

## Math 615: Lecture of March 16, 2007

We next want to study weakly F-rings, i.e., Noetherian rings of prime characteristic  $p > 0$  such that every ideal is tightly closed. Until further notice, all given rings  $R$  are assumed to be Noetherian, of prime characteristic  $p > 0$ .

**Proposition.** *The tight closure of the  $(0)$  ideal in  $R$  is the ideal of all nilpotent elements. Hence, if  $(0) = (0)^*$ , the  $R$  is reduced. In particular, every weakly F-regular ring is reduced.*

*Proof.* If  $u$  is nilpotent then  $1 \cdot u^q = 0$  for all  $q \gg 0$ . Conversely, if  $c \in R^\circ$  and  $cu^q = 0$  for all  $q \gg 0$ , then for every minimal prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  we have that  $cu^q \in \mathfrak{p}$  for some  $q$ . Since  $c \notin \mathfrak{p}$ , we have that  $u^q \in \mathfrak{p}$  and so  $u \in \mathfrak{p}$ . But the intersection of the minimal primes is the set of nilpotent elements of  $R$ , and so  $u$  is nilpotent. The remaining statements are now obvious.  $\square$

**Proposition.** *Suppose that  $R = S \times T$  is a product ring, with  $S, T \neq 0$ . Then for every ideal  $I \times J$  of  $S \times T$ , where  $I \subseteq S$  and  $J \subseteq T$  are ideals,  $(I \times J)_R^* = I_S^* \times J_T^*$ .*

*Proof.* The first point is that  $(S \times T)^\circ = (S^\circ) \times (T^\circ)$ . Hence if  $cs^q \in I^{[q]}$  for all  $q \gg 0$  and  $dt^q \in J^{[q]}$  for all  $q \gg 0$ , we have that

$$(c, d)(s, t)^q \in I^{[q]} \times J^{[q]} = (I \times J)^{[q]}$$

for all  $q \gg 0$ . The converse is also immediate.  $\square$

**Corollary.** *A finite product  $R_1 \times \cdots \times R_h$  is weakly F-regular if and only if every factor is weakly F-regular.*  $\square$

**Theorem.** *If every principal ideal of  $R$  is tightly closed, then  $R$  is a product of normal domains.*

*Proof.* The fact that  $(0) = (0)^*$  implies that  $R$  is reduced. We first show that  $R$  is a product of domains. If there are two or more minimal primes, the minimal primes can be partitioned into two nonempty sets. Call the intersection of one set  $I$  and the intersection of the other set  $J$ . Then  $I \cap J = 0$ , and  $I + J$  is not contained in any minimal prime  $\mathfrak{p}$ , for otherwise,  $\mathfrak{p}$  would have to contain both a minimal prime of  $I$  and a minimal prime of  $J$ , and would be equal to both of these. Hence we can choose  $f \in I$  and  $g \in J$  such that  $f + g$  is not in any minimal prime of  $R$ , and so is a nonzerodivisor. Note that  $fg \in I \cap J$ , and so  $fg = 0$ . Now

$$(f + g)f^q = f^{q+1} = f(f + g)^q$$

for all  $q$ , so that  $f \in (f + g)^* = (f + g)R$ . Thus, we can choose  $r \in R$  such that  $f = r(f + g) = rf + rg$ , and the  $f - rf = rg$ . Since  $f \in I$  and  $g \in J$ , both sides must vanish, and so  $f = rf$  and  $rg = 0$ . Now  $r(f + g) = rf = f$ , and

$$r^2(f + g) = r(rf + rg) = r(f + 0) = rf = f,$$

so that

$$(f + g)(r^2 - r) = 0.$$

Since  $f + g$  is not a zerodivisor, we have that  $r^2 - r = 0$ . Since  $rf = f$  is not 0 (or  $f + g$  would be in the minimal primes containing  $g$ )  $r \neq 0$ . Since  $rg = 0$ ,  $r \neq 1$ . Therefore,  $R$  contains a non-trivial idempotent, and is a product of two rings. Both have the property that principal ideals are tightly closed, because a principal ideal of  $S \times T$  is the product of a principal ideal of  $S$  and a principal ideal of  $T$ , and we may apply the Proposition above.

