k'$ -group whose fiber  $G'_i$  over each factor field  $k'_i$  of  $k'$  is a descent of  $\mathrm{SO}(q'_i)$  for a regular degenerate quadratic space  $(V'_i, q'_i)$  over  $k'_{i,s}$  satisfying  $V'^{\perp}_i \neq V'_i$ .*

The proof of Theorem 7.3.3 requires a detailed understanding of the structure of pseudo-split pseudo-reductive groups with a non-reduced root system; see §8.1 (such groups can only exist over imperfect fields with characteristic 2, by Theorem 3.1.7). This hard input ensures that the root system over  $k_s$  of every non-reductive pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group whose Cartan subgroups are tori is *reduced*.

**7.4. Root fields and standardness.** A further application of the pseudo-split rank-1 classification in Theorem 7.2.5 concerns an auxiliary field that arises in the description of automorphisms. For pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -groups  $G$ , these auxiliary fields underlie the possible failure of  $G/Z_G$  to exhaust the identity component of the maximal smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup of the automorphism scheme  $\mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}$ . This is best understood with some examples:

**EXAMPLE 7.4.1.** Let  $K/k$  be a nontrivial purely inseparable finite extension in characteristic  $p > 0$ , and let  $G'$  be a nontrivial connected semisimple  $K$ -group that is simply connected, with  $T' \subset G'$  a maximal  $K$ -torus. Let  $G = \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G')$ . As a special case of our discussion of automorphism functors of pseudo-semisimple groups to be given in §9.1, the automorphism functor  $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}_{G/k}$  is represented by an affine  $k$ -group scheme  $\mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}$  of finite type [CP, Prop. 6.2.2].

In contrast with the semisimple case,  $\mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}$  is *never* smooth [CP, Ex. 6.2.3]. For the study of Galois-twisted forms and local-global principles, it is the maximal smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup  $\mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}^{\mathrm{sm}}$  that matters. Using the action of  $G'/Z_{G'}$  on  $G'$ , we can form the standard pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group

$$\mathcal{G} := (G \rtimes \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(T'/Z_{G'})) / \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(T'),$$

and the evident  $k$ -subgroup inclusion  $\mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}$  has image  $(\mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}^{\mathrm{sm}})^0$  due to [CP, Prop. 6.3.4(i), Prop. 6.2.4, Lemma 6.1.3].

Clearly  $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})$  is the image  $G/Z_G$  of  $G$ , and the commutative quotient

$$\mathcal{G}/(G/Z_G) = \mathrm{coker}(\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(T') \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(T'/Z_{G'}))$$

is a smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -group with dimension  $\dim \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(Z_{G'})$ . In particular,  $(\mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}^{\mathrm{sm}})^0$  is larger than  $G/Z_G$  precisely when  $Z_{G'}$  is not  $K$ -étale.

**EXAMPLE 7.4.2.** Let  $K/k$  be a nontrivial finite extension in characteristic 2, and let  $V$  be a nonzero  $kK^2$ -subspace of  $K$  satisfying  $k\langle V \rangle = K$ . Let

$$F = \{\lambda \in K \mid \lambda V \subset V\};$$

this is a  $kK^2$ -subalgebra of  $K$ , hence a field, and it is the largest subfield of  $K$  over which  $V$  is a subspace. We will be most interested in the cases with  $F$  strictly between  $kK^2$  and  $K$ , so since  $k\langle V \rangle = K$  we see that in such cases  $[K : F] \geq 4$  and  $[F : kK^2] \geq 2$ .

Consider the absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $H := H_{V,K/k} \subset \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$ . Using the natural action of  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$  on  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ , for the diagonal  $k$ -torus  $\mathrm{GL}_1 = \bar{D} \subset \mathrm{PGL}_2$  (via  $t \mapsto \mathrm{diag}(t, 1)$ ) we see the  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\bar{D}_K)$ -action on  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$  preserves the

standard root groups  $U^\pm = \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathbf{G}_a) \subset \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathbf{SL}_2)$  via the scaling  $t.x = t^{\pm 1}x$ . Hence, by definition of  $F$ , the action of  $\mathbf{R}_{F/k}(\overline{D}_F)$  on  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathbf{SL}_2)$  preserves the  $k$ -groups  $\underline{V}^\pm \subset U^\pm$  (corresponding to  $V \subset K$ ) that generate  $H$  by definition.

For the diagonal  $k$ -torus  $D \subset \mathbf{SL}_2$ , the natural map  $D \rightarrow \overline{D}$  corresponds to squaring on  $\mathbf{GL}_1$ . Thus, the Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $V_{K/k}^* = Z_H(D)$  (generated by ratios  $v/v'$  for nonzero  $v, v' \in V$ , due to Proposition 7.2.3(i)) is carried into the  $k$ -subgroup  $\mathbf{R}_{F/k}(\overline{D}_F) \subset \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\overline{D}_K)$  since  $K^2 \subset F$  by hypothesis. Consider the resulting central quotient

$$\mathcal{H} := (H \rtimes \mathbf{R}_{F/k}(\overline{D}_F)) / V_{K/k}^*$$

(using the anti-diagonal inclusion). This is pseudo-reductive by Proposition 2.2.1, and it is naturally a subgroup of the automorphism functor  $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}_{H/k}$ .

By the same results from [CP, Ch. 6] as used in Example 7.4.1,  $\underline{\mathbf{Aut}}_{H/k}$  is represented by an affine  $k$ -group scheme  $\mathbf{Aut}_{H/k}$  of finite type and  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbf{Aut}_{H/k}^{\text{sm}}$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{Aut}_{H/k}^{\text{sm}}$  is pseudo-reductive with derived group equal to the image  $H/Z_H$  of  $H$ , and its quotient by this derived group is the unipotent  $k$ -group  $\mathbf{R}_{F/k}(\mathbf{GL}_1)/\mathbf{GL}_1$ ; this is nontrivial precisely when  $F \neq k$ .

Consider an absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $G$  such that  $G_{k_s}$  has a *reduced* root system, and let  $K/k$  be the minimal field of definition for its geometric unipotent radical, so for  $G' := G_K/\mathcal{R}_{u,K}(G_K)$  the natural map  $i_G : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G')$  has kernel that is central (Proposition 6.2.15). Hence, for any maximal  $k$ -torus  $T \subset G$  we have

$$\ker(\text{Lie}(i_G)) = \text{Lie}(\ker i_G) \subset \text{Lie}(Z_G(T)) = \mathfrak{g}^T$$

for  $\mathfrak{g} := \text{Lie}(G)$ . The complete reducibility of finite-dimensional linear representations of  $T$  provides a unique  $T$ -equivariant linear complement  $\mathfrak{g}(T)$  to  $\mathfrak{g}^T$  in  $\mathfrak{g}$ , and the map

$$\text{Lie}(i_G) : \mathfrak{g}(T) \longrightarrow \text{Lie}(\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G')) = \text{Lie}(G')$$

is *injective*. Hence, the following definition makes sense:

**DEFINITION 7.4.3.** The *root field*  $F \subset K$  is the subextension of  $K/k$  consisting of those  $\lambda \in K$  such that multiplication by  $\lambda$  on  $\text{Lie}(G')$  carries  $\mathfrak{g}(T)$  into itself.

If we use the more precise notation  $F_T$  for the root field, indicating the possible dependence on  $T$ , then it is clear that the formation of  $F_T$  is compatible with separable extension on  $k$ . Hence, we can use the  $G(k_s)$ -conjugacy of maximal  $k_s$ -tori in  $G_{k_s}$  to deduce that  $F_T$  is independent of  $T$ .

**EXAMPLE 7.4.4.** Assume  $G$  as above is pseudo-split of minimal type with root system  $A_1$ , and let  $L$  be a Levi  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$ . By Theorem 7.2.5(i), if  $k$  is not imperfect of characteristic 2 then  $G = \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$ , so clearly  $F = K$  in such cases. If  $k$  is imperfect of characteristic 2 then (by Theorem 7.2.5(ii) and Proposition 6.2.15)  $G$  is equal to  $H_{V,K/k}$  or  $\text{PH}_{V,K/k}$  for some nonzero  $kK^2$ -subspace  $V \subset K$  satisfying  $k\langle V \rangle = K$ . In such cases  $F$  is as described in terms of  $V$  in Example 7.4.2.

If  $G$  as above with arbitrary rank has a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$ , so  $\Phi := \Phi(G, T)$  is *reduced*, then the root field  $F \subset K$  of  $G$  is determined by the root fields  $F_a \subset K_a \subset K$  of the  $k$ -groups  $G_a := \langle U_a, U_{-a} \rangle$  for all  $a \in \Phi$  via the formula  $F = \bigcap_{a \in \Phi} F_a$  that is immediate from the direct product structure of an open cell and the compatibility of  $i_G|_{G_a}$  and  $i_{G_a}$  (as discussed in Example 7.1.7). The computation

of root fields may always be reduced to the case of the *central* quotient  $i_G(G)$  of minimal type because the formation of root fields is unaffected by passage to pseudo-reductive central quotients when the root system is reduced [**CP**, Rem. 3.3.3].

One reason for interest in root fields is that they detect the presence of a Weil restriction in the description of  $G$ , at least when  $G$  is of minimal type. This is made precise by the following result involving maximal pseudo-reductive quotients of minimal type (as in Example 7.1.6):

**PROPOSITION 7.4.5.** *If  $G$  is an absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group of minimal type and  $G_{k_s}$  has a reduced root system then for the root field  $F/k$  the natural map  $G \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}_{F/k}(G_F^{\text{prmt}}))$  is an isomorphism.*

This is a special case of [**CP**, Prop. 3.3.6], and is proved by computations with a Levi  $k_s$ -subgroup and the type- $A_1$  descriptions in Example 7.4.4. Proposition 7.4.5 allows one to reduce some general problems for  $G$  of minimal type over  $k$  to the study of  $G_F^{\text{prmt}}$  over  $F$ , and the latter *also has root field  $F$*  (as may be deduced from the rank-1 cases in Example 7.4.4). In other words, for some purposes we can arrange that the root field is equal to the ground field.

For a maximal  $k$ -torus  $T \subset G$  and the irreducible and reduced root system  $\Phi = \Phi(G_{k_s}, T_{k_s})$ , the root field  $F_a \subset K_s$  of  $(G_{k_s})_a$  for a root  $a \in \Phi$  only depends on  $a$  through its  $W(\Phi)$ -orbit since  $W(\Phi) = N_G(T)(k_s)/Z_G(T)(k_s)$ . But  $W(\Phi)$  acts transitively on the set of roots with a given length (since  $\Phi$  is irreducible), so in the simply laced case the subfields  $F_a \subset K_s$  all coincide and hence they are all equal to the root field  $\bigcap_{a \in \Phi} F_a = F_s$  of  $G_{k_s}$ .

Likewise, if  $\Phi$  has two distinct root lengths then  $F_a$  depends on  $a$  only through its length, so by Galois descent the subfields  $F_a \subset K_s = K \otimes_k k_s$  over  $k_s$  arise from corresponding subfields  $F_>, F_< \subset K$  over  $k$  for long and short roots respectively. We call  $F_>$  the *long root field* and call  $F_<$  the *short root field*, so  $F_> \cap F_< = F$ . In the simply laced case it is convenient to use the definitions  $F_< := F$  and  $F_> := F$ .

**EXAMPLE 7.4.6.** Let  $k$  be an imperfect field of characteristic 2 and let  $(V, q)$  be a finite-dimensional regular quadratic space over  $k$  such that  $0 < \dim V^\perp < \dim V$  (so  $q$  is degenerate precisely when  $\dim V^\perp > 1$ ). Let  $K \subset k^{1/2}$  be the finite extension of  $k$  generated by the ratios  $\sqrt{q(v)/q(v')}$  for nonzero  $v, v' \in V^\perp$ . In §7.3 we introduced the class of absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $\text{SO}(q)$  with trivial center and root system  $B_n$  over  $k_s$ , where  $\dim(V/V^\perp) = 2n$  for an integer  $n \geq 1$ .

By [**CP**, Ex. 7.1.8], the short root field  $F_<$  of  $\text{SO}(q)$  consists of precisely those  $\lambda \in K$  such that  $\lambda$ -scaling preserves the  $k$ -subspace

$$\{\sqrt{q(v)/q(v_0)} \mid v \in V^\perp\} \subset K$$

for a fixed  $v_0 \in V^\perp - \{0\}$  (the choice of which does not matter, as replacing  $v_0$  with  $v'_0 \in V^\perp - \{0\}$  simply multiplies this  $k$ -subspace by  $\sqrt{q(v_0)/q(v'_0)} \in K^\times$ ). If  $q$  is non-degenerate then clearly  $F_< = K = k$ .

Nontriviality of the extension  $F_</math> has concrete meaning in terms of  $(V, q)$ , as follows. Let  $\text{CO}(q)$  denote the maximal smooth  $k$ -subgroup of the group scheme of *conformal isometries* of  $(V, q)$  (the functor of pairs  $(L, \mu)$  consisting of a linear automorphism  $L$  of  $V$  and a unit  $\mu$  such that  $q \circ L = \mu \cdot q$ ). Since  $Z_{\text{SO}(q)} = 1$ , there is an evident inclusion of  $k$ -groups  $j : \text{GL}_1 \times \text{SO}(q) \hookrightarrow \text{CO}(q)$  (well-known to be an equality when  $q$  is non-degenerate). In general there is a canonical isomorphism$

$$\text{CO}(q)/\text{SO}(q) \simeq \mathbf{R}_{F_</k}(\text{GL}_1)$$

by [CP, (7.2.1.2)ff., Prop. 7.2.2(ii)], so  $\mathrm{CO}(q)$  is *connected* and by dimension reasons we see that  $F_{<}$  is larger than  $k$  precisely when  $j$  is not an equality.

For absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $G$  with a reduced root system over  $k_s$ , it is always the case that  $F = F_{>}$ . More generally, beyond the rank-1 case (Example 7.4.4) applied to the central quotient  $i_G(G)$  of minimal type described in Theorem 7.2.5, the relationships among root fields and minimal fields of definition of geometric unipotent radicals are as follows:

**THEOREM 7.4.7.** *Consider a pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple group  $G$  over a field  $k$  that is imperfect with characteristic  $p > 0$ , and let  $T \subset G$  be a split maximal  $k$ -torus. Assume  $n := \dim T \geq 2$  and that the rank- $n$  irreducible root system  $\Phi := \Phi(G, T)$  is reduced. Let  $F, K$  respectively denote the root field for  $G$  and the minimal field of definition over  $k$  for  $\mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}}) \subset G_{\bar{k}}$ , and define  $F_a, K_a$  similarly for  $G_a := \langle U_a, U_{-a} \rangle$  for each  $a \in \Phi$ .*

- (i) *If  $\Phi$  has no edge of multiplicity  $p$  in its Dynkin diagram then  $F_a = F = K = K_a$  for all  $a \in \Phi$ .*
- (ii) *Assume  $\Phi$  has an edge of multiplicity  $p$  in its diagram, so  $p \in \{2, 3\}$  and  $\Phi$  has two root lengths; denote by  $K_{<}$  (resp.  $K_{>}$ ) the subfield  $K_a \subset K$  for  $a \in \Phi$  that is short (resp. long). Then*

$$kK^p \subset K_{>} \subset K_{<} = K,$$

*and if  $p = 3$  then  $F_{>} = K_{>}$  and  $F_{<} = K_{<}$ .*

- (iii) *Assume  $\Phi$  has an edge of multiplicity  $p = 2$ . Then*

$$kK^2 \subset F = F_{>} \subset K_{>} \subset F_{<} \subset K_{<} = K,$$

*and for types  $F_4$  or  $B_n$  with  $n \geq 3$  we have  $F_{>} = K_{>}$  whereas for types  $F_4$  or  $C_n$  with  $n \geq 3$  we have  $F_{<} = K$ .*

In (iii) no assertion is made for type  $B_2 = C_2$ . It can happen in such cases that  $F_a \neq K_a$  for all roots  $a$ ; i.e., the nonzero  $kK_a^2$ -subspace  $V_a \subset K_a$  classifying  $G_a$  can be a proper subspace for all roots  $a$  (this is discussed in Remark 10.1.8).

We now sketch a few points in the proof of Theorem 7.4.7, referring to [CP, Thm. 3.3.8] for the details. The formation of  $K/k$  is unaffected by passage to a central pseudo-reductive quotient (Proposition 6.2.2), and likewise for root fields [CP, Rem. 3.3.3], so by replacing  $G$  with its universal smooth  $k$ -tame central extension we may assume the connected semisimple group  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\mathrm{red}}$  is simply connected. Since  $i_G(G)$  is a central quotient of  $G$  (as  $\Phi$  is reduced) and is of minimal type with the same maximal geometric reductive quotient as  $G$ , and moreover  $i_G|_{G_a}$  is compatible with  $i_{G_a}$  in the sense of Example 7.1.7, we may replace  $G$  with  $i_G(G)$  to reduce to the case that  $G$  is also of minimal type.

A Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $L \subset G$  containing  $T$  exists by Theorem 5.4.4, and it is simply connected since  $L_{\bar{k}} \simeq G_{\bar{k}}^{\mathrm{red}}$ . (In [CP, §3.3] the passage to simply connected  $L$  is done via a more explicit procedure involving root groups because the universal smooth  $k$ -tame central extension built and studied in §6.2 is not provided until later in [CP]. However, its development can be carried out earlier, as we have done in this survey.)

The  $k$ -group  $G$  lies between  $L$  and  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  because  $G$  is of minimal type. For  $a \in \Phi$ , the  $k$ -group  $G_a = \langle U_{-a}, U_a \rangle$  lies between  $L_a$  and  $\mathrm{R}_{K_a/k}((L_a)_{K_a})$ . Upon choosing a basis  $\Delta$  for  $\Phi$ , the effect of conjugation by  $Z_{G_a}(T \cap G_a) = G_a \cap Z_G(T)$



on the  $b$ -root group  $U_b \subset G$  for adjacent  $a, b \in \Delta$  can be described by computing inside  $R_{K/k}(L_K)$ . Combining this description with the list of possibilities for  $G_a$  given in Theorem 7.2.5 yields all of the asserted relations among fields (since the group  $N_L(T)(k)/T(k) = W(\Phi)$  acts transitively on the set of roots with a fixed length due to the irreducibility of  $\Phi$ ). This completes our sketch of the proof of Theorem 7.4.7.

The control of root fields in Theorem 7.4.7 underlies the proof of our first major classification result:

**THEOREM 7.4.8.** *An absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $G$  is standard except possibly when  $k$  is imperfect with  $p := \text{char}(k) \in \{2, 3\}$  and the root system  $\Phi$  of  $G_{k_s}$  satisfies one of the following conditions: (i) its Dynkin diagram has an edge of multiplicity  $p$ , (ii) it is non-reduced (as can only happen when  $p = 2$ ), or (iii) it is of type  $A_1$  with  $p = 2$ .*

Before we prove Theorem 7.4.8, we note that this result is the absolutely pseudo-simple case of [CGP, Cor. 6.3.5, Prop. 6.3.6], as well as of [CP, Thm. 3.4.2], and the proof we give below is simpler. In Corollary 10.2.14 we remove the absolute pseudo-simplicity hypothesis.

**PROOF.** By Proposition 6.2.14 we may assume  $k = k_s$ , so  $G$  is pseudo-split. We may also certainly assume  $\Phi$  is reduced. The rank-1 case away from imperfect fields of characteristic 2 is settled by Theorem 7.2.5, so we may also assume that  $\Phi$  has rank  $n \geq 2$ . Finally, we can assume  $k$  is imperfect (as otherwise our task is trivial) and that the diagram of  $\Phi$  does not have an edge of multiplicity  $p := \text{char}(k) > 0$ .

Since  $\Phi$  is reduced, by Proposition 6.2.17 it suffices to prove that  $\xi_G$  is surjective. We may choose a Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $L \subset G$  since  $k = k_s$ , so the target of  $\xi_G$  is naturally identified with  $\mathcal{D}(R_{K/k}(L_K))$ . This derived group is generated by its root groups relative to a split maximal  $k$ -torus of  $L$  (Proposition 3.1.4), and these root groups coincide with the root groups of  $R_{K/k}(L_K)$ .

Since  $K_a = K$  for all  $a \in \Phi$  by Theorem 7.4.7(i), by the compatibility of  $i_G|_{G_a}$  and  $i_{G_a}$  for  $a \in \Phi$  it suffices to check that the inclusion  $i_{G_a}(G_a) \subset \mathcal{D}(R_{K/k}((L_a)K))$  is an equality for each  $a \in \Phi$ . By Proposition 7.2.6 we are done if  $p \neq 2$  by comparing dimensions of root groups relative to  $a^\vee(\text{GL}_1)$ . The case  $p = 2$  is settled since  $V_a = K$  due to the equality  $F_a = K$  (again see Theorem 7.4.7(i)).  $\square$

**7.5. Basic exotic constructions.** We have encountered two classes of non-standard pseudo-reductive groups, both over imperfect fields  $k$  of characteristic 2: the  $\text{SO}(q)$ -construction for regular degenerate quadratic spaces  $(V, q)$  over  $k$  in §7.3 (to be discussed more fully in §10.1), and the groups  $H_{V, K/k}$  and  $\text{PH}_{V, K/k}$  introduced in Definition 7.2.1 for a purely inseparable finite extension  $K/k$  and a nonzero proper  $kK^2$ -subspace  $V \subset K$  such that  $k\langle V \rangle = K$ . Motivated by Theorem 7.4.8, to construct non-standard absolutely pseudo-simple groups  $G$  over an imperfect field  $k$  of characteristic  $p$  we focus on  $p \in \{2, 3\}$  and three cases depending on the root system  $\Phi$  over  $k_s$ :

- (i)  $\Phi$  of type  $F_4, B_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ), or  $C_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) with  $p = 2$  ( $B_1, C_1$  mean  $A_1$ ),
- (ii)  $\Phi$  of type  $G_2$  with  $p = 3$ ,
- (iii)  $\Phi$  of type  $\text{BC}_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) with  $p = 2$ ; i.e., the non-reduced case.

In this section we shall describe constructions in the first two cases, though not the  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ -construction for regular degenerate  $(V, q)$  (which is an instance of (i) and will be placed into a broader framework in §10.1).

Let  $k$  be an imperfect field of characteristic  $p \in \{2, 3\}$ . As motivation for the non-standard groups to be built over  $k$ , first consider a pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $G$  of minimal type with root system of type  $G_2$  if  $p = 3$  and of type  $F_4$  if  $p = 2$ . (In Corollary 7.5.11 we will see that the “minimal type” property is automatic for these root systems.) Let  $K/k$  be the minimal field of definition for the geometric unipotent radical of  $G$ . By Proposition 7.2.8 and Theorem 7.4.7(ii),(iii), the possibilities for  $G$  are determined up to isomorphism by  $K/k$  and a subfield  $K_{>} \subset K$  that contains  $kK^p$ .

More specifically, let  $L$  be a split connected absolutely simple  $k$ -group with the chosen root system  $\Phi$  ( $G_2$  in characteristic 3,  $F_4$  in characteristic 2). The only possibility for  $G$  is the smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  generated by the  $k$ -subgroups  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}((L_a)_K)$  for short  $a \in \Phi$  and  $\mathrm{R}_{K_{>}/k}((L_a)_{K_{>}})$  for long  $a \in \Phi$ , where  $K_{>}/k$  is a purely inseparable finite extension contained in  $K$  and containing  $kK^p$ . Note that  $\mathcal{G}$  contains  $L$  and hence is pseudo-reductive of minimal type with  $L$  as a Levi  $k$ -subgroup and  $\Phi$  as its root system (by Proposition 7.1.3 (i)). Moreover,  $\mathcal{G}$  is perfect because each group  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}((L_a)_K) = \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$  and  $\mathrm{R}_{K_{>}/k}((L_a)_{K_{>}}) = \mathrm{R}_{K_{>}/k}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$  is perfect. Further arguments are needed to show that the long root groups of  $\mathcal{G}$  have dimension  $[K_{>} : k]$  (rather than larger than  $[K_{>} : k]$ ). These considerations motivate analyzing a construction permitting types  $B_n$  and  $C_n$  for  $n \geq 2$  when  $p = 2$  as well:

**PROPOSITION 7.5.1.** *Let  $k$  be an imperfect field of characteristic  $p \in \{2, 3\}$ , and let  $L$  be a split connected absolutely simple  $k$ -group that is simply connected with a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$  and root system  $\Phi = \Phi(L, T)$  that is irreducible with an edge of multiplicity  $p$ . Let  $K/k$  be a nontrivial purely inseparable finite extension and  $K_{>} \subset K$  a proper subfield containing  $kK^p$ .*

*The  $k$ -subgroup  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  generated by the  $k$ -subgroups  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}((L_a)_K)$  for short  $a \in \Phi$  and  $\mathrm{R}_{K_{>}/k}((L_a)_{K_{>}})$  for long  $a \in \Phi$  is absolutely pseudo-simple of minimal type with root system  $\Phi$ , Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $L$ , and long root groups with dimension  $[K_{>} : k]$ . For a basis  $\Delta$  of  $\Phi$  (so  $\mathrm{GL}_1^\Delta \simeq T$  via  $(t_a)_{a \in \Delta} \mapsto \prod_a a^\vee(t_a)$  since  $L$  is simply connected), we have*

$$(7.5.1) \quad Z_{\mathcal{G}}(T) = \prod_{a \in \Delta_{<}} \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1) \times \prod_{a \in \Delta_{>}} \mathrm{R}_{K_{>}/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$$

*inside  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(T_K) = \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)^\Delta$  for the subsets  $\Delta_{<}$  of short roots in  $\Delta$  and  $\Delta_{>}$  of long roots in  $\Delta$ . Moreover,  $\mathcal{G} = \mathrm{R}_{K_{>}/k}(\mathcal{G}')$  where  $\mathcal{G}'$  is the analogous  $K_{>}$ -subgroup of  $\mathrm{R}_{K_{>}/k}(L_{K_{>}})$ .*

Informally, inside  $\mathrm{R}_{K/K_{>}}(L_K)$  the  $K_{>}$ -subgroup  $\mathcal{G}'$  is built by shrinking the long  $T$ -root groups to be the ones arising from the  $K_{>}$ -subgroup  $L_{K_{>}}$ .

**PROOF.** The arguments in the preceding discussion show that  $\mathcal{G}$  is pseudo-semisimple with  $L$  as a Levi  $k$ -subgroup and root system  $\Phi$ , so in particular  $\mathcal{G}$  is absolutely pseudo-simple. We also clearly have  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathrm{R}_{K_{>}/k}(\mathcal{G}')$ , so by open cell considerations this inclusion is an equality once (7.5.1) is established. To prove that the long root groups coincide with those of  $\mathrm{R}_{K_{>}/k}(L_{K_{>}})$  and that (7.5.1) holds, we shall use Theorem 5.4.3.

Define the commutative pseudo-reductive  $k$ -subgroup

$$C \subset \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(T_K) = \prod_{a \in \Delta} \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$$

using the right side of (7.5.1), so obviously  $C$  normalizes  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}((L_a)_K)$  for all  $a \in \Delta$ . For  $a \in \Delta_{<}$  we define  $F_a := C \cdot \mathbf{R}_{K/k}((L_a)_K)$ , so clearly  $Z_{F_a}(T) = C$ . For  $a \in \Delta_{>}$  the  $k$ -group  $C$  normalizes  $\mathbf{R}_{K_{>}/k}((L_a)_{K_{>}})$  because for  $b \in \Delta_{<}$  the action of  $t \in \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1) = \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(b_K^\vee(\mathrm{GL}_1))$  on  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}((U_{\pm a})_K) = \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathbf{G}_a)$  is via scaling through  $t^{(a, b^\vee)} \in t^{p\mathbf{Z}} \subset \mathbf{R}_{K_{>}/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  (recall that  $kK^p \subset K_{>}$ ). Hence, for  $a \in \Delta_{>}$  the  $k$ -group  $F_a = C \cdot \mathbf{R}_{K_{>}/k}((L_a)_{K_{>}})$  satisfies  $Z_{F_a}(T) = C$ .

Since  $C \cap \mathbf{R}_{K/k}((L_a)_K)$  coincides with  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(a_K^\vee(\mathrm{GL}_1))$  for all  $a \in \Delta_{<}$  and with  $\mathbf{R}_{K_{>}/k}(a_{K_{>}}^\vee(\mathrm{GL}_1))$  for  $a \in \Delta_{>}$ , clearly the  $k$ -groups  $F_a$  for  $a \in \Delta$  are given by the construction in Proposition 2.2.1 and hence are pseudo-reductive. Now we may apply Theorem 5.4.3 to conclude that the  $k$ -group  $F$  generated by  $\{F_a\}_{a \in \Delta}$  is pseudo-reductive with  $C$  as a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup and its  $\pm a$ -root groups coincide with those of  $F_a$  for each  $a \in \Delta$ . In particular,  $F$  contains the  $k$ -group  $L$  generated by the root groups  $\{U_{\pm a}\}_{a \in \Delta}$ . But it is clear that  $F = \mathcal{G}$ , so the long  $T$ -root groups of  $\mathcal{G}$  have dimension  $[K_{>} : k]$  and  $C$  is a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathcal{G}$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

Observe that the pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $\mathcal{G}$  in Proposition 7.5.1 are necessarily *non-standard* since the root groups with distinct lengths have different dimensions. To extend this construction beyond the pseudo-split case, we focus on the essential case where  $K_{>} = k$  and shall use a fiber product construction resting on an exceptional class of isogenies that only exist in characteristics 2 and 3. These isogenies arise from the following result (for which we refer the reader to [CGP, Lemma 7.1.2] for a proof based on an analysis of root groups):

**LEMMA 7.5.2.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic  $p \in \{2, 3\}$ , and let  $G$  be a connected semisimple  $k$ -group that is absolutely simple and simply connected with root system over  $k_s$  having an edge of multiplicity  $p$ .*

*Among all nonzero  $G$ -submodules of  $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$  distinct from  $\mathrm{Lie}(Z_G)$ , there is a unique such  $\mathfrak{n}$  contained in all others, and it is a  $p$ -Lie subalgebra of  $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ . If  $G$  contains a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$  then  $\mathfrak{n}$  is spanned by the  $T$ -weight spaces for the short roots and the coroot lines  $\mathrm{Lie}(a^\vee(\mathrm{GL}_1))$  for short  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$ .*

By [CGP, Prop. A.7.14, Ex. A.7.16], if  $H$  is an affine  $k$ -group scheme of finite type and  $\mathfrak{n}$  is a  $p$ -Lie subalgebra of  $\mathrm{Lie}(H)$  then there is a unique  $k$ -subgroup scheme  $N \subset H$  with vanishing Frobenius morphism and Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathrm{Lie}(H)$ , and  $N$  is normal in  $H$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{n}$  is stable under the adjoint action of  $H$ .

Thus, in the setting of Lemma 7.5.2 we obtain a unique normal  $k$ -subgroup scheme  $N \subset G$  with vanishing Frobenius such that  $\mathrm{Lie}(N) = \mathfrak{n}$  inside  $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$ . Consequently, we obtain a factorization of the Frobenius isogeny  $F_{G/k}$  as

$$F_{G/k} : G \xrightarrow{\pi} G/N \xrightarrow{\bar{\pi}} G^{(p)}.$$

The isogeny  $\pi : G \rightarrow G/N$  is called a *very special isogeny* (and  $G/N$  is called the *very special quotient* of  $G$ ). Note that if  $G$  contains a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$  and  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$  is long then  $\pi$  carries  $G_a$  *isomorphically* onto its image in  $G/N$  because the infinitesimal  $k$ -group scheme  $G_a \cap N$  is trivial (as we can check on Lie algebras

using the description of  $\text{Lie}(N)$  in Lemma 7.5.2 via long coroots and short root spaces relative to  $T$ ).

The relationship between  $G$  and its very special quotient  $\overline{G} := G/N$  is symmetric in the following sense:

**PROPOSITION 7.5.3.** *The very special quotient  $\overline{G}$  of  $G$  is simply connected with root system over  $k_s$  dual to that of  $G_{k_s}$ , and the isogeny  $\overline{\pi} : \overline{G} \rightarrow G^{(p)}$  arising in the factorization of  $F_{G/k}$  through  $\overline{G}$  is the very special isogeny for  $\overline{G}$ .*

*If  $T \subset G$  is a split maximal  $k$ -torus then for  $\overline{T} := \pi(T)$  the map  $\pi : G \rightarrow \overline{G}$  carries long  $T$ -root groups isomorphically onto short  $\overline{T}$ -root groups and carries short  $T$ -root groups  $U_\alpha$  onto long  $\overline{T}$ -root groups  $\overline{U}_{p\alpha}$  via the Frobenius morphism  $F_{\mathbf{G}_a/k}$ .*

The proof of this result rests on a direct study of the restriction of  $\pi$  between root groups by analyzing the weight spaces for  $T$  that occur in  $\mathfrak{n}$ ; see [CGP, Prop. 7.1.5] for the details.

**EXAMPLE 7.5.4.** The best-known very special isogenies are from type B to type C in characteristic 2. This has a linear algebra interpretation as follows. By the classification of connected absolutely simple groups, for  $n \geq 1$  the connected absolutely simple groups of type  $B_n$  with trivial center over a field are the special orthogonal groups  $\text{SO}(q)$  of non-degenerate quadratic spaces  $(V, q)$  of dimension  $2n + 1$ . (Note however that  $\text{SO}(q)$  only determines  $(V, q)$  up to a conformal isometry.) By non-degeneracy, the subspace  $V^\perp$  consisting of the vectors orthogonal to everything in  $V$  relative to the associated symmetric bilinear form  $B_q(v, v') = q(v + v') - q(v) - q(v')$  on  $V$  is a line. The bilinear form  $B_q$  is alternating since  $\text{char}(k) = 2$ , so it induces a symplectic form  $\overline{B}_q$  on  $\overline{V} := V/V^\perp$ . There is an evident  $k$ -homomorphism  $\text{SO}(q) \rightarrow \text{Sp}(\overline{B}_q)$ , and the composite map

$$\pi : \text{Spin}(q) \longrightarrow \text{SO}(q) \longrightarrow \text{Sp}(\overline{B}_q)$$

is the very special isogeny for  $G := \text{Spin}(q)$  when  $n \geq 2$ . Note that in this case  $\overline{G}$  is always split even though  $G$  may not be split.

**EXAMPLE 7.5.5.** In the opposite direction, consider a connected semisimple  $k$ -group  $G$  that is absolutely simple and simply connected of type  $C_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ). Under the adjoint representation of  $G$  on its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , there is a unique minimal non-central  $G$ -submodule  $\mathfrak{n} \subset \mathfrak{g}$  [CGP, Lemma 7.1.2]. Hence,  $G$  naturally acts on  $V = \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{n}$ . The dimension of  $V$  is  $n(2n + 1) - (2n^2 - n - 1) = 2n + 1$ , and since  $\mathfrak{n}$  is a Lie ideal we see that the resulting representation  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$  kills  $\mathfrak{n}$  on Lie algebras, so  $\rho$  factors through the simply connected very special quotient  $\overline{G}$  of  $G$ .

We will show below that there is a *canonical* non-degenerate  $\overline{G}$ -invariant quadratic map  $q : V \rightarrow L$  valued in a line  $L$ . The map  $q$  becomes a quadratic form upon choosing a basis of  $L$ , but the resulting  $k$ -subgroup  $\text{SO}(q) \subset \text{GL}(V)$  does not depend on such a choice. In this manner we get a canonical homomorphism  $f : \overline{G} \rightarrow \text{SO}(q)$ . Since  $\overline{G}$  is simply connected,  $f$  uniquely factors through a homomorphism  $\tilde{f} : \overline{G} \rightarrow \text{Spin}(q)$  that we will show is an isomorphism, so the unique homomorphism  $G \rightarrow \text{Spin}(q)$  through which  $\rho : G \rightarrow \text{SO}(q)$  factors is the very special isogeny for  $G$ .

