

**Math 615: Lecture of January 24, 2007**

**Hilbert functions**

Let  $M$  be a finitely generated graded module over  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , a polynomial ring over a field. The *Hilbert function*  $\text{Hilb}_M$  of  $M$  is defined by the formula

$$\text{Hilb}_M(d) = \dim_K([M]_d)$$

for all  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ . It is always 0 for  $d \ll 0$ . This means that

$$\mathfrak{P}_M(z) = \sum_{d \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{Hilb}_M(d) z^d,$$

so that the Hilbert function and the Hilbert-Poincaré series carry the same information.

Before going further, we consider what happens when  $M = R$ , in which case we know that

$$\mathfrak{P}(z) = \frac{1}{(1-z)^n} = (1-z)^{-n}.$$

We can evaluate the coefficients using Newton's binomial theorem, which is just a special case of Taylor's formula. Then coefficient of  $z^d$  is then

$$\frac{(-n)(-n-1)(-n-2) \cdots (-n-(d-1))}{d!} (-1)^d = \frac{n(n+1) \cdots (n+d-1)}{d!}$$

which is

$$\binom{n+d-1}{d} = \binom{d+n-1}{n-1}.$$

We can get the same formula from a purely combinatorial argument.  $\text{Hilb}(d)$  is the number of monomials  $x^\alpha$  where  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$  where the  $a_i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $a_1 + \dots + a_n = d$ . Each such monomial can be represented by a string containing  $d$  blanks  $_$  interspersed with  $n-1$  slashes  $/$ , where there are first  $a_1$  blanks, then a slash as a separator, then  $a_2$  blanks, then a slash as a separator, and so forth. The string will end with a slash, then  $a_{n-1}$  blanks, then a slash, and, finally  $a_n$  blanks. (For example, if  $n = 4$  and  $d = 8$ , the string corresponding to  $x_1^3 x_3 x_4^5$  is

\_ \_ \_ // \_ / \_ \_ \_ \_ .

This gives a bijection between monomials of degree  $d$  in  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  and strings of length  $d+n-1$  consisting of  $d$  blanks and  $n-1$  slashes. The number of such strings is determined

by the choice of which positions are occupied by the slashes among the  $d+n-1$  possibilities, and this is  $\binom{d+n-1}{n-1}$ .

In any case, we see that the Hilbert function of  $R$  agrees with  $\binom{d+n-1}{n-1}$  for all sufficiently large  $d$ , and this is a polynomial in  $d$  of degree  $n-1$ .

We can immediately derive the following result on Hilbert functions from the results we have on Hilbert-Poincaré series.

**Theorem.** *With hypothesis as the first paragraph, the Hilbert function of a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$  agrees with a polynomial of degree at most  $n-1$  in  $d$  for all  $d \gg 0$ .*

*Proof.* By the last statement of the Theorem given at the bottom of p. 4 and the top of p. 5 of the Lecture Notes of January 22, we know that the Hilbert-Poincaré series of  $\mathfrak{P}_M(z)$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of functions of the form  $\frac{z^c}{(1-z)^n}$  for  $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By the discussion above, for such a function the Hilbert function is given by  $\binom{d-c+n-1}{n-1}$  for  $d \gg 0$ , and this is a polynomial in  $d$  of degree  $n-1$ . When we take a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of such polynomials the highest degree terms may cancel, but the degree is still at most  $n-1$ .  $\square$

The polynomial that agrees with  $\text{Hilb}_M(d)$  for  $d \gg 0$  is called the *Hilbert polynomial* of  $M$ . Note that if one has a short exact sequence of finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded modules and degree preserving maps, say

$$0 \rightarrow M_0 \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0,$$

it follows that

$$\text{Hilb}(M_1) = \text{Hilb}(M_0) + \text{Hilb}(M_2),$$

just as in the case of Hilbert-Poincaré series. Obviously, the same holds for Hilbert polynomials. Likewise, if one has a finite exact sequence of finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded modules and degree preserving maps, the alternating sum of the Hilbert functions is 0, and the alternating sum of the Hilbert polynomials is likewise 0.

### The module of relations on a Gröbner basis: Schreyer's method

Let  $R = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$  be a polynomial ring over a field  $K$  and let  $F$  be a finitely generated free  $R$ -module with ordered basis  $b_1, \dots, b_s$  for which we have fixed a monomial order.

