Math 615: Lecture of March 5, 2007

We next want to prove that the algebraic torus $GL(1, K)^s$, which we shall refer to simply as a *torus*, is linearly reductive, as asserted earlier, over every algebraically closed field K, regardless of characteristic. The notation G_m is also used for the multiplicative group of K viewed as a linear algebraic group via its isomorphism with GL(1, K).

Until further notice, K denotes an algebraically closed field. Let G be any linear algebraic group over K. Let K[G] be its coordinate ring, whose elements may be thought of as the regular maps of the closed algebraic set G to K. (This notation has some danger of ambiguity, since K[G] is also used to denote the group ring of G over K, but we shall only use this notation for the coordinate ring here.) The right action of G on itself by multiplication (i.e., γ acts so that $\eta \mapsto \eta \gamma$) induces a (left) action of G on the K-vector space K[G]. Thus, if $f \in K[G]$, $\gamma(f)$ denotes the function whose value on $\eta \in G$ is $f(\eta \gamma)$. Since right multiplication by γ is a regular map of $G \to G$, the composition with $f: G \to K$ is also regular.

Discussion: regularity of the action of G on K[G]. We study the map

$$G \times K[G] \to K[G]$$

and prove that it gives an action in our sense. Let $f \in K[G]$. Let μ be the multiplication map $G \times G \to G$. The function $(\eta, \gamma) \mapsto f(\eta\gamma)$ is the composite $f \circ \mu$, and so is a regular function on $G \times G$. Therefore, it is an element of

$$K[G \times G] \cong K[G] \otimes_K K[G],$$

and consequently can be written in the form

$$\sum_{i=1}^k g_i \otimes h_i$$

where the $g_i, h_i \in K[G]$. This means that for every fixed γ ,

(*)
$$\gamma(f) = \sum_{t=1}^{k} h_t(\gamma) g_t.$$

Hence, all of the functions $\gamma(f)$ are in the K-span of the g_i , and this is finite-dimensional. It follows that K[G] is a union of finite-dimensional G-stable subspaces V. Let f_1, \ldots, f_n be a basis for one such V. For every f_i in the basis we have a formula like (*) of the form

$$(*_i) \quad \gamma(f_i) = \sum_{t=1}^k h_{it}(\gamma)g_{it}.$$

A priori, k may vary with i but we can work with the largest value of k that occurs. Hence, for $c_1, \ldots, c_n \in K^n$ we have

(**)
$$\gamma(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i f_i) = \sum_{t=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i h_{it}(\gamma) g_{it}.$$

Let Θ be a K-vector space retraction of the K-span of the g_{it} to V. Since Θ fixes the element on the left hand side, which is in V, applying Θ to both sides yields:

(#)
$$\gamma(\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i f_i) = \sum_{t=1}^{k} \sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i h_{it}(\gamma) \Theta(g_{it}).$$

Here, each $\Theta(g_{it})$ is a fixed linear combination of f_1, \ldots, f_n , and although we do not carry this out explicitly, the right hand side can now be rewritten as a linear combination of f_1, \ldots, f_n such that coefficients occurring are polynomials in the regular functions h_{it} on G and the coefficients c_1, \ldots, c_n parametrizing $V \cong K^n$. It follows at once that the action of G on V is regular for every such V. \Box

We next note:

Theorem. Let G be a linear algebraic group over a field K, and let N be a fnite dimensional G-module. Then N is isomorphic with a submodule of $K[G]^{\oplus h}$ for some h.

Proof. Let $\theta: N \to K$ be an arbitrary K-linear map. We define a K-linear map

$$\theta^{\vee}: N \to K[G]$$

which will turn out to be a map of G-modules as follows: if $v \in N$, let $\theta^{\vee}(v)$ denote the function on G whose value on $\gamma \in G$ is $\theta(\gamma(v))$. Since the map $G \times N \to N$ that gives the action of G on N is a regular map, for fixed $v \in N$ the composite

$$G \cong G \times \{v\} \subseteq G \times N \to N$$

is a regular map from $G \to N$ whose composite with the linear functional $\theta : N \to K$ is evidently regular as well. Hence, $\theta^{\vee}(v) \in K[G]$. This map is clearly linear in v, since θ and the action of γ on N are K-linear. Moreover, for any $\eta \in G$ and $v \in N$, $\theta^{\vee}(\eta(v)) = \eta(\theta^{\vee}(v))$: the value of either one on $\gamma \in G$ is, from the appropriate definition, $\theta(\gamma(\eta(v)))$.