A very special isogeny  $H \rightarrow \overline{H}$  intertwines long roots and the associated coroots for  $H$  with short roots and the associated coroots for  $\overline{H}$  [CGP, Prop. 7.1.5(1)], so the construction of  $\mathfrak{n}$  implies that  $V$  is identified with the corresponding  $\overline{G}$ -submodule  $\overline{\mathfrak{n}}$  of  $\overline{\mathfrak{g}} := \text{Lie}(\overline{G})$  that is also a  $p$ -Lie subalgebra of  $\overline{\mathfrak{g}}$ . The  $p$ -operation

on a Lie algebra is functorial in the group scheme (see [CGP, Lemma A.7.13]), so the  $p$ -operation

$$q : V \longrightarrow V$$

on  $V = \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$  that is induced by the ones on  $\mathfrak{g}$  and  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}$  is equivariant for the natural  $\bar{G}$ -action on  $V$ . The  $p$ -operation on a Lie algebra is  $D \mapsto D^p$  on global left-invariant derivations of the structure sheaf, and  $(D + D')^p = D^p + [D, D'] + D'^p$  since  $p = 2$ . Hence, on  $\text{Lie}(\bar{G})$  we have  $(X + X')^{[2]} = X^{[2]} + [X, X'] + X'^{[2]}$  where  $[X, X']$  is bilinear in the pair  $(X, X')$ , so  $X \mapsto X^{[2]}$  is a  $\bar{G}$ -equivariant *quadratic map* from  $\text{Lie}(\bar{G})$  into itself. Thus,  $q$  is a  $\bar{G}$ -equivariant quadratic map whose associated bilinear map  $B_q$  is the restriction to  $V$  of the Lie bracket.

We claim that  $L := [V, V]$  is a line containing  $q(V)$  and that the resulting quadratic map  $q : V \rightarrow L$  is non-degenerate. Once we know that  $L$  is a line, the  $\bar{G}$ -action on  $L$  must be trivial (as  $\bar{G}$  has no nontrivial characters), so  $q$  would be  $\bar{G}$ -invariant, giving a canonical homomorphism  $\tilde{f} : \bar{G} \rightarrow \text{Spin}(q)$  as explained above (which we will show is an isomorphism). To establish these properties we may and do assume  $k = k_s$ , so  $\bar{G}$  admits a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $\bar{T}$ .

Let  $\Delta$  be a basis of the root system  $\Phi := \Phi(\bar{G}, \bar{T})$  of type  $B_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ); in particular,  $\Delta$  contains a unique short root  $b_0$ . By design, the subspace  $V = \bar{\mathfrak{n}}$  is spanned by the coroot line  $L := k \cdot \text{Lie}(b_0^\vee)(\partial_t) = \text{Lie}(\mu_p)$  and the lines  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_b = \text{Lie}(\alpha_p)$  for  $b$  in the set  $\Phi_{<}$  of short roots. For each such  $b$ , the coroot line  $k \cdot \text{Lie}(b^\vee)(\partial_t)$  coincides with  $L$  since the difference of coroots associated to any two short roots for type  $B_n$  is twice an element of the coroot lattice (so it induces 0 on Lie algebras in characteristic 2); this is the familiar assertion that for  $n \geq 2$  any two long roots for type  $C_n$  differ by twice an element of the root lattice.

For  $b \in \Phi_{<}$ , the lines  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_b$  and  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{-b}$  generate an  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  since  $\langle U_b, U_{-b} \rangle = \text{SL}_2 \subset \bar{G}$  (as  $\bar{G}$  is simply connected). Thus, by functoriality of the  $p$ -operation and calculating in  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  we see that for each  $b \in \Phi_{<}$  and nonzero  $X_{\pm b} \in \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{\pm b}$  the vector  $B_q(X_b, X_{-b})$  is a nonzero element of  $L$  and  $B_q(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_b, L) = 0$ . The set  $\Phi_{<}$  of short roots for type  $B_n$  is the root system  $A_1^n$ , so for linearly independent short  $b, b' \in \Phi$  the root groups  $U_b$  and  $U_{b'}$  commute with each other. Hence,  $B_q(\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_b, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{b'}) = [\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_b, \bar{\mathfrak{g}}_{b'}] = 0$ . Since  $q$  kills each line  $\bar{\mathfrak{g}}_b$  and has nonzero restriction to  $L$  (as the  $p$ -operation for  $\alpha_p$  vanishes and for  $\mu_p$  is nonzero), we conclude that  $q(V) \subset L = [V, V]$  and that the pairs of root lines for opposite short roots span pairwise  $B_q$ -orthogonal hyperbolic planes. In particular,  $q : V \rightarrow L$  is non-degenerate.

It remains to show that the resulting map  $\tilde{f} : \bar{G} \rightarrow \text{Spin}(q)$  is an isomorphism. Although the entire preceding construction makes sense as written only when the rank  $n$  is at least 2 (as then there are both short roots and long roots), we shall formulate a rank-1 analogue and reduce our higher-rank problem to the rank-1 analogue that is more amenable to direct calculation. Consider a pair  $\{\pm b\}$  of opposite short roots in  $\Phi$  and the associated  $k$ -subgroup  $\bar{G}_b = \langle U_b, U_{-b} \rangle \subset \bar{G}$  that meets  $\bar{T}$  in  $b^\vee(\text{GL}_1)$ . Since  $\bar{G}_b \simeq \text{SL}_2$ , the preceding calculations show that the  $p$ -operation  $\text{Lie}(\bar{G}_b) \rightarrow \text{Lie}(\bar{G}_b)$  is a quadratic map whose image spans  $L$  as above and thereby defines a non-degenerate quadratic form  $q_b : \text{Lie}(\bar{G}_b) \rightarrow L$  that is the restriction of  $q$ . We thereby get an analogous homomorphism  $\tilde{f}_b : \bar{G}_b \rightarrow \text{Spin}(q_b)$ .

Naturally  $\text{SO}(q_b) \subset \text{SO}(q)$  since  $V$  is the direct sum of  $\text{Lie}(\bar{G}_b)$  and the space of vectors in  $V$  orthogonal to  $\text{Lie}(\bar{G}_b)$ , and the natural map  $\text{Spin}(q)_b \rightarrow \text{SO}(q_b)$  is the quotient by the central  $\mu_2$  because the center of  $\text{Spin}(q)$  is the  $\mu_2$  whose Lie

algebra is the common coroot line for every short root. This identifies  $\mathrm{Spin}(q)_b$  with  $\mathrm{Spin}(q_b)$ , and via this inclusion of  $\mathrm{Spin}(q_b)$  into  $\mathrm{Spin}(q)$  it is clear that  $\tilde{f}|_{\tilde{G}_b} = \tilde{f}_b$ .

We will prove that each  $\tilde{f}_b$  is an isomorphism. Granting this, the  $\overline{G}$ -submodule  $\ker \mathrm{Lie}(\tilde{f}) \subset \mathrm{Lie}(\overline{G})$  is contained in the span of the root lines for the long roots and their associated coroots. In particular, this kernel does not contain the Lie algebra of the center, nor does it contain  $\bar{\mathfrak{n}}$ . But every nonzero  $\overline{G}$ -submodule of  $\mathrm{Lie}(\overline{G})$  must contain one of those two Lie subalgebras by [CGP, Lemma 7.1.2], so this forces the kernel to vanish. Thus,  $\tilde{f}$  has étale kernel, so it is an étale isogeny for dimension reasons. Hence,  $\tilde{f}$  is an isomorphism since  $\mathrm{Spin}(q)$  is simply connected.

It remains to prove that  $\tilde{f}_b$  is an isomorphism for each  $b \in \Phi_{<}$ . This amounts to a concrete assertion in characteristic 2: if  $L = \mathrm{Lie}(Z_{\mathrm{SL}_2}) \subset \mathfrak{sl}_2$  is the diagonal subspace and  $q : \mathfrak{sl}_2 \rightarrow L$  is the non-degenerate quadratic map induced by  $X \mapsto X^{[2]}$  then the representation  $\rho : \mathrm{SL}_2 \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(q)$  is the quotient by the center (as then the unique factorization through  $\mathrm{Spin}(q)$  is an isomorphism). But composing  $\rho$  with the inclusion  $\mathrm{SO}(q) \hookrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$  gives  $\mathrm{Ad}_{\mathrm{SL}_2}$ , and the (scheme-theoretic) kernel of the adjoint representation of any connected reductive group is the center, so comparison of dimensions of  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  and  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  implies that  $\rho$  is the quotient by the center.

Here is an interesting construction using very special isogenies:

EXAMPLE 7.5.6. Let  $K/k$  is a nontrivial finite extension satisfying  $K^p \subset k$ , and let  $\pi : L \rightarrow \overline{L}$  be a very special isogeny over  $k$  for  $L$  as in Proposition 7.5.1 with  $K_{>} = k$  (and  $T \subset L$  a split maximal  $k$ -torus). Then for  $f := \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\pi_K)$  and the Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $\overline{L} \subset \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\overline{L}_K)$  we claim that the  $k$ -group  $f^{-1}(\overline{L})$  coincides with  $\mathcal{G}$  as in Proposition 7.5.1 with  $K_{>} = k$ ; in particular,  $f^{-1}(\overline{L})$  is smooth (and even absolutely pseudo-simple with  $L$  as a Levi  $k$ -subgroup).

To verify that  $\mathcal{G} = f^{-1}(\overline{L})$  inside  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$ , we first note that the long  $T$ -root groups of  $L$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  coincide due to 1-dimensionality of each. Thus,  $\mathcal{G} \subset f^{-1}(\overline{L})$  since  $f$  carries each  $T$ -root group of  $\mathcal{G}$  into  $\overline{L}$  (by applying Proposition 7.5.3 to  $\pi_K$ ). This containment is an equality on open cells since  $\pi$  carries  $L_a$  isomorphically onto  $\overline{L}_a$  for long  $a \in \Phi(L, T)$ , so to prove it is an equality it is enough to show that  $f^{-1}(\overline{L})$  is connected, or more specifically that  $\ker f$  is connected. But  $\ker f = \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\ker \pi_K)$  and as a  $K$ -scheme (not  $K$ -group scheme)  $\ker \pi_K$  is isomorphic to a direct product of copies of  $\mathrm{Spec}(K[x]/(x^p))$ . Since  $K^p \subset k$ , it is clear that  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{Spec}(K[x]/(x^p)))$  is geometrically connected and hence  $\ker f$  is connected.

The preimage construction in Example 7.5.6 underlies the following remarkable equivalence whose proof rests on arguments with non-smooth group schemes and Theorem 5.4.4:

THEOREM 7.5.7. *Let  $K/k$  be a nontrivial purely inseparable finite extension satisfying  $K^p \subset k$ , and let  $\pi' : G' \rightarrow \overline{G}'$  be a very special isogeny over  $K$  and define  $f = \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\pi')$ . For a Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $\overline{G} \subset \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\overline{G}')$  (if one exists), the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *The  $k$ -group scheme  $\mathcal{G} := f^{-1}(\overline{G})$  is smooth.*
- (ii) *The  $k$ -group  $\overline{G}$  is contained in the image of  $f$ .*
- (iii) *The group  $\mathcal{G}_{k_s}$  is smooth and contains a Levi  $k_s$ -subgroup of  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(G')_{k_s}$ .*

*When these conditions hold,  $\mathcal{G}$  is absolutely pseudo-simple of minimal type with minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for its geometric unipotent radical,  $i_{\mathcal{G}}$  is identified*

with the inclusion of  $\mathcal{G}$  into  $R_{K/k}(G')$ , and  $f(\mathcal{G}) = \overline{G}$ . In particular, the quotient map  $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \overline{G}$  is determined by  $i_{\mathcal{G}}$  and the very special isogeny  $\pi' : G' \rightarrow \overline{G}'$ .

The proof of Theorem 7.5.7 apart from the assertions at the end when (i), (ii), and (iii) hold is given in [CGP, Thm. 7.3.1]. Under these conditions it is obvious that  $\overline{G} = f(\mathcal{G})$ , and to prove the rest we may assume  $k = k_s$ . Hence,  $\mathcal{G}$  contains a Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $G$  of  $R_{K/k}(G')$ , so  $G$  is a  $k$ -descent of  $G'$  (see [CGP, Lemma 7.2.1]); this identifies  $\pi : G \rightarrow \overline{G}$  with a  $k$ -descent of  $\pi'$ . By identifying  $G'$  with  $G_K$  in this manner,  $\mathcal{G}$  is an instance of the preimage construction in Example 7.5.6. Thus, the asserted properties for  $\mathcal{G}$  are now clear.

REMARK 7.5.8. The existence of the Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $\overline{G}$  in Theorem 7.5.7 is a nontrivial condition when  $\overline{G}'$  is not split (see [CGP, Ex. 7.2.2]).

We have finally arrived at a general class of non-standard absolutely pseudo-simple groups:

DEFINITION 7.5.9. A *basic exotic* pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group is a  $k$ -group that arises as  $\mathcal{G}$  in Theorem 7.5.7.

Since split Levi  $k$ -subgroups always exist in the pseudo-split case (Theorem 5.4.4), it follows that the pseudo-split basic exotic pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups are precisely the  $k$ -groups  $\mathcal{G}$  that arise in Proposition 7.5.1 with  $K_{>} = k$ . In particular, it is immediate from Proposition 7.5.1 that if  $K_{>}/k$  is a purely inseparable finite extension of fields and  $\mathcal{G}'$  is a basic exotic pseudo-reductive  $K_{>}$ -group with root system  $\Phi$  over  $(K_{>})_s$  then  $R_{K_{>}/k}(\mathcal{G}')$  is absolutely pseudo-simple of minimal type over  $k$  with root system  $\Phi$  over  $k_s$ . (In particular,  $R_{K_{>}/k}(\mathcal{G}')$  is perfect.) The extension  $K_{>}/k$  is intrinsically determined by such a  $k$ -group: it is the *long root field* (as we may check over  $k_s$ , via the description provided by Proposition 7.5.1 in the pseudo-split case). The center of a basic exotic  $k$ -group admits an explicit description in the presence of a Levi  $k$ -subgroup; see [CGP, Cor. 7.2.5].

PROPOSITION 7.5.10. *Let  $k$  be imperfect with  $p := \text{char}(k) \in \{2, 3\}$ , and let  $\Phi$  be the root system  $F_4$  when  $p = 2$  and  $G_2$  when  $p = 3$ . Let  $G$  be a non-standard absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group of minimal type with long root field  $K_{>}$  and root system  $\Phi$  over  $k_s$ . Then  $G \simeq R_{K_{>}/k}(\mathcal{G}')$  for a basic exotic  $K_{>}$ -group  $\mathcal{G}'$ .*

PROOF. If  $k'/k$  and  $k''/k$  are purely inseparable finite extensions and  $\mathcal{G}'$  and  $\mathcal{G}''$  are basic exotic groups over  $k'$  and  $k''$  respectively such that  $R_{k'/k}(\mathcal{G}') \simeq R_{k''/k}(\mathcal{G}'')$  then comparison of long root fields implies  $k' = k''$  as purely inseparable extensions of  $k$ . In such a situation, any  $k$ -isomorphism  $f : R_{k'/k}(\mathcal{G}') \simeq R_{k'/k}(\mathcal{G}'')$  has the form  $R_{k'/k}(f')$  for a unique  $k'$ -isomorphism  $f' : \mathcal{G}' \simeq \mathcal{G}''$ . Indeed, the natural map

$$R_{k'/k}(\mathcal{G}')_{k'} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$$

is the quotient by the  $k'$ -unipotent radical (as it is a smooth surjection with connected unipotent kernel [CGP, Prop. A.5.11(1),(2)]), and likewise for  $\mathcal{G}''$ , so  $f_k$  dominates a unique isomorphism  $\varphi$  between maximal pseudo-reductive quotients over  $k'$  and hence  $f = R_{k'/k}(\varphi)$  (see [CGP, Prop. 1.2.2]).

By Galois descent and the preceding canonical description of all possible  $k$ -isomorphisms  $f$  it follows that we may assume  $k = k_s$ . In particular,  $G$  contains a Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $L$  (with maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$ ). Moreover,  $\ker i_G$  is central in  $G$  since  $\Phi$  is reduced. Thus, since  $G$  is minimal type it follows that  $\ker i_G = 1$ .

By Proposition 7.2.6(i) and Theorem 7.4.7,  $K_{>}$  contains  $kK^p$  (over  $k$ ) and  $G_a \simeq \mathbf{R}_{K_{>}/k}((L_a)_{K_{>}})$  for long  $a \in \Phi(L, T)$  whereas  $G_a \simeq \mathbf{R}_{K/k}((L_a)_K)$  for short  $a \in \Phi(L, T)$ . This implies (by considerations with dimension and minimal fields of definition for geometric unipotent radicals) that the image  $G \simeq i_G(G) \subset \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  coincides with the  $k$ -group  $\mathcal{G} = \mathbf{R}_{K_{>}/k}(\mathcal{G}')$  as in Proposition 7.5.1 applied to  $(L, K/K_{>}/k)$ .  $\square$

The following refinement of Proposition 7.5.10 removes the “minimal type” hypothesis.

**COROLLARY 7.5.11.** *For  $k$  and  $\Phi$  as in Proposition 7.5.10, every non-standard absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $G$  with root system  $\Phi$  is of minimal type.*

*In particular, a pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group with root system  $\Phi$  is uniquely determined up to isomorphism by the minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for its geometric unipotent radical and the long root field  $K_{>} \supset kK^p$ .*

**PROOF.** The final part follows from the rest by Theorem 7.2.5, Proposition 7.2.8, and Theorem 7.4.7.

In general, the maximal quotient  $\mathcal{G} := i_G(G)$  that is pseudo-reductive of minimal type is a central quotient of  $G$  since  $\Phi$  is reduced; i.e.,  $\mathcal{G} = G/\mathcal{C}_G$ . By Proposition 7.5.10, we have  $\mathcal{G} \simeq \mathbf{R}_{K_{>}/k}(\mathcal{G}')$  for the long root field  $K_{>}$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  and a basic exotic  $K_{>}$ -group  $\mathcal{G}'$ . Hence,  $G$  is a central extension of  $\mathcal{G}$  by the unipotent  $k$ -group scheme  $\mathcal{C}_G$ . It is equivalent to show that this is a split extension, as that would force  $\mathcal{C}_G = 1$ , so we may and do assume  $k = k_s$ . In particular,  $G$  contains a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$ .

Let  $\Delta$  be a basis for the common root system  $\Phi(G, T) = \Phi(\mathcal{G}, T) = \Phi(\mathcal{G}', T_{K_{>}})$ , so  $Z_{\mathcal{G}}(T) = \prod_{a \in \Delta} \mathbf{R}_{K_a/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  where  $K_a = K$  for short  $a$  and  $K_a = K_{>}$  for long  $a$ . Since  $\mathcal{G}_a = \mathbf{R}_{K_a/k}((L_a)_{K_a}) = \mathbf{R}_{K_a/k}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$ , the classical formula universally expressing diagonal points in  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  as a product of points in the standard root groups allows us to express all points in  $Z_{\mathcal{G}}(T)$  universally as a product of points in  $T$ -root groups for roots in  $\pm\Delta$ . It follows from a general splitting criterion for central extensions of pseudo-split pseudo-semisimple groups in [CGP, Prop. 5.1.3] that every central extension of  $\mathcal{G}$  by a commutative affine  $k$ -group scheme  $Z$  of finite type containing no nontrivial smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup is split. We may use  $\mathcal{C}_G$  as such a  $Z$  to conclude.  $\square$

**REMARK 7.5.12.** In view of Theorem 7.4.8, it follows from Corollary 7.5.11 that away from types  $B_n$  and  $C_n$  (with  $n \geq 1$ ) the basic exotic construction accounts for all deviations from standardness with a reduced and irreducible root system.

**REMARK 7.5.13.** If  $k$  is imperfect of characteristic  $p = 2$  and  $[k : k^2] = 2$ , it follows from Theorem 7.2.5(ii) that for any pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $G$  with root system  $A_1$  such that  $G_k^{\mathrm{ss}} \simeq \mathrm{SL}_2$ , we have  $i_G(G) = \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$  for a purely inseparable finite extension  $K/k$ . But  $\ker i_G$  is central since the root system is reduced, so  $G$  is a central extension of  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$  by the unipotent  $k$ -group scheme  $\ker i_G$ . The same splitting criterion used in the proof of Corollary 7.5.11 then implies that  $\ker i_G = 1$ . It follows similarly that Corollary 7.5.11 is valid over such  $k$  using the root system  $\Phi$  equal to either of  $B_n$  or  $C_n$  with any  $n \geq 2$  when  $G_k^{\mathrm{ss}}$  is simply connected.

The only remaining difficulties in classifying the absolutely pseudo-simple case over  $k$  with a reduced root system over  $k_s$  are for types B and C over imperfect



fields  $k$  of characteristic 2 (including type  $A_1$ , which we have completely described in the pseudo-split minimal type case in Theorem 7.2.5(ii)).

Consider a pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple group  $G$  with rank  $n \geq 2$  over a field  $k$  of arbitrary characteristic. Assume  $G_k^{\text{ss}}$  is simply connected and let  $K/k$  be the minimal field of definition for  $\mathcal{R}_u(G_k) \subset G_k$ . Observe that by Proposition 7.2.8 and Theorem 7.4.7, if  $L$  denotes the split  $K$ -descent of  $G_k^{\text{ss}}$  then  $G \simeq \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K))$  except possibly when the root system  $\Phi$  has an edge of multiplicity  $p = \text{char}(k) > 0$ . In the latter cases, Corollary 7.5.11 gives a classification via  $K/K_{>}/k$  for type  $F_4$  with  $p = 2$  and type  $G_2$  with  $p = 3$ . We now record a variant for types  $B_n$  and  $C_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) in characteristic 2.

**THEOREM 7.5.14.** *Let  $K/k$  be a purely inseparable finite extension in characteristic 2,  $K_{>} \subset K$  a subfield containing  $kK^2$ , and  $\Phi$  the root system  $B_n$  or  $C_n$  with  $n \geq 2$ . Choose a nonzero  $K_{>}$ -subspace  $V \subset K$  satisfying  $k\langle V \rangle = K$  and a nonzero  $kK^2$ -subspace  $V_{>} \subset K_{>}$  satisfying  $k\langle V_{>} \rangle = K_{>}$ . If  $\Phi = C_n$  with  $n \geq 3$  then assume  $V = K$ , and if  $\Phi = B_n$  with  $n \geq 3$  then assume  $V_{>} = K_{>}$ .*

*There exists a unique pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $G$  of minimal type with root system  $\Phi$  such that:  $G_k^{\text{ss}}$  is simply connected,  $K/k$  is the minimal field of definition for the geometric unipotent radical of  $G$ ,  $G_a \simeq H_{V_{>}, K_{>}/k}$  for long  $a \in \Phi$ , and  $G_a \simeq H_{V, K/k}$  for short  $a \in \Phi$ .*

The appearance of the minimal type hypothesis and of vector spaces rather than merely fields in Theorem 7.5.14 are a significant contrast with Corollary 7.5.11 (which concerns the root systems  $F_4$  and  $G_2$  in characteristics 2 and 3 respectively). The necessity of the conditions on  $K/K_{>}/k$  and the vector spaces  $V$  and  $V_{>}$  in Theorem 7.5.14 is immediate from Theorem 7.4.7. The sufficiency is deeper, and requires constructing a  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  containing  $L$  and satisfying prescribed properties. Theorem 5.4.3 provides the main technique in this construction; see the proof of [CP, Thm. 3.4.1(iii)] for further details.

To conclude our general discussion of basic exotic groups, we record some notable features in the special case  $[k : k^p] = p$  (such as for global and local function fields over finite fields of characteristic  $p$ ), referring to [CGP, Prop. 7.3.3, Prop. 7.3.5] for proofs.

**PROPOSITION 7.5.15.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a basic exotic pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group, where  $\text{char}(k) = p \in \{2, 3\}$  and  $[k : k^p] = p$ . Let  $f : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \overline{G}$  be the associated surjection as at the end of Theorem 7.5.7, with  $\overline{G}$  a connected semisimple group that is simply connected with root system over  $k_s$  dual to that of  $\mathcal{G}_{k_s}$ .*

- (i) *The map  $f$  is bijective on  $k$ -points, as well as a homeomorphism on adelic points when  $k$  is global and on  $k$ -points when  $k$  is local. Moreover, the natural map  $H^1(k, \mathcal{G}) \rightarrow H^1(k, \overline{G})$  induced by  $f$  is bijective.*
- (ii) *If  $\mathcal{G}_1$  and  $\mathcal{G}_2$  are basic exotic  $k$ -groups and  $\overline{G}_j$  is the associated quotient of  $\mathcal{G}_j$  then the natural map  $\text{Isom}_k(\mathcal{G}_1, \mathcal{G}_2) \rightarrow \text{Isom}_k(\overline{G}_1, \overline{G}_2)$  is bijective.*
- (iii) *The set of isomorphism classes of  $k_s/k$ -forms of  $\mathcal{G}$  is in natural bijection with the set of isomorphism classes of  $k_s/k$ -forms of  $\overline{G}$  via the analogous construction  $\mathcal{H} \rightsquigarrow \overline{H}$  for such  $k$ -forms.*

The significance of this result is that for many arithmetic calculations the intervention of a basic exotic  $k$ -group  $\mathcal{G}$  can be replaced with that of the associated connected semisimple  $k$ -group  $\overline{G}$ . This is crucial in many proofs in [C2] to reduce

arithmetic problems in the pseudo-reductive case to the *standard* pseudo-reductive case. (One likewise needs analogous results for pseudo-reductive groups with a non-reduced root system in characteristic 2, see Proposition 8.3.10.)

The key point in the proof of Proposition 7.5.15, after passing to the pseudo-split case and inspecting open cells, is that if  $k'/k$  is a finite extension then the only nontrivial purely inseparable finite extension  $K/k'$  satisfying  $kK^p \subset k'$  is  $K = k'^{1/p}$  and the maps

$$R_{K/k'}(\mathrm{GL}_1) \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1, \quad R_{K/k'}(\mathbf{G}_a) \rightarrow \mathbf{G}_a$$

induced by the relative Frobenius endomorphisms of  $\mathrm{GL}_1$  and  $\mathbf{G}_a$  over  $K$  are bijections between sets of  $k'$ -points (but of course are not isomorphisms between  $k'$ -groups).

## 8. Groups with a non-reduced root system

**8.1. Preparations for birational constructions.** Let  $k$  be a field. The construction of pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $G$  with a non-reduced root system (i.e.,  $\mathrm{BC}_n$  for some  $n \geq 1$ ) requires an entirely different approach than the methods based on fiber products and very special isogenies used to build non-standard absolutely pseudo-simple groups of types  $\mathrm{B}_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ),  $\mathrm{C}_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ),  $\mathrm{F}_4$ , and  $\mathrm{G}_2$ . Letting  $K/k$  be the minimal field of definition for the geometric unipotent radical of  $G$ , necessarily  $k$  is imperfect of characteristic 2 and the quotient  $G' = G_K/\mathcal{R}_{u,K}(G_K)$  must be simply connected of type  $\mathrm{C}_n$  (Theorem 3.1.7).

Let  $T \subset G$  be a split maximal  $k$ -torus, so for a multipliable root  $c \in \Phi(G, T)$  the natural map

$$i_G : G \longrightarrow \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(G') \simeq \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$$

carries the root group  $U_c$  into  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(U'_{2c})$ . By Proposition 3.1.6,  $U_c$  is a vector group admitting a  $T$ -equivariant linear structure. Upon choosing such a linear structure, the  $T$ -action admits as its weights exactly  $c$  and  $2c$ , with  $U_{2c}$  precisely the  $2c$ -weight space. In particular, the  $T$ -equivariant linear structure on  $U_{2c}$  is *unique* since  $2c : T \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1$  is surjective; uniqueness implies (by working with  $k_s$ -points) that this linear structure on  $U_{2c}$  is equivariant for the action of  $Z_G(T)$ .

The  $T$ -equivariant linear structure on  $U_c$  is generally *not* unique, so it is not evident if it can be chosen to be  $Z_G(T)$ -equivariant. It is an important fact (see Corollary 8.1.4) that the  $T$ -equivariant linear structure on  $U_c$  can indeed be chosen to be  $Z_G(T)$ -equivariant. This enhanced equivariance is a nontrivial condition, insofar as there can exist  $T$ -equivariant linear structures on  $U_c$  that are not  $Z_G(T)$ -equivariant.

We wish to illustrate this phenomenon with a “toy example” that will later be seen to account for all possibilities for  $U_c$  equipped with its  $Z_G(T)$ -action. This requires the following useful terminology. For any commutative  $k$ -algebra  $A$  with  $\dim_k A < \infty$ , an  $\underline{A}$ -*module scheme* is a smooth connected commutative affine  $k$ -group equipped with a module scheme structure over the ring scheme  $\underline{A}$  representing the functor  $B \rightsquigarrow A \otimes_k B$  on  $k$ -algebras. The functor  $\mathcal{M} \rightsquigarrow \mathcal{M}(k)$  defines an equivalence of categories between  $\underline{A}$ -module schemes and finitely generated  $A$ -modules [CGP, Lemma 9.3.5].

**EXAMPLE 8.1.1.** Let  $K/k$  be a nontrivial purely inseparable finite extension in characteristic 2 (so  $[K : kK^2] \geq 2$ ). Let  $V' \subset K$  be a nonzero  $kK^2$ -subspace, and  $V \subset K^{1/2}$  a nonzero finite-dimensional  $K$ -subspace such that for the injective squaring map  $q : V \rightarrow K$  the nonzero  $K^2$ -subspace  $q(V) \subset K$  has trivial intersection with  $V'$  (so  $V' \neq K$ , and such pairs  $(V', V)$  exist for any  $K/k$ ). These hypotheses are preserved under scalar extension along  $k \rightarrow k_s$  (with  $k_s \otimes_k K = K_s$ ).

The associated vector groups  $\underline{V}'$  and  $\underline{V}$  over  $k$  are module schemes over the ring schemes  $\underline{kK^2} := \mathrm{R}_{kK^2/k}(\mathbf{G}_a)$  and  $\underline{K} := \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathbf{G}_a)$  respectively. Let  $\underline{q} : \underline{V} \rightarrow \underline{K}$  be the 2-linear map of  $\underline{K}$ -modules arising from  $q$ .

On the  $k$ -group  $U := \underline{V}' \times \underline{V}$  we define an action of  $C := \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  via scalar multiplication on  $\underline{V}$  (using the  $K$ -linear structure on  $V$ ) and via scalar multiplication on  $\underline{V}'$  through squaring on  $C$  (using the  $kK^2$ -linear structure on  $V'$ ). Observe that the  $k$ -homomorphism  $U \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathbf{G}_a)$  defined by  $(v', v) \mapsto v' + \underline{q}(v)$  is injective on  $k_s$ -points and is  $C$ -equivariant with  $c \in C$  acting on  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathbf{G}_a)$  through multiplication against  $c^2 \in \mathrm{R}_{kK^2/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1) \subset C$ .

Let  $T = \mathrm{GL}_1$  be the maximal  $k$ -torus in  $C$ , so the evident linear structure on  $U$  (arising from the  $k$ -linear structures on  $V$  and  $V'$ ) is  $T$ -equivariant. This linear structure is also  $C$ -equivariant, but there exist other  $C$ -equivariant linear structures on  $U$  and (when  $K^2 \not\subset k$ ) there exist  $T$ -equivariant linear structures on  $U$  that are *not*  $C$ -equivariant. To build examples of the former, let  $k \cdot q(V)$  denote the  $k$ -span of  $q(V)$  inside  $K$  and let  $L : k \cdot q(V) \rightarrow V'$  be a nonzero  $kK^2$ -linear map. Then the map  $(v', v) \mapsto (v' + \underline{L}(q(v)), v)$  is a  $C$ -equivariant  $k$ -automorphism of  $U$  not respecting the given linear structure. Transporting the given linear structure on the target through this automorphism back onto the source gives a new  $C$ -equivariant linear structure

$$\lambda.(v', v) = (\lambda v' + (\lambda - \lambda^2)\underline{L}(q(v)), \lambda v)$$

on  $U$ . On the other hand, if we choose such an  $L$  to be  $k$ -linear but not  $kK^2$ -linear (as we can always do when  $K^2 \not\subset k$ ) then the same construction using this  $L$  is  $T$ -equivariant but not  $C$ -equivariant (as  $\underline{q}(V) = \underline{k} \cdot q(V)$  due to the Zariski-density of  $k^2$  inside  $\underline{k} = \mathbf{G}_a$ ).

To motivate how to classify (and construct!) pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $G$  with root system  $\mathrm{BC}_n$ , we need to describe the possibilities for  $U_c$  equipped with its  $Z_G(T)$ -action and its  $k$ -subgroup  $U_{2c}$ . We first relate the  $k$ -group  $U_{2c}$  and the  $K$ -group  $U'_{2c}$ . For a split  $k$ -torus  $S$  and nontrivial character  $\chi \in X(S)$ , a vector group  $U$  over  $k$  equipped with an  $S$ -action for which  $\mathrm{Lie}(U)$  is  $\chi$ -isotypic admits a unique  $S$ -equivariant linear structure [CGP, Lemma 2.3.8]. Hence,  $U \rightsquigarrow U(k)$  is an equivalence from the category of such  $U$  (using  $S$ -equivariant  $k$ -homomorphisms) onto the category of  $\chi$ -isotypic finite-dimensional linear representations of  $S$ . In particular, the kernels of such  $k$ -homomorphisms arise from kernel of  $k$ -linear maps and so are smooth and connected. The  $T$ -equivariant map  $U_{2c} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(U'_{2c})$  induced by  $i_G$  therefore has smooth connected kernel.

But  $\ker i_G$  contains no nontrivial smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup, so  $i_G$  carries  $U_{2c}$  isomorphically onto a  $k$ -subgroup  $V'_c \subset \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(U'_{2c})$ . This  $k$ -subgroup inclusion is also equivariant with respect to the respective actions of  $Z_G(T)$  and  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  via the squaring of the composite map

$$\chi_c : Z_G(T) \xrightarrow{i_G} \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(T_K) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(c_K)} \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$$

Hence, the  $k_s$ -subspace  $V'_c(k_s) \subset \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(U'_{2c})(k_s) = U'_{2c}(K_s)$  is a subspace over the subfield of  $K_s$  generated over  $k_s$  by the squares of elements of  $\chi_c(Z_G(T)(k_s)) \subset K_s^\times$ . By Galois descent, the subfield  $k_s[\chi_c(Z_G(T)(k_s))] \subset K_s$  arises from a unique subfield  $K'_c \subset K$  over  $k$ , so  $V'_c$  arises from a  $kK_c'^2$ -subspace of the  $K$ -line  $U'_{2c}(K)$ .

REMARK 8.1.2. In concrete terms,  $K'_c$  is the unique minimal field among those subfields  $F \subset K$  over  $k$  such that  $\chi_c$  factors through  $\mathrm{R}_{F/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$ . Note that the subfield  $K'_c \subset K$  containing  $k$  involves the entirety of  $Z_G(T)$ . For the rank-1 subgroup  $G_c = \langle U_c, U_{-c} \rangle$  with split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T_c = c^\vee(\mathrm{GL}_1) = T \cap G_c$ , the Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $Z_{G_c}(T_c)$  is contained in  $Z_G(T)$ . Hence, the subextension of  $K_c/k$  analogous to  $K'_c/k$  but defined using  $G_c$  instead of  $G$  is a subextension of  $K'_c/k$  that might not equal  $K'_c$ .

Consider the natural map

$$q_c : U_c/U_{2c} \longrightarrow \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(U'_{2c})/V'_c$$

induced by  $i_G$ . The  $T$ -equivariant linear structure on  $U_c/U_{2c}$  is unique since the action on the Lie algebra is through the nontrivial character  $c$  (so this linear structure must be  $Z_G(T)$ -equivariant), and this makes  $q_c$  a 2-linear map relative to the unique  $T$ -equivariant linear structures on its source and target. The 2-linear map over  $k_s$  induced by  $q_c$  on  $k_s$ -points is injective since  $i_G$  carries  $U_{2c}$  isomorphically onto  $V'_c$  and  $(U_c \cap \ker i_G)(k_s) = 1$  (as  $(\ker i_G)(k_s)$  is finite yet only nontrivial weights – namely  $c$  and  $2c$  – occur for a choice of  $T$ -equivariant linear structure on the vector group  $U_c$ ). Thus, we may and do view  $U_c(k_s)/U_{2c}(k_s)$  as a subgroup of  $U'_{2c}(K_s)/V'_c(k_s)$ , with 2-linear inclusion between these  $k_s$ -vector spaces.

