

1. (a) The union of a chain of non-finitely generated submodules is a submodule: any two elements belong to one of the modules in the chain, and, hence the union has the closure properties needed to be a submodule. If the union Q is finitely generated, each of the generators m_k is in one of the modules N_k in the chain. Then all of them would belong to the largest submodule N among the N_k , and they would generate Q . It follows that $N = Q$, is finitely generated, a contradiction. Hence, Zorn's lemma applies. \square

(b) If I were not prime and a, b as specified, then $I + aR$ and $I :_R a \supseteq I + bR$ strictly contain I , and have finite sets of generators, say $i_1 + r_1a_1, \dots, r_h + r_ha_h$ and g_1, \dots, g_k . Then $i_1, \dots, i_h, g_1a, \dots, g_ka \in I$. We claim they generate I . For if $i \in I$, then $i \in I + Ra$ and so we may write $i = u_1(i_1 + ar_1) \cdots + u_h(i_h + ar_h) = (u_1r_1 + \cdots + u_hr_h) + au$, and where $u = u_1r_1 + \cdots + u_hr_h$. But then $ua \in I$, and so $u \in I :_R a$ and can be written as $r'_1g_1 + \cdots + r'_kg_k$, and it follows that $i \in (i_1, \dots, i_h, g_1a, \dots, g_ka)R$. \square

2. Primes of S lying over P are those that contain PS and are disjoint from $R - P$. These correspond bijectively via contraction (which is order-preserving) to the primes of the fiber $(R - P)^{-1}R[x]/PR[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cong (R - P)^{-1}(R/P)[x_1, \dots, x_n] \cong \kappa[x]$, where κ is the field $(R - P)^{-1}(R/P)$. But $\kappa[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ has Krull dimension n by a class theorem. Hence a chain of primes lying over P corresponds to a chain of primes in $\kappa[x_1, \dots, x_n]$, and so has length at most n . \square

3. If R is Noetherian, I is evidently finitely generated. Each generator is a finite sum of homogeneous components, and these are homogeneous generators. Conversely, let F_1, \dots, F_m be homogeneous generators of I with respective positive degrees d_1, \dots, d_m . If $G \in R$, let $[G]_k$ denote the homogeneous component of G in degree k . Since every element of R is a sum of homogeneous components, it will suffice to prove by induction on the degree of a homogeneous element $r \in R$ that $r \in R_0[F_1, \dots, F_m]$. If the degree h of r is 0, then $r \in R_0$ and this is clear. If $h > 0$ then $h \in I$ and we may write $r = \sum_{j=0}^m F_j G_j$ with $G_j \in R$. Comparing the elements of degree h on both sides, we have that $r = \sum_{j=0}^m F_j [G_j]_{h-d_j}$. Since every $d_j \geq 1$, the degree of every $[G_j]_{h-d_j} < h$. By the induction hypothesis, every $[G_j]_{h-d_j} \in R_0[F_1, \dots, F_m]$, and so the same is true for r .

4. By Proposition 5.11(e) of the lecture notes, it suffices to show that $R = K[x_i y_j : 1 \leq i \leq r, 1 \leq j \leq s]$ is direct summand of $S = K[x_1, \dots, x_r, y_1, \dots, y_s]$ as an R -module, since the polynomial ring S is a UFD and therefore normal. It is easy to see that R is spanned over K by all monomials $\mu\nu$ where μ is a monomial in x_1, \dots, x_r , ν is a monomial in y_1, \dots, y_s , and $\deg(\mu) = \deg(\nu)$. Let W be the span of all monomials $\mu\nu$ where μ is a monomial in x_1, \dots, x_r , ν is a monomial in y_1, \dots, y_s , and $\deg(\mu) \neq \deg(\nu)$. As a K -vector space, $S = R \oplus W$. To complete the proof, it will suffice to show that W is an R -module. But this follows from the distributive law and the fact that if $\mu\nu \in R$ and $\mu'\nu' \in W$, then their product $(\mu\mu')(\nu\nu') \in W$.