Choose a basis $\theta_1, \ldots, \theta_h$ for $\operatorname{Hom}_K(N, K)$. Then the map $N \to K[G]^{\oplus h}$ that sends $v \mapsto \theta_1^{\vee}(v) \oplus \cdots \oplus \theta_h^{\vee}(v)$ is a *G*-module injection of *N* into $K[G]^{\oplus h}$. To see this, note that if $v \neq 0$, it is part of a basis, and there is a linear functional whose value on v is not 0. It follows that for some $i, \theta_i(v) \neq 0$. But then $\theta_i^{\vee}(v) \neq 0$, since its value on the identity element of *G* is $\theta_i(v) \neq 0$. \Box

Lemma. If M is G-module and is a direct sum of irreducibles $\{N_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, then every G-submodule N of M is isomorphic to the direct sum of the irreducibles in a subfamily of $\{N_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, and N has a complement that is the (internal) direct sum of a subfamily of the $\{N_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$.

Proof. Let N be a given submodule of M. We first construct a complement N' of the specified form. By Zorn's Lemma there is a maximal subfamily of $\{N_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ whose (direct) sum N' is disjoint from N. We claim that $M = N \oplus N'$. We need only check that M = N + N'. If not, some irreducible N_{λ_0} in the family is not contained in N + N'. But then its intersection with N + N' must be 0, and we can enlarge the subfamily by using N_{λ_0} as well.

By the same argument, N' has a complement N'' in M that is a direct sum of a subfamily of $\{N_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. Then since $M = N \oplus N'$, $N \cong M/N'$, while since $M = N'' \oplus N'$, $M/N' \cong N''$. Thus, $N \cong N''$, which shows that N is isomorphic with a direct sum of a subfamily of the irreducibles as required. \Box

Corollary of the Theorem. If G is a linear algebraic group over K and K[G] is a direct sum of irreducible G-modules $\{N_{\lambda}\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, then G is linearly reductive, and every G-module is isomorphic to a direct sum of irreducible G-modules in this family. In particular, up to isomorphism, every irreducible G-module is in this family.

Proof. By the Theorem above, every finite-dimensional G-module N is a submodule of $K[G]^{\oplus h}$ for some h, and this module is evidently a direct sum of irreducibles from the same family. The result now follows from the Lemma just above. \Box

We next want to apply this Corollary to the case where $G = GL(1, K)^s$ is a torus. Fix an *s*-tuple of integers $k_1, \ldots, k_s \in \mathbb{Z}^s$. One example of an action of G on a one-dimensional vector space Kx is the action such that $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_s)$ sends

$$x \mapsto \gamma_1^{k_1} \cdots \gamma_s^{k_s} x$$

for all $\gamma \in G$. Because the vector space is one-dimensional, this *G*-module is clearly irreducible. We can now prove that for this *G*, every *G*-module is a direct sum of irreducibles of this type.

Theorem. Let K be a field and let $G = GL(1, K)^s$ be a torus. Then G is linearly reductive, and every G-module is a direct sum of one-dimensional G-modules of the type described just above.

Proof. K[G] is the tensor product of s copies of the coordinate ring of GL(1, K), and may be identified with $K[x_1, x_1^{-1}, \ldots, x_s, x_s^{-1}]$. The action of G on this ring is such that $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_s)$ sends $x_i \mapsto \gamma_i x_i, 1 \leq i \leq s$. It follows at once that $\mu = x_1^{k_1} \cdots x_s^{k_s}$, where $(k_1, \ldots, k_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s$, is mapped to $\gamma_1^{k_1} \cdots \gamma_s^{k_s} \mu$ for every $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_s) \in G$, and so K[G]is the direct sum of copies of G-modules as described just above, one for every monomial μ . The result is now immediate from the Corollary of the Theorem. \Box Discussion: degree-preserving actions of a torus on a polynomial ring. We keep the assumption that K is an algebraically field, although we shall occasionally be able to relax it in the statements of some results: this will always be made explicit. The last statement in the Theorem below is an example.