By  $Z_G(T)(k_s)$ -equivariance, the  $k_s^2$ -subspace  $U_c(k_s)/U_{2c}(k_s) \subset U'_{2c}(K_s)/V'_c(k_s)$  is stable under the action of  $\chi_c(Z_G(T)(k_s))^2$ , so  $U_c(k_s)/U_{2c}(k_s)$  is a  $(K'_c)^2$ -subspace of  $U'_{2c}(K_s)/V'_c(k_s)$ . In view of the 2-linearity over  $k_s$  for  $q_c$  on  $k_s$ -points, it is now reasonable to ask if the unique  $Z_G(T)$ -equivariant linear structure on  $U_c/U_{2c}$  can be enhanced to a  $\underline{K}'_c$ -linear structure making  $q_c$  a linear map over the squaring map of ring schemes  $\underline{K}'_c \rightarrow k\underline{K}'_c{}^2$  over  $k$ . The answer is affirmative, and this is a crucial first step towards understanding the possibilities for  $G$ :

PROPOSITION 8.1.3. *There is a unique  $\underline{K}'_c$ -module structure on  $U_c/U_{2c}$  that is  $Z_G(T)$ -equivariant and identifies the  $Z_G(T)$ -action with the composition of  $\chi_c$  and the  $\mathbb{R}_{\underline{K}'_c/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$ -action arising from the  $\underline{K}'_c$ -module structure. Moreover, the natural map*

$$q_c : U_c/U_{2c} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{K/k}(U'_{2c})/V'_c$$

*induced by  $i_G$  is linear over the squaring map  $\underline{K}'_c \rightarrow k\underline{K}'_c{}^2$ .*

The proof of this result amounts to a delicate analysis of linear structures on vector groups; see [CGP, Prop.9.3.6]. An important consequence of the  $Z_G(T)$ -equivariant module scheme structure provided by Proposition 8.1.3 is:

COROLLARY 8.1.4. *There exists a  $Z_G(T)$ -equivariant splitting of  $U_c$  as an extension of  $U_c/U_{2c}$  by  $U_{2c}$ , and the section  $s$  to  $U_c \twoheadrightarrow U_c/U_{2c}$  can be chosen to make the composite map  $U_c/U_{2c} \xrightarrow{s} U_c \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{K/k}(U'_{2c})$  linear over the squaring map of ring schemes  $\underline{K}'_c \rightarrow \underline{K}$  over  $k$ .*

PROOF. Consider the commutative diagram of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & U_{2c} & \longrightarrow & U_c & \longrightarrow & U_c/U_{2c} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow i_G & & \downarrow q_c \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & V'_c & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}_{K/k}(U'_{2c}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{R}_{K/k}(U'_{2c})/V'_c \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

This is equivariant for the action of  $Z_G(T)$  on the top row and for the action of  $\mathbb{R}_{k\underline{K}'_c{}^2/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  on the bottom row by using the square  $\chi_c^2 : Z_G(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{k\underline{K}'_c{}^2/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  of the map  $\chi_c : Z_G(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\underline{K}'_c/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$ , and  $q_c$  is *linear* over the squaring map  $\underline{K}'_c \rightarrow k\underline{K}'_c{}^2$  by Proposition 8.1.3.

The key observation is that since the left vertical map is an isomorphism, this diagram expresses the top as the pullback of the bottom along  $q_c$ . But the bottom is a  $k\underline{K}'_c{}^2$ -linear exact sequence of  $k\underline{K}'_c{}^2$ -modules, so it is *split* as such due to the equivalence between the categories of smooth connected affine  $k\underline{K}'_c{}^2$ -module schemes and finite-dimensional  $k\underline{K}'_c{}^2$ -vector spaces. Hence, since the  $Z_G(T)$ -action

on  $U_c/U_{2c}$  is given by composing  $\chi_c$  with the natural  $\mathbb{R}_{K'_c/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$ -action through the  $K'_c$ -module structure (Proposition 8.1.3), the  $q_c$ -pullback of a  $\overline{kK'_c^2}$ -linear splitting of the bottom is a  $Z_G(T)$ -equivariant splitting of the top.  $\square$

The group  $(\ker i_G)(k_s)$  is always finite, hence central in  $G_{k_s}$  due to normality of  $\ker i_G$  in  $G$ , so if  $G$  is of *minimal type* then this finite group is trivial. In other words, when  $G$  is of minimal type we can establish formulas and identities inside  $G(k_s)$  by applying  $i_G$  to reduce to computations inside  $\mathbb{R}_{K/k}(G')(k_s) = G'(K_s) = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(K_s)$ . This is rather powerful. For example, suppose  $G$  is of minimal type with root system  $\mathrm{BC}_n$ . It follows from Corollary 8.1.4 that for any multipliable root  $c \in \Phi(G, T)$  the  $k$ -group  $U_c$  equipped with its  $Z_G(T)$ -action and  $k$ -subgroup  $U_{2c}$  is given *exactly* by composing the construction in Example 8.1.1 relative to  $K'_c/k$  with  $\chi_c : Z_G(T) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{K'_c/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$ . (The condition in Example 8.1.1 that  $V' \cap q(V) = \{0\}$  arises here from the fact that  $(\ker i_G)(k_s)$  is trivial.)

This use of Example 8.1.1 to describe  $U_c$  equipped with its additional structures in the minimal type case, coupled with verifying formulas in  $G(k_s)$  by working inside  $G'(K_s) = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(K_s)$ , has some striking consequences. Here is one:

**PROPOSITION 8.1.5.** *If  $G$  is an absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group of minimal type and  $G_{k_s}$  has a non-reduced root system then  $Z_G = 1$ .*

We refer the reader to [CGP, Prop.9.4.9] for the proof of this result; the conclusion is obviously false whenever  $G$  is not of minimal type (as  $\mathcal{C}_G$  is then a nontrivial central  $k$ -subgroup scheme). If  $[k : k^2] = 2$  then an absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $G$  for which  $G_{k_s}$  has root system  $\mathrm{BC}_n$  must be of minimal type (as we will prove in Proposition 8.3.9), but if  $[k : k^2] > 2$  then for every  $n \geq 1$  there exist pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $G$  with root system  $\mathrm{BC}_n$  such that  $G$  is *not* of minimal type; see [CP, B.4] for the construction of such  $G$ .

Deeper applications of Example 8.1.1 and the triviality of  $(\ker i_G)(k_s)$  for  $G$  of minimal type require a determination of the possibilities for  $K'_c$  as a subfield of  $K$  over  $k$ , as we shall do without a “minimal type” hypothesis. In the rank-1 case it will be given now, and we shall address the higher-rank case in Proposition 8.1.9.

**PROPOSITION 8.1.6.** *Assume  $\Phi(G, T) = \mathrm{BC}_1$ . For each multipliable root  $c$  we have  $K'_c = K$ .*

**PROOF.** We sketch the main idea of the proof, referring to [CGP, Prop.9.4.6] for complete details. Without loss of generality we may assume  $k = k_s$ , and we reduce to the case where  $G$  is of minimal type by replacing  $G$  with its maximal quotient  $G/\mathcal{C}_G$  of minimal type (this has no effect on  $K/k$  [CGP, Cor.9.4.3], and it has no effect on  $K'_c$  due to the characterization of  $K'_c/k$  at the start of Remark 8.1.2). Choose a Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $L \subset G$  containing  $T$ , so we may identify  $L$  with  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  carrying  $T$  over to the diagonal  $k$ -torus  $D$  and the  $c$ -root group of  $L$  over to the upper-triangular unipotent subgroup of  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ .

The image  $H := i_G(G) \subset \mathbb{R}_{K/k}(G') = \mathbb{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$  clearly contains  $\mathrm{SL}_2$ , and by Proposition 7.1.3(ii) it is absolutely pseudo-simple of minimal type and  $K/k$  is the minimal field of definition for its geometric unipotent radical. Thus, by Theorem 7.2.5(ii),  $H = H_{V, K/k}$  for some nonzero  $kK^2$ -subspace  $V \subset K$  such that the ratios among elements of  $V - \{0\}$  generate  $K$  as a  $k$ -algebra.

Since  $K'_c$  is generated over  $k$  by  $\chi_c(Z_G(T)(k))$ , it suffices to prove that  $v'/v \in \chi_c(Z_G(T)(k))$  for all nonzero  $v, v' \in V$ . Now we finally use that  $G$  is of minimal

type: this ensures that the surjective homomorphism  $i_G : Z_G(T) \twoheadrightarrow Z_H(D)$  is an *isomorphism*. Hence, since  $c : D \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_1$  is inverse to the isomorphism  $t \mapsto \mathrm{diag}(t, 1/t)$ , the definition of  $\chi_c$  in terms of  $i_G|_{Z_G(T)}$  implies that  $\chi_c(Z_G(T)(k)) = Z_H(D)(k)$  inside  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(D_K)(k) = D(K) = K^\times$ . But  $Z_H(D)$  coincides with the Zariski closure inside  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  of the subgroup generated by the ratios among nonzero elements of  $V$  (Proposition 7.2.3(i)), so we are done.  $\square$

A further interesting consequence of the ubiquity of Example 8.1.1 in the minimal type case is that it allows us to explicitly describe the commutator of points in  $U_c$  and  $U_{-c}$  for multipliable  $c$  when  $G$  is of minimal type. The resulting explicit formula, given in [CGP, Lemma 9.4.8], is a crucial ingredient in the proof of the following important result (whose proof also rests on Corollary 8.1.4 and dynamic methods); we refer the reader to [CGP, Thm. 9.4.7] for the details.

**THEOREM 8.1.7.** *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group of minimal type with a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$ , and assume  $\Phi(G, T)$  is non-reduced.*

*The  $k$ -group scheme  $\ker i_G$  is commutative, connected, and non-central, and is directly spanned by its intersections with the root groups for the multipliable roots. Moreover, the  $T$ -weights that occur in  $\mathrm{Lie}(\ker i_G)$  are precisely the multipliable roots.*

**REMARK 8.1.8.** The Weyl group  $W(G, T)$  acts transitively on the set of multipliable roots, so Theorem 8.1.7 implies that  $\ker i_G$  is a direct product of copies of the kernel of  $i_G : U_c \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(U'_{2c})$  for a single multipliable root  $c$ . This map on  $U_c$  is identified with  $V' \times V \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathbf{G}_a)$  defined by  $(v', v) \mapsto v' + \underline{q}(v)$  for  $(K/k, V', V, q)$  as in Example 8.1.1, so  $\ker i_G$  is a direct product of copies of  $\underline{q}^{-1}(V' \cap \underline{q}(V))$ . Since  $\underline{q}(V)$  arises from the  $k$ -span  $k \cdot q(V)$ , it follows that  $\ker i_G$  is a direct product of copies of  $\underline{q}^{-1}(W)$  for the  $kK^2$ -subspace  $W := V' \cap (k \cdot q(V)) \subset K$ . This is nontrivial even if  $W = 0$  since describing the 2-linear nonzero  $q$  in coordinates shows that  $\ker \underline{q}$  is a nontrivial  $k$ -group scheme.

In Proposition 8.1.6 we saw that  $K'_c = K$  for any pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $G$  with root system  $\mathrm{BC}_1$ . For higher-rank  $G$  the same equality of fields holds, but the proof is much more difficult because we cannot pass to the rank-1 group  $G_c$  in place of  $G$  (as this can cause the field  $K'_c$  to shrink, and  $K_c$  can be a proper subfield of  $K$ ; examples satisfying  $K_c \neq K$  arise with root system  $\mathrm{BC}_n$  for any  $n \geq 2$  when  $k$  is a rational function field in at least 2 variables over any field of characteristic 2 [CGP, Ex. 9.8.18]). Here is the precise result:

**PROPOSITION 8.1.9.** *Let  $T \subset G$  be a split maximal  $k$ -torus. Assume  $\Phi(G, T) = \mathrm{BC}_n$  with  $n \geq 2$ . Choose a basis  $\Delta$  of  $\Phi(G, T)$ , with  $c \in \Delta$  the unique multipliable root, and let  $b$  be the unique root in  $\Delta$  adjacent to  $2c$  in the Dynkin diagram for the basis  $\Delta' = (\Delta - \{c\}) \cup \{2c\}$  of  $\Phi(G_K^{\mathrm{ss}}, T_K)$ .*

*Then  $K'_c = K_b = K$ ,  $kK^2 \subset K_c \subset K$ , and the map  $i_G : U_b \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(U'_b) = \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathbf{G}_a)$  is an isomorphism onto a  $K_c$ -submodule.*

For the proof of Proposition 8.1.9, after reducing to the case where  $G$  is of minimal type, one establishes the asserted relationships among fields by studying the action of  $Z_{G_a}(T \cap G_a)$  on  $U_{a'}$  for roots  $a, a' \in \Delta \cup \{2c\}$ . This action is analyzed by combining our explicit knowledge of the possibilities for  $i_G(G_a) = i_{G_a}(G_a)$  and  $i_G(G_{a'})$  (especially when one of  $a$  or  $a'$  is multipliable) with calculations similar in spirit to those that arise in the proof of Theorem 7.4.7(iii). See [CGP, Prop. 9.5.2] for the details.

The preceding considerations provide precise information on the possibilities for root groups and the  $Z_G(T)$ -action on them for any pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group of *minimal type* with a non-reduced root system. This provides a basic picture for what an open cell in any such  $G$  can possibly look like at the level of  $k_s$ -points via the injection  $i_G : G(k_s) \hookrightarrow R_{K/k}(G')(k_s) = G'(K_s) = \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(K_s)$ . (Recall that the injectivity of  $i_G$  on  $k_s$ -points rests on  $G$  being of minimal type, but the group scheme  $\ker i_G$  is nontrivial; see Remark 8.1.8.)

**8.2. Construction via birational group laws.** We have not yet *constructed* a pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple group with a non-reduced root system. In [Ti3, Cours 1991-92, 6.4], Tits constructed some examples of such groups via birational group laws. To give a general construction, we need the pseudo-split rank-1 classification provided by Proposition 7.2.3 and Theorem 7.2.5, as well as the results obtained in §8.1 concerning the structure of the root group  $U_c$  for multipliable  $c$ . An elegant general discussion of birational group laws and theorems of Weil and Artin on promoting such structures into actual group schemes is given in [BLR, Ch. 5]; a summary of some relevant highlights from this theory (tailored to our needs) is provided near the beginning of [CGP, §9.6].

The construction of birational group laws and analysis of properties of the associated algebraic groups is always a substantial undertaking. The overview that follows is aimed at conveying the main ideas and difficulties that arise and the motivation for certain parts of the construction of groups with a non-reduced root system. The reader is referred to [CGP, §9.6–§9.8] for complete details.

Since the constraints in §8.1 are most definitive in the minimal type case (as it is difficult to work with a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup otherwise), below we will give a general construction in the pseudo-split minimal type case over imperfect fields  $k$  with characteristic 2. When  $[k : k^2] = 2$ , this turns out to yield *all* absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups with a non-reduced root system over  $k_s$ . For any  $k$  satisfying  $[k : k^2] > 2$ , an alternative method in [CP, B.4] builds some rank- $n$  pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $G$  *not* of minimal type (with any  $n \geq 1$ ), but we do not know a general technique for such constructions.

Inspired by our description (via Example 8.1.1 and Corollary 8.1.4) of the possibilities for the root group attached to a multipliable root  $c$ , and the fact that  $K'_c = K$  (Propositions 8.1.6 and 8.1.9), we begin by choosing the following field-theoretic and linear-algebraic data:

- a nontrivial purely inseparable finite extension  $K/k$ ,
- a nonzero  $kK^2$ -subspace  $V' \subset K$ ,
- a nonzero finite-dimensional  $K$ -vector space  $V$  equipped with an injective additive map  $q : V \rightarrow K$  that is 2-linear over  $K$  (i.e.,  $q(\lambda v) = \lambda^2 q(v)$  for  $\lambda \in K$  and  $v \in V$ ) such that  $V' \cap q(V) = \{0\}$ .

Since the composition of  $q$  with the square root isomorphism  $K \simeq K^{1/2}$  is an injective  $K$ -linear map, the map  $q$  can be viewed in a rather concrete manner:  $V$  is a  $K$ -subspace of  $K^{1/2}$  and  $q$  is the squaring map into  $K$ .

REMARK 8.2.1. We could replace the pair  $(V, q)$  with the  $K^2$ -subspace  $q(V) \subset K$  that is identified with the Frobenius twist  $V^{(2)}$ , and reconstruct  $V$  as the  $K$ -vector space  $V^{(2)} \otimes_{K^2, \iota} K$  where  $\iota : K^2 \simeq K$  is the square root isomorphism. It is entirely a matter of taste whether one works with  $(V, q)$  or  $V^{(2)} \subset K$ . The development in [CGP, §9.6–§9.8] focuses on the perspective of  $V^{(2)}$ , but we have



chosen to emphasize  $(V, q)$  here since this is what emerges more directly from the groups that we aim to construct.

For the pseudo-split  $k$ -groups  $G$  of minimal type that we seek to construct (with root system  $BC_n$  and minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for the geometric unipotent radical), we know that necessarily  $G' \simeq \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$  as  $K$ -groups and in §8.1 we saw that the  $k$ -homomorphism  $i_G : G \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(G')$  must be injective on  $k_s$ -points (because  $G$  is to be of minimal type). Hence, we want to describe the possibilities for  $G(k_s)$  as a subgroup of  $G'(K_s)$ , and then use an open cell of  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$  as a guide for how to build an open cell for  $G$  with a birational group law from which we hope to reconstruct the group. (Strictly speaking, we will work with a left-translate of an open cell by a representative for a long Weyl element, for reasons to be explained later.)

To organize the calculations, it is convenient to begin by specifying a pinning on the  $K$ -group  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$  as follows. Let  $D_n \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$  be the diagonal  $K$ -torus,  $U_n \subset \mathrm{GL}_n$  the upper-triangular unipotent  $K$ -subgroup, and  $B_n = D_n \times U_n$  the upper-triangular Borel  $K$ -subgroup; denote transpose on  $n \times n$  matrices as  $m \mapsto {}^t m$ . We define the maximal  $K$ -torus  $D := \{ \begin{pmatrix} d^{-1} & 0 \\ 0 & d \end{pmatrix} \mid d \in D_n \} \subset \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$  that normalizes the smooth connected unipotent  $K$ -subgroup

$$U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} {}^t u^{-1} & mu \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix} \mid u \in U_n, m \in \mathrm{Sym}_n \right\}$$

in  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ , where  $\mathrm{Sym}_n$  denotes the affine space of symmetric  $n \times n$  matrices over  $K$ , and define the Borel  $K$ -subgroup

$$B = D \times U = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} {}^t b^{-1} & mb \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \mid b \in B_n, m \in \mathrm{Sym}_n \right\}$$

in  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ . The maximal  $k$ -torus inside  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(D)$  will be denoted  $D_0$ .

The positive system of roots  $\Phi^+ := \Phi(B, D) \subset \Phi(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, D) =: \Phi$  consists of the following characters: for  $1 \leq i < j \leq n$  the character

$$t = \mathrm{diag}(t_1^{-1}, \dots, t_n^{-1}, t_1, \dots, t_n) \mapsto t_i/t_j$$

corresponds to the root group inside  $U$  given by the  $ij$ -entry in  $u \in U_n$ , and for  $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$  the character  $t \mapsto 1/(t_i t_j)$  corresponds to the root group given by  $ii$ -entry of  $m \in \mathrm{Sym}_n$  when  $i = j$  and the common  $ij$ -entry and  $ji$ -entry of  $m$  when  $i < j$ . Letting  $\Delta$  be the basis of  $\Phi^+$ , we have  $\mathrm{GL}_1^\Delta \simeq D$  via  $(\lambda_a)_{a \in \Delta} \mapsto \prod_{a \in \Delta} a^\vee(\lambda_a)$  since  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$  is simply connected.

For  $n > 1$ , the subset  $\Phi^+_{>} \subset \Phi^+$  of long positive roots consists of the characters  $1/t_i^2$  whose root groups are the diagonal entries of  $m$  (so they are 2-divisible in  $X(D)$ ); the set of short positive roots is denoted  $\Phi^+_{<}$ ; in the special case  $n = 1$  we define  $\Phi^+_{>} = \Phi^+$  and  $\Phi^+_{<} = \emptyset$  since the roots for  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  are 2-divisible in the character lattice of the diagonal torus. Each positive root group is identified with  $\mathbf{G}_a$  via the matrix-entry coordinatization, and the root groups for long positive roots *pairwise commute* since a sum of distinct long positive roots in type  $C_n$  is not a root.

The longest element in  $W(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, D)$  is represented by the matrix  $w = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1_n \\ -1_n & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(K)$  that has order 2 (as  $\mathrm{char}(K) = 2$ ), with  $w$ -conjugation on  $D$  equal to inversion and  $w$ -conjugation carrying  $B$  to the opposite Borel subgroup relative to  $D$  via

$$w \begin{pmatrix} {}^t u^{-1} & mu \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix} w^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ -mu & {}^t u^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Letting  $B^-$  denote the Borel  $K$ -subgroup of  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$  opposite  $B$  relative to  $D$ , and  $U^-$  its unipotent radical, we get an open cell  $U^-B = w^{-1}UwB \subset \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}$ . Its left  $w$ -translate  $UwB$  is easier to work with for computations since it involves just points of  $U$  and  $B$  up to the presence of the 2-torsion point  $w$ . Thus, the strategy to build  $G$  is not to build a birational group law on a candidate for an open cell, but rather on the left  $\mathbf{w}$ -translate, where  $\mathbf{w}$  is a 2-torsion element lying over  $w \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(K)$ . We will be guided by the desired homomorphism  $i_G : G \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$  that must restrict to an inclusion  $C \hookrightarrow \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(D)$  for a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  that has to be determined *a priori* as a  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(D) = \prod_{a \in \Delta} \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$ .

Since  $U$  is directly spanned in any order by its positive root groups, upon *choosing* an enumeration of  $\Phi_>^+$  and an enumeration of  $\Phi_<^+$  we get an isomorphism via multiplication

$$\prod_{a \in \Phi_>^+} U_a \times \prod_{b \in \Phi_<^+} U_b \simeq U.$$

Using standard matrix-entry coordinatizations, each  $U_c$  is identified with  $\mathbf{G}_a$  as a  $K$ -group. We have the same upon applying  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}$  throughout. The idea now is to replace each long root group  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(U_a) = \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathbf{G}_a) \subset \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(U)$  with  $\underline{V}' \times \underline{V}$ . To make this precise, we define the pointed  $k$ -scheme

$$\mathcal{U} := \prod_{c \in (1/2)\Phi_>^+} \mathcal{U}_c \times \prod_{b \in \Phi_<^+} \mathcal{U}_b$$

where  $\mathcal{U}_c = \underline{V}' \times \underline{V}$  for  $c \in (1/2)\Phi_>^+$  and  $\mathcal{U}_b = \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(U_b) = \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\mathbf{G}_a)$  for  $b \in \Phi_<^+$ .

The pointed  $k$ -scheme  $\mathcal{U}$  will turn out to be the  $k$ -unipotent radical of a minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup of the  $k$ -group that we shall build, and so our first step is to construct a  $k$ -group law on  $\mathcal{U}$ . For this purpose, we will use the map  $f_c : \mathcal{U}_c \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(U_c)$  defined by  $(v', v) \mapsto v' + \underline{q}(v)$  for  $c \in (1/2)\Phi_>^+$  and the identity map  $f_b : \mathcal{U}_b \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(U_b)$  for  $b \in \Phi_<^+$ . Define  $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(U)$  via multiplication in  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(U)$  of these componentwise maps. Note that  $f$  is injective on  $k_s$ -points since  $U$  is directly spanned by the positive root groups and  $f_c$  is injective on  $k_s$ -points for each  $c \in (1/2)\Phi_>^+$  (due to the hypotheses on  $(K/k, V', V, q)$ ).

**THEOREM 8.2.2.** *There is a unique  $k$ -group structure  $\mu$  on  $\mathcal{U}$  relative to which  $f : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(U)$  is a  $k$ -homomorphism. The identity  $e \in \mathcal{U}(k)$  is the evident base point, and relative to  $(\mu, e)$  each inclusion  $\mathcal{U}_c \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}$  for  $c \in (1/2)\Phi_>^+$  and  $\mathcal{U}_b \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}$  for  $b \in \Phi_<^+$  is a  $k$ -homomorphism. Moreover, the natural  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(D)$ -action on  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(U)$  uniquely lifts through  $f$  to an action on  $\mathcal{U}$ .*

We refer the reader to [CGP, Thm. 9.6.14] for the details of the long nested induction proof based on the height of positive roots. The success of the induction rests on putting the pairwise-commuting long root groups to the left of the short root groups in the definition of  $f$ , together with a fact that is specific to characteristic 2: the short positive root groups of  $U$  directly span (in any order) a smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup [CGP, Thm. 9.6.7]. Ultimately the initial choice of enumerations of the roots does not matter (and for later parts of the construction this is important): using the  $k$ -group structure on  $\mathcal{U}$  built above,  $\mathcal{U}$  is directly spanned *in any order* by the  $k$ -groups  $\mathcal{U}_a$  for  $a \in (1/2)\Phi_>^+ \cup \Phi_<^+$ , due to Theorem 3.3.3 (using the action just built on  $\mathcal{U}$  by  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(D) \supset D_0$ ).

Define the  $k$ -group  $\mathcal{B} = \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(D) \rtimes \mathcal{U}$ . The evident  $k$ -homomorphism  $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(B)$  is also denoted as  $f$ . Some deeper algebraic geometry (e.g., Zariski's Main

Theorem) and further results specific to characteristic 2 (e.g., the  $ii$ -entry of the inverse of an invertible symmetric matrix  $(r_{ij}) \in \mathrm{GL}_n(R)$  for an  $\mathbf{F}_2$ -algebra  $R$  has the form  $\sum_j f_{ij}^2 r_{jj}$  for some  $f_{ij} \in R$ ) are used to establish the following result, whose proof occupies most of [CGP, §9.7]:

**THEOREM 8.2.3.** *Let  $\Omega \subset \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(UwB) \times \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(UwB)$  be the open domain of definition of the birational group law on  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(UwB)$ . For the  $k$ -scheme  $\mathcal{U}\mathbf{w} \times \mathcal{B}$ , where  $\mathbf{w}$  is a  $k$ -point symbol, define the map  $\mathcal{U}\mathbf{w} \times \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(UwB)$  by  $(u\mathbf{w}, b) \mapsto f(u)wf(b)$ ; denote this map as  $f$  too.*

*There is a unique birational group law  $m$  on  $\mathcal{U}\mathbf{w} \times \mathcal{B}$  such that its open domain of definition*

$$\mathrm{dom}(m) \subset (\mathcal{U}\mathbf{w} \times \mathcal{B}) \times (\mathcal{U}\mathbf{w} \times \mathcal{B})$$

*meets  $(f \times f)^{-1}(\Omega)$  and makes  $f$  compatible with the birational group laws. Moreover,  $m$  is strict (i.e.,  $\mathrm{dom}(m)$  meets each fiber of the projections  $(\mathcal{U}\mathbf{w} \times \mathcal{B})^2 \rightrightarrows \mathcal{U}\mathbf{w} \times \mathcal{B}$ ).*

Since  $f$  is generally *not* dominant, the requirement  $\mathrm{dom}(m) \cap (f \times f)^{-1}(\Omega) \neq \emptyset$  is not automatic and is required to make sense of  $m \circ (f \times f)$  as a rational map. The significance of strictness of  $m$  is that for a smooth separated  $k$ -scheme  $X$  of finite type equipped with a general birational group law  $\mu$ , only an unknown dense open subset  $X'$  of  $X$  appears inside the uniquely associated smooth connected  $k$ -group provided by Weil's theorem on birational group laws. To perform computations it is very helpful when one can take  $X'$  to be  $X$ , and for that to happen it is necessary and sufficient to assume  $\mu$  is strict. See [CGP, Thm. 9.6.4] (and references within its proof) for further information on promoting birational group laws to groups, including a functoriality property for the  $k$ -group  $H$  associated to a *strict* birational group law  $(X, \mu)$  relative to rational homomorphisms from  $X$  into a smooth  $k$ -group. Due to this latter functoriality, it follows that if

$$G_{K/k, V', V, q, n}$$

denotes the unique  $k$ -group containing  $\mathcal{U}\mathbf{w} \times \mathcal{B}$  as a dense open subscheme compatibly with birational group laws, then there is a unique  $k$ -homomorphism

$$\phi : G_{K/k, V', V, q, n} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$$

extending  $f$ . We will generally denote  $G_{K/k, V', V, q, n}$  as  $G$  when the context makes the meaning clear.

**REMARK 8.2.4.** Beware that  $\mathcal{U}\mathbf{w} \times \mathcal{B}$  does *not* contain the identity of  $G$ , since it is carried by  $\phi$  into the open subset  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(UwB) \subset \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$  that does not contain the identity (as  $w \notin B$ ). Hence, *very little* can be easily seen about the structure of  $G$  from inspection of  $\mathcal{U}\mathbf{w} \times \mathcal{B}$ ; e.g., it is not obvious yet if  $(\mathbf{w}, 1)$  is 2-torsion (all we can detect at the moment is that  $(\mathbf{w}, 1)^2 \in (\ker \phi)(k)$ ). The failure of the identity point to lie in the most tangible open subset  $\mathcal{U}\mathbf{w} \times \mathcal{B}$  of  $G$  is a source of many headaches when initially trying to analyze  $G$ .

Now there are many non-trivial problems to be overcome. To start with the most basic of all: is  $G$  affine? As usual with birational group laws, the associated group of interest is built via a gluing process that *a priori* might leave the affine setting. The problem of how to establish affineness *a posteriori* is a serious one when using birational group laws. For example, this difficulty arises in the uniform construction of simply connected Chevalley groups over  $\mathbf{Z}$  for all root systems in

[**SGA3**, XXV]. There, the mechanism to prove affineness is *Chevalley’s structure theorem* applied on geometric fibers:

**THEOREM 8.2.5** (Chevalley). *Every smooth connected affine group over a perfect field is uniquely an extension of an abelian variety by a smooth connected affine group. In particular, if  $H$  is a smooth connected group over an arbitrary field  $F$  and  $H(\overline{F})$  coincides with its own commutator subgroup then  $H$  is affine.*

A proof of the first part of Theorem 8.2.5 is given in [**Chev**] (and in [**C1**] using modern terminology); the second part is immediate since abelian varieties are commutative and affineness of an  $F$ -scheme can be checked after scalar extension to the perfect field  $\overline{F}$ . The perfectness hypothesis on the ground field in the first part of Theorem 8.2.5 is unavoidable, since over every imperfect field there are smooth connected affine groups that are not proper yet do not contain a nontrivial smooth connected affine subgroup (see [**CGP**, Ex. A.3.8]).

For our needs, the affineness criterion in Theorem 8.2.5 is not convenient. We will use a different affineness criterion that rests on a little-known but powerful substitute in positive characteristic for Chevalley’s structure theorem:

**THEOREM 8.2.6.** *If  $H$  is a smooth connected group over a field  $F$  of positive characteristic then  $H$  is uniquely a central extension of a smooth connected affine group by a semi-abelian variety with no non-constant global functions.*

*In particular, if  $H$  contains no nontrivial central  $F$ -torus and no nontrivial abelian subvariety then  $H$  is affine.*

See [**CGP**, Thm. A.3.9] for arguments and references relevant to a proof of Theorem 8.2.6; the proof *uses* the first part of Theorem 8.2.5 (applied over  $\overline{F}$ ). Note that the extension structure in Theorem 8.2.6 is “better” than the one in Theorem 8.2.5 because (i) it is valid without perfectness hypotheses on the ground field (and hence is very useful over local and global function fields), and (ii) it provides a *central* extension.

**REMARK 8.2.7.** The universal vector extension of any elliptic curve provides counterexamples to the conclusions in Theorem 8.2.6 in characteristic 0, ultimately because (in contrast with positive characteristic) a nonzero endomorphism of  $\mathbf{G}_a$  in characteristic 0 is an isomorphism.

Before we use the affineness criterion in Theorem 8.2.6 to prove the affineness of  $G := G_{K/k, V', V, q, n}$  we establish a simple but very useful preliminary result:

**LEMMA 8.2.8.** *The group  $(\ker \phi)(k_s)$  is trivial.*

This lemma allows us to deduce properties of  $G$  by working inside  $R_{K/k}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$ , “as if”  $\phi$  were an inclusion. The group scheme  $\ker \phi$  turns out to always have positive dimension.

**PROOF.** By design, on the dense open  $\Omega := \mathcal{U}\mathbf{w} \times \mathcal{B} \subset G$  the restriction  $f$  of  $\phi$  is injective on  $k_s$ -points. If  $g \in (\ker \phi)(k_s)$  then for a choice of  $k_s$ -point  $g'$  in the dense open  $\Omega \cap g^{-1}\Omega$  we have  $f(gg') = \phi(gg') = \phi(g)\phi(g') = f(g')$ . Hence,  $gg' = g'$ , so  $g = 1$  as desired.  $\square$

The triviality of  $(\ker \phi)(k_s)$  yields many useful further consequences (despite the nontriviality of the group scheme  $\ker \phi$ ). For example, in addition to implying

that  $(\mathbf{w}, 1)$  is 2-torsion, we note that  $\phi$  carries the smooth closed subscheme

$$C := (\{\mathbf{w}\} \times \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(D)) \cdot (\mathbf{w}, 1)$$

*isomorphically* onto the Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $w\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(D)w = \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(D)$  of  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$ . Consequently,  $C$  must be a  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$ , and the maximal  $k$ -torus  $D_0 \subset \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(D)$  is thereby identified with a  $k$ -torus of  $G$  that is contained in  $C$  and is not contained in any strictly larger  $k$ -torus of  $G$  (as otherwise  $\ker \phi$  would kill a nontrivial  $k$ -torus for dimension reasons, contradicting Lemma 8.2.8).

By the same reasoning, for any long positive root  $a \in \Phi^+ \subset \Phi(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, D)$ , if we let  $\underline{V}'_a$  denote the copy of  $\underline{V}'$  inside  $\mathcal{U}_{a/2}$  then the smooth closed subscheme

$$(8.2.8) \quad \mathcal{U}_a := (\underline{V}'_a \mathbf{w} \times \{1\})(\mathbf{w}, 1)$$

is carried *isomorphically* onto the  $k$ -subgroup  $\underline{V}'$  of the  $a$ -root group  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(U_a) = \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathbf{G}_a)$  of  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$ , so it is a  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Likewise, for any  $g \in C(k_s)$  the effect of  $g$ -conjugation on  $G_{k_s}$  must carry  $(\mathcal{U}_a)_{k_s}$  onto itself, so  $C$  normalizes  $\mathcal{U}_a$  and the  $k$ -torus  $D_0 \subset C$  thereby acts on  $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{U}_a)$  through  $a$ . By the same method, the natural maps of smooth  $k$ -schemes

$$\mathcal{U} \simeq (\mathcal{U} \mathbf{w} \times \{1\})(\mathbf{w}, 1) \subset G, \quad \mathcal{B} \longrightarrow C \cdot (\mathcal{U} \mathbf{w} \times \{1\})(\mathbf{w}, 1) \subset G$$

are isomorphisms onto  $k$ -subgroups since composing each with the  $k$ -homomorphism  $\phi$  (that is injective on  $k_s$ -points) respectively gives the  $k$ -homomorphism  $f$  from Theorem 8.2.2 and its analogue using  $B = \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(D) \rtimes U \subset \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$  (with  $\phi : C \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(D)$  a  $k$ -isomorphism). The  $C$ -action on the  $k$ -groups  $\mathcal{U}_a$  for long roots  $a \in \Phi^+$  enables us to prove:

PROPOSITION 8.2.9. *The  $k$ -group  $G$  is affine.*

PROOF. By Theorem 8.2.6 it suffices to prove that  $G$  contains no nontrivial central  $k$ -torus or abelian variety as a  $k$ -subgroup. Any abelian variety  $A$  that is a  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  is killed by  $\phi$  since  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$  is affine, so  $A = 1$  since  $(\ker \phi)(k_s) = 1$ . But  $\phi$  will turn out to generally not be surjective when  $[k : k^2] > 2$  (due to later considerations with root groups), so it is unclear that the image under  $\phi$  of a central torus in  $G$  should be central in  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$ . Thus, to prove the triviality of a central  $k$ -torus  $Z \subset G$  we proceed in another way.