Let  $M \subseteq F$  be a submodule of  $F$  for which we have a Gröbner basis  $g_1, \dots, g_r$ . Consider the module  $N$  of relations on  $g_1, \dots, g_r$ , i.e.,

$$N = \{(f_1, \dots, f_r) \in R^r : \sum_{j=1}^r f_j g_j = 0\}.$$

It turns out that there is an almost unbelievably simple method for finding a finite set of generators for  $N$ : beyond that, for a suitably chosen monomial order on  $R^r$ , these generators a Gröbner basis for  $N$ . The method, which is due to Schreyer, is *very* closely related to the Buchberger criterion.

This means that once we have a Gröbner basis for  $M$ , we immediately get a Gröbner basis for  $N$ , which is a first module of syzygies of  $M$ . We are then immediately ready to find a module of syzygies of  $N$ , and we can continue in this way to get as many iterated modules of syzygies as we wish.

We shall use  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  as the ordered basis for  $R^r$ : it will be convenient to have a notation that distinguishes it from the ordered basis for  $F \cong R^s$ . Let  $\nu_j = \text{in}(g_j)$  for  $1 \leq j \leq r$ . We define a monomial order on  $R^r$  as follows: if  $\mu$  and  $\mu'$  are monomials in  $R$ , then  $\mu e_i > \mu' e_j$  if and only if  $\text{in}(\mu g_i) > \text{in}(\mu' g_j)$  (which is equivalent to  $\mu \nu_i > \mu' \nu_j$ ) or  $\text{in}(\mu g_i) = \text{in}(\mu' g_j)$  and  $i < j$ . It is quite straightforward to verify that this is a monomial order on  $R^r$ .

The Buchberger criterion provides certain relations on  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  which we shall refer to as *the standard relations*. These arise as follows: for each choice of  $i < j$ , we know that when we take some choice of standard expression for

$$\frac{\nu_j}{\text{GCD}(\nu_i, \nu_j)} g_i - \frac{\nu_i}{\text{GCD}(\nu_i, \nu_j)} g_j$$

with respect to division by  $g_1, \dots, g_r$ , we get remainder 0. This means that for each  $i < j$  we have

$$(\#_{ij}) \quad \frac{\nu_j}{\text{GCD}(\nu_i, \nu_j)} g_i - \frac{\nu_i}{\text{GCD}(\nu_i, \nu_j)} g_j = \sum_{k=1}^r q_{ijk} g_k$$

where every

$$\text{in}(q_{ijk} g_k) \leq \text{in}\left(\frac{\nu_j}{\text{GCD}(\nu_i, \nu_j)} g_i - \frac{\nu_i}{\text{GCD}(\nu_i, \nu_j)} g_j\right).$$

We obtain these relations because the remainders upon division must be 0. Note that, as in the case of Buchberger's criterion, it suffices to choose one standard expression: it need not be the result of the deterministic division algorithm.

The equation displayed in  $(\#_{ij})$  corresponds to a relation on the  $g_{ij}$ , namely

$$\rho_{ij} = \frac{\nu_j}{\text{GCD}(\nu_i, \nu_j)} e_i - \frac{\nu_i}{\text{GCD}(\nu_i, \nu_j)} e_j - \sum_{k=1}^r q_{ijk} e_k.$$

It is the relations  $\rho_{ij}$  that we refer to as the “standard” relations on  $g_1, \dots, g_r$ . They are not really unique, since the standard expressions for dividing by  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  are not unique, but, as we have already indicated, the result below is correct when one makes just one choice of standard expression for  $i < j$ . (Recall, however, that when one has a Gröbner basis  $g_1, \dots, g_r$ , the *remainder* upon division by  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  is unique, and will always be zero if the element one is dividing is in the  $R$ -span of  $g_1, \dots, g_r$ .) Here is the punchline:

**Theorem (Schreyer).** *Let notation be as above. Then the standard relations  $\rho_{ij}$  generate the module of relations on the Gröbner basis  $g_1, \dots, g_r$ . What is more, the relations  $\rho_{ij}$  form a Gröbner basis for the module of relations on the  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  with respect to the monomial order on  $R^r$  defined above.*