Let $G = \operatorname{GL}(1, K)^s$ act by degree-preserving K-algebra automorphisms on the polynomial ring R in n variables over K so that R is a G-module. Giving such an action is the same as making the one forms $[R]_1$ of R into a G-module: the action then extends uniquely and automatically to R. Given such an action we may write $[R]_1$ as a direct sum of onedimensional irreducible G-modules as above. Therefore, we may choose a basis x_1, \ldots, x_n for $[R]_1$ over K so that for every j, Kx_j is a G-stable submodule. It follows that for every j we can choose integers $k_{1,j}, \ldots, k_{s,j} \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that for all $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_s) \in G, \gamma$ sends

$$x_j \mapsto \gamma_1^{k_{1,j}} \cdots \gamma_s^{k_{s,j}} x_j.$$

Thus, the action of G on $R = K[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is completely determined by the $s \times n$ matrix $(k_{i,j})$ of integers. Every action comes from such a matrix, and for every such matrix there is a corresponding action.

Now consider any monomial $\mu = x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ of R. For all $\gamma = (\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_s) \in G$, γ sends

$$\mu \mapsto \left(\prod_{i=1}^{s} (\gamma_i^{k_{i,1}a_1 + \dots + k_{i,n}a_n})\right) \mu.$$

It is now easy to see that the ring of invariants is spanned over K by all monomials $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_n^{a_n}$ such that the s homogeneous linear equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} k_{i,j} a_j = 0$$

are satisfied.

We have proved:

Theorem. A ring generated by monomials arises as the ring of invariants of an action of a torus as above if and only if the ring is spanned over K by the monomials x^{α} where α runs through the solutions in \mathbb{N}^n of some family of s homogenous linear equations over \mathbb{Z} in n unknowns. Consequently, any such ring is Cohen-Macaulay, whether the field is algebraically closed or not. \Box

Of course, the Cohen-Macaulay property follows because of our result on rings of invariants of linearly reductive linear algebraic groups acting on polynomial rings. If the field Kis not algebraically closed, we may use the fact that the Cohen-Macaulay property is not affected when we tensor over K with its algebraic closure \overline{K} : see problem 4(d) of Problem Set #2 and its solution. Example: the ring defined by the vanishing of the 2×2 minors of a generic matrix. Let G = GL(1, K) acting on $K[x_1, \ldots, x_r, y_1, \ldots, y_s]$, where $x_1, \ldots, x_r, y_1, \ldots, y_s$ are r+s algebraically independent elements, so that if $\gamma \in G$, then $x_i \mapsto \gamma x_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and $y_i \mapsto \gamma^{-1}y_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Here, there is only one copy of the multiplicative group, and so there is only one equation in the system:

$$x_1^{a_1}\cdots x_r^{a_r}y_1^{b_1}\cdots y_s^{b_s}$$

is invariant if and only if

$$a_1 + \dots + a_r - b_1 - \dots - b_s = 0.$$

That is, the ring of invariants is spanned over K by all monomials μ such that the total degree of μ in the variables x_1, \ldots, x_r , which is $a_1 + \cdots + a_r$, is equal to the total degree of μ in the variables y_1, \ldots, y_s , which is $b_1 + \cdots + b_s$.

Each such monomial can written as product of terms $x_i y_j$, usually not uniquely, by pairing each of the x_i occurring in the monomial with one of the y_j occurring. It follows that

$$R^G = K[x_i y_j : 1 \le i \le r, 1 \le j \le s].$$

Consider an $r \times s$ matrix of new indeterminates $Z = (z_{i,j})$. There is a K-algebra surjection