We have built a copy of  $D_0$  as a  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  (contained in  $C$ ) and showed that  $D_0$  is not contained in any strictly larger  $k$ -torus. The multiplication map  $D_0 \times Z \rightarrow G$  is a  $k$ -homomorphism whose image must be a  $k$ -torus, so this image is equal to  $D_0$ . Hence,  $Z \subset D_0$ . The centrality of  $Z$  in  $G$  implies that for each  $\mathcal{U}_a$  as in (8.2.8), the  $Z$ -action on  $\mathcal{U}_a$  via  $D_0$ -conjugation is trivial. But the associated action of  $D_0$  on  $\mathrm{Lie}(\mathcal{U}_a)$  is through the character  $a$ , so  $Z \subset \ker a$  for every long root  $a \in \Phi^+$ . The long roots in a type- $C_n$  root system constitute a rank- $n$  root system (of type  $A_1^n$ ), so such  $a$ 's span  $X(D_0)_{\mathbf{Q}}$ . This forces  $Z = 1$ .  $\square$

It has been shown that  $D_0$  is a maximal  $k$ -torus in the smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$  and that  $\phi(C)$  equals the Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(D)$  in  $\mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$ . Hence, the smooth connected  $k$ -group  $Z_G(D_0) \supset C$  must equal  $C$  for dimension reasons since  $(\ker \phi)(k_s) = 1$ . In other words,  $C$  is a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$ .

To prove the pseudo-reductivity of the smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G$ , it suffices to prove that  $\phi(\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G)) = 1$ . Here we encounter a more serious manifestation of the problem that arose in the proof of Proposition 8.2.9: the map  $\phi$  is generally not surjective, so there is no evident reason why the smooth connected

unipotent  $k$ -group  $\phi(\mathcal{R}_{u,k}(G))$  should be normal in  $R_{K/k}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$ . Hence, there is no obvious way to harness the pseudo-reductivity of  $R_{K/k}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$  to deduce the same for  $G$ , so we do not proceed along such lines.

**8.3. Properties of birational construction.** The smooth connected affine  $k$ -group  $G = G_{K/k, V', V, q, n}$  built in §8.2 is equipped with a homomorphism  $\phi : G \rightarrow R_{K/k}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$  that is injective on  $k_s$ -points, and  $\phi$  carries a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C$  isomorphically onto  $R_{K/k}(D)$ . To prove that  $G$  is pseudo-reductive, we shall construct a *pseudo-reductive*  $k$ -subgroup  $H \subset R_{K/k}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$  via Theorem 5.4.3 and then (after some hard work) prove  $\phi(G) = H$  via a comparison of open cells. This implies that  $\phi(G)$  is pseudo-reductive (so  $G$  is certainly pseudo-reductive). Building on this approach, much of [CGP, §9.8] is devoted to proving the following main properties of  $G$  (via calculations with root groups and conjugation by points of Cartan subgroups):

**THEOREM 8.3.1.** *Let  $V_0 = V' + k \cdot q(V)$  and  $K_0 = k\langle V_0 \rangle$ . If  $n = 1$  then assume  $K_0 = K$ . Define the  $k$ -subgroup  $C_0 = (V_0)_{K_0/k}^* \times \prod_b R_{K/k}(b^\vee(\mathrm{GL}_1)) \subset R_{K/k}(D)$  where  $b$  varies through the short simple roots in  $\Phi^+ \subset \Phi := \Phi(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n}, D)$ .*

- (i) *The  $k$ -group  $G$  is pseudo-reductive,  $K/k$  is the minimal field of definition for the geometric unipotent radicals of  $G$  and  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ , and the maps  $\phi$  and  $\phi|_{\mathcal{D}(G)}$  are respectively identified with  $i_G$  and  $i_{\mathcal{D}(G)}$ .*
- (ii) *The  $k$ -torus  $D_0 \subset G$  is contained in  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ , the root system  $\Phi(\mathcal{D}(G), D_0) = \Phi(G, D_0)$  coincides with  $\Phi \cup (1/2)\Phi_{>}$  of type  $\mathrm{BC}_n$ , and  $(\mathbf{w}, 1)$  represents the long Weyl element in  $W(G, D_0)$ . If moreover  $1 \in V'$  then  $(\mathbf{w}, 1) \in \mathcal{D}(G)$  and the  $k$ -subgroup  $\mathrm{Sp}_{2n} \subset R_{K/k}(\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})$  lifts to a Levi  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  containing  $D_0$ .*
- (iii) *For multipliable  $c \in \Phi(G, D_0)$ ,  $(\mathcal{U}_c \mathbf{w} \times \{1\})(\mathbf{w}, 1)$  is the  $c$ -root group; this is identified with  $\underline{V}' \times \underline{V}$  equipped with the evident action by  $Z_G(D_0) = C = R_{K/k}(D)$  over the  $C$ -action on  $R_{K/k}(U'_{2c})$ . Moreover,  $Z_{\mathcal{D}(G)}(D_0) = C_0$ . Likewise,  $(\mathcal{U} \mathbf{w} \times \{1\})(\mathbf{w}, 1)$  is the smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  generated by the  $D_0$ -root groups for roots in  $\Phi^+ \cup (1/2)\Phi_{>}^+$ .*
- (iv) *The pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups  $G$  and  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  are of minimal type, and  $G = \mathcal{D}(G)$  if  $V_0 = K$ .*
- (v) *Consider a second triple  $(\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{V}, \mathfrak{q})$  relative to  $K/k$ , and if  $n = 1$  then assume  $k\langle \mathcal{V}_0 \rangle = K$  where  $\mathcal{V}_0 := k \cdot \mathfrak{q}(\mathcal{V}') + \mathcal{V}'$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be the associated  $k$ -group. The following are equivalent:  $G \simeq \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}(G) \simeq \mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})$ , and there exists  $\lambda \in K^\times$  such that  $\mathcal{V}' = \lambda V'$  and  $\mathcal{V}' + \mathfrak{q}(\mathcal{V}') = \lambda(V' + q(V))$ .*

**REMARK 8.3.2.** Let us explain the necessity of the hypothesis  $K_0 = K$  when  $n = 1$ . Two desired properties guided the construction:  $K/k$  should be the minimal field of definition for the geometric unipotent radical of  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  (as is confirmed in (i)) and the linear algebra data  $(V', V, q)$  used in the construction of  $G$  should appear in a description of the root group for any multipliable root  $c$  in the spirit of Example 8.1.1 (as is confirmed by (iii) due to the construction of  $\phi$  via the map  $f$  considered in Theorem 8.2.2). Since  $\phi(\mathbf{w}, 1) = w$  by design, it follows from (i) that (as intended) the image of the  $c$ -root group under  $i_{\mathcal{D}(G)} = i_G|_{\mathcal{D}(G)}$  is the  $k$ -subgroup  $\underline{V}_0$  inside the root groups of  $R_{K/k}((\mathrm{Sp}_{2n})_{2c}) = R_{K/k}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$  relative to its diagonal  $k$ -torus. In particular, if  $n = 1$  then  $i_{\mathcal{D}(G)}(\mathcal{D}(G)) = H_{V_0, K/k}$ , so the minimal field of definition over  $k$  for the geometric unipotent radical of  $i_{\mathcal{D}(G)}(\mathcal{D}(G))$  is  $k\langle V_0 \rangle =: K_0$ .

But this field of definition over  $k$  must coincide with that for  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ , by Proposition 7.1.3(ii). Thus, we must assume  $K_0 = K$  when  $n = 1$ .

REMARK 8.3.3. For arithmetic applications, the most basic case is  $[k : k^2] = 2$  (such as when  $k$  is a local or global function field). In such cases the only purely inseparable finite extensions of  $k$  are  $k^{1/2^m}$  for  $m \geq 0$ , so  $kK^2 = K^2$  and  $V'$  is forced to be a  $K^2$ -line inside  $K$ . Hence, via  $K^\times$ -scaling we can assume  $V' = K^2$ . But  $q(V)$  is a nonzero  $K^2$ -subspace of  $K$  meeting  $V'$  trivially, so it must be a complementary  $K^2$ -line; i.e.,  $V' + q(V) = K$ . Thus,  $G = \mathcal{D}(G)$  by (iv) above, so by (v) there is *only one*  $k$ -isomorphism class among the  $k$ -groups  $G$  produced by this construction for a given pair  $(K/k, n)$  when  $[k : k^2] = 2$ .

Explicitly, by taking  $V' = K^2$  in such cases, if we write  $q(V) = K^2\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in K - K^2$  then we can say that the construction of  $G$  rests on the triple  $(K/k, \alpha, n)$  (see Remark 8.2.1), but the isomorphism class does not depend on  $\alpha$ .

In general, without any hypotheses on  $[k : k^2]$ , the identification of  $Z_{\mathcal{D}(G)}(D_0)$  with  $C_0$  in part (iii) shows that  $G = \mathcal{D}(G)$  if and only if  $(V_0)_{K_0/k}^* = \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$ , so a necessary condition for the perfectness of  $G$  is that  $K_0 = K$  (as is required when  $n = 1$ , but generally fails otherwise when  $[k : k^2] > 2$ ).

We have constructed pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  with root system  $\mathrm{BC}_n$  in terms of linear-algebraic data  $(K/k, V', V, q)$  (provided that  $K_0 = K$  when  $n = 1$ ), and in part (v) of Theorem 8.3.1 we characterized when such  $k$ -groups are isomorphic in terms of simple operations on this data. But is this construction exhaustive? There is a small complication: when  $n = 2$  it is *not* exhaustive (if  $[k : k^2] \geq 8$ ).

To understand what is special about the case  $n = 2$ , recall from Proposition 8.1.9 (with  $b$  as defined there) that if  $n \geq 2$  then  $K_b = K$ , so  $G_b = H_{V_b, K/k}$  for some nonzero  $kK^2$ -subspace  $V_b \subset K$  satisfying  $k\langle V_b \rangle = K$ . However, we have provided no reason that necessarily  $V_b = K$ , or equivalently that  $G_b$  should be standard (whereas  $G_b$  is standard for every  $G$  as in Theorem 8.3.1)! Here is such a reason when  $n \geq 3$ : in such cases every short root in the  $C_n$ -diagram is adjacent to another short root, and together they generate a root system of type  $A_2$ , so we can use centralizers of codimension-2 tori and standardness for type- $A_2$  in all characteristics to conclude that  $G_b$  must be standard for all such  $b$  when  $n \geq 3$ .

But this reasoning does not work if  $n = 2$ , and in fact there *are* more  $k$ -groups to be built (when  $[k : k^2] \geq 8$ ). In effect, we need to introduce additional linear-algebraic data, to play the role of the root space for non-multipliable non-divisible roots: a nonzero  $kK^2$ -subspace  $V'' \subset K$  that satisfies  $k\langle V'' \rangle = K$ . This subspace must satisfy some conditions in relation to  $q(V)$  and  $V'$  to ensure the necessary condition that the  $c^\vee(\mathrm{GL}_1)$ -centralizer in  $G_c$  normalizes the  $b$ -root group, where  $\{2c, b\}$  is a basis of the root system of type  $C_2$ . Since  $\langle b, (2c)^\vee \rangle = -1$ , this normalizing property holds whenever  $V''$  is a subspace of  $K$  over the subfield  $K_0 := k\langle V_0 \rangle \subset K$  that contains  $kK^2$  (where  $V_0 := k \cdot q(V) + V'$ ); see [CGP, 9.8.3] for the calculations. The case  $V'' = K$  recovers the construction of  $G$  in Theorem 8.3.1 for  $n = 2$ , so this is primarily of interest when  $V'' \neq K$ .

Consider the pseudo-split pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  of minimal type with root system of type  $\mathrm{BC}_2$  provided by Theorem 8.3.1 using  $n = 2$  and a 4-tuple  $(K/k, V', V, q)$ . Let  $V''$  be a nonzero *proper*  $K_0$ -subspace of  $K$ . (In [CGP, 9.8.3] it is shown by elementary field-theoretic considerations that such  $V''$  exists for some choice  $(V', V, q)$  if and only if  $[K : kK^2] > 4$ ; this happens for some  $K/k$  if and

only if  $[k : k^2] \geq 8$ , ruling out local and global function fields.) Fix a basis  $\{c, b\}$  of  $\Phi(G, D_0) = \text{BC}_2$  with multipliable  $c$ , and let  $G''$  be the  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  generated by  $G_c$  and  $H_{V'', K/k} \subset \text{R}_{K/k}(\text{SL}_2) = G_b$ . The following is established in [CGP, 9.8.3, Prop. 9.8.4, Prop. 9.8.9]:

**PROPOSITION 8.3.4.** *The  $k$ -group  $G''$  is absolutely pseudo-simple of minimal type with  $D_0$  as a split maximal  $k$ -torus, and  $K/k$  is the minimal field of definition for its geometric unipotent radical. Moreover,*

$$Z_{G''}(D_0) = (V_0)_{K_0/k}^* \times (V'')_{K/k}^*$$

inside  $Z_G(D_0) = \text{R}_{K/k}(D)$ ,  $\phi|_{G''} = i_{G''}$  (so  $(\ker i_{G''})(k_s) = 1$ ), and  $(\mathbf{w}, 1) \in G''(k)$  if  $1 \in V' \cap V''$ .

If  $(\mathcal{V}', \mathcal{V}, \mathfrak{q}, \mathcal{V}'')$  is another such 4-tuple with  $\mathcal{V}'' \neq K$  then the associated  $k$ -group  $\mathcal{G}''$  is isomorphic to  $G''$  if and only if there exist  $\lambda, \mu \in K^\times$  such that

$$\mathcal{V}'' = \mu V'', \quad \mathcal{V}' = \lambda V', \quad \mathcal{V}' + \mathfrak{q}(\mathcal{V}) = \lambda(V' + q(V)).$$

It is clear by consideration of the  $b$ -root group ( $\simeq \underline{V}''$ ) that the  $k$ -groups produced by this result never arise among the pseudo-simple groups produced by Theorem 8.3.1. Fortunately, essentially by reversing the long path of theoretical reasoning that motivated the conditions imposed in our constructions (including the additional reasoning that explained why the case  $n = 2$  might admit additional possibilities beyond Theorem 8.3.1 but cases with  $n \neq 2$  cannot), one can show that we have constructed everything:

**THEOREM 8.3.5.** *Every pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group of minimal type with minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for its geometric unipotent radical and root system  $\text{BC}_n$  is produced by the preceding constructions.*

*If  $[k : k^2] = 2$  then there is only one  $k$ -isomorphism class of such  $k$ -groups for a given pair  $(K/k, n)$ , and  $i_G : G \rightarrow \text{R}_{K/k}(G')$  is bijective on  $k$ -points for such  $G$ .*

**PROOF.** See [CGP, Thm. 9.8.6] for a proof of exhaustiveness of the constructions. (The  $K^\times$ -scaling flexibility allows us to arrange further that  $1 \in V'$ , and also  $1 \in V''$  for the additional rank-2 construction.) Uniqueness of the  $k$ -isomorphism class given  $(K/k, n)$  when  $[k : k^2] = 2$  is then immediate via Remark 8.3.3.

It remains to show that if  $[k : k^2] = 2$  then  $i_G$  is bijective on  $k$ -points. Since  $(\ker i_G)(k_s) = 1$  for all of our constructions (due to the minimal type property), we just need to check that  $i_G(G(k))$  generates  $G'(K)$ . But  $G'$  is a split connected semisimple  $K$ -group that is simply connected, so it is generated by the  $K$ -points of its root groups relative to a split maximal  $K$ -torus. Thus, it suffices to show that  $i_G$  is bijective between  $D_0$ -root groups. Such bijectivity is clear for roots that are neither multipliable nor divisible. For multipliable roots  $c$  the map  $U_c \rightarrow \text{R}_{K/k}(U'_{2c})$  is identified on  $k$ -points with the natural map  $V' \oplus V \simeq V' \oplus q(V) \rightarrow K$ . But as we noted in Remark 8.3.3,  $V'$  is a  $K^2$ -line in  $K$  and  $q(V)$  is a complementary  $K^2$ -line since  $[k : k^2] = 2$ .  $\square$

In §7.4 the notion of root field and its associated properties (especially Proposition 7.4.5) have been discussed only for absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $G$  such that the irreducible root system  $\Phi$  of  $G_{k_s}$  is *reduced*. We now extend this to cases for which  $\Phi$  is non-reduced, associating a “root field” to the longest (i.e., divisible) roots over  $k_s$ , exactly as in cases with a reduced root system.



Let  $G$  be an absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group of minimal type such that  $G_{k_s}$  has a non-reduced root system. For a maximal  $k$ -torus  $T \subset G$ ,  $\Phi := \Phi(G_{k_s}, T_{k_s})$  is of type  $BC_n$  for  $n = \dim T$ . If  $a \in \Phi$  is divisible with root group denoted  $U_a$  then  $(G_{k_s})_a := \langle U_a, U_{-a} \rangle$  is of minimal type and its maximal  $k_s$ -torus  $S = a^\vee(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  satisfies  $\Phi((G_{k_s})_a, S) = \{\pm a\}$  (Example 7.1.7). The reducedness of this root system implies that the notion of root field  $F'_a$  for  $(G_{k_s})_a$  is already defined.

Since the Weyl group  $W(G_{k_s}, T_{k_s})$  acts transitively on the set of roots in  $\Phi$  with a given length, so it is transitive on the set of divisible roots, the purely inseparable finite extension  $F'_a/k_s$  is independent of  $a$ . Likewise, this extension is independent of the choice of  $T$  and is  $k_s$ -isomorphic to its  $\mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k)$ -twists. Such  $k_s$ -isomorphisms are unique and hence constitute a Galois descent datum, so there is a unique purely inseparable finite extension  $F/k$  such that  $F \otimes_k k_s = F'_a$  over  $k_s$  for all such  $a$  (for all  $T$ ).

DEFINITION 8.3.6. The root field of  $G$  is the extension  $F/k$  constructed above.

The possibilities for  $G_{k_s}$  are determined in Theorem 8.3.5, and the field  $F \otimes_k k_s$  can be described in terms of the linear-algebraic and field-theoretic data entering into those constructions. This yields the following result (proved in [CP, 9.1.1–9.1.3]) that extends to such  $G$  what has been established (e.g., Proposition 7.4.5) for absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups with a reduced root system over  $k_s$ :

PROPOSITION 8.3.7. Let  $G$  be an absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group of minimal type such that  $G_{k_s}$  has a non-reduced root system. Let  $K/k$  be the minimal field of definition of  $\mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}}) \subset G_{\bar{k}}$ , and let  $F/k$  be the root field.

Then  $kK^2 \subset F \subset K$ ,  $G_F^{\mathrm{prmt}}$  has a non-reduced root system over  $F_s$  and root field  $F$ , and the natural map  $G \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(\mathrm{R}_{F/k}(G_F^{\mathrm{prmt}}))$  is an isomorphism

REMARK 8.3.8. By definition,  $G_F^{\mathrm{prmt}}$  is the maximal quotient of  $G_F$  that is pseudo-reductive of minimal type (i.e.,  $G_F^{\mathrm{pred}}/\mathcal{C}_{G_F^{\mathrm{pred}}}$ , with  $G_F^{\mathrm{pred}} := G_F/\mathcal{R}_{u,F}(G_F)$ ). The non-reducedness of the root system over  $F_s$  for  $G_F^{\mathrm{prmt}}$  in Proposition 8.3.7 is a special property of the subfield  $F \subset K$  over  $k$  since in general when  $[K : kK^2] > 2$  (as often occurs when  $[k : k^2] > 2$ ) there are proper subfields  $E \subset K$  over  $k$  such that  $G_E^{\mathrm{prmt}}$  has a reduced root system over  $E_s$ ; see [CGP, 9.8.17–9.8.18].

When  $[k : k^2] = 2$  something remarkable happens: the “minimal type” and “pseudo-split” hypotheses in Theorem 8.3.5 are unnecessary. That is:

PROPOSITION 8.3.9. Assume  $[k : k^2] = 2$ . Every absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $G$  with a non-reduced root system over  $k_s$  is pseudo-split and of minimal type, and  $H^1(k, G) = 1$ .

PROOF. To verify that  $G$  is of minimal type, we may assume  $k = k_s$ . Now the pseudo-split property holds, so  $G$  is a central extension

$$(8.3.9) \quad 1 \longrightarrow Z \longrightarrow G \longrightarrow \bar{G} \longrightarrow 1$$

where  $Z = \mathcal{C}_G$  is a central unipotent  $k$ -subgroup scheme and  $\bar{G}$  is of minimal type and hence is produced by the pseudo-simple construction in Theorem 8.3.1. Note that  $Z$  contains no nontrivial smooth connected  $k$ -subgroup (as  $G$  is pseudo-reductive). It suffices to show that the central extension (8.3.9) is split.

The splitting criterion from [CGP, Prop. 5.1.3] used in the proofs of Proposition 6.2.17 and Corollary 7.5.11 reduces this splitting problem to a calculation with a

Cartan  $k$ -subgroup of  $\overline{G}$ . One such Cartan  $k$ -subgroup is described in Theorem 8.3.1(iii), and the linear algebra data there simplifies a lot since  $[k : k^2] = 2$  (e.g., we can assume  $V' = K^2$  and  $q(V)$  is a complementary  $K^2$ -line in  $K$ ). Hence, although in general it appears to be very difficult to do calculations with  $(V_0)_{K_0/k}^*$ , in the present case it becomes very tractable; see [CGP, Prop. 9.9.1] for the details. This completes the proof that the “minimal type” property automatically holds!

Now we return to general  $k$  (not necessarily separably closed), and consider an absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $H$  with root system  $BC_n$  over  $k_s$  and minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for its geometric unipotent radical. The  $k_s$ -group  $H_{k_s}$  is pseudo-split and of minimal type, so it is in the *unique*  $k_s$ -isomorphism class attached to the pair  $(K_s/k_s, n)$  as in Theorem 8.3.5. But over  $k$  itself there is likewise a unique (up to  $k$ -isomorphism) pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple group  $G$  with root system  $BC_n$  and minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for its geometric unipotent radical. Thus,  $H$  is a  $k_s/k$ -form of  $G$ , so to prove  $H$  is pseudo-split it suffices to show that  $G$  has no nontrivial  $k_s/k$ -forms.

It remains to prove that  $H^1(k_s/k, \text{Aut}(G_{k_s}))$  and  $H^1(k_s/k, G(k_s))$  vanish for pseudo-split  $G$ . By Theorem 8.3.5, the natural map  $G(k_s) \rightarrow G'(K_s)$  is bijective. Since  $Z_G = 1$  (Proposition 8.1.5), so  $G(k_s) \subset \text{Aut}(G_{k_s})$ , if all automorphisms of  $G_{k_s}$  are inner then we would have  $\text{Aut}(G_{k_s}) = G(k_s) = G'(K_s)$ , so the Galois cohomology sets of interest would coincide with  $H^1(K_s/K, G'(K_s))$ . But  $G' = \text{Sp}_{2n}$  as  $K$ -groups, and  $\text{Sp}_{2n}$  has vanishing degree-1 Galois cohomology over every field (i.e., a symplectic space is determined up to isomorphism by its dimension).

Our task is reduced to showing when  $k = k_s$  that every  $k$ -automorphism  $\varphi$  of  $G$  arises from a  $G(k)$ -conjugation. We can assume  $\varphi(D_0) = D_0$ , and since  $N_G(D_0)(k)/Z_G(D_0)(k) = W(\Phi(G, D_0))$  we can assume  $\varphi$  preserves a positive system of roots  $\Phi^+$ . But  $\Phi(G_0, D_0)$  has no nontrivial automorphism preserving  $\Phi^+$ , so  $\varphi$  acts trivially on  $D_0$  and hence trivially on the commutative pseudo-reductive  $Z_G(D_0)$ . The effect of  $\varphi_K$  on  $G'$  coincides with the action of a  $K$ -point  $t$  of the adjoint torus  $D/Z_{G'}$ . But for the long simple root  $2c$  in a basis for  $\Phi(G', D)$ , the action of  $t$  on  $i_G(U_c(k)) = V' \oplus q(V)$  must preserve the  $K^2$ -line  $i_G(U_{2c}(k)) = V'$  inside the  $K$ -line  $U'_{2c}(K)$ . Since  $t$  acts on  $U'_{2c}(K)$  through scaling by  $(2c)(t)$ , such preservation means that  $(2c)(t) \in (K^\times)^2$ . This latter property characterizes the image of  $D(K)$  inside  $(D/Z_{G'})(K)$  for type- $C_n$ , so  $t$  arises from a  $k$ -point of the Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $R_{K/k}(D)$  of  $R_{K/k}(G')$ . Since  $i_G : G(k) \rightarrow G'(K)$  is bijective (Theorem 8.3.5),  $\varphi$  arises from  $G(k)$ .  $\square$

**PROPOSITION 8.3.10.** *Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 2 such that  $[k : k^2] = 2$ , and let  $G$ ,  $K/k$ , and  $G'$  be as in Theorem 8.3.5.*

- (i) *If  $k$  is complete for a fixed nontrivial non-archimedean absolute value and  $K$  is equipped with the unique extension of that absolute value then the bijection  $G(k) \rightarrow G'(K)$  is a homeomorphism.*
- (ii) *If  $k$  is a global function field then the natural map  $G(\mathbf{A}_k) \rightarrow G'(\mathbf{A}_K)$  on adelic points is a homeomorphism.*

The proofs of these assertions reduce to a direct verification at the level of root groups and Cartan subgroups (using that the pseudo-reductive construction in Theorem 8.3.1 is perfect when  $[k : k^2] = 2$ ; see Remark 8.3.3). For example, on root groups for multipliable roots the map on points over a local field is  $K^2 \oplus K \rightarrow K$  defined by  $(x, y) \mapsto x + \alpha y^2$  (for  $\alpha \in K - K^2$ ), and this is visibly a homeomorphism.

See [CGP, Prop. 9.9.4(2),(3)] for further details. It follows that for arithmetic computations with pseudo-reductive groups over such fields  $k$  we can often replace the intervention of such a  $k$ -group  $G$  with the associated symplectic  $K$ -group  $G'$ .

To conclude our discussion of absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $G$  of minimal type with a non-reduced root system over  $k_s$ , we consider their automorphisms. Recall from Proposition 6.1.2 that for any pseudo-reductive group  $H$  over a field  $k$  and any Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C$ , the functor  $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{H,C}$  classifying automorphisms of  $H$  restricting to the identity on  $C$  is represented by an affine  $k$ -group scheme  $\text{Aut}_{H,C}$  of finite type whose maximal smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup  $Z_{H,C}$  is commutative and identity component  $Z_{H,C}^0$  is pseudo-reductive.

EXAMPLE 8.3.11. If  $H$  is a connected reductive group and  $T \subset H$  is a maximal  $k$ -torus then  $Z_{H,T} = T/Z_G$ .

In §9.1–§9.2 we will discuss the classification of Galois-twisted forms of pseudo-reductive groups  $H$ . This classification may appear to be a hopeless task, since for absolutely pseudo-simple  $H$  there is no concrete description of the structure of  $C$  in non-standard cases for types B, C, and BC in characteristic 2 when the universal smooth  $k$ -tame central extension of  $H$  is not of minimal type (as occurs in abundance for those root systems over every imperfect field  $k$  of characteristic 2 satisfying  $[k : k^2] \geq 16$ ; see [CP, App. B] for the construction of such  $k$ -groups).

Since  $Z_{H,C}$  is unaffected by passage to the central quotient  $H/Z_H$  that is always pseudo-reductive and of minimal type (see Lemma Lemma 9.1.9(ii)), and the universal smooth  $k$ -tame central extension of  $H/Z_H$  is of minimal type, analyzing minimal-type cases over  $k_s$  (where everything is pseudo-split) will yield that  $Z_{H,C}$  is connected for *every* pseudo-reductive group  $H$  (see Proposition 9.1.13)! The structure of  $Z_{H,C}$  will be crucial in §9.1–§9.2, especially the connectedness of  $Z_{H,C}$  (relevant to the notion of “pseudo-inner form”; see Definition 9.1.3, Lemma 9.1.9(ii), and Proposition 9.1.15). In the pseudo-split minimal-type case with  $C$  containing a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $S$  of  $H$ ,  $Z_{H,C}$  will admit a concrete description as a direct product indexed by a basis of  $\Phi(H, S)$  (generalizing the familiar direct product structure of the split maximal tori in the adjoint central quotient of a split connected reductive group). This requires case-analysis depending on the root system, after reducing to absolutely pseudo-simple  $H$ .

The case of root system  $\text{BC}_n$  requires separate treatment, and is settled as an application of our explicit description of all pseudo-split minimal type groups with root system  $\text{BC}_n$  in Theorem 8.3.1 and Proposition 8.3.4. To formulate this application, we need to set up some notation. Let  $G$  be an absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group of minimal type with root system  $\text{BC}_n$  over  $k_s$  and minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for its geometric unipotent radical. Let  $T \subset G$  be a maximal  $k$ -torus,  $C = Z_G(T)$ , and  $G' = G_K/\mathcal{R}_{u,K}(G_K)$  (a  $K$ -form of  $\text{Sp}_{2n}$ ). Define the “adjoint torus”  $T^{\text{ad}}$  over  $k$  to be the quotient of  $T$  corresponding to the quotient  $T'/Z_{G'} \subset G'/Z_{G'}$  of the  $K$ -torus  $T' := T_K$ .

The action of the  $k$ -group  $Z_{G,C}$  on  $G$  induces an action of  $(Z_{G,C})_K$  on  $G_K$  and hence on  $G'$  (since  $Z_{G,C}$  is smooth). This latter action is trivial on  $T'$ , so it defines a  $k$ -homomorphism

$$f : Z_{G,C} \longrightarrow \text{R}_{K/k}(Z_{G',T'}) = \text{R}_{K/k}(T'/Z_{G'}) = \text{R}_{K/k}(T_K^{\text{ad}}).$$

It therefore makes sense to define the subfield  $F \subset K$  to be the unique minimal subfield over  $k$  such that  $f$  factors through  $\text{R}_{F/k}(T_F^{\text{ad}})$ .

PROPOSITION 8.3.12. *If  $n \neq 2$  then  $F = K$  and if  $n = 2$  then  $kK^2 \subset F \subset K$ . There exists a  $K$ -finite subfield  $F' \subset K^{1/2}$  for which  $Z_{G,C}$  fits into a fiber square*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Z_{G,C} & \xrightarrow{\theta} & \mathbf{R}_{F'/k}(T_{F'}) \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \mathbf{R}_{F/k}(T_F^{\text{ad}}) & \longrightarrow & \mathbf{R}_{F'/k}(T_{F'}^{\text{ad}}) \end{array}$$

using the natural maps along the bottom and right sides, and  $\theta$  is uniquely determined. Moreover, among all  $F'/K$  there is a unique such minimal extension  $F_{\natural}/K$ . The formation of  $F_{\natural}/K$  commutes with separable extension on  $k$ .

If  $T$  is split and  $\Delta$  is a basis of  $\Phi(G, T)$  with unique multipliable root  $c$  then via the identification of  $T$  with  $\text{GL}_1^{\Delta}$  using coroots we have

$$(8.3.12) \quad Z_{G,C} = \mathbf{R}_{F_{\natural}/k}(\text{GL}_1) \times \prod_{b \in \Delta - \{c\}} \mathbf{R}_{F/k}(\text{GL}_1)$$

inside  $\mathbf{R}_{F_{\natural}/k}(T_{F_{\natural}}) \supset \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(T_K)$ .

The product expression (8.3.12) is always applicable over  $k_s$  (as  $T_{k_s}$  is split), so Proposition 8.3.12 implies that  $Z_{G,C}$  is *connected*. The proof of Proposition 8.3.12 amounts to solving the following problem: given an automorphism  $\varphi' \in Z_{G',T'}(K_s) = (T'/Z_{G'})(K_s)$ , when does its action on  $G'(K_s)$  preserve the subgroup  $G(k_s)$ ? This is largely a matter of systematic (though sometimes delicate) calculations with root groups and Cartan subgroups. One first proves (8.3.12) via computation with  $k_s$ -points, and then recasts it in the fiber-square form that is better-suited to Galois descent. See [CGP, Prop. 9.8.15] for further details.

## 9. Classification of forms

**9.1. Automorphisms and Galois-twisting.** The Existence and Isomorphism Theorems for *split* connected semisimple groups  $G$  over a field  $k$  characterize isomorphism classes via root data. There are two approaches to classifying connected semisimple groups  $G$  beyond the split case, over a general field  $k$ : Galois cohomology associated to the split form, and the Tits classification that rests on relative root systems (and treats the  $k$ -anisotropic case as a black box). The latter is better-suited for generalization to the pseudo-semisimple case, but we first review the context for each of these approaches.

The Galois cohomological approach rests on viewing a connected semisimple  $k$ -group  $G$  as a  $k_s/k$ -form of the unique split connected semisimple  $k$ -group  $G_0$  whose root datum coincides with that of  $G_{k_s}$ . The set of such  $G$  (up to  $k$ -isomorphism) for a fixed  $G_0$  is in bijection with  $H^1(k, \text{Aut}_{G_0/k})$ , where  $\text{Aut}_{G_0/k}$  is the smooth automorphism scheme of  $G_0$ . The structure of  $\text{Aut}_{G_0/k}$  informs this classification:  $\text{Aut}_{G_0/k}$  is a smooth affine  $k$ -group with identity component  $G_0/Z_{G_0}$  (defining a notion of “inner form”) and constant component group equal to the automorphism group of the based root datum (coinciding with the automorphism group of the Dynkin diagram when  $G_0$  is simply connected or of adjoint type); e.g.,  $\text{Aut}_{G_0/k} = G_0/Z_{G_0}$  when the Dynkin diagram does not admit a nontrivial automorphism. An outcome of this approach is that  $G$  admits a unique quasi-split inner form.

For example, if  $n > 2$  then the  $A_{n-1}$ -diagram has automorphism group  $\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$  and the non-split quasi-split  $k$ -forms of  $\mathrm{SL}_n$  are the special unitary groups  $\mathrm{SU}(h_n)$  for the split hermitian form  $h_n$  on  $k'^n$  relative to a quadratic Galois extension  $k'/k$ , where  $h_{2m}(x, y) = \sum_{j=1}^m (x_j \sigma(y_{-j}) + x_{-j} \sigma(y_j))$  for  $m > 1$  and  $h_{2m+1}(x, y) = h_{2m} + x_0 \sigma(y_0)$  for  $m \geq 1$ , with  $\sigma$  denoting the nontrivial  $k$ -automorphism of  $k'$ .

The *Tits classification* (announced by Tits [Ti1, 2.7.1] and completed by Selbach [Sel]) rests on a choice of maximal split  $k$ -torus  $S \subset G$  and the relationship between the relative root system  $\Phi(G, S)$  of nontrivial  $S$ -weights on  $\mathrm{Lie}(G)$  and the absolute root system  $\Phi(G_{k_s}, T_{k_s})$  for a maximal  $k$ -torus  $T \supset S$ . The precise formulation involves the  $k$ -anisotropic group  $\mathcal{D}(Z_G(S))$  (called the *semisimple anisotropic kernel*) and actions of  $\mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k)$  on Dynkin diagrams associated to  $G$  and  $\mathcal{D}(Z_G(S))$ ; we will review this when we generalize it to the pseudo-semisimple case. There does not exist a corresponding “existence theorem” for general  $k$  since usually there is no way to describe the semisimple anisotropic kernel; see [Ti1, Table II] for an existence theorem in the semisimple case over interesting fields  $k$ .