We may apply this argument repeatedly and so write  $R$  as a finite product of rings with the property that every principal ideal is tightly closed, and such that none of the factors is a product. Each of the factors must have just one minimal prime, and so is a domain. It remains to see that if principal ideals are tightly closed in a domain  $R$ , then  $R$  is normal. Suppose that  $f, g \in R$ ,  $g \neq 0$ , and  $f/g$  is integral over  $R$ . Let  $S = R[f/g]$ , which is module-finite over  $R$ . Then  $f = g(f/g) \in gS$ , and so  $f \in (gR)^*$ . But  $(gR)^* = gR$ , and so  $f \in gR$ , i.e.,  $f/g \in R$ , as required.  $\square$

We next want to show that, under mild conditions on  $R$ , if  $R$  is weakly F-regular then  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay. Before giving the proof, we make some comments about Cohen-Macaulay rings in general.

### Cohen-Macaulay rings

In this section, we assume that given rings are Noetherian, but make no assumption about the characteristic. In particular, given rings need not contain a field.

We have defined the notion of a Cohen-Macaulay ring in the case of a finitely generated  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded  $K$ -algebra  $R$  with  $R_0 = K$ . We have also defined the notion of a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, and define a Noetherian ring to be Cohen-Macaulay if all of its local rings are Cohen-Macaulay. We first note:

**Lemma.** *Let  $(R, m, K)$  be a local ring and let  $I$  be an ideal of height  $h$  in  $R$ . Then there is a sequence of elements  $x_1, \dots, x_h$  in  $I$  that is part of a system of parameters for  $R$ .*

*Proof.* If  $h = 0$  we may take the empty sequence. If  $h \geq 1$ , then  $I$  is not contained in the union of the minimal primes of  $R$ , or else we would have that  $I$  is contained in one of them and has height 0. Choose  $x_1 \in I$  not in any minimal prime of  $R$ . Then  $x_1$  is part of a system of parameters. We use induction. Suppose that  $x_1, \dots, x_i \in I$  have been chosen so that they are part of a system of parameters with  $i < h$ . The minimal primes of  $(x_1, \dots, x_i)R$  all have height  $\leq i < h$ , and so  $I$  is not contained in any of them and also not contained in their union. Choose  $x_{i+1} \in I$  not in any minimal prime of  $(x_1, \dots, x_i)R$ . Then  $x_1, \dots, x_{i+1}$  is also part of a system of parameters.  $\square$

**Corollary.** *If  $(R, m)$  is Cohen-Macaulay and  $P$  is a prime ideal of  $R$ , the  $R_P$  is Cohen-Macaulay.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $h = \text{height}(P) = \dim(R_P)$ . Choose  $x_1, \dots, x_h \in P$  part of a system of parameters for  $R$ . Then  $x_1, \dots, x_h$  is a regular sequence in  $R$ , and, hence, also in  $R_P$ , by flatness.  $\square$

**Theorem.** *Let  $R$  be a Noetherian ring. The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$R$  is Cohen-Macaulay, i.e.,  $R_P$  is Cohen-Macaulay for every prime ideal  $P$ .*
- (2)  *$R_m$  is Cohen-Macaulay for every maximal ideal  $m$ .*
- (3) *For every proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$ ,  $\text{depth}_I R = \text{height}(I)$ .*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is obvious, while (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) because each  $R_P$  is a localization of  $R_m$  for some maximal ideal containing  $P$ . Now assume (2) and suppose that  $I$  has height  $h$ . Choose a maximal regular sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  in  $I$  on  $R$ . Then  $R/(x_1, \dots, x_d)R$  has depth 0 on  $I/(x_1, \dots, x_d)R$ , and this remains true after we localize at an associated prime  $P$  of  $R/(x_1, \dots, x_d)R$  that contains  $I/(x_1, \dots, x_d)R$ . Hence,  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  is also a maximal regular sequence in  $R_P$ , which shows that  $d = h$ , since  $R_P$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $h$ . Thus, (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3).