5. Since $(a^3)^2 = (a^2)^3$ the map is well-defined. Given $b, c \in K$ such that $b^2 = c^3$, note that $b = 0$ iff $c = 0$ and $(0, 0)$ is the image of 0. If $b, c \neq 0$, then $(b/c)^2 = b^2/c^2 = c^3/c^2 = c$ and $(b/c)^3 = b^3/c^3 = b^3/b^2 = b$. This shows the map is surjective. Finally if $(a_1^2, a_1^3) = (a_2^2, a_2^3)$ then $a_1 = 0$ iff $a_2 = 0$ while if both are nonzero then $a_1 = a_1^3/a_1^2 = a_2^3/a_2^2 = a_2$. Thus, the map is injective as well, and is bijective. The corresponding map of coordinate rings is

$K[x_1, x_2]/(x_1^2 - x_2^3)$ (the polynomial in the denominator is irreducible and therefore prime) $\rightarrow K[x]$ such that $x_1 \mapsto x^3$ and $x_2 \mapsto x^2$. The image is $K[x^2, x^3] \subseteq K[x]$, a proper subring, and so, by the category anti-equivalence, the map is not an isomorphism: its inverse is not regular over K . Note that the surjection $K[x_1, x_2]/(x_1^2 - x_2^3) \rightarrow K[x^2, x^3]$ is an isomorphism: both are domains of dimension 1, and so there cannot be a kernel. \square

(b) The map is a bijection, since every element of K has a unique p th root. Note that $a^p = b^p$ implies that $(a - b)^p = 0$ and so $a = b$. The corresponding map of coordinate rings is $K[x] \rightarrow K[x]$ such that $x \mapsto x^p$. Since $K[x^p]$ is a proper subring of $K[x]$, the map of coordinate rings is not an isomorphism, and by the category anti-equivalence, the map of algebraic sets is not an isomorphism. \square

6. If the subring is simply K the result is obvious. If not, it contains a nonzero monic polynomial f , and lies between $A = K[f]$ and $K[x]$. Since x satisfies the monic polynomial $f(X) - f = 0$ over $K[f]$, $K[x]$ is module-finite over the Noetherian ring $K[f]$, and so is a Noetherian module over $K[f]$. The K -algebra S is a submodule of $K[x]$ over $K[f]$, and so module-finite over $K[f]$. Hence, it is finitely generated as an algebra over $K[f]$ as well, and this implies it is a finitely generated K -algebra. \square

The corresponding result is false over Z . In the subring $S = Z[2x, 2x^2, 2x^3, \dots, 2x^n, \dots \in Z[x]$ the ideals $I_n = (2x, 2x^2, \dots, 2x^n)S$ form a strictly increasing chain. (The image T of this ring under the composite map $S \subseteq Z[x] \rightarrow (Z/4Z)[x]$ is $(Z/4)[u_1, \dots, u_n, \dots]$ where u_n is the image of $2x^n$, $n \geq 1$. In T , every u_n is killed by 2, and $u_i u_j = 0$ for all i, j , so T , as an abelian group, is the direct sum of $Z/4Z$ and the vector space V over Z_2 spanned by the u_i , which form a basis for V . The expansions of the ideals to T also give an infinite strictly ascending chain.) \square

EC5. The element u must be integral over R . The values of the monic polynomials in u with coefficients in R form a multiplicative system W . If u is not integral over R , then $0 \notin W$. Then there is a prime of S that does not meet W , and, hence, a minimal prime Q of S that does not meet W . The image of $u \bmod Q$ is not integral over $R/(Q \cap R)$, a contradiction. \square

EC6. Any prime will have to contain at least one variable from each monomial in I_k . The minimal primes are therefore generated by subsets of the variables minimal with respect to the property that they contain at least one variable from each consecutive string of $k + 1$ variables mod n . These correspond to minimal ascending sequences of indices $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_h \leq n$ (the subscripts on the variables occurring in the minimal prime) such that $i_{t+1} - i_t \leq k$ for $1 \leq t \leq h$, where $i_{h+1} = i_1 + n$: these differences give the lengths of the intervals of consecutive variables not used in the minimal prime. “Minimal” means that the condition fails if any i_t is omitted. The Krull dimension of the quotient is therefore $n - h$ where h is the smallest cardinality of a minimal ascending sequence of indices with the required property: this is the largest dimension one gets when one kills a minimal prime. We show that $h = \lceil \frac{n}{k+1} \rceil$. Since each variable occurs in $k + 1$ strings of consecutive variables and there are n such strings, we must use at least $n/(k + 1)$ variables to meet all the strings, and, hence, at least $h = \lceil n/(k + 1) \rceil$. But this value of h works: use the $x_{t(k+1)+1}$, $0 \leq t \leq h - 1$. \square