It is natural to ask if these approaches generalize to pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -groups  $H$ . There are some reasons for optimism:

- (i) The functor  $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}_{H/k} : A \rightsquigarrow \mathrm{Aut}_A(H_A)$  on  $k$ -algebras is represented by an affine  $k$ -group scheme  $\mathrm{Aut}_{H/k}$  of finite type [CP, Prop. 6.2.2]. Although this  $k$ -group is generally *not* smooth (see [CP, Ex. 6.2.3] for examples over any imperfect field), its maximal smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup  $\mathrm{Aut}_{H/k}^{\mathrm{sm}}$  can be used to study  $k_s/k$ -forms of  $H$ . We call such a form *pseudo-inner* if it is obtained by twisting  $H$  against a class in  $H^1(k, (\mathrm{Aut}_{H/k}^{\mathrm{sm}})^0)$ . (In general  $(\mathrm{Aut}_{H/k}^{\mathrm{sm}})^0$  is larger than  $H/Z_H$ ; see Remark 9.1.16.)
- (ii) There is a robust theory of relative root systems and associated relative root groups for arbitrary pseudo-reductive groups [CGP, C.2.13–C.2.28].

REMARK 9.1.1. The existence of  $\mathrm{Aut}_{H/k}$  for pseudo-semisimple  $H$  is not a formality since existence fails for many commutative pseudo-reductive  $H$ , such as  $\mathrm{R}_{k'/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  for any purely inseparable extension  $k'/k$  of degree  $p = \mathrm{char}(k) > 0$  (ultimately because for  $n \geq 1$  the automorphism functor of  $\mathbf{G}_a^n$  in characteristic  $p$  is not representable; see [CP, Ex. 6.2.1]). The key to the existence proof is that since (fiberwise) maximal tori in a smooth affine group scheme are conjugate fppf-locally on the base [SGA3, XI, Cor. 5.4], if  $T \subset H$  is a maximal  $k$ -torus then the representability of  $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}_{H/k}$  is reduced to that of the  $T$ -stabilizer subfunctor of  $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}_{H/k}$ .

Over a finite extension of  $k$  this stabilizer subfunctor is covered by translates of *finitely many* copies of the subfunctor  $\underline{\mathrm{Aut}}_{H,T}$  classifying automorphisms of  $H$  restricting to the identity on  $T$  because the  $\mathbf{Z}$ -span of the finite set  $\Phi(H_{k_s}, T_{k_s})$  has finite index inside  $X(T_{k_s})$  (as  $H_k^{\mathrm{red}}$  is semisimple). This subfunctor is represented by an affine  $k$ -group scheme of finite type since  $H$  is perfect [CGP, Cor. 2.4.4, Prop. A.2.11], so we are done. See [CP, Prop. 6.2.2] for further details.

Although in general there does not exist an automorphism scheme in the pseudo-reductive case, there is a reasonable notion of “pseudo-inner form” beyond the pseudo-semisimple case. This is inspired by the observation that for connected reductive  $G$ , the natural map  $\mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}^0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{D}(G)/k}^0$  is an isomorphism because it

is inverse to the natural isomorphism  $\mathcal{D}(G)/Z_{\mathcal{D}(G)} \simeq G/Z_G$ . If  $G$  is a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group then suitable use of the equality  $C \cdot \mathcal{D}(G) = G$  for a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C \subset G$  yields [CP, Lemma C.2.3]:

LEMMA 9.1.2. *The natural  $(\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{D}(G)/k}^{\mathrm{sm}})^0$ -action on  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  uniquely extends to an action on  $G$ .*

This lemma motivates:

DEFINITION 9.1.3. Let  $G$  be a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group. We say  $G$  is *quasi-split* if it admits a pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $B$  such that  $B_{k_s}$  is a minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k_s$ -subgroup of  $G_{k_s}$ . A  $k_s/k$ -form of  $G$  is *pseudo-inner* if it is classified by an element of the image of

$$\mathrm{H}^1(k_s/k, (\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{D}(G)/k}^{\mathrm{sm}})^0(k_s)) \longrightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(k_s/k, \mathrm{Aut}(G_{k_s})).$$

There are difficulties with the Galois cohomological approach when studying  $k_s/k$ -forms of a pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -group  $H$ :

- (i') The geometric component group of  $\mathrm{Aut}_{H/k}^{\mathrm{sm}}$  is always naturally a subgroup of the automorphism group of the based root datum of  $H_{k_s}$  [CP, Rem. 6.3.6], but examples exist over every imperfect field for which it is a *proper* subgroup [CP, Ex. 6.3.8, Ex. C.1.6]. Hence, there can be subtleties when trying to characterize in Galois-cohomological terms those  $k_s/k$ -forms obtained via pseudo-inner twisting.
- (ii') The existence of a pseudo-split  $k_s/k$ -form fails in every positive characteristic [CP, Ex. C.1.2, C.1.6].
- (ii'') If  $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$ ,  $[k : k^2] \geq 8$ , and  $k$  has sufficiently rich Galois theory then there exists a (non-standard) absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group with root system over  $k_s$  of any type  $B_n$ ,  $C_n$ , or  $BC_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) which does not admit a quasi-split pseudo-inner  $k_s/k$ -form; see Example 9.1.5. (These counterexamples are optimal because a pseudo-reductive group  $H$  over a general field  $k$  admits a quasi-split pseudo-inner  $k_s/k$ -form except possibly when  $H$  is non-standard with  $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$  and  $[k : k^2] \geq 8$  [CP, Thm. C.2.10]; the proof involves a degree-2 cohomological obstruction whose vanishing characterizes the existence of a quasi-split pseudo-inner  $k_s/k$ -form; this obstruction is never seen in the semisimple case.)

Both (i') and (ii'') are caused by field-theoretic obstructions that do not arise in the absolutely pseudo-simple case away from characteristic 2 [CP, Prop. C.1.3(i), Prop. 6.3.10].

REMARK 9.1.4. In connection with (ii') and (ii''), it is natural to ask if a pseudo-split  $k_s/k$ -form or quasi-split pseudo-inner  $k_s/k$ -form of a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group is unique when it exists. There are well-known affirmative results in the connected reductive case, but the traditional proofs there rest on the Isomorphism Theorem and Galois cohomological considerations respectively and neither of those approaches works in the general pseudo-reductive case. (There is an Isomorphism Theorem in the pseudo-split pseudo-reductive case [CP, Thm. 6.1.1], but it goes beyond combinatorial invariants.) The Tits-style classification in §9.2 provides a way around these problems to give affirmative answers to the uniqueness questions in general; see Corollary 9.2.10.

In characteristic 2, the phenomena in (i') and (ii') persist in the *absolutely pseudo-simple case*. More precisely, (i') occurs exactly for type  $D_{2n}$  with  $n \geq 2$  (a priori, any absolutely pseudo-simple instance of (i') must have an irreducible root system over  $k_s$  admitting a nontrivial diagram automorphism, so it is either  $A_m$  ( $m \geq 2$ ) or  $D_m$  ( $m \geq 4$ ) or  $E_6$ , and hence must be *standard* by Theorem 7.4.8); see Example 9.1.6 below. Likewise, the non-standard examples in (ii'') with type  $B_n, C_n$ , or  $BC_n$  for  $n \geq 1$  certainly fulfill (ii'); these are discussed in the following example.

EXAMPLE 9.1.5. In [CP, §C.3–§C.4] there are examples in characteristic 2 of absolutely pseudo-split groups with any type B, C, or BC (with any rank  $n \geq 1$ ) which do not admit a pseudo-split  $k_s/k$ -form; these also do not admit a quasi-split pseudo-inner form, due to the absence of nontrivial diagram automorphisms (see [CP, Lemma C.2.2]). All of these constructions ultimately rest on a single class of examples for type  $A_1 = B_1 = C_1$ , so we now sketch that core example; see [CP, Ex. C.3.1] for further details.

Let  $k$  be a field of characteristic 2 admitting a quadratic Galois extension  $k'/k$  with nontrivial automorphism  $\sigma$ , and let  $K/k$  be a nontrivial finite subextension of  $k^{1/2}$ . For  $K' := k' \otimes_k K$  we seek a  $k'$ -subspace  $V' \subset K'$  that generates  $K'$  as a  $k'$ -algebra and whose  $K'^{\times}$ -homothety class is stable under the action of  $\sigma$  but for which no  $K'^{\times}$ -multiple of  $V'$  is  $\sigma$ -stable. The idea is that  $H_{V', K'/k'}$  is then  $k'$ -isomorphic to its  $\sigma$ -twist  $H_{\sigma(V'), K'/k'}$ , and if this  $k'$ -isomorphism can be chosen to satisfy the cocycle condition then  $H_{V', K'/k'}$  admits a  $k$ -descent  $G$ . Such a  $k$ -group  $G$  cannot admit any pseudo-split  $k_s/k$ -form! Indeed, suppose  $\mathcal{G}$  were such a form, so  $\mathcal{G}_{k'}$  and  $G_{k'} = H_{V', K'/k'}$  are pseudo-split  $k'_s/k'$ -forms of each other, and hence are  $k'$ -isomorphic (by the uniqueness of pseudo-split forms). The description of  $\mathcal{G}$  in Theorem 7.2.5(ii) would then provide a  $\sigma$ -stable member of the  $K'^{\times}$ -homothety class of  $V'$  due to Proposition 7.2.3(ii), contradicting how  $V'$  was chosen.

To build  $(K/k, V')$  satisfying the above properties, we assume  $[k : k^2] \geq 8$  and that  $\text{Br}(k) \rightarrow \text{Br}(k')$  has nontrivial kernel; e.g.,  $k = \kappa(x, y, z)$  and  $k' = L(y, z)$  for a finite field  $\kappa$  of characteristic 2 and any quadratic Galois extension  $L/\kappa(x)$ . Via Tate cohomology, the nontrivial kernel of  $\text{Br}(k) \rightarrow \text{Br}(k')$  is identified with  $k^{\times}/N_{k'/k}(k'^{\times})$ . Choose  $e \in k^{\times} - N_{k'/k}(k'^{\times})$  and define  $t_1 = \sqrt{e} \in k^{1/2} - k$ ; since  $[k : k^2] \geq 8$ , we can extend  $\{t_1\}$  to a triple  $\{t_1, t_2, t_3\}$  that is part of a 2-basis of  $k$ . Let  $K = k(t_1, t_2, t_3)$ . For a primitive element  $a$  of  $k'/k$ , the  $k'$ -subspace

$$V' = k' + k't_1 + k'(t_2 + at_3) + k't_1(t_2 + \sigma(a)t_3) \subset K'$$

is 4-dimensional and generates  $K'$  as a  $k'$ -algebra, and  $\sigma(V') = t_1V'$ . Moreover, the “root field”  $\{c' \in K' \mid c'V' \subset V'\}$  is equal to  $k'$ . Since the  $\sigma$ -invariant element

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & t_1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{PGL}_2(K) = \text{R}_{K/k}(\text{PGL}_2)(k) \subset \text{R}_{K'/k'}(\text{PGL}_2)(k')$$

has order 2 and its action on  $\text{R}_{K'/k'}(\text{SL}_2)$  carries  $H_{\sigma(V'), K'/k'}$  to  $H_{V', K'/k'}$ , it defines the desired  $k'/k$ -descent datum. The property that no member of the  $K'^{\times}$ -homothety class of  $V'$  is  $\sigma$ -stable uses that  $V'$  has root field  $k'$  and that the square  $t_1^2 = e \in k^{\times}$  is not a norm from  $k'$ .

EXAMPLE 9.1.6. The phenomenon of absolutely pseudo-simple groups over imperfect fields  $k$  of characteristic 2 without a pseudo-split  $k_s/k$ -form occurs in cases of type  $D_{2n}$  for any  $n \geq 2$  whenever  $k$  admits a quadratic Galois extension  $k'/k$ .

These arise as pseudo-reductive central quotients  $G = R_{K/k}(\mathcal{G}_K)/Z$  for suitable purely inseparable finite extensions  $K/k$  and the quasi-split non-split  $k$ -group  $\mathcal{G}$  of outer type  $D_{2n}$  that is split by  $k'$ . The idea is that by choosing  $K/k$  suitably, there are many  $k'$ -subgroups  $Z'$  between  $R_{K/k}(\mu_2 \times \mu_2)_{k'}$  and  $\mu_2 \times \mu_2$  such that  $\sigma^*(Z')$  is *distinct* from  $Z'$  yet is carried to  $Z'$  by an involution swapping the  $\mu_2$ -factors (induced by a diagram involution). The  $k$ -subgroup  $Z \subset R_{K/k}((Z\mathcal{G})_K)$  is a  $k'$ -descent of  $Z'$ , and pseudo-reductivity holds if  $R_{K/k}(\mu_2 \times \mu_2)_{k'}/Z'$  contains no nontrivial smooth connected  $k'$ -subgroup.

See [CP, C.1.3–C.1.5] for details of this construction and why it accounts for essentially all standard absolutely pseudo-simple examples of (ii'). The hypotheses on  $Z'$  obstruct the standard diagram involution from arising in  $\pi_0(\mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}^{\mathrm{sm}})(k_s)$ . If  $n = 2$  and  $k$  admits a cubic Galois extension then the preceding has a variant resting on triality. This yields essentially all absolutely pseudo-simple groups (standard or not) for which (i') occurs; see [CP, Ex. 6.3.9, Prop. 6.3.10].

Overall, we see that the cohomological approach encounters problematic phenomena over specific classes of fields, especially in characteristic 2. Yet remarkably, a Tits-style classification theorem for pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -groups holds in complete generality, with a *characteristic-free proof*. An essential ingredient in the success of the Tits-style approach for general pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -groups is that if  $G$  is an arbitrary pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group then we can understand the structure of the  $k$ -group

$$Z_{G,C} \subset \mathrm{Aut}_{G,C}$$

introduced in Proposition 6.1.2 for Cartan  $k$ -subgroups  $C \subset G$ ; e.g., using our work in the minimal-type case, we shall see that  $Z_{G,C}$  is *always* connected. (The connectedness of  $Z_{G,C}$  for absolutely pseudo-simple  $G$  of minimal type with a non-reduced root system over  $k_s$  was addressed in Proposition 8.3.12.)

Before we study  $Z_{G,C}$ , and then deduce consequences for the classification in the pseudo-semisimple case, we need to record a version of the Isomorphism Theorem for pseudo-split pseudo-reductive groups. Consider a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  admitting a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$ . The pseudo-split commutative pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $Z_G(T)$  generally does not have a combinatorial description in terms of Galois lattices. Moreover, the rank-1 pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -subgroup  $G_a$  generated by root groups for opposite roots  $\pm a$  generally *does not admit a notion of pinning* when  $\mathrm{char}(k) = 2$ . Indeed,  $H_{V,K/k}$  in Proposition 7.2.3 only determines  $V$  up to  $K^\times$ -scaling, and when  $G_a$  is not of minimal type (as can happen for suitable pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $G$  with root system of any type B, C, or BC whenever  $[k : k^2] \geq 16$  [CP, App. B]) then we do not even have a concrete description of  $G_a$ !

To circumvent the absence of an entirely combinatorial framework for describing pseudo-split groups, we shall work directly with  $k$ -isomorphisms between certain basic building blocks of the groups. Let  $G$  and  $G'$  be pseudo-split pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups with respective split maximal  $k$ -tori  $T$  and  $T'$ . Fix a  $k$ -isomorphism  $f : Z_G(T) \simeq Z_{G'}(T')$ , as well as  $k$ -isomorphisms  $f_a : G_a \simeq G'_a$  for corresponding roots in chosen bases  $\Delta \subset \Phi(G, T)$  and  $\Delta' \subset \Phi(G', T')$  that are assumed to be carried to each other under the restriction  $f_T : T \simeq T'$  of  $f$ .

**THEOREM 9.1.7 (Isomorphism Theorem).** *A  $k$ -isomorphism  $\varphi : G \simeq G'$  recovering  $f$  and  $\{f_a\}_{a \in \Delta}$  exists when this data satisfies the necessary compatibilities*



that  $f_T \circ a^\vee = a'^\vee$  and  $f_a$  is equivariant with respect to  $f_T$  for all  $a \in \Delta$ ;  $\varphi$  is then unique.

REMARK 9.1.8. Since there is no uniform characteristic-free description of  $\text{Aut}(G_a)$  akin to  $\text{PGL}_2(k)$  in the reductive case, the characteristic-free proof of Theorem 9.1.7 in [CP, Thm. 6.1.1] uses a method entirely different from the classical proof via rational homomorphisms and structure constants. Adapting an idea of Steinberg in the reductive case, one builds the graph of the desired isomorphism as a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -subgroup of  $G \times G'$  via Theorem 5.4.3. A variation on the same graph idea yields a pseudo-reductive version of the Isogeny Theorem in [CP, App. A].

Returning to our considerations with a general pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  and Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C \subset G$ , the key to the structure of  $Z_{G,C}$  is that its formation is extremely robust:

LEMMA 9.1.9. *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group.*

- (i) *For a pseudo-reductive central quotient  $\overline{G}$  of  $G$ , a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C \subset G$ , and its image  $\overline{C} \subset \overline{G}$ , the natural map  $Z_{G,C} \rightarrow Z_{\overline{G},\overline{C}}$  is an isomorphism.*
- (ii) *For the Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C' := C \cap \mathcal{D}(G)$  of  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ , the natural map  $Z_{G,C} \rightarrow Z_{\mathcal{D}(G),C'}$  induced by  $\text{Aut}_{G,C} \rightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathcal{D}(G),C'}$  is an isomorphism.*

The proof of (i) is based on dynamic techniques with open cells and rational homomorphisms. For the proof of (ii), the idea is that if  $k = k_s$  then at the level of an open cell, the root groups of  $G$  and  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  relative to the unique maximal tori of  $C$  and  $C'$  coincide. An automorphism that restricts to the identity on a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup must carry each root group into itself and is determined by its effect on root groups. Hence, it is plausible that the map  $Z_{G,C} \rightarrow Z_{\mathcal{D}(G),C'}$  between smooth  $k$ -groups is bijective on points valued in every separable extension of  $k$ , and so is a  $k$ -isomorphism. See [CP, Lemma 6.1.2] for a complete proof of Lemma 9.1.9.

To understand the structure of  $Z_{G,C}$ , by Lemma 9.1.9 we may assume  $G$  is pseudo-semisimple. In such cases  $C$  is generated by analogues for rank-1 groups when  $G$  is pseudo-split (such as when working over  $k_s$ ):

LEMMA 9.1.10. *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-split pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -group with a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$ , and let  $\Delta$  be a basis of  $\Phi(G, T)$ . Let  $C = Z_G(T)$ . For the Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C_a := C \cap G_a = Z_{G_a}(a^\vee(\text{GL}_1))$  inside  $G_a = \langle U_a, U_{-a} \rangle$ , the multiplication map*

$$m : \prod_{a \in \Delta} C_a \longrightarrow C$$

*is surjective. If  $G_k^{\text{ss}}$  is simply connected and  $G$  is of minimal type then  $m$  is an isomorphism.*

PROOF. To show that  $\{C_a\}_{a \in \Delta}$  generates  $C$ , we first note that  $\{G_a\}_{a \in \Delta}$  generates  $G$  by perfectness since  $W(\Phi(G, T))$  is generated by reflections  $r_a$  coming from  $N_{G_a}(a^\vee(\text{GL}_1))(k)$  for  $a \in \Delta$ . Thus, if  $C'$  is the  $k$ -subgroup of  $C$  generated by  $\{C_a\}_{a \in \Delta}$  then the pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups  $C' \cdot G_a = (C' \rtimes G_a)/C_a$  ( $a \in \Delta$ ) generate  $G$  yet share the same Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $Z_{C' \cdot G_a}(T) = C'$ . Hence, the  $k$ -group  $G$  that they generate satisfies  $Z_G(T) = C'$  too, due to Theorem 5.4.3(i).

Now assume that  $G$  is of minimal type. This is inherited by each  $G_a$  (Example 7.1.7), so  $i_G|_{C_a} = i_{G_a}|_{C_a}$  has trivial kernel for each  $a$ . This realizes  $C_a$  as a

$k$ -subgroup of  $R_{K_a/k}(a^\vee(\mathrm{GL}_1)_{K_a}) \subset R_{K/k}(a^\vee(\mathrm{GL}_1)_K)$  for each  $a$ . Assume furthermore that  $G_k^{\mathrm{ss}}$  is simply connected, so the multiplication map  $\prod_{a \in \Delta} a^\vee(\mathrm{GL}_1) \rightarrow T$  is an isomorphism. Hence, applying  $R_{K/k}((\cdot)_K)$  implies that the composite map

$$\prod_{a \in \Delta} C_a \xrightarrow{m} C \xrightarrow{i_G} R_{K/k}(T_K)$$

has trivial kernel, so  $\ker m = 1$ .  $\square$

9.1.11. For  $G$ ,  $C$ , and  $\Delta$  as in Lemma 9.1.10, the natural  $k$ -homomorphism

$$\varphi : \mathrm{Aut}_{G,C} \longrightarrow \prod_{a \in \Delta} \mathrm{Aut}_{G_a, C_a}$$

carries  $Z_{G,C}$  into  $\prod_{a \in \Delta} Z_{G_a, C_a}$ . Since  $C$  is generated by the  $C_a$ 's, it follows from Theorem 9.1.7 in the special case of the trivial automorphism of  $C$  that  $\varphi$  is *bijective* on  $k$ -points. But then by the same reasoning  $\varphi$  is bijective on  $k'$ -points for *every* separable extension field  $k'/k$  (as we may use everything above after first applying scalar extension up to such  $k'$ ). Equivalently, the homomorphism

$$(9.1.11) \quad Z_{G,C} \longrightarrow \prod_{a \in \Delta} Z_{G_a, C_a}$$

between *smooth*  $k$ -groups is bijective on  $k'$ -points for all separable extensions  $k'/k$ . But it is a general fact (reviewed in the proof of [CGP, Prop. 8.2.6]) that a homomorphism between smooth groups of finite type over a field is an isomorphism whenever it is bijective on points valued in all separable extension fields. Thus, we have proved:

LEMMA 9.1.12. *The map (9.1.11) is an isomorphism.*

Note that the map in (9.1.11) makes sense without assuming  $G$  to be perfect, and in this generality it continues to be an isomorphism due to the identification of  $Z_{G,C}$  and  $Z_{\mathcal{G}(G), C'}$  in Lemma 9.1.9(ii). In the connected reductive case, Lemma 9.1.12 recovers a well-known fact: if  $G$  is a split connected reductive group and  $T \subset G$  is a split maximal  $k$ -torus then  $Z_{G,T} = T/Z_G \simeq \prod_{a \in \Delta} \mathrm{GL}_1$  via  $t \bmod Z_G \mapsto (a(t))$ . In general, Lemma 9.1.12 opens the door to using concrete calculations in rank-1 cases to prove:

PROPOSITION 9.1.13. *For a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  and Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C \subset G$ , the smooth commutative affine  $k$ -group  $Z_{G,C}$  is connected and even pseudo-reductive.*

To prove Proposition 9.1.13 we may assume  $k = k_s$  (so  $G$  is pseudo-split), and then Lemma 9.1.12 allows us to assume that  $G$  is absolutely pseudo-simple of rank 1. By Lemma 9.1.9(i) we may replace  $G$  with  $G/\mathcal{C}_G$  so that  $G$  is of minimal type, and then pass to the universal smooth  $k$ -tame central extension (clearly also of minimal type) so that  $G_k^{\mathrm{ss}} \simeq \mathrm{SL}_2$ .

The case of root system  $\mathrm{BC}_1$  is a special case of Proposition 8.3.12, so we may assume instead that the root system is  $\mathrm{A}_1$ . Hence,  $\ker i_G = 1$  (Example 7.1.4), so naturally  $G \subset R_{K/k}(G')$  for  $G' := G_K/\mathcal{R}_{u,K}(G_K)$ . But for a choice of maximal  $k$ -torus  $T \subset G$  we may pick a Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $L \subset G$  containing  $T$  (Theorem 5.4.4). Upon identifying  $L$  with  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  carrying  $T$  to the diagonal  $k$ -torus  $D$ , we get

$$\mathrm{SL}_2 \subset G \subset R_{K/k}(\mathrm{SL}_2)$$

with  $D = T$ .

The possibilities for  $G = i_G(G)$  are explicitly described in Theorem 7.2.5, so essentially by definition of the root field  $F$  of the pair  $(G, T)$  in Definition 7.4.3 we compute that the resulting natural map  $\text{Aut}_k(G) \rightarrow \text{PGL}_2(K)$  carries  $Z_{G,C}(k)$  into

$$T^{\text{ad}}(F) = \mathbf{R}_{F/k}(T_F^{\text{ad}})(k) \subset \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(T_K^{\text{ad}})(k)$$

for  $T^{\text{ad}} := T/(T \cap Z_G)$ . A more refined version of the calculation (working systematically over all separable extensions of  $k$ ), given in [CP, Lemma 6.1.3], upgrades this inclusion to an isomorphism of  $k$ -groups

$$(9.1.13) \quad Z_{G,C} \simeq \mathbf{R}_{F/k}(T_F^{\text{ad}}),$$

affirming the connectedness of  $Z_{G,C}$ . (The same technique even provides such a  $k$ -isomorphism for general  $k$  without assuming  $T$  is  $k$ -split.) This completes our sketch of the proof of Proposition 9.1.13.

REMARK 9.1.14. We can also prove a compatibility of  $Z_{G,C}$  with Weil restriction. To make this precise, let  $k'$  be a nonzero finite reduced  $k$ -algebra,  $G'$  a smooth affine  $k'$ -group whose fiber over each factor field of  $k'$  is pseudo-reductive, and  $C'$  a Cartan  $k'$ -subgroup of  $G'$ . Define the pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G := \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G')$  and its Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C := \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(C')$ . By passage to the rank-1 minimal type absolutely pseudo-simple case with  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{ss}} \simeq \text{SL}_2$ , Theorem 9.1.7 and calculations using the explicit description of  $Z_{G,C}$  (depending on whether the root system is  $\text{BC}_1$  or  $\text{A}_1$ ) yield a *canonical* isomorphism

$$\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(Z_{G',C'}) \simeq Z_{G,C};$$

see [CP, Prop. 6.1.7] for further details.

We noted in Remark 9.1.1 that if  $G$  is pseudo-semisimple then its automorphism functor (on  $k$ -algebras) is represented by an affine  $k$ -group scheme  $\text{Aut}_{G/k}$  of finite type. Thus, it makes sense to consider its maximal smooth closed  $k$ -subgroup  $\text{Aut}_{G/k}^{\text{sm}}$ . More specifically,  $\text{H}^1(k, \text{Aut}_{G/k}^{\text{sm}})$  classifies isomorphism classes of  $k_s/k$ -forms of  $G$ . (The study of  $\bar{k}/k$ -forms of  $G$  is not of interest for imperfect  $k$  because such forms, though smooth and connected, are usually not pseudo-reductive.)

The structure of  $(\text{Aut}_{G/k}^{\text{sm}})^0$  is of interest for Galois cohomological purposes (e.g., to define the notion of “pseudo-inner form”) and will also play an essential role in the proof of a pseudo-semisimple Tits classification. In the semisimple case this identity component is  $G/Z_G$ , and for a maximal  $k$ -torus  $T \subset G$  we can describe it as a quotient of a smooth group by a smooth central subgroup:

$$G/Z_G \simeq (G \rtimes (T/Z_G))/T,$$

where  $T$  is anti-diagonally embedded as a central  $k$ -subgroup of  $G \rtimes (T/Z_G)$ . The connectedness of  $Z_{G,C}$  yields an analogous description in the pseudo-semisimple case:

PROPOSITION 9.1.15. *If  $C$  is a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup of a pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -group  $G$  then the natural  $k$ -subgroup inclusion*

$$(G \rtimes Z_{G,C})/C \hookrightarrow (\text{Aut}_{G/k}^{\text{sm}})^0$$

*is an equality. In particular,  $\mathcal{D}((\text{Aut}_{G/k}^{\text{sm}})^0) = G/Z_G$ .*

It suffices to prove equality on  $k_s$ -points for *general*  $k$ , and by connectedness it is enough to prove equality *up to finite index*. Since  $G(k_s)$ -conjugation is transitive on the set of maximal  $k_s$ -tori, and any automorphism of  $G_{k_s}$  preserving  $T_{k_s}$  must permute the finite set  $\Phi(G_{k_s}, T_{k_s})$  whose  $\mathbf{Z}$ -span is of finite index in  $X(T_{k_s})$  (as  $G_k^{\text{red}}$  is semisimple), it suffices to analyze automorphisms of  $G_{k_s}$  that restrict to the identity on  $T_{k_s}$ . But such automorphisms act as the identity on  $Z_{G_{k_s}}(T_{k_s}) = C_{k_s}$  [CGP, Prop. 1.2.2], and  $\text{Aut}_{G,C}(k_s) = Z_{G,C}(k_s)$ . This completes the proof.

REMARK 9.1.16. In contrast with the connected reductive case, for which the smooth  $k$ -group  $\text{Aut}_{G/k}^0 = G/Z_G$  is perfect, generally for pseudo-semisimple  $G$  the  $k$ -group  $(\text{Aut}_{G/k}^{\text{sm}})^0$  is *not* perfect. For example, if  $G = \text{R}_{k'/k}(\text{SL}_p)$  for a nontrivial purely inseparable finite extension  $k'/k$  in characteristic  $p > 0$  then  $(\text{Aut}_{G/k}^{\text{sm}})^0 = \text{R}_{k'/k}(\text{PGL}_p)$  due to the description of  $Z_{G,C}$  provided by [CGP, Thm. 1.3.9]. The phenomenon of  $(\text{Aut}_{G/k}^{\text{sm}})^0$  being strictly larger than  $G/Z_G$  is the reason that we speak of “pseudo-inner forms” rather than “inner forms” for pseudo-semisimple  $G$ .

REMARK 9.1.17. An immediate consequence of Proposition 9.1.15 and the invariance of  $Z_{G,C}$  with respect to passage to a pseudo-reductive central quotient of  $G$  in Lemma 9.1.9(i) is that if  $G$  is pseudo-semisimple then  $(\text{Aut}_{G/k}^{\text{sm}})^0$  is naturally invariant under replacing  $G$  with a pseudo-reductive central quotient. The same does not hold without restricting attention to the identity component, as we already see in the connected absolutely simple case, such as for type- $D_{2n}$ .

**9.2. Tits-style classification.** The Tits classification of connected semisimple groups  $G$  over a field  $k$  reinterprets parts of the Galois cohomological formulation in terms of Dynkin diagrams with Galois action. We shall first review the relationship between the two viewpoints, and then see how the use of Galois actions on Dynkin diagrams sidesteps many difficulties seen in §9.1 when generalizing to pseudo-semisimple groups (e.g., the absence of a pseudo-split  $k_s/k$ -form, or of a quasi-split pseudo-inner  $k_s/k$ -form).

9.2.1. Let  $R = (X, \Phi, X^\vee, \Phi^\vee)$  be a reduced semisimple root datum, and  $\Delta$  a basis of  $\Phi$ . Let  $(G_0, B_0, T_0, \{X_a\}_{a \in \Delta})$  be a pinned split connected semisimple  $k$ -group with this based root datum (so for each  $a \in \Delta$ ,  $X_a$  is a nonzero element in the  $a$ -root space of  $\text{Lie}(G_0)$ ); this pinned split connected semisimple  $k$ -group is unique up to isomorphism. The subgroup  $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}_{G_0/k}(k)$  consisting of automorphisms of  $(G_0, B_0, T_0, \{X_a\}_{a \in \Delta})$  maps isomorphically onto the geometric component group  $\pi_0(\text{Aut}_{G_0/k}(k_s))$ , and the evident inclusion  $\Gamma \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(R, \Delta)$  is an equality due to the Isomorphism Theorem for split connected semisimple groups. The subset

$$(9.2.1.1) \quad \text{H}^1(k, \text{Aut}(R, \Delta)) = \text{H}^1(k, \Gamma) \subset \text{H}^1(k, \text{Aut}_{G_0/k})$$

classifies the quasi-split  $k$ -forms of  $G_0$ .

Every connected semisimple  $k$ -group with root datum  $R$  over  $k_s$  has a unique quasi-split inner  $k$ -form, so we fix a quasi-split form  $G$  of  $G_0$  and study its inner forms; this consists of the image of the map

$$(9.2.1.2) \quad f : \text{H}^1(k, G/Z_G) = \text{H}^1(k, \text{Aut}_{G/k}^0) \longrightarrow \text{H}^1(k, \text{Aut}_{G/k}).$$

To describe  $\text{H}^1(k, G/Z_G)$  we may try to partition it according to the  $k_s$ -rational conjugacy class of minimal parabolic  $k$ -subgroups in an inner form of  $G$ , but this runs into a complication: as a map of sets,  $f$  is generally not injective even when it has trivial kernel as a map of pointed sets. For example, if  $G = G_0 = \text{SL}_n$  with

$n > 2$  then we get the map  $H^1(k, \mathrm{PGL}_n) \rightarrow H^1(k, \mathrm{PGL}_n \rtimes (\mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}))$  whose fiber through the Brauer class  $[A]$  of a central simple  $k$ -algebra  $A$  of dimension  $n^2$  is a singleton if and only if  $[A]$  is 2-torsion [C3, Ex. 7.1.12].

A key idea in Tits’ approach is to use relative root systems and  $\mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k)$ -actions on Dynkin diagrams to keep track of minimal parabolic  $k$ -subgroups while interpreting  $H^1(k, \mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}^0)$  in a useful manner, bypassing the failure of injectivity of (9.2.1.2). This requires Tits’ “\*-action” of  $\mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k)$  on the Dynkin diagram  $\mathrm{Dyn}(G_{k_s})$  for an arbitrary connected semisimple  $k$ -group  $G$  (possibly  $k$ -anisotropic).

We now extend these methods to the pseudo-reductive setting, initially working over  $k_s$  to define a notion of “canonical diagram” before we bring in  $k$ -structures and Galois actions. Let  $\mathcal{G}$  be a pseudo-reductive  $k_s$ -group. For each maximal  $k_s$ -torus  $\mathcal{T} \subset \mathcal{G}$  and minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k_s$ -subgroup  $\mathcal{B} \supset \mathcal{T}$  in  $\mathcal{G}$ , we get a diagram  $\mathrm{Dyn}(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B})$  arising from the basis of the positive system of roots  $\Phi(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}) \subset \Phi(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{T})$ ; we denote it as  $\mathrm{Dyn}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B})$  when  $\mathcal{G}$  is understood from the context. If  $(\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{B}')$  is another such pair in  $\mathcal{G}$  then there exists an element  $g \in \mathcal{G}(k_s)$  that carries  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B})$  over to  $(\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{B}')$  due to Proposition 4.1.3 and Theorem 4.2.9 (over  $k_s$ ). Any such  $g$  induces an isomorphism of diagrams (i.e., respecting the pairings of roots and coroots)

$$\mathrm{Dyn}(g) : \mathrm{Dyn}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B}) \simeq \mathrm{Dyn}(\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{B}').$$

Likewise, if  $\mathcal{G}$  is pseudo-semisimple then we can choose  $\varphi \in (\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{G}/k_s}^{\mathrm{sm}})^0(k_s)$  carrying  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B})$  to  $(\mathcal{T}', \mathcal{B}')$ , and consider the induced isomorphism  $\mathrm{Dyn}(\varphi)$  between Dynkin diagrams.