$$K[Z] \twoheadrightarrow K[x_i y_j : 1 \le i \le r, 1 \le j \le s] = R^G$$

that sends $z_{i,j} \mapsto x_i y_j$ for all i and j. The ideal $I_2(Z)$ is easily checked to be in the kernel, so that we have a surjection $K[Z]/I_2(Z) \to R^G$. It is now easy to check that this map is injective, given the result of problem 6. of Problem Set #3, namely, that $I_2(Z)$ is prime. Assuming the result of problem 6, let \mathcal{F} be the fraction field of the domain $D = K[Z]/I_2(Z)$, and let $\overline{z}_{i,j}$ be the image of $z_{i,j}$. It is clear that $z_{1,1}$ has too small a degree to be in $I_2(Z)$, and so $\overline{z}_{1,1} \neq 0$. Since the 2×2 minors of the image \overline{Z} of Z vanish, the matrix \overline{Z} has rank 1 over \mathcal{F} . It follows that the i th row of \overline{Z} is $\overline{z}_{i,1}/\overline{z}_{1,1}$ times the first row. Define a a K-algebra map $K[x_1, \ldots, x_r, y_1, \ldots, y_s] \to \mathcal{F}$ by $x_i \mapsto \overline{z}_{i,1}/\overline{z}_{1,1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq r$ and and $y_j \mapsto \overline{z}_{1,j}$ for $1 \leq j \leq s$. Then the restriction to R^G is a K-algebra map $R^G \to K[Z]/I_2(Z)$ that sends $x_i y_j \mapsto \overline{z}_{i,j}$ for all i, j and so is an inverse for ϕ . \Box

We can now conclude:

Theorem. Let Z be an $r \times s$ matrix of indeterminates over any field K. Then $K[Z]/I_2(Z)$ is a Cohen-Macaulay domain. \Box

We want to prove a somewhat more general result. Recall that a domain D is called *normal* or *integrally closed* if every element of its fraction field that is integral over D is in D.

Theorem. Let x_1, \ldots, x_n be indeterminates over the field K and let S be any finitely generated normal subring of $K[x_1, 1/x_1, \ldots, x_n, 1/x_n]$ generated by monomials. Then S is Cohen-Macaulay.

Recall that if \mathcal{M} is a semigroup under multiplication with identity 1, disjoint from the ring B, the semigroup ring $B\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle$ is the free B-module with basis \mathcal{M} with multiplication defined so that if $b, b' \in B$ and $\mu, \mu' \in \mathcal{M}$ then $(b\mu)(b'\mu') = (bb')(\mu\mu')$. The general rule for multiplication is then forced by the distributive law. More precisely,

$$\sum_{i} b_i \mu_i \sum_{j} b'_j \mu'_j = \sum_{\nu} (\sum_{\mu_i \mu'_j = \nu} b_i b'_j) \nu$$

where $\mu, \mu' \in \mathcal{M}$. It is understood that there are only finitely many nonzero terms in each summation on the left hand side, and this forces the same to be true in the summation on the right hand side.

We will prove the Theorem by showing that each such ring can be obtained from a monomial ring which has the Cohen-Macaulay property by virtue of our Theorem on rings of invariants of tori by adjoining variables and their inverses.

We shall therefore want to characterize the semigroups of exponent vectors in \mathbb{N}^n corresponding to rings of invariants of tori. We already know that such a semigroup is the set of solutions of a finite system of homogeneous linear equations with integer coefficients (we could also say rational coefficients, since an equation can be replace by a nonzero integer multiple to clear denominators). That is, such a semigroup is the intersection of a vector subspace of \mathbb{Q}^n with \mathbb{N}^n . It also follows that H is a such a semigroup if and only if it has the following two properties:

- (1) If $\alpha, \alpha' \in H$ and $\beta = \alpha \alpha' \in \mathbb{N}^n$ then $\beta \in H$.
- (2) If $\beta \in \mathbb{N}^n$ and $k\beta \in H$ for some integer k > 0, then $\beta \in H$.

If H is the intersection of a \mathbb{Q} -subspace of \mathbb{Q}^n with \mathbb{N}^n , then it must be the intersection of the subspace it spans with N. The abelian group that H spans is

$$H - H = \{ \alpha - \alpha' : \alpha, \, \alpha' \in H \}.$$

Let $\mathbb{Q}^+ = \{ u \in \mathbb{Q} : u > 0 \}$. The vector space that H spans is then

$$\mathbb{Q}^+(H-H) = \{ u\beta : u \in \mathbb{Q}^+, \beta \in H-H \}$$

In fact, this vector space is also

$$\bigcup_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left(H - H \right)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{m}(H-H) = \{\frac{\beta}{m} : \beta \in H-H\}.$$

The fact that H is the intersection of a \mathbb{Q} -vector subspace of \mathbb{Q}^n with \mathbb{N}^n if and only if (1) and (2) hold follows at once.