*Proof.* Of course, the second statement implies the first. We begin by studying

$$\text{in}(f_1e_1 + \dots + f_re_r)$$

for an arbitrary relation on  $g_1, \dots, g_r$ . All we need to do is show that each such initial term is a multiple of one of the  $\text{in}(\rho_{ij})$ . Each  $\nu_i = \text{in}(g_i)$  involves one element of the free basis  $b_1, \dots, b_s$  for the original free module  $R^e$ : call this element  $b_{L(i)}$ . Then the monomial  $\mu$  in  $f_i$  that gives rise to the largest term of  $f_ie_i$  after multiplying out is the same monomial  $\mu$  that gives the largest term in  $f_ig_i$ , and this is  $\text{in}_{>L(i)}(f_i)\nu_i$  by the displayed formula ( $\dagger$ ) on p. 2 of the Lecture Notes of January 19. It follows that the largest term in  $f_ie_i$  is  $\text{in}_{>L(i)}e_i$ . Thus,  $\text{in}(f_1e_1 + \dots + f_re_r)$  may be described as follows. Consider the largest initial term for any  $f_ig_i$ , call it  $\nu$ , and choose the smallest  $i$  such that  $\nu$  is  $\text{in}(f_ig_i)$ , up to a nonzero scalar multiple. Then  $\text{in}(f_1e_1 + \dots + f_re_r)$  is  $\text{in}(f_ie_i) = \text{in}_{>L(i)}(f_i)e_i$  for this smallest value of  $i$ .

This is precisely the same use of  $\nu$  as in the proof of the Buchberger criterion in the Lecture Notes of January 19.

We next want to understand  $\text{in}(\rho_{ij})$ . In the equations ( $\#_{ij}$ ) from which the  $\rho_{ij}$  are derived, the initial terms of the two products on the left hand side are the same, and cancel, while the initial term of every  $q_{ijk}f_k$  is  $\leq$  the initial term on the left. Hence, the initial term of every  $q_{ijk}f_k$  is strictly smaller than the initial terms of the two products on the left hand side. When we replace the equation by  $\rho_{ij}$ , there is no cancellation, because  $g_i$  and  $g_j$  on the left have been replaced by  $e_i$  and  $e_j$ . Thus, the initial term of  $\rho_{ij}$  is  $\frac{\nu_j}{\text{GCD}(\nu_i, \nu_j)}e_i$ .

Since  $f_1g_1 + \dots + f_rg_r = 0$ , the initial terms of products  $f_jg_j$  that are, up to a nonzero scalar multiple, equal to  $\nu$  must cancel. Suppose the products that have  $c\nu$  as initial term for  $c \in K - \{0\}$  are indexed by  $j_1, \dots, j_h$  where  $j_1 < \dots < j_h$ . Let  $\mu_j = \text{in}_{>L(j)}(f_j)$ .

Then each  $\mu_{j_t}\nu_{j_t}$  has the form  $c_t\nu$  for  $c_t \in K - \{0\}$ , where  $1 \leq t \leq h$ , and the sum of the  $c_t$  is 0. With this notation, we have that

$$\text{in}(f_1e_1 + \dots + f_re_r) = \mu_{j_1}e_{j_1}.$$

We also have the relation  $\sum_{t=1}^h \mu_t\nu_t = 0$ . Exactly as in the proof of the Buchberger criterion, this means that  $(\mu_1, \dots, \mu_h)$  is a homogeneous linear combination, with coefficients

that are terms in  $R$ , of the relations  $\theta_{ij}$ : see the displayed line (#) near the top of p. 4 of the Lecture Notes of January 19 and the preceding discussion. However, in fact, we only need those  $\theta_{ij}$  such that  $i = j_a < j_b = j$ . This means that  $\mu_{j_1}$  must be a multiple, by a term in  $R$ , of the coefficient of  $e_{j_1}$  in some  $\theta_{j_1 j_t}$  for  $t > 1$ . But this also means precisely that  $\mu_{j_1} e_1$  is a multiple of  $\text{in}(\rho_{j_1 j_t})$  for some  $t > 1$ .  $\square$