LEMMA 9.2.2. *The isomorphism  $\mathrm{Dyn}(g)$  is independent of the choice of  $g$ , and likewise for  $\mathrm{Dyn}(\varphi)$  in the pseudo-semisimple case.*

PROOF. We treat the case of  $\mathrm{Dyn}(\varphi)$  with pseudo-semisimple  $\mathcal{G}$ ; the other case goes similarly (and is easier). Let  $\mathcal{C} = Z_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{T})$ , so  $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$ . Any two choices of  $\varphi$  are related through composition against an element of the  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B})$ -stabilizer in

$$(\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathcal{G}/k}^{\mathrm{sm}})^0(k_s) = (\mathcal{G}(k_s) \rtimes Z_{\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C}}(k_s)) / \mathcal{C}(k_s),$$

so we just need to prove that every automorphism in the  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B})$ -stabilizer acts trivially on  $\mathrm{Dyn}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B})$ . The action of  $Z_{\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C}}(k_s)$  on  $\mathcal{G}$  restricts to the identity on  $\mathcal{C}$  and hence likewise on both  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\Phi := \Phi(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{T})$ , so the  $Z_{\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C}}(k_s)$ -action preserves  $\mathcal{B}$  and acts as the identity on  $\mathrm{Dyn}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B})$ . It therefore suffices to analyze the effect of the  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B})$ -stabilizer in  $\mathcal{G}(k_s)$ , which is to say elements  $n \in N_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{T})(k_s)$  that preserve  $\mathcal{B}$ . The class of such an  $n$  in  $W(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{T}) = W(\Phi)$  preserves the positive system of roots  $\Phi(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T})$ , so  $n$  has trivial image on  $W(\Phi)$ . In other words,  $n \in Z_{\mathcal{G}}(\mathcal{T})(k_s) = \mathcal{C}(k_s)$ .  $\square$

We have built canonical isomorphisms among all diagrams  $\mathrm{Dyn}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B})$ , transitively with respect to choices of pairs  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B})$ . Define the *canonical diagram*

$$\mathrm{Dyn}(\mathcal{G})$$

as follows: a *vertex* consists of a compatible choice of vertex on  $\mathrm{Dyn}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B})$  for every pair  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B})$  as above, where “compatibility” is meant in the sense of the preceding canonical isomorphisms, and its *edges*, etc. are defined in a similar manner.

Now consider a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$ . By definition, the \*-action of  $\mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k)$  on  $\mathrm{Dyn}(G_{k_s})$  makes each  $\gamma \in \mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k)$  carry a vertex  $a$  to its image

(denoted  $\gamma * a$ ) under the composite isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Dyn}(G_{k_s}) = \mathrm{Dyn}(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B}) \simeq \mathrm{Dyn}({}^\gamma \mathcal{T}, {}^\gamma \mathcal{B}) = \mathrm{Dyn}(G_{k_s})$$

(using  $\gamma$ -twisting in the middle via the canonical isomorphism  $G_{k_s} \simeq {}^\gamma(G_{k_s})$ ) for any  $\mathcal{T}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  inside  $\mathcal{G} := G_{k_s}$  as above. It is easily checked that  $\gamma * a$  is independent of the choice of  $(\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B})$ , so this definition is intrinsic to  $G$  (and  $k_s/k$ ). Explicitly, if  $\Delta$  is the basis of  $\Phi(\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T})$  then  $\gamma * a = w_\gamma(\gamma(a))$  where  $w_\gamma \in W(\Phi(G_{k_s}, \mathcal{T}))$  is the unique element carrying  $\gamma(\Delta)$  to  $\Delta$ .

The  $*$ -action of  $\mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k)$  on  $\mathrm{Dyn}(G) := \mathrm{Dyn}(G_{k_s})$  is continuous since the open subgroup  $\mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k')$  acts trivially for  $k'/k$  such that  $G_{k'}$  is pseudo-split. The explicit description using  $w_\gamma$ 's shows that the evident equality of diagrams  $\mathrm{Dyn}(\mathcal{D}(G)) = \mathrm{Dyn}(G)$  is  $\mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k)$ -equivariant.

EXAMPLE 9.2.3. If  $G$  is quasi-split, with  $B \subset G$  a  $k_s$ -minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup and  $T \subset B$  a maximal  $k$ -torus, then there is a natural  $\mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k)$ -action on  $X(T_{k_s})$  that preserves the basis  $\Delta$  of  $\Phi(B_{k_s}, T_{k_s})$ , so  $w_\gamma = 1$  for all  $\gamma \in \mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k)$ . Hence, this natural action on  $\Delta \subset X(T_{k_s})$  coincides with the  $*$ -action.

Now assume  $G$  is *pseudo-semisimple*. There is an evident action of  $\mathrm{Aut}(G_{k_s}) = \mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}^{\mathrm{sm}}(k_s)$  on the diagram  $\mathrm{Dyn}(G)$ , and by Lemma 9.2.2 points in  $(\mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}^{\mathrm{sm}})^0(k_s)$  act trivially, so this defines an action of the finite geometric component group  $\pi_0(\mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}^{\mathrm{sm}})(k_s)$  on  $\mathrm{Dyn}(G)$ . Let  $T \subset G_{k_s}$  be a maximal  $k_s$ -torus. Since  $X(T)_{\mathbf{Q}}$  is spanned by  $\Phi(G_{k_s}, T)$ , and any automorphism of  $G_{k_s}$  that is the identity on  $T$  must be the identity on  $C := Z_{G_{k_s}}(T)$  (i.e., it comes from  $Z_{G,C} \subset (\mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}^{\mathrm{sm}})^0$ ), we see that the natural homomorphism

$$\pi_0(\mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}^{\mathrm{sm}}) \longrightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Dyn}(G))$$

is injective. In particular, triviality on  $\mathrm{Dyn}(G)$  characterizes the automorphisms of  $G_{k_s}$  that arise from the identity component of  $\mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}^{\mathrm{sm}}$ .

To give an application to the study of  $k_s/k$ -twists of  $G$ , for each  $\gamma \in \mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k)$  let  $c_\gamma : {}^\gamma(G_{k_s}) \simeq G_{k_s}$  be the canonical  $k_s$ -isomorphism arising from the  $k$ -descent  $G$ . A  $k_s/k$ -twist of  $G$  is built from  $k_s$ -isomorphisms  ${}^\gamma(G_{k_s}) \simeq G_{k_s}$  of the form  $f_\gamma \circ c_\gamma$  for  $k_s$ -automorphisms  $f_\gamma \in \mathrm{Aut}_{k_s}(G_{k_s}) = \mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}(k_s)$  satisfying the cocycle condition  $f_{\gamma'\gamma} = f_{\gamma'} \circ \gamma'(f_\gamma)$  and the ‘‘continuity’’ condition  $f_\gamma = 1$  for all  $\gamma$  in some open subgroup of  $\mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k)$ . Our characterization of  $(\mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}^{\mathrm{sm}})^0(k_s)$  inside  $\mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}(k_s)$  via triviality of the action on  $\mathrm{Dyn}(G)$  implies that we can analyze whether or not a given  $k_s/k$ -twist of  $G$  arises from a continuous 1-cocycle  $\gamma \mapsto f_\gamma$  valued in  $(\mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}^{\mathrm{sm}})^0(k_s)$  by keeping track of the  $*$ -action on the canonical diagram  $\mathrm{Dyn}(G)$  throughout the twisting process. This has the following useful immediate consequence:

PROPOSITION 9.2.4. *The set  $H^1(k, (\mathrm{Aut}_{G/k}^{\mathrm{sm}})^0)$  classifies isomorphism classes of pairs  $(H, \varphi)$  consisting of a  $k_s/k$ -form  $H$  of  $G$  and a  $*$ -compatible isomorphism of diagrams  $\varphi : \mathrm{Dyn}(H) \simeq \mathrm{Dyn}(G)$  induced by a  $k_s$ -isomorphism  $H_{k_s} \simeq G_{k_s}$ . (The trivial class corresponds to such pairs for which  $\varphi$  arises from a  $k$ -isomorphism.)*

Consider a maximal split  $k$ -torus  $S \subset G$  and a minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroup  $P \subset G$  containing  $S$ . The set of such pairs  $(S, P)$  is acted upon transitively by  $G(k)$ -conjugation (Theorems 4.2.9 and 5.1.3), and by minimality  $P = M \rtimes U$  for  $M := Z_G(S)$  and the  $k$ -split  $U := \mathcal{R}_{u,k}(P)$  (Proposition 5.1.2). Fix one such  $(S, P, M)$ . It will be convenient to keep track of the  $k$ -anisotropic  $\mathcal{D}(M)$  only

up to a central quotient. Since the central quotient  $M/Z_M$  is pseudo-reductive with trivial center (Proposition 6.1.1) and inherits  $k$ -anisotropy from  $M$  (as for a central quotient of any  $k$ -anisotropic smooth connected affine  $k$ -group), the  $k$ -anisotropic pseudo-semisimple derived group  $\mathcal{D}(M/Z_M)$  also has trivial center (by [CGP, Lemma 1.2.5(ii), Prop. 1.2.6]) and thus coincides with  $\mathcal{D}(M)/Z_{\mathcal{D}(M)}$ .

REMARK 9.2.5. The formation of  $M$  commutes with Weil restriction (so likewise for the formation of  $\mathcal{D}(M)$  and  $\mathcal{D}(M/Z_M)$ ). To state this precisely, suppose for simplicity that  $G = R_{k'/k}(G')$  for a finite extension field  $k'/k$  and pseudo-semisimple  $k'$ -group  $G'$ . There is a unique maximal split  $k'$ -torus  $S' \subset G'$  such that  $S$  is the maximal split  $k$ -torus in  $R_{k'/k}(S')$ , and  $R_{k'/k}(Z_{G'}(S')) = Z_G(R_{k'/k}(S'))$  (see [CGP, Prop. A.5.15]), so we define  $M' := Z_{G'}(S')$ . The precise claim is that the obvious inclusion  $R_{k'/k}(M') \subset M$  is an equality.

The explicit description of the natural map  $q : G_{k'} \rightarrow G'$  on points valued in  $k'$ -algebras (see [CGP, Prop. A.5.7]) shows that  $q$  carries  $S_{k'}$  isomorphically onto  $S'$ . Thus,  $q(M_{k'}) \subset M'$ . Since the composition of  $R_{k'/k}(q)$  and  $G \hookrightarrow R_{k'/k}(G_{k'})$  is the canonical equality  $G = R_{k'/k}(G')$ , we obtain  $M \subset R_{k'/k}(M')$  as required.

For a maximal  $k_s$ -torus  $\mathcal{T} \subset M_{k_s}$ , the minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k_s$ -subgroups  $\mathcal{B} \subset G_{k_s}$  containing  $\mathcal{T}$  and contained in  $P_{k_s}$  are permuted transitively by the subgroup  $N_{M_{k_s}}(\mathcal{T})(k_s) \subset M(k_s)$  since (i) pseudo-parabolic  $k_s$ -subgroups of  $P_{k_s}$  are pseudo-parabolic in  $G_{k_s}$  (Corollary 4.3.5), and (ii) the set of such  $k_s$ -subgroups of  $P_{k_s}$  is in bijective correspondence with the set of pseudo-parabolic  $k_s$ -subgroups of  $(P/U)_{k_s} = M_{k_s}$  [CGP, Prop. 2.2.10]. Thus, the natural subdiagram inclusion  $\text{Dyn}(M_{k_s}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B}/U_{k_s}) \hookrightarrow \text{Dyn}(G_{k_s}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B})$  defines a subdiagram inclusion

$$\iota : \text{Dyn}(\mathcal{D}(M/Z_M)) = \text{Dyn}(\mathcal{D}(M)) = \text{Dyn}(M) \hookrightarrow \text{Dyn}(G)$$

that is *independent of all choices* and so is  $*$ -compatible.

REMARK 9.2.6. Let  $T \subset M$  be a maximal  $k$ -torus, and let  $\Delta$  be the basis of  $\Phi(G_{k_s}, T_{k_s})$  corresponding to a minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k_s$ -subgroup of  $P_{k_s}$  containing  $T_{k_s}$ . Denote by  $\Delta_0$  the set of roots in  $\Delta$  with trivial restriction to  $S_{k_s}$ . The theory of relative root systems developed in [CGP, C.2.13ff.] ensures that  $P$  corresponds to a basis  ${}_k\Delta$  of the relative root system  $\Phi(G, S)$  and that restriction to  $S_{k_s}$  defines a surjection  $\Delta - \Delta_0 \rightarrow {}_k\Delta$  whose fibers are the orbits for the  $*$ -action on  $\Delta - \Delta_0$ . Under the labeling of  $G(k_s)$ -conjugacy classes of pseudo-parabolic  $k_s$ -subgroups of  $G_{k_s}$  by subsets of  $\Delta = \text{Dyn}(G)$ ,  $P_{k_s}$  corresponds to  $\Delta_0$ ; i.e.,  $\Delta_0$  is a basis  $\Phi(M_{k_s}, T_{k_s})$ . The inclusion  $\iota$  thereby specifies the set  $\Delta_0$  of “non-distinguished” roots inside  $\Delta$  as in Tits’ notion of *index* defined in [Ti1, 2.3] for semisimple  $G$ .

Consider 4-tuples  $(\mathcal{G}, \tau, \mathcal{M}, j)$  consisting of a pseudo-semisimple  $k_s$ -group  $\mathcal{G}$ , a continuous action  $\tau$  of  $\text{Gal}(k_s/k)$  on the canonical diagram  $\text{Dyn}(\mathcal{G})$ , a  $k$ -anisotropic pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -group  $\mathcal{M}$  with trivial center, and a  $\text{Gal}(k_s/k)$ -equivariant subdiagram inclusion  $j : \text{Dyn}(\mathcal{M}) \hookrightarrow \text{Dyn}(\mathcal{G})$ . For example, any pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -group  $G$  gives rise to such a 4-tuple  $(G_{k_s}, *, \mathcal{D}(M/Z_M), \iota)$  as above.

DEFINITION 9.2.7. An *isomorphism*  $(\mathcal{G}, \tau, \mathcal{M}, j) \simeq (\mathcal{G}', \tau', \mathcal{M}', j')$  consists of a  $k_s$ -isomorphism  $f : \mathcal{G} \simeq \mathcal{G}'$  such that  $\text{Dyn}(f)$  intertwines  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  and a  $k$ -isomorphism  $f_0 : \mathcal{M} \simeq \mathcal{M}'$  such that  $j' \circ \text{Dyn}(f_0) = \text{Dyn}(f) \circ j$ .

The Tits-style classification is:

**THEOREM 9.2.8.** *The isomorphism class of a pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -group  $G$  is determined by the isomorphism class of the associated 4-tuple  $(G_{k_s}, *, \mathcal{D}(M), \iota)$ .*

The main task in the proof of Theorem 9.2.8 is to show that if  $G'$  is a second pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -group and we define  $M' := Z_{G'}(S')$  for a maximal split  $k$ -torus  $S' \subset G'$  then the existence of an isomorphism of 4-tuples

$$(f, f_0) : (G'_{k_s}, *', \mathcal{D}(M'/Z_{M'}), \iota') \simeq (G_{k_s}, *, \mathcal{D}(M/Z_M), \iota)$$

implies triviality of the class of  $G'$  in  $H^1(k, \text{Aut}_{G/k}^{\text{sm}})$ . Inspired by Tits' simplification (and correction) of his proof in the semisimple case, we will repeatedly perform “reduction of the structure group” until we reach a structure group with trivial degree-1 Galois cohomology; the analysis of the final structure group involves some new problems that one does not encounter in the semisimple case. We sketch some key ideas, and refer the reader to [CP, 6.3.11–6.3.16] for complete details.

The requirement in Definition 9.2.7 that  $\text{Dyn}(f)$  is compatible with  $*$ -actions implies that the 1-cocycle  $c : \gamma \mapsto f \circ (\gamma f)^{-1}$  is valued in the group of  $k_s$ -automorphisms of  $G_{k_s}$  whose effect on  $\text{Dyn}(G_{k_s})$  is *trivial*, so  $c$  expresses  $G'$  as a pseudo-inner  $k_s/k$ -form of  $G$ . That is, we have achieved reduction of the structure group to

$$(\text{Aut}_{G/k}^{\text{sm}})^0 = (G \rtimes Z_{G,C})/C$$

with  $C := Z_G(T)$  for a maximal  $k$ -torus  $T \subset M$ .

Let  $P \subset G$  and  $P' \subset G'$  be minimal pseudo-parabolic  $k$ -subgroups. By Remark 9.2.6 and the compatibility of  $(f, f_0)$  with  $\iota$  and  $\iota'$ , the  $G(k_s)$ -conjugacy class of  $P_{k_s}$  corresponds to the  $G'(k_s)$ -conjugacy class of  $P'_{k_s}$ . In other words, by composing  $f$  with a  $G(k_s)$ -conjugation (i.e., changing  $c$  by a coboundary valued in the image of  $G(k_s)$  in  $(\text{Aut}_{G/k}^{\text{sm}})^0(k_s)$ ) it can be assumed that  $f(P_{k_s}) = P'_{k_s}$ . This achieves a further reduction of the structure group to the stabilizer of  $P$  in  $(G \rtimes Z_{G,C})/C$ . Since  $N_G(P) = P$  by Proposition 4.3.6, this stabilizer coincides with  $(P \rtimes Z_{G,C})/C$ .

Since  $P = M \rtimes U$  for a  $k$ -split smooth connected unipotent  $k$ -group  $U$ , the structure group can be reduced further still, to

$$(M \rtimes Z_{G,C})/C = (\mathcal{D}(M) \rtimes Z_{G,C})/C'$$

for the Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C' := Z_{\mathcal{D}(M)}(T')$  in  $\mathcal{D}(M)$  where  $T' := T \cap \mathcal{D}(M)$  (and  $Z_{G,C}$  preserves  $M = Z_G(S)$  inside  $G$  since it acts trivially on  $S \subset C$ ).

For the natural map

$$q : (\mathcal{D}(M) \rtimes Z_{G,C})/C' \longrightarrow (\text{Aut}_{\mathcal{D}(M)/k}^{\text{sm}})^0 = (\mathcal{D}(M) \rtimes Z_{\mathcal{D}(M),C'})/C',$$

via Proposition 9.2.4 applied to  $\mathcal{D}(M)$  we see that  $H^1(q)$  carries the class of  $c$  to the class of the pair  $(\mathcal{D}(M'), \text{Dyn}((f_0)_{k_s}))$ . This latter class is trivial since  $f_0$  is a  $k$ -isomorphism, so we achieve a final reduction of the structure group to  $\ker q$  *provided* that  $q$  is a smooth surjection.

In an evident manner,  $q$  arises from the natural restriction map

$$\rho : Z_{G,C} \longrightarrow Z_{\mathcal{D}(M),C'}.$$

This identifies  $\ker q$  with  $\ker \rho$ , so we are reduced to proving:

**PROPOSITION 9.2.9.** *The map  $\rho$  is surjective and  $\ker \rho \simeq \mathbf{R}_{F/k}(\text{GL}_1)$  for a finite reduced  $k$ -algebra  $F$ .*



For a finite Galois splitting field  $E/k$  of  $T$ , Lemma 9.1.12 identifies  $\rho_E$  with the projection from a  $\Delta$ -indexed product onto the  $\Delta_0$ -indexed subproduct. Thus,  $\rho$  is surjective with smooth connected kernel. In particular,  $\ker \rho$  is a commutative pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group.

The determination of  $\ker \rho$  as a  $k$ -group (rather than just an  $E$ -group) is a delicate problem in Galois descent because the factor fields of the  $k$ -algebra  $F$  will not generally be separable over a purely inseparable extension of  $k$  (an issue that does not arise in the semisimple case). Proposition 6.1.1 and Lemma 9.1.9(i) allow us to assume  $Z_G = 1$ , so by Corollary 3.2.5 (and Remarks 9.1.14 and 9.2.5) we may assume  $G$  is absolutely pseudo-simple. The advantage of the absolutely pseudo-simple case is that in such cases  $F$  will turn out to be a product  $\prod_{\alpha \in {}_k\Delta} F_\alpha$  where each  $F_\alpha$  is a field that is a compositum of separable and purely inseparable extensions of  $k$ .

The crucial input over  $E$  is that for each  $a \in \Delta$  we have

$$Z_{(G_E)_a, (C_E)_a} \simeq R_{F'_a/E}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$$

for a purely inseparable finite extension  $F'_a/E$  (by Proposition 8.3.12 when  $(G_E)_a$  is of type  $\mathrm{BC}_1$ , and by (9.1.13) when  $(G_E)_a$  is of type  $A_1$ ). The extension  $F'_a/E$  depends only on the length of  $a$  since  $N_G(T)(E)$  acts transitively on the set of roots with a given length (as  $G$  is now absolutely pseudo-simple). In particular, the purely inseparable extensions  $F'_a/E$  coincide for all  $a$  in an orbit for the  $*$ -action on  $\Delta$ , so by Galois descent  $F'_a = F_a \otimes_k E$  for a canonically determined purely inseparable finite extension  $F_a/k$ .

By using the link between the  $*$ -action and relative root systems, one finds (after some work) that if  $\{a_i\}$  is a set of representatives for the  $*$ -action on  $\Delta - \Delta_0$  then the  $E/k$ -descent  $\ker \rho$  of  $\ker \rho_E = \prod_{a \in \Delta - \Delta_0} R_{F'_a/E}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  is  $\prod_i R_{L_{a_i} F_{a_i}/k}(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  where  $L_a \subset k_s$  corresponds to the stabilizer of  $a$  in  $\mathrm{Gal}(k_s/k)$  under the  $*$ -action. Thus, we may take  $F$  to be  $\prod_i F_i$  for  $F_i := L_{a_i} F_{a_i}$  (so the index set is identified with  ${}_k\Delta$ ). This completes our sketch of the proof of Theorem 9.2.8.

The following application of Theorem 9.2.8 and its proof circumvents problems that arose in Remark 9.1.4.

**COROLLARY 9.2.10.** *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-reductive group over a field  $k$ . Up to  $k$ -isomorphism,  $G$  admits at most one pseudo-split  $k_s/k$ -form and at most one quasi-split pseudo-inner form.*

In the pseudo-semisimple case, the pseudo-split assertion is an immediate consequence of how the pseudo-split property is expressed in the Tits-style classification in Theorem 9.2.8. Indeed, suppose  $G$  and  $G'$  are pseudo-split pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -groups that are  $k_s/k$ -forms of each other. The associated 4-tuples are  $(G_{k_s}, \tau, 1, \iota)$  and  $(G'_{k_s}, \tau', 1, \iota')$ , where the  $*$ -actions  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  on the respective canonical diagrams of  $G_{k_s}$  and  $G'_{k_s}$  are trivial and the diagram inclusions  $\iota$  and  $\iota'$  are vacuous since the canonical diagram of the trivial group is empty. Hence, these 4-tuples are isomorphic, so  $G \simeq G'$ . The general pseudo-reductive case can be deduced from the settled pseudo-semisimple case by close study of Cartan  $k$ -subgroups containing split maximal  $k$ -tori; see [CP, Prop. C.1.1].

The proof of the quasi-split assertion in Corollary 9.2.10 rests on the work with Galois descent in the proof of the Tits-style classification for the pseudo-semisimple  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  (e.g., Proposition 9.2.9); for further details see [CP, Prop. C.2.8].

In view of Corollary 9.2.10, it is natural to ask for a characterization (e.g., in terms of field-theoretic or linear-algebraic data) for when a given absolutely pseudo-simple  $k_s$ -group admits a descent to a *pseudo-split* (absolutely pseudo-simple)  $k$ -group. This is addressed in [CP, Rem. C.2.13], and a satisfactory characterization is given there away from characteristic 2 (largely due to Theorem 7.4.8 and Proposition 7.5.10); this is not to be confused with the task of building a pseudo-split  $k_s/k$ -form (which can fail to exist in the absolutely pseudo-simple case in every positive characteristic) since no initial  $k$ -group has been given.

REMARK 9.2.11. The techniques in the proof of Theorem 9.2.8 can be used to analyze the relative rank of absolutely pseudo-simple groups of type  $F_4$  over imperfect fields  $k$  of characteristic 2. In the connected semisimple case the only possible relative ranks are 0, 1, or 4 [Spr, 17.5.2(i)]. In the pseudo-semisimple case relative rank 3 remains impossible, but relative rank 2 can occur (and the possibilities with  $k$ -rank 2 are classified by conformal isometry classes of certain anisotropic quadratic forms over  $k$ ); this is addressed in [CP, App. D].

## 10. Structural classification

**10.1. Exceptional constructions.** So far we have encountered three classes of non-standard absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups (of minimal type) with a reduced root system over  $k_s$ :

- (i) the  $k$ -groups  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  in §7.3 for regular quadratic spaces  $(V, q)$  satisfying  $1 < \dim V^\perp < \dim V$  over imperfect fields  $k$  of characteristic 2,
- (ii) basic exotic  $k$ -groups in §7.5 for type  $G_2$  in characteristic 3 and types  $B_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ),  $C_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ), and  $F_4$  in characteristic 2,
- (iii) the pseudo-split  $k$ -groups  $G$  in Theorem 7.5.14 over imperfect fields of characteristic 2; these have root system  $B_n$  or  $C_n$  with  $n \geq 2$  and depend on some auxiliary field-theoretic and linear-algebraic data, and  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$  is simply connected.

We shall now recall key features of the first two constructions so that we have some context for the additional constructions that remain to be given (recovering (iii) in the pseudo-split case).

The groups arising in (ii) are always non-standard and exist over every imperfect field of characteristic 2 or 3. Moreover, for types  $G_2$  in characteristic 3 and  $F_4$  in characteristic 2 we saw in Corollary 7.5.11 that (up to purely inseparable Weil restriction) they account for *all* deviations from standardness in the absolutely pseudo-simple case with those root systems over  $k_s$ . Hence, for the purpose of an exhaustive description of all non-standard groups, the main work is in characteristic 2 for types B, C, and BC. We studied type BC in the (pseudo-split) minimal type case in §8, so in this section we largely focus on types B and C (with a minimal type hypothesis). In case (i) above, the  $k_s$ -group  $\mathrm{SO}(q)_{k_s}$  has root system  $B_n$  where  $\dim(V/V^\perp) = 2n$ , and  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  is non-standard except precisely when  $n = 1$  and  $q(V^\perp)^{1/2}$  is a line over a nontrivial extension field of  $k$  inside  $k^{1/2}$  (so  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  is non-standard for some  $(V, q)$  over  $k$  with  $n = 1$  if and only if  $[k : k^2] > 2$ ); see Remark 7.3.2. Further work (see [CP, Prop. 7.2.2]) shows that isomorphisms between such  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  and  $\mathrm{SO}(q')$  arise from conformal isometries between  $(V, q)$  and  $(V', q')$ , akin to the well-known case of connected semisimple groups of adjoint type B.

Since  $\mathrm{GO}_{2n+1} = \mathrm{GL}_1 \times \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}$  and  $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1} = \mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}/k}$ , by Hilbert 90 the  $k_s/k$ -forms of  $\mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}$  are the  $k$ -groups  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  for non-degenerate quadratic spaces  $(V, q)$  of dimension  $2n+1$  such that  $q_{k_s}$  is conformal to the standard split quadratic space  $q_{2n+1}$ . Such conformality over  $k_s$  always holds since in the odd-dimensional non-degenerate case in characteristic 2 we can arrange for the quadratic form to be  $x^2$  on the defect line by  $k_s^\times$ -scaling. In contrast, for regular degenerate  $q$  as above,  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  is generally a proper  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathrm{Aut}_{\mathrm{SO}(q)/k}^{\mathrm{sm}}$  (due to “extra” conformal isometries arising from an action of the short root field when it is larger than  $k$ ; see Example 7.4.6). This suggests that for  $(V, q)$  over  $k_s$ , the  $k_s$ -group  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  may have a  $k$ -descent that is not an  $\mathrm{SO}(q')$ .

To motivate where to find such additional  $k$ -descents, recall that the automorphism scheme of a smooth quadric hypersurface in  $\mathbf{P}^{2n}$  is a form of  $\mathrm{GO}_{2n+1}/\mathrm{GL}_1 = \mathrm{SO}_{2n+1}$ . This generalizes to certain non-smooth quadrics:

**PROPOSITION 10.1.1.** *Let  $D$  be a geometrically integral non-smooth quadric in a Severi–Brauer variety  $X$  over  $k$ . Assume  $D$  is regular at its  $k_s$ -points. Then  $\mathrm{Aut}_{D/k}^{\mathrm{sm}}$  is connected and affine, and  $G_D := \mathcal{Z}(\mathrm{Aut}_{D/k}^{\mathrm{sm}})$  is absolutely pseudo-simple of type B with trivial center. The Cartan  $k$ -subgroups of  $G_D$  are tori.*

See [CP, Rem. 7.3.2, Prop. 7.3.3] for a proof of this result. If  $X(k) \neq \emptyset$  then  $D \simeq (q = 0) \subset \mathbf{P}(V^*) = X$  for some  $(V, q)$  as above (the injectivity of  $q|_{V^\perp}$  is equivalent to the regularity hypothesis on  $D$ ), so  $G_D = \mathrm{SO}(q)$  by [CP, Prop. 7.3.3(iii)]. Using general  $X$ , the  $k$ -groups  $G_D$  generalize the  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ -construction.

**REMARK 10.1.2.** For  $G_D$  with  $k_s$ -rank  $n \geq 2$ , the root field is equal to  $k$  and the minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for its geometric unipotent radical satisfies  $K^2 \subset k$ . To see this we may assume  $k = k_s$ , so  $G_D \simeq \mathrm{SO}(q)$  for some  $(V, q)$  as above. The long root groups of  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  are 1-dimensional (see [CP, Prop. 7.1.3]), so the root field is  $k$ , and Theorem 7.4.7(iii) implies that  $K^2$  is contained in the root field.

Remarkably, the  $k$ -groups  $G_D$  are *exactly* the non-reductive absolutely pseudo-simple groups  $G$  whose center is trivial and whose Cartan subgroups are tori. (Note that any such  $G$  is trivially of minimal type, since  $Z_G = 1$ .) The idea of the proof is that since  $D$  depends functorially (with respect to isomorphisms) on  $G_D$  by [CP, Prop. 7.3.3(ii), Rem. 7.3.2], it suffices to check the result after passing to a finite Galois extension on  $k$  to reduce to the case where  $G$  contains a split maximal  $k$ -torus  $T$ . In those cases the pseudo-split  $k$ -subgroups  $G_a = \langle U_a, U_{-a} \rangle$  for  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$  may have nontrivial center (unlike  $G$ ) but inherit the minimal type property from  $G$  (Example 7.1.7) and hence fall into the rank-1 classification scheme in Theorem 7.2.5. The Cartan subgroups of such  $G_a$  must be tori when the same holds for  $G$ , so this severely limits the possibilities for such  $G_a$ . By taking that into account, one finds that the constructions  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  for “pseudo-split”  $(V, q)$  with varying  $q|_{V^\perp}$  are sufficient to exhaust all possibilities for  $G$  in accordance with the Isomorphism Theorem (i.e., Theorem 9.1.7) because of the assumption that the Cartan subgroups (whose structure is generally mysterious) are tori. See [CP, Prop. 7.3.7] for further details.

Within the class of  $k$ -groups of the form  $G_D$ , the ones arising as an  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  have an intrinsic characterization:

**PROPOSITION 10.1.3.** *For  $D$  as above, the following conditions are equivalent:*  
 (i)  $G_D \simeq \mathrm{SO}(q)$  for some  $(V, q)$ ,

- (ii)  $G_D$  contains a Levi  $k$ -subgroup,
- (iii) the Severi–Brauer variety  $X$  is trivial (i.e.,  $X = \mathbf{P}_k^N$  for some  $N$ ).

The equivalence of (i) and (ii) is [CP, Prop. 7.3.5], and the equivalence of these with (iii) is (part of) [CP, Thm. 7.3.6].

REMARK 10.1.4. The construction of Levi  $k$ -subgroups of  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  implies that the non-empty non-smooth locus of  $D$  has codimension in  $D$  equal to  $2n$ , where  $(G_D)_{k_s}$  has root system  $B_n$ . Indeed, we may assume  $k = k_s$ , so  $D = (q = 0)$  with

$$q = x_1x_2 + \cdots + x_{2n-1}x_{2n} + c_0y_0^2 + \cdots + c_dy_d^2$$

in suitable projective coordinates on  $\mathbf{P}_k^N$  for some  $n \geq 1$  and  $d = N - 2n \geq 0$ ; here,  $c_0, \dots, c_d$  are linearly independent over  $k^2$ . The root system for  $\mathrm{SO}(q) = G_D$  is  $B_n$ , and the singularities in  $D_{\bar{k}}$  are the points where  $x_1, \dots, x_{2n}$  vanish and  $\sum \sqrt{c_j}y_j = 0$ . Hence, the singular locus of  $D_{\bar{k}}$  is a linear space in  $\mathbf{P}_{\bar{k}}^N$  of codimension  $2n+1$ , so it has codimension  $2n$  in the hypersurface  $D_{\bar{k}}$ . The formation of the non-smooth locus commutes with extension of the ground field, so this closed locus in  $D$  has codimension  $2n$  as well.

The  $G_D$ -construction goes beyond the  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ -construction even in arithmetically interesting cases: for every  $n \geq 2$  and local function field  $k$  over a finite field of characteristic 2 there exist basic exotic  $k$ -groups  $\mathcal{G}$  of type  $B_n$  that have  $k$ -rank  $< n - 1$ , and then  $\mathcal{G}/Z_{\mathcal{G}}$  is such a  $G_D$  that is *not* of the form  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  (see [CP, Ex. 7.2.4]). The short root field of this  $G_D$  is  $k^{1/2}$ , and  $\mathcal{G}$  admits a pseudo-split  $k_s/k$ -form (as does any basic exotic  $k$ -group!), so its maximal central quotient  $G_D$  does as well. This pseudo-split  $k_s/k$ -form of  $G_D$  admits a Levi  $k$ -subgroup by Theorem 5.4.4 and so must be an  $\mathrm{SO}(q')$  by Proposition 10.1.3. Hence, this  $G_D$  is not obtained by the  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$ -construction but is related to it through  $k_s/k$ -twisting.

Over general imperfect fields  $k$  of characteristic 2, the class of  $k$ -groups  $G_D$  goes beyond even Galois-twists of the  $k$ -groups  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  (though that can only occur if  $[k : k^2] \geq 8$ , as we shall soon see). To understand this, first note that every  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  admits a pseudo-split  $k_s/k$ -form  $H$  [CP, Prop. 7.1.2]. Any pseudo-split pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group admits a Levi  $k$ -subgroup (Theorem 5.4.4), so  $H = \mathrm{SO}(q')$  for some  $(V', q')$  by Proposition 10.1.3. Thus, it is equivalent to determine if  $G_D$  admits a pseudo-split  $k_s/k$ -form. A subtle degree-2 cohomological obstruction implies that such a pseudo-split form exists if  $[k : k^2] \leq 4$  [CP, Cor. C.2.12]. This is optimal because if  $[k : k^2] \geq 8$  and  $k$  has sufficiently rich Galois theory (more specifically, if  $k$  admits a quadratic Galois extension  $k'/k$  such that  $\ker(\mathrm{Br}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(k')) \neq 1$ ) then for every  $n \geq 1$  there exist  $k$ -groups  $G_D$  with  $k_s$ -rank  $n$  which do not admit a pseudo-split  $k_s/k$ -form (e.g., the maximal central quotients of the type-B groups constructed in [CP, C.3.1, C.4.1] are such  $k$ -groups).

We shall now build upon the Severi–Brauer construction  $G_D$  via fiber products and universal smooth  $k$ -tame central extensions to make “exceptional” constructions that account for all non-standard absolutely pseudo-simple  $G$  of *minimal type* with root system  $B_n$  or  $C_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) over  $k_s$ , with  $k$  any imperfect field of characteristic 2. By considering universal smooth  $k$ -tame central extensions, it suffices to make such  $k$ -groups  $G$  with  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$  simply connected.

REMARK 10.1.5. In what follows, the “minimal type” hypothesis is an additional constraint precisely when  $[k : k^2] \geq 16$ . To explain this, let  $\Phi$  be a root

system of type B or C (with rank  $n \geq 1$ ), and consider absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $G$  such that  $G_{k_s}$  has root system  $\Phi$  and  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{ss}}$  is simply connected. If  $[k : k^2] \leq 8$  then Gabber proved that  $G$  is *automatically* of minimal type [CP, Prop. B.3.1, Prop. 4.3.3(ii)]. (The core of his proof is a study of the possibilities for  $V_{K/k}^*$  when  $K^2 \subset k$  and  $[K : k] \leq 8$  in order to use a splitting criterion for central extensions in [CGP, Prop. 5.1.3] to show that the minimal type central quotient map  $G \rightarrow G/\mathcal{C}_G$  is an isomorphism. This leads to the verification of a property introduced in Definition 10.2.9 that will be proved equivalent to “minimal type” in Proposition 10.2.10 when  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{ss}}$  is simply connected.) In contrast, if  $[k : k^2] \geq 16$  then there exist such  $G$  over  $k$  that are not of minimal type; see [CP, B.1, B.2] for the construction.

Consider  $D$  as above, so  $G_D$  has root system  $B_n$  over  $k_s$  for some  $n \geq 1$ . If  $n \geq 2$  then the root field of  $G_D$  is  $k$  by Remark 10.1.2. But if  $n = 1$  then  $G_D$  of type  $A_1$  can have a root field larger than  $k$ . This explains the additional condition on the root field imposed in the rank-1 case of the following definition (ensuring that in such cases there is no intervention of a nontrivial Weil restriction).

DEFINITION 10.1.6. For  $n \geq 1$ , a *type- $B_n$  generalized basic exotic  $k$ -group* is the universal smooth  $k$ -tame central extension  $G$  of a  $k$ -group of the form  $G_D$  for a non-smooth geometrically integral quadric  $D$  in a Severi–Brauer variety over  $k$ , provided that  $G_D$  has root field  $k$  if the non-smooth locus in  $D$  has codimension 2.

In this definition,  $G/Z_G$  recovers  $G_D$  since the  $k$ -groups  $G_D$  and  $G/Z_G$  have trivial center (see Proposition 6.1.1), and the codimension-2 case at the end corresponds to  $G_D$  with  $k_s$ -rank 1 due to Remark 10.1.4. The  $k$ -groups  $G$  in Definition 10.1.6 are absolutely pseudo-simple and of minimal type (see [CP, Lemma 5.3.2] for the latter), and for any separable extension  $k'/k$  of fields a  $k$ -group  $G$  is generalized basic exotic of type B if and only if  $G_{k'}$  is (as the same holds for groups arising from the  $G_D$ -construction, due to the characterization of that construction in terms of non-reductive absolutely pseudo-simple groups whose Cartan subgroups are tori).

For any absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $H$  with a reduced root system over  $k_s$ , the root field and minimal field of definition over  $k$  for the geometric unipotent radical are unaffected by passage to a pseudo-reductive central quotient ([CP, Rem. 3.3.3] and Proposition 6.2.2). Hence,  $G$  has root field  $k$  and the minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for its geometric unipotent radical satisfies  $K^2 \subset k$ .

For  $n \geq 2$ , the basic exotic  $k$ -groups of type  $B_n$  are precisely the  $k$ -groups  $G$  in Definition 10.1.6 for which the short root field  $F_<$  coincides with minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for the geometric unipotent radical (as may be checked over  $k_s$  via Proposition 7.2.3(ii) and Theorem 7.5.14 over  $k_s$  with  $V = K_s$  and  $V_>$  a  $k_s$ -line). The link to the basic exotic construction goes further: for any  $G$  as in Definition 10.1.6 with  $n \geq 2$  and minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for its geometric unipotent radical, we shall soon give a *canonical* procedure to “fatten” its short root groups over  $k_s$  to become  $R_{K_s/k_s}(\mathbf{G}_a)$  and thereby obtain a basic exotic  $k$ -group containing the generalized basic exotic  $k$ -group  $G$ .

Put another way, for  $n \geq 2$  a type- $B_n$  generalized basic exotic  $k$ -group with minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for its geometric unipotent radical (so  $K^2 \subset k$  by Remark 10.1.2) is obtained from a basic exotic one with the same  $K/k$  by “replacing” each short root group  $R_{K_s/k_s}(\mathbf{G}_a)$  with the  $k_s$ -subgroup corresponding to a nonzero  $k_s$ -subspace  $V \subset K_s$  satisfying  $k_s\langle V \rangle = K_s$ . (No such  $V \neq K_s$  exists

when  $[K : k] = 2$ , as occurs whenever  $[k : k^2] = 2$ , so the need for Definition 10.1.6 occurs if and only if  $[k : k^2] > 2$ .) The type- $B_n$  generalized basic exotic groups for  $n \geq 2$  were initially found via this latter perspective in the pseudo-split case by inspecting the possibilities in Theorem 7.5.14. The desire to remove the pseudo-split condition eventually led to the discovery of the  $G_D$ -construction that underlies a more satisfactory definition (for all  $n \geq 1$ ).

Here is a *canonical* link between the generalized basic exotic case and the basic exotic case, via a fiber-product construction in the spirit of Theorem 7.5.7.

**PROPOSITION 10.1.7.** *Let  $G$  be a type- $B_n$  generalized basic exotic  $k$ -group with  $n \geq 2$ , and let  $K/k$  be the minimal field of definition for its geometric unipotent radical. Let  $G'$  be the connected absolutely simple  $K$ -group  $G_K/\mathcal{R}_{u,K}(G)$  of type  $B_n$ .*

*The  $K$ -group  $G'$  is simply connected, and if  $\pi : G' \rightarrow \overline{G}'$  is the very special  $K$ -isogeny for  $G'$  then for  $f := R_{K/k}(\pi)$  the image  $\overline{G} := f(G) \subset R_{K/k}(\overline{G}')$  is a Levi  $k$ -subgroup of  $R_{K/k}(\overline{G}')$ . In particular, the  $k$ -group  $f^{-1}(\overline{G})$  containing  $G$  is a basic exotic  $k$ -group with associated invariants  $(K/k, G')$ .*

The proof of this result is immediately reduced to the case  $k = k_s$ , so (by Theorem 5.4.4)  $G$  contains a Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $L$  that in turn contains a split maximal  $k$ -torus of  $G$ . Since  $L_K \rightarrow G'$  is an isomorphism (by the definition of  $L$  being a Levi  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$ ), everything can then be verified by computations with open cells; see [CP, Prop. 8.1.3] for the details.

There is a notion of “very special quotient”  $G \rightarrow \overline{G}$  for any  $G$  as above, with  $\overline{G}$  semisimple and simply connected of type  $C_n$  (see [CP, Def. 8.1.5]). This is an important ingredient in a “basic exceptional” construction for type  $B_2$  given in [CP, §8.3] that goes beyond the generalized basic exotic construction when  $n = 2$  and  $[k : k^2] \geq 16$ , but it will not be described here.

**REMARK 10.1.8.** The need for the additional (minimal type) *basic exceptional* construction when  $n = 2$  is due to reasons similar to what we saw for  $BC_2$  in Proposition 8.3.4 and the discussion immediately preceding it (namely, a field-theoretic invariant for  $n > 2$  can be replaced with an appropriate vector subspace of the same field-theoretic invariant if  $n = 2$  and  $[k : k^2] \geq 8$ ). Such additional  $k$ -groups exist if and only if  $[k : k^2] \geq 16$  (see [CP, Rem. 8.3.1]), and are studied in detail in [CP, §8.3].

For  $n \geq 2$ , a type- $C_n$  analogue of Definition 10.1.6 uses a fiber-product construction similar to the type- $C_n$  basic exotic case resting on Theorem 7.5.7. (If  $n = 2$  then  $C_2 = B_2$  but this variant of Definition 10.1.6 is new: relative to the pseudo-split basic exotic construction for a given  $(K/k, n)$ , it fattens the long root groups rather than shrinking the short root groups.)

This type- $C$  analogue rests on data  $(K/k, K'/k, G', \overline{G}, j)$  defined as follows. Fix a nontrivial purely inseparable finite extension  $K/k$  satisfying  $K^2 \subset k$ , and fix  $n \geq 2$ . Let  $G'$  be a connected semisimple  $K$ -group that is absolutely simple and simply connected of type  $C_n$ , with  $\pi : G' \rightarrow \overline{G}'$  its very special isogeny.

Let  $\overline{G}$  be a  $k$ -group that is either absolutely simple and simply connected of type  $B_n$  or is a type- $B_n$  generalized basic exotic  $k$ -group whose geometric unipotent radical has minimal field of definition  $K'/k$  contained in  $K/k$ ; define  $K' = k$  when

$\overline{G}$  is semisimple. Assume there is given a  $k$ -subgroup inclusion

$$j : \overline{G} \hookrightarrow \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\overline{G}')$$

such that the associated  $K$ -homomorphism  $\overline{G}_K \rightarrow \overline{G}'$  identifies  $\overline{G}'$  with the quotient  $\overline{G}_K^{\mathrm{ss}}$  (recovering  $j$  via  $i_{\overline{G}}$ ). Finally, we impose the most subtle hypothesis: assume  $j(\overline{G})$  is contained in the image of  $f := \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\pi)$ .

Note that if  $\overline{G}$  is *absolutely simple* then such an inclusion  $j$  amounts to identifying  $\overline{G}$  with a Levi  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\overline{G}')$  via  $j$  (see [CGP, Lemma 7.2.1]), so in such cases we have specified exactly the setup for the type- $C_n$  basic exotic construction.

PROPOSITION 10.1.9. *The fiber product*

$$G = \overline{G} \times_{\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\overline{G}')} \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(G')$$

*is absolutely pseudo-simple of minimal type,  $K/k$  is the minimal field of definition for its geometric unipotent radical, and the natural map  $G_K \rightarrow G'$  identifies  $G'$  with  $G_K^{\mathrm{ss}}$ . In particular,  $G_K^{\mathrm{ss}}$  is simply connected and  $G_{k_s}$  has root system  $C_n$ .*

The main idea in the proof of Proposition 10.1.9 is to introduce an auxiliary basic exotic  $k$ -subgroup and use its properties to analyze  $G$ . More specifically, we may assume  $k = k_s$ , so  $\overline{G}$  contains a Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $\overline{L}$ ; this is also a Levi  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\overline{G}')$ . Since  $\overline{L} \subset \mathrm{im}(f)$ , it follows that  $f^{-1}(\overline{L})$  is a basic exotic  $k$ -group, so  $f^{-1}(\overline{L})$  is *smooth* and even absolutely pseudo-simple of type  $C_n$ . A choice of Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $L$  of  $f^{-1}(\overline{L})$  then enables one to carry out calculations with root groups and open cells to establish the desired properties of  $G$  (e.g., smoothness); see [CP, Prop. 8.2.2] for the details.

REMARK 10.1.10. For any separable extension of fields  $k'/k$ , an absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $G$  arises from the construction in Proposition 10.1.9 if and only if  $G_{k'}$  does over  $k'$ . The implication “ $\Rightarrow$ ” is obvious, and for the converse direction we shall use the procedures in Proposition 10.1.9 that reconstruct from the fiber product some of the data that enters into the construction.

Suppose  $G_{k'}$  is a fiber product in the desired manner. Let  $K/k$  be the minimal field of definition over  $k$  for the geometric unipotent radical of  $G$ , and define  $G' = G_K^{\mathrm{ss}}$ . Note that the formation of  $K/k$  and  $G'$  are compatible with scalar extension along  $k \rightarrow k'$ , and so is the very special isogeny  $\pi : G' \rightarrow \overline{G}'$ . The following properties hold because they are all satisfied after scalar extension to  $k'$ :  $K^2 \subset k$ ,  $G'$  is absolutely simple and simply connected of type  $C_n$  with  $n \geq 2$ , the map  $G \rightarrow \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(G')$  has trivial kernel, the image  $\overline{G}$  of  $f := \mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\pi)$  is either absolutely simple and simply connected of type  $B_n$  or is type- $B_n$  generalized basic exotic, the minimal field of definition  $K'/k$  for the geometric unipotent radical of  $\overline{G}$  is a subextension of  $K/k$  (as purely inseparable extensions of  $k$ ), and the  $K$ -homomorphism  $\overline{G}_K \rightarrow \overline{G}'$  corresponding to the inclusion  $j$  of  $\overline{G}$  into  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(\overline{G}')$  is identified with  $\overline{G}_K^{\mathrm{ss}}$  (using that  $K' \subset K$  over  $k$ ).

Consequently, the 5-tuple  $(K/k, K'/k, G', \overline{G}, j)$  satisfies the conditions required in Proposition 10.1.9 (in particular,  $\overline{G} \subset \mathrm{im}(f)$  by design). Hence,  $f^{-1}(\overline{G})$  is as in Proposition 10.1.9, and this coincides with  $G$  inside  $\mathrm{R}_{K/k}(G')$  because this can be checked over  $k'$ .

The description of  $G$  as in Proposition 10.1.9 yields (see [CP, 8.2.4]) that the short root groups of  $G_{k_s}$  have the form  $R_{K_s/k_s}(\mathbf{G}_a)$  and the long root groups are given by  $\underline{V} \subset R_{K_s/k_s}(\mathbf{G}_a)$  for some nonzero  $k_s$ -subspace  $V \subset K_s$  such that  $k_s\langle V \rangle = K'_s$  (so  $\dim V > 1$  when  $K' \neq k$ , whereas long root groups for type- $C_n$  generalized basic exotic groups are 1-dimensional). The root field  $F$  of  $G$  coincides with the long root field (as in Theorem 7.4.7(iii)), so  $F_s$  is the maximal subfield of  $K_s$  over which  $V$  is a subspace.

We have  $G = \mathcal{D}(R_{F/k}(G_F^{\text{prmt}}))$  by Proposition 7.4.5. The  $F$ -group  $G_F^{\text{prmt}}$  can also be constructed as in Proposition 10.1.9. Indeed, by Remark 10.1.10 it suffices to check over  $F_s = F \otimes_k k_s$ , so we may (and do) assume  $k = k_s$ . We can use the  $F$ -vector space structure on  $V$  and Theorem 7.5.14 to make an  $F$ -group  $H'$  that is a fiber product as in Proposition 10.1.9 such that  $G \simeq \mathcal{D}(R_{F/k}(H'))$ . But then the natural map  $G_F \rightarrow H'$  identifies  $H'$  with  $G_F^{\text{prmt}}$  by [CP, (2.3.13)], so  $G_F^{\text{prmt}}$  arises as in Proposition 10.1.9 as claimed. Returning to general  $k$  (not necessarily separably closed), since  $G_F^{\text{prmt}}$  has root field  $F$  we see that the intervention of nontrivial Weil restrictions is avoided in the following definition via a condition on the root field:

**DEFINITION 10.1.11.** For  $n \geq 2$ , a *type- $C_n$  generalized basic exotic  $k$ -group* is a  $k$ -group  $G$  arising as in Proposition 10.1.9 for which the root field is  $k$ .

**REMARK 10.1.12.** The centralizer of a split maximal  $k$ -torus in a pseudo-split generalized basic exotic  $k$ -group of type  $B_n$  or  $C_n$  ( $n \geq 2$ ) can be described as a direct product similar to (7.5.1) by using Lemma 9.1.10; see [CP, Prop. 8.2.5].

By design, the condition of equality between the root field and the ground field holds for generalized basic exotic groups of types B and C, as well as for basic exotic groups of types  $F_4$  or  $G_2$  (and for the rank-2 basic exceptional groups addressed in Remark 10.1.8). Thus, we get a strictly larger class of groups by incorporating Weil restrictions:

**DEFINITION 10.1.13.** A *generalized exotic group*  $G$  over an imperfect field  $k$  of characteristic  $p \in \{2, 3\}$  is a  $k$ -group isomorphic to  $\mathcal{D}(R_{k'/k}(G'))$  for a nonzero finite reduced  $k$ -algebra  $k'$  and a  $k'$ -group  $G'$  whose fiber  $G'_i$  over each factor field  $k'_i$  of  $k'$  is any of the following: basic exotic of type  $G_2$ , basic exotic of type  $F_4$ , type-B or type-C generalized basic exotic, or basic exceptional of type  $B_2 = C_2$ .

Any such  $k$ -group  $G$  is pseudo-semisimple, and if  $k'$  is a field purely inseparable over  $k$  then the root system of  $G_{k_s}$  coincides with the reduced and irreducible root system of  $G'_{k'_s}$ . In particular, for general  $k'/k$  as in Definition 10.1.13, the group  $G_{k_s}$  is a direct product of non-standard pseudo-semisimple  $k_s$ -groups with a reduced root system and  $G$  is absolutely pseudo-simple precisely when  $k'$  is a field purely inseparable over  $k$ . Moreover,  $G$  is of *minimal type* (see the discussion following Definition 7.1.2) and  $G_k^{\text{ss}}$  is simply connected (by [CGP, Thm. 1.6.2(2), Prop. A.4.8]).

**REMARK 10.1.14.** By Proposition 9.1.13, the maximal smooth  $k$ -subgroup  $Z_{G,C} = \text{Aut}_{G,C}^{\text{sm}}$  of the scheme of automorphisms of  $G$  restricting to the identity on  $C$  is always connected (and even pseudo-reductive). For certain *absolutely pseudo-simple*  $G$  we have given an explicit description of  $Z_{G,C}$  exhibiting the connectedness:  $R_{k'/k}(T'/Z_{G'})$  in the standard case where  $R_{k'/k}(T')$  is the preimage of  $C$  in the central extension  $R_{k'/k}(G')$  of  $G$  (use Lemma 9.1.9(i), Remark 9.1.14,



and Remark 6.1.3), (9.1.13) for  $G$  of minimal type with root system  $A_1$  over  $k_s$ , and Proposition 8.3.12 for  $G$  of minimal type with root system  $BC_n$  over  $k_s$ . Such a description of  $Z_{G,C}$  for absolutely pseudo-simple generalized exotic groups with  $k_s$ -rank  $\geq 2$  is given in [CP, Prop. 8.5.4].

The property of being generalized exotic is insensitive to scalar extension to  $k_s$ . Indeed, this is an immediate consequence of Galois descent and the following result that proves the input data  $(k'/k, G')$  is uniquely functorial with respect to isomorphisms among such  $k$ -groups  $G = \mathcal{D}(R_{k'/k}(G'))$ .

**PROPOSITION 10.1.15.** *Let  $k'$  and  $\ell'$  be nonzero finite reduced  $k$ -algebras and let  $\mathcal{G}'$  and  $\mathcal{H}'$  be groups over  $k'$  and  $\ell'$  respectively such that the fiber  $\mathcal{G}'_i$  and  $\mathcal{H}'_j$  over each respective factor field  $k'_i$  and  $\ell'_j$  of  $k'$  and  $\ell'$  is absolutely pseudo-simple of minimal type. Assume the root field of  $\mathcal{G}'_i$  is equal to  $k'_i$  for each  $i$ , and that the root field of  $\mathcal{H}'_j$  is  $\ell'_j$  for each  $j$ .*

*Every  $k$ -isomorphism  $\sigma : G := \mathcal{D}(R_{k'/k}(\mathcal{G}')) \simeq \mathcal{D}(R_{\ell'/k}(\mathcal{H}')) =: H$  arises uniquely from a pair  $(\varphi, \alpha)$  consisting of a  $k$ -algebra isomorphism  $\alpha : k' \simeq \ell'$  and a group isomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{G}' \simeq \mathcal{H}'$  over  $\alpha$ .*

**PROOF.** By Galois descent we may assume  $k = k_s$ , so each factor field  $k'_i$  of  $k'$  and  $\ell'_j$  of  $\ell'$  is purely inseparable over  $k$ . Thus, the natural map  $R_{k'_i/k}(\mathcal{G}'_i)_{k'_i} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'_i$  is a smooth surjection with connected unipotent kernel [CGP, Prop. A.5.11(1),(2)], so the maximal geometric reductive quotient of  $R_{k'_i/k}(\mathcal{G}'_i)$  is the same as that of the absolutely pseudo-simple  $k'_i$ -group  $\mathcal{G}'_i$ . This quotient is perfect, so it is also the maximal geometric reductive quotient of the derived group  $G_i := \mathcal{D}(R_{k'_i/k}(\mathcal{G}'_i))$  by [CGP, Prop. A.4.8]. Hence, each  $G_i$  is absolutely pseudo-simple over  $k$  by Lemma 3.2.1, and is of minimal type (by behavior under Weil restriction and passage to normal subgroups reviewed immediately after Definition 7.1.2); the same likewise holds for  $H_j := \mathcal{D}(R_{\ell'_j/k}(\mathcal{H}'_j))$ .

Since  $\prod G_i = G$  and  $\prod H_j = H$ , by Proposition 3.2.2 and dimension considerations  $\{G_i\}$  is the set of minimal nontrivial smooth connected normal  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  and  $\{H_j\}$  is the analogous such set for  $H$ . Hence, each  $k$ -isomorphism  $\sigma : G \simeq H$  arises uniquely from a bijection  $\tau : I \simeq J$  and  $k$ -isomorphisms  $\sigma_i : G_i \simeq H_{\tau(i)}$ . This reduces our task to the case where  $k'$  and  $\ell'$  are fields.

Using that  $k'$  is the root field for  $\mathcal{G}'$  by hypothesis, we claim that  $k'/k$  is the root field for  $G$ . To compute the root field of  $G$ , consider the root system  $\Phi = \Phi(G, T)$  for a maximal  $k$ -torus  $T \subset G$ ; this is naturally identified with the irreducible root system  $\Phi(\mathcal{G}', \mathcal{T}')$  for the unique maximal  $k'$ -torus  $\mathcal{T}' \subset \mathcal{G}'$  such that  $T \subset R_{k'/k}(\mathcal{T}')$ . Fix a root  $a \in \Phi$  with maximal length (this is any root when  $\Phi$  is simply laced, and is a divisible root when  $\Phi$  is non-reduced). Let  $G_a$  be the rank-1 pseudo-simple  $k$ -subgroup generated by the  $\pm a$ -root groups of  $G$ , and define  $\mathcal{G}'_a$  likewise; these have root system  $A_1$  (since  $a$  is divisible when  $\Phi$  is non-reduced). These rank-1 groups inherit the minimal type property from  $G$  and  $\mathcal{G}'$  respectively, so  $\ker i_{G_a}$  and  $\ker i_{\mathcal{G}'_a}$  are trivial (see Example 7.1.4). The root fields of  $G$  and  $\mathcal{G}'$  coincide with those of  $G_a$  and  $\mathcal{G}'_a$  respectively: if  $\Phi$  is reduced then this is part of Theorem 7.4.7, and if  $\Phi$  is non-reduced then this is Definition 8.3.6. Our task is reduced to showing that  $G_a$  has root field  $k'$ .

Open cell considerations imply that the roots for  $R_{k'/k}(\mathcal{G}'_a)$  relative to  $a^\vee(\mathrm{GL}_1)$  are  $\pm a$ , and the root groups coincide with those of  $G_a$ . Hence,  $G_a = \mathcal{D}(R_{k'/k}(\mathcal{G}'_a))$  by Remark 3.1.11. By Theorem 7.2.5, the group  $\mathcal{G}'_a$  with root system  $A_1$  and root

field  $k'$  has the form  $H_{V',K'/k'}$  or  $PH_{V',K'/k'}$  with  $\{c \in K' \mid cV' \subset V'\} = k'$  when  $k'$  is imperfect of characteristic 2, and  $\mathcal{G}'_a$  is equal to  $\mathrm{SL}_2$  or  $\mathrm{PGL}_2$  otherwise. It is then immediate that  $G_a$  has root field  $k'$  (using the good behavior of  $H_{V',K'/k'}$  and  $PH_{V',K'/k'}$  under  $\mathcal{D} \circ \mathbb{R}_{k'/k}$  [**CP**, Ex. 3.1.6] when  $k$  is imperfect of characteristic 2).

We have proved that  $k'/k$  is the root field for  $G$ . Likewise,  $\ell'/k$  is the root field for  $H$ . The existence of  $\sigma$  then implies that  $k' = \ell'$  as purely inseparable extensions of  $k$ . Upon identifying  $k'$  and  $\ell'$  uniquely in this manner, the natural maps  $G_{k'} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}'$  and  $H_{k'} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}'$  are the maximal pseudo-reductive quotients of minimal type (see [**CP**, Prop. 2.3.13]), so  $\sigma_{k'}$  induces a  $k'$ -isomorphism  $\varphi$  that is the unique one which does the job.  $\square$

By Theorem 7.4.8, in the absolutely pseudo-simple case standardness can only fail over imperfect fields  $k$  of characteristic 2 or 3. For any such  $k$ , the generalized exotic  $k$ -groups account for all deviations from standardness over  $k$  in the minimal type case when the root system over  $k_s$  is reduced:

**THEOREM 10.1.16.** *The non-standard absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $G$  of minimal type for which  $G_{k_s}$  has a reduced root system and  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\mathrm{ss}}$  is simply connected are the generalized exotic  $k$ -groups that are absolutely pseudo-simple.*

Let us sketch the proof of Theorem 10.1.16. The main point is that Proposition 7.4.5 reduces the problem to the case of  $G$  whose root field is  $k$ , for which the aim is to show that such  $G$  are precisely the groups given by either the basic exotic construction for types  $F_4$  or  $G_2$ , the generalized basic exotic construction of types  $B$  or  $C$ , or the rank-2 basic exceptional construction. We may assume  $k = k_s$ . Since  $G$  is of minimal type and has a reduced root system,  $\ker i_G = 1$  (as noted in Example 7.1.4). The root field condition ensures that the minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for the geometric unipotent radical of  $G$  satisfies  $K^p \subset k$  (use Theorem 7.4.7(ii),(iii) if  $G$  has rank  $\geq 2$  and Theorem 7.2.5 in the rank-1 case).

Inspection of open cells shows that the known constructions exhaust all possibilities. More precisely, for types  $G_2$  and  $F_4$  we see via Theorem 7.4.7(ii),(iii) and consideration of a Levi  $k$ -subgroup  $L \subset G$  that the image of  $i_G : G \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{K/k}(L_K)$  is a basic exotic  $k$ -group. (This recovers Proposition 7.5.10 with a new proof, but does not recover Corollary 7.5.11.) Theorem 7.2.5 and the explicit description at the end of Remark 7.3.2 settle the rank-1 case, and Theorem 7.5.14 settles types  $B_n$  and  $C_n$  for  $n \geq 2$  (using some additional elementary calculations when  $n = 2$  to show that the rank-2 basic exceptional construction – which we have not discussed – accounts for the cases that are not generalized basic exotic; see [**CP**, Thm. 8.4.5] for details). This completes our sketch of the proof of Theorem 10.1.16.

**10.2. Generalized standard groups.** Over every imperfect field  $k$  of characteristic  $p \in \{2, 3\}$ , we have built non-standard pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups realizing all of the exceptional possibilities for the root system. The most concrete non-standard absolutely pseudo-simple groups occur in characteristic 2: the centerless  $k$ -groups  $\mathrm{SO}(q)$  of type  $B_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) in §7.3, the  $k$ -groups  $H_{V,K/k}$  of type  $A_1$  in Definition 7.2.1, and the pseudo-split groups of minimal type given by Theorem 7.5.14.

Building on those groups and basic exotic  $k$ -groups (Definition 7.5.9), we defined generalized basic exotic  $k$ -groups in §10.1 (see Definitions 10.1.6 and 10.1.11). For  $p = 2$ , birational group laws were used in §8 to build all pseudo-split absolutely

pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups of minimal type with root system  $BC_n$  for any  $n \geq 1$ . We shall combine all of the preceding constructions to generalize the standard construction, and characterize the  $k$ -groups obtained in this manner (yielding all pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups except possibly when  $\text{char}(k) = 2$  and  $[k : k^2] > 2$ , as well as all pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups of minimal type without restriction on  $k$ ).

Consider pairs  $(G', k'/k)$  consisting of a nonzero finite reduced  $k$ -algebra  $k'$  and a smooth affine  $k'$ -group  $G'$ . We defined the standard construction over  $k$  in terms of Weil restrictions  $R_{k'/k}(G')$  with  $(G', k'/k)$  for which the fiber  $G'_i$  of  $G'$  over each factor field  $k'_i$  of  $k'$  is connected reductive. The pair  $(G', k'/k)$  is not determined by the pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  obtained from that construction (e.g., see (2.2.3), but recall from Proposition 2.2.7 that we can always arrange for such  $G'_i$  to be semisimple, absolutely simple, and simply connected without affecting the standard pseudo-reductive  $G$ ). Limiting  $G'$  as follows will circumvent non-uniqueness.

DEFINITION 10.2.1. For a nonzero finite reduced  $k$ -algebra  $k'$  and smooth affine  $k'$ -group  $G'$ , the pair  $(G', k'/k)$  is *primitive* if the fiber  $G'_i$  over each factor field  $k'_i$  of  $k$  is in any of the following three classes of absolutely pseudo-simple  $k'_i$ -groups:

- (i) connected semisimple, absolutely simple, and simply connected;
- (ii) basic exotic, generalized basic exotic, or rank-2 basic exceptional (as defined in [CP, Def. 8.3.6]);
- (iii) absolutely pseudo-simple of minimal type with a non-reduced root system over  $k'_{i,s}$  and root field equal to  $k'_i$ .

(In case (iii), the notion of root field is as in Definition 8.3.6.)

If  $(G', k'/k)$  is a primitive pair then the associated pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -group

$$\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{D}(R_{k'/k}(G'))$$

satisfies some good properties: it is of minimal type since that property is preserved under Weil restriction [CP, Ex. 2.3.9] and is inherited by smooth connected normal subgroups [CP, Lemma 2.3.10], and  $\mathcal{G}_k^{\text{ss}}$  is simply connected (as it is the direct product of the analogous such geometric quotients for the fibers  $G'_i$  over the factor fields  $k'_i$  of  $k'$ , due to [CP, Prop. 2.3.13]). Moreover:

LEMMA 10.2.2. *The center  $Z_{\mathcal{G}}$  is  $k$ -tame.*

The idea of the proof of Lemma 10.2.2 is to show that  $Z_{\mathcal{G}} \subset R_{k'/k}(Z_{G'}) = \prod_i R_{k'_i/k}(Z_{G'_i})$  and  $Z_{G'_i}$  is  $k'_i$ -tame for each  $i$ ; see (the proof of) [CP, Prop. 9.1.6] for the details.

DEFINITION 10.2.3. A *generalized standard* pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group is a  $k$ -group that is either commutative pseudo-reductive or of the form

$$G = (\mathcal{G} \rtimes C) / \mathcal{C}$$

where:  $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{D}(R_{k'/k}(G'))$  for a primitive pair  $(G', k'/k)$ ,  $\mathcal{C}$  is the Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $\mathcal{G} \cap R_{k'/k}(C')$  of  $\mathcal{G}$  for a Cartan  $k'$ -subgroup  $C' \subset G'$ ,  $C$  is a commutative pseudo-reductive group fitting into a factorization diagram

$$(10.2.3) \quad \mathcal{C} \xrightarrow{\phi} C \xrightarrow{\psi} Z_{\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C}}$$

of the canonical map  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Z_{\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C}}$  arising from the conjugation action of  $\mathcal{C}$  on  $\mathcal{G}$ , and  $\mathcal{C}$  is embedded anti-diagonally as a central  $k$ -subgroup of  $\mathcal{G} \rtimes C$ .

In the preceding definition,  $Z_{\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C}}$  is the  $k$ -group defined as in Proposition 6.1.2; it is commutative and pseudo-reductive (see Proposition 9.1.13). Moreover, the  $k$ -group  $(\mathcal{G} \rtimes C)/\mathcal{C}$  is automatically pseudo-reductive because it is an instance of the construction in Proposition 2.2.1, and  $C$  is a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  because it is easily seen to be its own centralizer in  $G$  (as  $\mathcal{C}$  is its own centralizer in  $\mathcal{G}$ ).

EXAMPLE 10.2.4. Every standard pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group is generalized standard, due to Proposition 2.2.7. In the context of the standard construction (so only case (i) in Definition 10.2.1), we have  $\mathcal{G} = \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G')$ ,  $\mathcal{C} = \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(T')$  for a unique maximal  $k'$ -torus  $T' \subset G'$ , and  $Z_{\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C}} = \mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(T'/Z_{G'})$ .

PROPOSITION 10.2.5. *If  $G$  is a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group then it is generalized standard if and only if  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  is generalized standard. Likewise, if  $\overline{G} = G/Z$  is a pseudo-reductive central quotient of  $G$  then  $G$  is generalized standard if and only if  $\overline{G}$  is generalized standard. The same holds with “standard” in place of “generalized standard” throughout.*

The first equivalence is [CP, Cor. 9.1.14], but the proof below is much simpler.

PROOF. We treat “generalized standard”, and the same arguments apply without change for “standard”. To prove the first assertion, suppose  $G$  is generalized standard, arising from a 4-tuple  $(G', k'/k, C', C)$  and factorization diagram as in Definition 10.2.3. Clearly  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  arises from  $(G', k'/k, C', \phi(\mathcal{C}))$  (with the evident factorization diagram).

Conversely, assume  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  arises from a 4-tuple  $(G', k'/k, C', C)$  and factorization diagram as in (10.2.3). Perfectness of  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  forces  $C = \phi(\mathcal{C})$ , so  $\mathcal{D}(G) = \mathcal{G}/(\ker \phi)$  with  $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G'))$ . Note that  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  is a central quotient of  $\mathcal{G}$ , since the conjugation action of  $\ker \phi$  on  $\mathcal{G}$  is classified by the homomorphism  $\psi \circ \phi : \ker \phi \rightarrow Z_{\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C}}$  that is trivial.

The Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $\mathcal{C}/(\ker \phi) = \phi(\mathcal{C}) = C$  of  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  uniquely extends to a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C^{\natural}$  of  $G$  (see [CGP, Lemma 1.2.5(ii),(iii)]), and  $G = \mathcal{D}(G) \cdot C^{\natural}$ . The conjugation action of  $C^{\natural}$  on  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  is classified by a homomorphism

$$C^{\natural} \longrightarrow Z_{\mathcal{D}(G), \mathcal{C}/(\ker \phi)} = Z_{\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C}}$$

(equality by Lemma 9.1.9(i)) extending the canonical homomorphism  $\mathcal{C} \rightarrow Z_{\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C}}$ . In this way we get a 4-tuple  $(G', k'/k, C', C^{\natural})$  and factorization diagram for the generalized standard  $k$ -group

$$(\mathcal{G} \rtimes C^{\natural})/\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{D}(G) \rtimes C^{\natural})/\phi(\mathcal{C}) = G$$

(as  $\mathcal{D}(G) \cap C^{\natural} = C = \phi(\mathcal{C})$ ).