### Finding the relations on elements that are not a Gröbner basis

We next want to address the problem of finding a basis for the relations on  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  when these elements are not necessarily a Gröbner basis for their span in  $F$ . The first step is to enlarge this set of elements to a Gröbner basis using the Buchberger algorithm. Note that if another generator  $h_{ij}$  is needed, it arises as a remainder for division of some

$$\frac{\nu_j}{\text{GCD}(\nu_i, \nu_j)} g_i - \frac{\nu_i}{\text{GCD}(\nu_i, \nu_j)} g_j$$

by  $g_1, \dots, g_r$ , and so we will have a formula

$$h_{ij} = \frac{\nu_j}{\text{GCD}(\nu_i, \nu_j)} g_i - \frac{\nu_i}{\text{GCD}(\nu_i, \nu_j)} g_j - \sum_{j=1}^r q_j g_j,$$

so that we will be able to keep track of  $h_{ij}$  as an  $R$ -linear combination of the original  $g_1, \dots, g_r$ . As we successively find new elements of the Gröbner basis, each can be expressed as an  $R$ -linear combination of its predecessors, and then as an  $R$ -linear combination of the original  $g_1, \dots, g_r$ .

Suppose that the Gröbner basis that we find is  $g_1, \dots, g_{r+k}$ , where we might as well assume that  $k > 0$ , or we already have a method. Moreover, we may assume that for  $1 \leq i \leq k$  we have a formula

$$(**_i) \quad g_{r+i} = \sum_{j=1}^r f_{ij} g_j$$

We can now construct a surjective  $R$ -linear map from the module of relations on the Gröbner basis  $g_1, \dots, g_{r+k}$  onto the module of relations on  $g_1, \dots, g_r$ . This is really the obvious thing to do: given the equation of a relation

$$u_1 g_1 + \dots + u_r g_r + v_1 g_{r+1} + \dots + v_k g_{r+k} = 0$$

we may substitute using the equations  $(**_i)$  to express  $g_{r+1}, \dots, g_{r+k}$  in terms of  $g_1, \dots, g_r$ , and then collect terms to get a relation on  $g_1, \dots, g_r$ :

$$(u_1 + v_1 f_{11} + \dots + v_k f_{k1}) g_1 + \dots + (u_r + v_1 f_{1r} + \dots + v_k f_{kr}) g_r = 0.$$

Thus, our map sends the vector  $(u_1, \dots, u_r, v_1, \dots, v_k)$  to the vector whose  $j$ th entry is  $u_j + v_1 f_{1j} + \dots + v_k f_{kj}$ . This map is clearly linear. Moreover,  $(u_1, \dots, u_r, 0, 0, \dots, 0)$  maps to  $(u_1, \dots, u_r)$ , which shows that the map is surjective.

Thus, a basis for the relations on  $g_1, \dots, g_{r+k}$  maps onto a basis for the relations for  $g_1, \dots, g_r$ . Since  $g_1, \dots, g_{r+k}$  is a Gröbner basis, we know how to find a basis for the relations, and we can then apply the map to get a basis for the relations on  $g_1, \dots, g_r$ .

### Finding generators for the intersection of two submodules of a free module

Suppose that we have generators  $g_1, \dots, g_r$  for  $M \subseteq F$ , and generators  $g'_1, \dots, g'_s$  for  $N \subseteq F$ . We want to find generators for  $M \cap N$ . Given any element of  $M \cap N$ , it can be written as an  $R$ -linear combination of the elements  $g_1, \dots, g_r$ , and also as an  $R$ -linear combination of the elements  $g'_1, \dots, g'_s$ . This leads to an equation

$$(\#) \quad f_1 g_1 + \dots + f_r g_r = f'_1 g'_1 + \dots + f'_s g'_s,$$

so that  $(f_1, \dots, f_r, -f'_1, \dots, -f'_s)$  is a relation on  $g_1, \dots, g_r, g'_1, \dots, g'_s$ . (The original element is the common value of the two sides of the equation (#).) Conversely, given a relation, say  $(f_1, \dots, f_{r+s})$ , on  $g_1, \dots, g_r, g'_1, \dots, g'_s$ , we have that

$$f_1 g_1 + \dots + f_r g_r = (-f_{r+1}) g'_1 + \dots + (-f_{r+s}) g'_s,$$

so that the left hand side represents an element of  $M \cap N$ . It follows that we have a surjection from the module  $Q$  of relations on  $g_1, \dots, g_r, g'_1, \dots, g'_s$  onto  $M \cap N$  that sends  $(f_1, \dots, f_{r+s}) \mapsto f_1 g_1 + \dots + f_r g_r$ . Therefore, we can find a basis for  $Q$ , which we already know how to do, and apply the map to obtain a basis for  $M \cap N$ .