Next, we show that the generalized-standard property for  $G$  is equivalent to the same for a pseudo-reductive central quotient  $\overline{G}$ . By the preceding, we may assume  $G$  (and hence  $\overline{G}$ ) is perfect. Since a perfect generalized standard  $k$ -group is of the form  $\mathcal{G}/(\ker \phi)$ , in view of the bijection  $\mathcal{C} \mapsto \overline{\mathcal{C}} := \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{Z}$  between the sets of Cartan  $k$ -subgroups of  $\mathcal{G}$  and of any pseudo-reductive central quotient  $\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{Z}$  we see immediately that  $G$  is generalized standard if and only if  $\overline{G}$  is (using the same  $(G', k'/k)$  for each).  $\square$

If a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  arises via the generalized standard construction for some 4-tuple  $(G', k'/k, C', C)$  then  $C$  is identified with a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  and moreover  $\mathcal{D}(G) = \mathcal{D}(\mathbf{R}_{k'/k}(G'))/Z$  for a central  $k$ -subgroup  $Z := \ker \phi$  that

is  $k$ -tame by Lemma 10.2.2. In particular,  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_{k'/k}(G'))$  is *uniquely* determined by  $G$ : it is the universal smooth  $k$ -tame central extension of  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ ! Taking into consideration Proposition 10.1.15, we obtain:

**COROLLARY 10.2.6.** *The triple  $(G', k'/k, j)$  incorporating the  $k$ -homomorphism  $j : \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_{k'/k}(G')) \rightarrow G$  (a central quotient map onto  $\mathcal{D}(G)$ ) is uniquely determined by  $G$  up to unique isomorphism.*

If  $G$  is generalized standard then for any 4-tuple  $(G', k'/k, C', C)$  giving rise to  $G$  via the generalized standard construction, not only is the resulting triple  $(G', k'/k, j)$  uniquely determined by  $G$  up to unique isomorphism, but it can be arranged that  $C$  is *any* Cartan  $k$ -subgroup of  $G$  that we wish. To prove this, first note that the proof of the initial assertion in Proposition 10.2.5 reduces this to the pseudo-semisimple case by passing to  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  because  $C \mapsto C \cap \mathcal{D}(G)$  is a bijection between the sets of Cartan  $k$ -subgroups of  $G$  and of  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  (due to [CGP, Lemma 1.2.5(ii),(iii)]). Now we may assume  $G$  is perfect, so by Lemma 10.2.2 it is generalized standard *if and only if* the universal smooth  $k$ -tame central extension  $\tilde{G}$  of  $G$  has the form  $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_{k'/k}(G'))$  for a primitive pair  $(G', k'/k)$ . The set of Cartan  $k$ -subgroups  $C$  of such a  $G$  is in bijective correspondence with the set of Cartan  $k'$ -subgroups  $C'$  of  $G'$  via the condition  $C = \mathcal{C}/Z$  for  $\mathcal{C} := \tilde{G} \cap \mathbb{R}_{k'/k}(C')$  and  $Z := \ker(\tilde{G} \rightarrow G)$ , in which case

$$G = \tilde{G}/Z = (\tilde{G} \rtimes C) / \mathcal{C}$$

gives a generalized standard description (where  $C \rightarrow Z_{\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C}}$  arises from the conjugation action of  $C = \mathcal{C}/Z$  on  $\mathcal{G}$ ).

We summarize these conclusions as follows, recording the extent of the non-uniqueness of the data giving rise to a specified generalized standard pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group.

**PROPOSITION 10.2.7.** *If  $G$  is a generalized standard pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group and  $(G', k'/k)$  is the associated pair as in Corollary 10.2.6 then for every Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C$  of  $G$  there exists a unique Cartan  $k'$ -subgroup  $C'$  of  $G'$  such that the central quotient map*

$$\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_{k'/k}(G')) \rightarrow \mathcal{D}(G)$$

*carries  $\mathcal{C} := \mathbb{R}_{k'/k}(C') \cap \mathcal{G}$  onto  $C \cap \mathcal{D}(G)$ . Moreover, for any such  $C'$  and  $C$  the 4-tuple  $(G', k'/k, C', C)$  and the factorization diagram*

$$(10.2.7) \quad \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow Z_{\mathcal{D}(G), C \cap \mathcal{D}(G)} = Z_{\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{C}}$$

*arising from  $C$ -conjugation on  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  give rise to  $G$  via the generalized standard construction.*

The equality in (10.2.7) is a special case of Lemma 9.1.9(i). Since we have the flexibility to require that a “generalized standard” description of a given pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group rests on a chosen Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C \subset G$  (even prior to knowing that  $G$  is generalized standard!), we immediately deduce from Proposition 10.2.7 the following result via Corollary 10.2.6 and Galois descent:

**COROLLARY 10.2.8.** *A pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  is generalized standard if and only if  $G_{k_s}$  is generalized standard, and likewise for “standard” in place of “generalized standard”.*

The relevance of Corollary 10.2.6 in the proof of Corollary 10.2.8 is that for a Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C \subset G$ , the triple  $(H', K'/k_s, i)$  with a primitive pair  $(H', K'/k_s)$  corresponding to the generalized standard presentation of  $G_{k_s}$  relative to  $C_{k_s}$  via Proposition 10.2.7 has a canonically associated  $k$ -descent  $(G', k'/k, j)$  that together with  $C$  underlies a generalized standard presentation for  $G$ .

To characterize when a pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group is generalized standard, we require a notion that refines “minimal type”:

**DEFINITION 10.2.9.** A pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  is *locally of minimal type* if the subgroup of  $G_{k_s}$  generated by any pair of opposite root groups (relative to a maximal  $k_s$ -torus) is a central quotient of an absolutely pseudo-simple  $k_s$ -group of minimal type.

This condition depends on  $G$  only through its derived group (as  $\mathcal{D}(G)_{k_s}$  contains all root groups of  $G_{k_s}$  relative to a maximal  $k_s$ -torus). As the terminology suggests, if  $G$  is of minimal type then it is locally of minimal type. Indeed, we may assume  $k = k_s$ , and then for a maximal  $k$ -torus  $T \subset G$  the minimal type property for  $G$  is inherited by  $G_a$  for each  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$  due to Proposition 7.1.5 and the explicit description of  $G_a$  in terms of centralizers and derived groups in Remark 3.2.8. Here is a partial converse, refining Lemma 9.1.10.

**PROPOSITION 10.2.10.** *Let  $G$  be a pseudo-semisimple  $k$ -group locally of minimal type such that  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{ss}}$  is simply connected. Then  $G$  is of minimal type.*

**PROOF.** We may and do assume  $k = k_s$ . For a maximal  $k$ -torus  $T \subset G$ , Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C := Z_G(T)$ , minimal field of definition  $K/k$  for  $\mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}}) \subset G_{\bar{k}}$ , and  $G' := G_K/\mathcal{R}_{u,K}(G_K)$ , we want to prove the triviality of  $\mathcal{C}_G := C \cap \ker i_G$  where  $i_G : G \rightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G')$  is the natural map. For any  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$ , let  $G_a$  denote the rank-1 pseudo-simple  $k$ -subgroup  $\langle U_a, U_{-a} \rangle \subset G$ .

The Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C_a := Z_{G_a}(a^\vee(\text{GL}_1))$  of  $G_a$  is equal to  $C \cap G_a$  (since the isogeny complement  $(\ker a)_{\text{red}}^0 \subset T$  to  $a^\vee(\text{GL}_1)$  centralizes  $G_a$ ), and  $G_a \cap \ker i_G = \ker i_{G_a}$  (see Example 7.1.7), so  $C_a \cap \ker i_G = \mathcal{C}_{G_a}$ . For a basis  $\Delta$  of  $\Phi(G, T)$  we have  $T = \prod_{a \in \Delta} a^\vee(\text{GL}_1)$  since  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{red}} = G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{ss}}$  is *simply connected*. Hence, the composition

$$\prod_{a \in \Delta} C_a \xrightarrow{\pi} C \xrightarrow{i_G|_C} \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(T_K) \hookrightarrow \mathbf{R}_{K/k}(G')$$

has kernel  $\prod_{a \in \Delta} \mathcal{C}_{G_a}$ . The map  $\pi$  is surjective by Lemma 9.1.10, so  $\prod_{a \in \Delta} \mathcal{C}_{G_a} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_G$  is surjective. It therefore suffices to prove that each  $\mathcal{C}_{G_a}$  is trivial. Since  $(G_a)_{\bar{k}}^{\text{ss}}$  is generated by a pair of opposite root groups in  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{ss}}$  (Example 7.1.7), and the latter group is simply connected,  $(G_a)_{\bar{k}}^{\text{ss}} = \text{SL}_2$ . Thus, we may replace  $G$  with  $G_a$  to reduce to the case that  $G$  is absolutely pseudo-simple of rank 1.

Now by hypothesis  $G = H/Z$  for an absolutely pseudo-simple  $H$  of minimal type and  $Z \subset Z_H$ . Since  $G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{ss}} = \text{SL}_2$  is simply connected, so the central quotient map  $H_{\bar{k}}^{\text{ss}} \rightarrow G_{\bar{k}}^{\text{ss}}$  is an isomorphism, the equality of the minimal fields of definition over  $k$  for the geometric unipotent radicals of  $G$  and  $H$  (see Proposition 6.2.2) identifies  $i_H$  with  $i_G \circ q$  for the *central* quotient map  $q : H \twoheadrightarrow G$ . It follows that  $\mathcal{C}_G = q(\mathcal{C}_H)$  is trivial (as  $H$  is of minimal type).  $\square$

The “minimal type” property can fail in the standard absolutely pseudo-simple case over every imperfect field (see Example 6.2.6), but “locally minimal type” is truly ubiquitous: it is an immediate consequence of Theorem 7.2.5, Proposition

6.2.15, and Proposition 8.3.9 that every pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group  $G$  is locally of minimal type *except possibly* when  $\text{char}(k) = 2$  and  $[k : k^2] > 2$ . Here is a large supply of pseudo-reductive groups locally of minimal type over arbitrary fields:

EXAMPLE 10.2.11. If  $G$  is a generalized standard pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group then it is locally of minimal type. To prove this we may assume  $k = k_s$ , and by Proposition 10.2.5 we may replace  $G$  with  $\mathcal{D}(G)$  so that  $G$  is perfect. Now  $G = \mathcal{G}/Z$  for  $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{D}(\text{R}_{k'/k}(G'))$  with a primitive pair  $(G', k'/k)$  and central closed  $k$ -subgroup  $Z \subset \mathcal{D}(\text{R}_{k'/k}(G'))$ . For each factor field  $k'_i$  of  $k'$ , let  $G'_i$  be the  $k'_i$ -fiber of  $G'$ . Consider the pseudo-simple normal  $k$ -subgroups  $G_i := \mathcal{G}_i/Z_i$  of  $G$ , where  $\mathcal{G}_i = \mathcal{D}(\text{R}_{k'_i/k}(G'_i))$  and  $Z_i = Z \cap \mathcal{G}_i$ . Each  $G_i$  is generalized standard by normality in  $G$ , and these pairwise commute and generate  $G$ , so we may treat each  $G_i$  separately to reduce to the case that  $k'$  is a field.

For a maximal  $k$ -torus  $T \subset G$ , the Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $Z_G(T)$  has the form  $(\mathcal{G} \cap \text{R}_{k'/k}(C'))/Z$  for a unique Cartan  $k$ -subgroup  $C' \subset G'$  (argue as in the handling of Cartan subgroups in the proof of Proposition 10.2.5). Thus, upon writing  $C' = Z_{G'}(T')$  for a unique maximal  $k'$ -torus  $T' \subset G'$ , we have canonically  $\Phi(G, T) = \Phi(G', T')$  since pseudo-reductive central quotients of pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups have the same root system (as we see via consideration of an open cell, for instance).

For each  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$  and the corresponding  $a' \in \Phi(G', T')$ , clearly  $G_a$  is a central quotient of  $H_a := \mathcal{D}(\text{R}_{k'/k}(G'_{a'}))$ . It is therefore enough to show that  $H_a$  is of minimal type, and by Proposition 7.1.5 that reduces to  $\text{R}_{k'/k}(G'_{a'})$  being of minimal type. Since  $G'$  is of minimal type (by inspection of the possibilities for  $G'$  in the definition of the generalized standard construction!), so the same holds for  $G'_{a'}$  (Example 7.1.7), it suffices to check that  $\text{R}_{k'/k}$  preserves the property of being of minimal type, and that in turn is an elementary verification with the definitions (see [CP, Ex. 2.3.9] for the details).

The preceding discussion of ubiquity of the “locally minimal type” property is optimal because if  $\text{char}(k) = 2$  and  $[k : k^2] > 2$  then for any  $n \geq 1$  there exist pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $G$  with non-reduced root system  $\text{BC}_n$  such that  $G$  is *not* locally of minimal type. Examples of such  $G$  are given in [CP, B.4] (built as quotients of  $k$ -subgroups of Weil restrictions of symplectic groups, without any appeal to birational group laws).

Continuing to assume  $\text{char}(k) = 2$ , if we consider pseudo-reductive  $k$ -groups  $G$  with a *reduced* root system then one can do better with the degree bounds. To be precise, such a  $G$  is locally of minimal type whenever  $[k : k^2] \leq 8$  (see [CP, Prop. B.3.1]), but whenever  $[k : k^2] \geq 16$  there exist pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $G$  *not* locally of minimal type (see [CP, 4.2.2] for examples with root system  $A_1$ , and those are used to make others with root system  $B_n$  or  $C_n$  for any  $n \geq 2$  in [CP, B.1, B.2]).

REMARK 10.2.12. According to Proposition 6.2.9, for a non-reductive absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -group  $G$ ,  $i_G$  is an isomorphism if and only if  $G$  is standard and the order of the fundamental group of  $G_k^{\text{ss}}$  is not divisible by the characteristic  $p$  of  $k$ . If  $i_G$  is an isomorphism then  $G$  is clearly of minimal type. If moreover  $G$  is standard (which is the case if  $i_G$  is an isomorphism), then the root system of  $G_{k_s}$  is reduced. Now we will assume that the root system  $\Phi$  of  $G_{k_s}$  is reduced and the order of the fundamental group of  $G_k^{\text{ss}}$  is not divisible by  $p$ , and explore when  $G$

fails to be standard (equivalently,  $i_G$  fails to be an isomorphism). So let us assume that  $G$  is not standard.

Since the root system  $\Phi$  of  $G_{k_s}$  has been assumed to be reduced, Theorem 7.4.8 gives that  $k$  is imperfect with  $p \in \{2, 3\}$  and that if  $p = 3$  then  $\Phi$  is of type  $G_2$  whereas if  $p = 2$  then  $\Phi$  is of type  $F_4$  or  $B_n$  or  $C_n$  with some  $n \geq 1$  (where  $B_1$  and  $C_1$  mean  $A_1$ ). The group  $G_k^{\text{ss}}$  must be simply connected: this is obvious for types  $F_4$  or  $G_2$ , and it holds for types  $B$  and  $C$  (with  $p = 2$ ) since we assumed that the fundamental group of  $G_k^{\text{ss}}$  has order not divisible by  $p$ .

If  $G$  is of minimal type then  $\ker i_G = 1$  (as noted in Example 7.1.4), so in such cases  $G$  must be generalized exotic due to Theorem 10.1.16 and thus  $i_G$  is not surjective. The minimal type property is automatic for types  $G_2$  and  $F_4$  by Corollary 7.5.11. On the other hand, if  $G_{k_s}$  has a root system of type  $B$  or  $C$  (of some rank  $n \geq 1$ ), so  $p = 2$ , then  $G$  is locally of minimal type by [CP, Prop. B.3.1] (and hence is of minimal type by Proposition 10.2.10 unless  $[k : k^2] \geq 16$ ).

As a special case, we recover (with an entirely different proof) Tits' result in [Ti3, Cours 1992-93, II] that  $i_G$  is an isomorphism when  $\Phi$  has trivial fundamental group (i.e., types  $E_8$ ,  $F_4$ , and  $G_2$ ) assuming  $p \neq 2$  for  $F_4$  and  $p \neq 3$  for  $G_2$ .

The appearance of the root systems  $B_n$ ,  $C_n$ , and  $BC_n$  ( $n \geq 1$ ) in examples of pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple groups not locally of minimal type is natural, in view of Theorem 7.4.8 and Corollary 7.5.11. The main reason for our interest in the “locally of minimal type” property is due to:

**THEOREM 10.2.13.** *A pseudo-reductive group  $G$  is generalized standard if and only if it is locally of minimal type.*

**PROOF.** In Example 10.2.11 we established the implication “ $\Rightarrow$ ”. For the converse result we may assume  $k = k_s$  (Corollary 10.2.8) and  $G$  is perfect (Proposition 10.2.5). Letting  $q : \tilde{G} \rightarrow G$  be the (pseudo-semisimple) universal smooth  $k$ -tame central extension, it suffices to show that  $\tilde{G} = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_{k'/k}(G'))$  for some primitive pair  $(G', k'/k)$ . We first check that  $\tilde{G}$  is locally of minimal type.

For a maximal  $k$ -torus  $\tilde{T} \subset \tilde{G}$  and its isogenous image  $T \subset G$  we have naturally  $\Phi(G, T) = \Phi(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T})$  since  $G$  is a central pseudo-reductive quotient of  $\tilde{G}$ . For each  $a \in \Phi(G, T)$  the centrality of  $\ker q$  implies (via consideration of open cells) that the  $a$ -root group of  $\tilde{G}$  maps onto that of  $G$ , so  $q$  carries  $\tilde{G}_a$  onto  $G_a$  with kernel that is  $k$ -tame (since  $\ker q$  is  $k$ -tame by design). But  $(\tilde{G}_a)_k^{\text{ss}} = \text{SL}_2$  since this group is generated by a pair of opposite root groups in the connected semisimple group  $\tilde{G}_k^{\text{ss}}$  that is simply connected (due to the characterization of  $\tilde{G}$ ). Hence,  $\tilde{G}_a$  is the universal smooth  $k$ -tame central extension of  $G_a$ .

The  $k$ -group  $G_a$  is absolutely pseudo-simple of rank 1, and it admits a pseudo-simple central extension of minimal type (as  $G$  is assumed to be locally of minimal type). Thus, by a systematic study of the structure of rank-1 pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups (via Theorem 7.2.5(i) and Proposition 6.2.2 for root system  $A_1$ ), the universal smooth  $k$ -tame central extension  $\tilde{G}_a$  of  $G_a$  is of minimal type; see [CP, Lemma 5.3.2] for the details.

We have shown that  $\tilde{G}$  is locally of minimal type, so it is of minimal type by Proposition 10.2.10. By replacing  $G$  with  $\tilde{G}$  we may arrange that  $G_k^{\text{ss}}$  is simply connected, and aim to find a primitive pair  $(G', k'/k)$  such that  $G = \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{R}_{k'/k}(G'))$ . The pseudo-simple normal  $k$ -subgroups  $G_i$  of  $G$  are of minimal type, and by Proposition



3.2.4(ii) multiplication  $\pi : \prod G_i \rightarrow G$  is a surjective homomorphism with central kernel. But  $G_k^{ss}$  is simply connected, so  $\pi$  is an isomorphism by Lemma 9.1.10. We may therefore treat each  $G_i$  separately, so now  $G$  is (absolutely) pseudo-simple.

Consider the irreducible root system  $\Phi$  of  $G$ . Since  $G$  is absolutely pseudo-simple and of *minimal type*, if  $\Phi$  is non-reduced then  $G$  has the desired form (using Weil restriction from its root field) due to Proposition 8.3.7.

Assume instead that  $\Phi$  is reduced. We shall separately treat the cases that  $G$  is standard or not standard. Suppose  $G$  is standard. By inspection,  $G$  is a central (pseudo-reductive) quotient of  $R_{k'/k}(G')$  for a finite extension  $k'/k$  and connected semisimple  $k'$ -group  $G'$  that is *simply connected*. But then the minimal fields of definition over  $k$  for the geometric unipotent radicals of  $G$  and  $R_{k'/k}(G')$  coincide by Proposition 6.2.2, so this common field is equal to  $k'/k$  (see [CGP, Thm. 1.6.2(2)]). Hence,  $G_{k'}^{ss}$  is a central quotient of  $G'$  yet is simply connected (as it is a  $k'$ -descent of  $G_k^{ss}$ ), so naturally  $G' \simeq G_{k'}^{ss}$  and we get a factorization

$$R_{k'/k}(G') \twoheadrightarrow G \xrightarrow{i_G} R_{k'/k}(G')$$

of the identity map. This forces  $i_G$  to be an isomorphism.

Finally, assume  $G$  is not standard. In this case  $k$  must be imperfect of characteristic 2 or 3 (by Theorem 7.4.8) and the non-standard absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups  $G$  of minimal type with  $G_k^{ss}$  simply connected are given as in Theorem 10.1.16. Hence,  $G$  has the desired form due to Definition 10.2.1.  $\square$

An application of Theorem 10.2.13 and our preceding discussion of all cases of failure of the “locally minimal type” property, we see that if  $G_{k_s}$  has a reduced root system then  $G$  is generalized standard except possibly when  $\text{char}(k) = 2$  and  $[k : k^2] \geq 16$ , and that whenever  $\text{char}(k) = 2$  and  $[k : k^2] \geq 16$  there exist pseudo-split absolutely pseudo-simple  $k$ -groups that are *not* generalized standard (with any desired root system of type B or C with any rank  $n \geq 1$ ). In particular:

**COROLLARY 10.2.14.** *Every pseudo-reductive  $k$ -group is standard except possibly if  $k$  is imperfect with  $\text{char}(k) = p \in \{2, 3\}$  and one of the following holds: the root system  $\Phi$  of  $G_{k_s}$  is non-reduced (only possible when  $p = 2$ ), some irreducible component of  $\Phi$  has an edge of multiplicity  $p$ , or  $p = 2$  with  $[k : k^2] > 2$  and  $\Phi$  has an irreducible component of type  $A_1$ .*

The proof of Corollary 10.2.14 when  $\text{char}(k) \neq 2, 3$  or in characteristic  $p \in \{2, 3\}$  with  $[k : k^p] = p$  has nothing to do with non-reduced root systems or the explicit non-standard constructions that occupied much of §7–§8.

### References

[Bo1] A. Borel, *Some finiteness properties of adèle groups over number fields*, Publ. Math. IHES **16** (1963), pp. 5–30.  
 [Bo2] A. Borel, *Linear algebraic groups* (2nd ed.) Springer-Verlag, New York, 1991.  
 [BP] A. Borel, G. Prasad, *Finiteness theorems for discrete subgroups of bounded covolume in semi-semisimple groups*, Publ. Math. IHES, **69** (1989), pp. 119–171.  
 [BS] A. Borel, J-P. Serre, *Théorèmes de finitude en cohomologie galoisienne*, Comm. Math. Helv. **39** (1964), pp. 111–164.  
 [BoTi1] A. Borel, J. Tits, *Groupes réductifs*, Publ. Math. IHES **27** (1965), 55–151.  
 [BoTi2] A. Borel, J. Tits, *Homomorphismes “abstrait” de groupes algébriques simples*, Annals of Mathematics **97** (1973), pp. 499–571.  
 [BoTi3] A. Borel, J. Tits, *Théorèmes de structure et de conjugaison pour les groupes algébriques linéaires*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris **287** (1978), 55–57.

- [BLR] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, M. Raynaud, *Néron models*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1990.
- [Bou] N. Bourbaki, *Lie groups and Lie algebras* (Ch. 4–6), Springer-Verlag, New York, 2002.
- [BrTi] F. Bruhat, J. Tits, *Groupes réductifs sur un corps local I*, Publ. Math. IHES **41** (1972), 5–251.
- [Chev] C. Chevalley, *Une démonstration d'un théorème sur les groupes algébriques*, J. Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, **39** (1960), 307–317.
- [C1] B. Conrad, *A modern proof of Chevalley's theorem on algebraic groups*, Journal of the Ramanujan Math. Society, **17** (2002), 1–18.
- [C2] B. Conrad, *Finiteness theorems for algebraic groups over function fields*, Compositio Math. **148** (2012), 555–639.
- [C3] B. Conrad, “Reductive group schemes” in *Autour des schémas en groupes I*, Panoramas et Synthèses 42–43, Société Mathématique de France, 2014.
- [CGP] B. Conrad, O. Gabber, G. Prasad, *Pseudo-reductive groups* (2nd ed.), Cambridge Univ. Press, 2015.
- [CP] B. G. Prasad, *Classification of pseudo-reductive groups*, Annals of Mathematics Studies 191, Princeton Univ. Press, 2015.
- [SGA3] M. Demazure, A. Grothendieck, *Schémas en groupes I, II, III*, Lecture Notes in Math **151**, **152**, **153**, Springer-Verlag, New York (1970).
- [GQ] P. Gille, A. Quéguiner-Mathieu, *Formules pour l'invariant de Rost*, Algebra and Number Theory **5** (2011), 1–35.
- [EGA] A. Grothendieck, *Eléments de Géométrie Algébrique*, Publ. Math. IHES **4**, **8**, **11**, **17**, **20**, **24**, **28**, **32**, 1960–7.
- [Ha1] G. Harder, *Minkowskische Reduktionstheorie über Funktionenkörpern*, Inv. Math. **7** (1969), pp. 33–54.
- [Ha2] G. Harder, *Über die Galoiskohomologie halbeinfacher algebraischer Gruppen. III.*, J. Reine angew. Math. **274/5** (1975), pp. 125–138.
- [Hum1] J. Humphreys, *Introduction to Lie algebras and representation theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [Hum2] J. Humphreys, *Linear algebraic groups* (2nd ed.), Springer-Verlag, New York, 1987.
- [Kem] G. Kempf, *Instability in invariant theory*, Ann. Math. **108** (1978), 299–316.
- [Oes] J. Oesterlé, *Nombres de Tamagawa et groupes unipotents en caractéristique  $p$* , Inv. Math. **78** (1984), 13–88.
- [P] G. Prasad, *Weakly split spherical Tits systems in quasi-reductive groups*, American Journal of Math. **136** (2014), 807–832.
- [PR] G. Prasad, M. S. Raghunathan, *Tame subgroup of a semi-simple group over a local field*, American J. Math. **105** (1983), 1023–1048.
- [R] B. Rémy, *Groupes algébriques pseudo-réductifs et applications*, Séminaire Bourbaki, Exp. 1021, Astérisque 339 (2011), 259–304.
- [Sel] M. Selbach, *Klassifikationstheorie halbeinfacher algebraischer Gruppen*, Mathematisches Institut der Universität Bonn, Bonn. Diplomarbeit, Univ. Bonn, Bonn, 1973, Bonner Mathematische Schriften, Nr. 83.
- [Ser] J.-P. Serre. *Local fields*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1979.
- [Spr] T. A. Springer, *Linear algebraic groups* (2nd ed.), Birkhäuser, New York, 1998.
- [St] R. Steinberg, *The isomorphism and isogeny theorems for reductive algebraic groups*, J. Algebra **216** (1999), 366–383.
- [Ti1] J. Tits, “Classification of algebraic semisimple groups” in *Algebraic groups and discontinuous groups*, Proc. Symp. Pure Math., vol. 9, AMS, 1966.
- [Ti2] J. Tits, *Lectures on algebraic groups*, Yale Univ., New Haven, 1967.
- [Ti3] J. Tits, *Résumés des Cours au Collège de France 1973–2000*, Documents Mathématiques, Société Mathématique de France, 2014.

## Index

- $a^\vee$ , 31
- $\text{Aut}_{G,C}$ , 64
- $\text{Aut}_{G/k}$ ,  $\underline{\text{Aut}}_{G/k}$ , 85
- $\text{Aut}_{H/k}^{\text{sm}}$ , 116
- $\mathcal{B}$ , 105
- $B_n$ , 104
- $\mathcal{C}_G$ , 73
- $\text{CO}(q)$ , 87
- $D$ , 104
- $D_0$ , 104
- $\Delta_0$ , 126
- $D_n$ , 104
- $\text{Dyn}(\mathcal{G})$ , 124
- $F_>$ ,  $F_<$ , 87
- $\Phi(G, T)$ , 8
  - definition, 25
- $\Phi_{\lambda \geq 0}$ , 34
- $\Phi_>^+$ ,  $\Phi_<^+$ , 104
- $\Phi(P, T)$ , 34
- $G_a$ , 76
- $G_D$ , 130
- $G_{K/k, V', V, q, n}$ , 106
- $G(k)^+$ , 63
- $G^{\text{pred}}$ , 75
- $G^{\text{prmt}}$ , 75, 86, 112
- $G^{\text{red}}$ , 9
- $\overline{G}_k^{\text{ss}}$ , 9
- $H_A(G)$ , 33
- $H^{\text{sm}}$ , 9
- $H_{V, K/k}$ , 9, 77
- $i_G$ , 67
  - compatibility with  $i_{G_a}$ , 76
  - image on rank-1 subgroups, 80
  - isomorphism characterization, 68, 142
- $K_0$ , 109
- $K_<$ ,  $K_>$ , 88
- $K'_c$ , 99
- $k(V)$ , 77
- $P_G(\lambda)$ , 21
- $\text{PH}_{V, K/k}$ , 77
- $q_c$ , 99
- $q$ , 98
- $R(G, T)$ , 32, 57
- $\mathcal{R}_k(G)$ , 6
- $\text{R}_{k'/k}$ , 5
- $\mathcal{R}_{u, k}(G)$ , 5
- $\mathcal{R}_{us, k}(H)$ , 47
- $\text{III}_S^1(k, G)$ , 4
- $\text{SO}(q)$ , 83
- \*-action, 124
- $\text{Sym}_n$ , 104
- $T^{\text{ad}}$ , 122
- $U_a$ , 28
- $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_c$ ,  $\mathcal{U}_b$ , 105
- $U_{\Phi^+}$ , 34
- $U_{(a)}$ ,  $U_{(a)}^G$ , 25
- $U_a^G$ , 60
- $U_G(\lambda)$ , 21
- $U_n$ , 104
- $U'_{2c}$ , 98
- $V_0$ , 109
- $V_{K/k}^*$ , 77
- $V'_c$ , 99
- $\underline{V}$ , 98
- $\mathfrak{w}$ , 105
- $W(G, S)$ , 52
- $W(G, T)$ , 32
- ${}_k W$ , 35
- $\chi_c$ , 99
- $\xi_G$ , 67
  - relation to standardness, 71
- $X_{\text{red}}$ , 9
- $Z_G$ , 9
- $Z_{G,C}$ , 64
  - central quotient, 120
  - connectedness, 115, 119, 121
  - derived group, 120
  - rank-1 subgroups, 121
  - Weil restriction, 122
- $Z_G(H)$ , 9
- $Z_G(\lambda)$ , 21
- absolutely pseudo-simple, 29
- absolutely pseudo-simple group
  - $\text{BC}_n$ -construction, 110, 111
  - $F_4$ ,  $G_2$ , 95
  - non-standard case, 88
- anisotropic kernel, 116
  - Weil restriction, 126
- Aut-scheme
  - diagram automorphisms, 117
  - existence, 116
  - pseudo-semisimple group, 85, 116
  - rank-1 subgroups, 121
  - structure of  $(\text{Aut}_{G/k}^{\text{sm}})^0$ , 122
- basic exceptional groups, 133, 138
- basic exotic group, 138
  - Cartan subgroup, 90
  - construction, 94
  - definition, 95
  - link to standardness, 96
  - properties when  $[k : k^p] = p$ , 97
- birational group law, 103–109
  - affineness criterion, 107
  - construction, 109, 111
  - strict, 106
- BN-pair, 39, 54
  - standard, 55
- Bruhat decomposition, 35
  - pseudo-split case, 39, 48

- smooth connected groups, 51
- canonical diagram, 124
- Cartan subgroup, 13, 120
  - as maximal torus, 81, 130
  - basic exotic case, 90
  - generalized standard case, 140
- center, 9
- central quotient, 13
  - minimal field of definition, 66
  - pseudo-reductivity, 63
  - root group, root system, 65
  - standardness, 19
  - surjectivity of  $\xi_H$ , 67
  - $Z_{G,C}$ , 120
- centralizer, 9
- Chevalley structure theorem, 107
- closed set of roots, 34
- conformal isometry, 87
  - $SO(q)$ , 130
- conjugacy
  - maximal split tori, 43
  - maximal split unipotent/solvable subgroup, 49
  - minimal pseudo-parabolic subgroup, 48
- connectedness of  $Z_{G,C}$ , 115, 119, 121
- coroot, 31, 54
- divisible root, 27
- dynamic method, 19–24
- Dynkin diagram
  - action by  $(\text{Aut}_{G/k}^{\text{sm}})^0(k)$ , 124
  - action of  $\text{Aut}_{G/k}^{\text{sm}}$ , 125
  - \*-action, 124
- generalized basic exotic
  - properties, 132–133
  - type B, 132
  - type C, 133–135
- generalized basic exotic group, 138
- generalized exotic group, 135, 137
- generalized standard group, 138
  - Cartan subgroup, 140
  - locally of minimal type, 143
  - properties, 139
- Isomorphism Theorem, 119
- $\ker(i_G)$ 
  - central kernel, 71
  - non-reduced root system, 102
  - triviality of  $k_s$ -points for  $BC_n$ , 101
- $k$ -radical, 6
- $k$ -tame, 68, 138
  - central extension, 69, 70
- $k$ -unipotent radical, 5
- $k$ -wound, 47
- Levi subgroup, 10, 59, 73, 137
  - existence, 60
- linear structure, 26
- locally of minimal type, 141
  - counterexample, 142
  - generalized standard, 142–144
- minimal field of definition, 65
  - central quotient, 66
  - geometric unipotent radical, 80, 88
  - root field, 88
- minimal type, 73
  - $F_4, G_2$ , 95, 129
  - $G^{\text{prmt}}$  quotient for  $BC_n$ , 112
  - properties, 75
  - relation to locally of minimal type, 141
  - Weil restriction, 73
- module scheme, 98
- multipliable root, 27
- non-degenerate, 9
- non-reduced root system, 102, 138
- normal subgroup, 12, 13, 75
- open cell, 34
- parabolic set of roots, 34
  - pseudo-parabolic subgroup, 34
- primitive pair, 138
- pseudo-complete, 40
  - pseudo-parabolic subgroup, 41–42
  - Weil restriction, 40
- pseudo-inner, 117
- pseudo-parabolic, 23
- pseudo-parabolic subgroup
  - conjugacy, 48
  - $k$ -split solvable subgroups, 42
  - Lie algebra, 48
  - maximal split unipotent subgroup, 49
  - minimal, 34, 47
  - parabolic set of roots, 34
  - properties, 23–24
  - pseudo-completeness, 41–42
  - rational points, 55
  - relative roots, 53
  - self-normalizing, 46
  - separable field extension, 45
- pseudo-reductive, 6
- pseudo-reductive group
  - central quotient, 13, 63
  - generalized standard, 137
  - Isomorphism Theorem, 119
  - Levi subgroup, 60
  - locally of minimal type, 141
  - maximal quotient of minimal type, 112, 135
  - non-reduced root system, 109
  - non-standard, 137
  - non-standard case, 144
  - normal subgroup, 12, 13
  - pseudo-simple normal subgroups, 29–31

- root datum, 31
- separable field extension, 12
- $SO(q)$ , 83
- standard construction, 17–19
- torus centralizer, 12
- Weil restriction, 6
- pseudo-semisimple group, 6
  - Aut-scheme, 116
  - isomorphism classification, 81
- pseudo-simple, 29
- pseudo-split, 25
  - rank-1 case, 78
- pseudo-split form
  - existence, 117–118
  - uniqueness, 117, 128
- quasi-split pseudo-inner form
  - obstruction, 117–118
  - uniqueness, 117, 128
- relative coroot, 54
- relative root system, 53
- relative Weyl group, 52, 53
- root, 27
- root datum, 31
- root field, 86
  - $BC_n$ -cases, 112
  - long, short, 87
  - rank-1 subgroups, 86
  - relation to minimal field of definition of  $\mathcal{R}_u(G_{\bar{k}})$ , 88
- root group, 28, 54
  - central quotient, 65
  - properties, 56
- root system, 32
- scheme-theoretic center, 9
- separable field extension
  - $\mathcal{C}_G$ , 73
  - generalized basic exotic group, 132, 134
  - generalized exotic group, 136
  - generalized standard group, 140
  - $k$ -unipotent radical, 5
  - minimal type, 73
  - pseudo-parabolicity, 45
  - pseudo-reductivity, 12
  - $\mathcal{R}_{us,k}(H)$ , 47
  - standardness, 70
- Severi-Brauer variety, 130–131
- standard pseudo-reductive group
  - absolutely pseudo-simple case, 89
  - characterization, 71
  - construction, 17–19
  - counterexamples for types B and C, 97
  - reduced root system, 65
  - separable field extension, 70
- strict birational group law, 106
- Tits system, 39
- Tits-style classification, 126–128
- torus centralizer, 12
- unipotent group scheme, 21
- universal smooth  $k$ -tame central extension, 70, 140
- very special isogeny, 91–92
- very special quotient, 91
- Weil restriction, 5
  - anisotropic kernel, 126
  - definition, 9
  - minimal type, 73
  - properties, 5, 14–16
  - pseudo-completeness, 40
  - pseudo-parabolicity, 23
  - pseudo-reductivity, 6
  - $Z_{G,C}$ , 122
- Weyl group, 32

STANFORD UNIVERSITY, STANFORD, CA 94305  
*E-mail address:* `conrad@math.stanford.edu`

UNIVERSITY OF MICHIGAN, ANN ARBOR, MI 48109  
*E-mail address:* `gprasad@umich.edu`