Finally, assume (3). Let  $P$  be any prime ideal of  $R$  of height  $h$ . Then  $P$  contains a regular sequence of length  $\text{height}(P) = \dim(R_P)$ , and this sequence remains a regular sequence when we localize at  $P$ . Hence, (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1).  $\square$

**Theorem.** *If  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay, so is the polynomial ring in  $n$  variables over  $R$ .*

*Proof.* By induction, we may assume that  $n = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be a maximal ideal of  $R[X]$  lying over  $m$  in  $R$ . We may replace  $R$  by  $R_m$  and so we may assume that  $(R, m, K)$  is local. Then  $\mathcal{M}$ , which is a maximal ideal of  $R[x]$  lying over  $m$ , corresponds to a maximal ideal of  $K[x]$ : each of these is generated by a monic irreducible polynomial  $f$ , which lifts to a monic polynomial  $F$  in  $R[x]$ . Thus, we may assume that  $\mathcal{M} = mR[x] + FR[X]$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  be a system of parameters in  $R$ , which is also a regular sequence. We may kill the ideal generated by these elements, which also form a regular sequence in  $R[X]_{\mathcal{M}}$ . We are now in the case where  $R$  is an Artin local ring. It is clear that the height of  $\mathcal{M}$  is one. Because  $F$  is monic, it is not a zerodivisor: a monic polynomial over any ring is not a zerodivisor. This shows that the depth of  $\mathcal{M}$  is one, as needed.  $\square$

**Theorem.** *If  $R$  is a finitely generated graded  $K$ -algebra with  $[R]_0 = K$ , then  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay in the graded sense if and only if  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay.*

*Proof.* Let  $m$  be the homogeneous maximal ideal. If  $R_m$  is Cohen-Macaulay, choose a maximal regular sequence in  $m$  consisting of homogeneous elements (necessarily of positive degree), say  $F_1, \dots, F_h$ . When we kill these elements, we know that in  $R/(F_1, \dots, F_h)R$ , the homogeneous elements of the ideal  $m/(F_1, \dots, F_h)R$  are all contained in the union of the associated primes of  $R/(F_1, \dots, F_h)R$ . By the Proposition on homogeneous prime avoidance from the bottom of p. 4 of the Lecture of January 26,  $m/(f_1, \dots, f_h)R$  itself is contained in one of these associated primes, and so  $m/(f_1, \dots, f_h)R$  is an associated

prime. This is preserved when we localize at  $m/(f_1, \dots, f_h)R$ , and so  $R_m$  has depth 0 once we kill  $(f_1, \dots, f_h)R_m$ . Therefore,  $f_1, \dots, f_h$  is a maximal regular sequence in  $R_m$ , and this implies that  $h = \dim(R_m) = \dim(R)$ . Thus,  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay in the graded sense.

Now suppose that  $R$  is Cohen-Macaulay in the graded sense. Then  $R$  is a module-finite extension of a polynomial ring  $A = K[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ , and the polynomial ring is Cohen-Macaulay. Any maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $R$  lies over a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $A$ . These have the same height, since we have both the going up and going down theorems in this situation:  $A$  is normal, and  $R$  is  $A$ -free and, hence, torsion-free over  $A$ . Since  $R$  is  $A$ -free, a regular sequence in  $A_{\mathfrak{n}}$  is regular on  $R_{\mathfrak{n}}$ , which is free and, hence, faithfully flat over  $A$ , and will remain regular on  $R_{\mathfrak{q}}$ , which is a localization of  $R_{\mathfrak{n}}$ .  $\square$

We next observe:

**Theorem.** *Let  $(R, m, K)$  be a local ring and  $M \neq 0$  a finitely generated  $R$ -module of depth  $s$  on  $m$ . Then every nonzero submodule  $N$  of  $M$  has dimension at least  $s$ .*

*Proof.* We use induction on  $s$ . If  $s = 0$  there is nothing to prove. Assume  $s > 0$  and that the result holds for smaller  $s$ . If  $M$  has a submodule  $N \neq 0$  of dimension  $\leq s - 1$ , we may choose  $N$  maximal with respect to this property. If  $N'$  is any nonzero submodule of  $M$  of dimension  $< s$ , then  $N' \subseteq N$ . To see this, note that  $N \oplus N'$  has dimension  $< s$ , and maps onto  $N + N' \subseteq M$ , which therefore also has dimension  $< s$ . By the maximality of  $N$ , we must have  $N + N' = N$ . Since  $\text{depth}_m M \geq 1$ , we can choose  $x \in m$  not a zerodivisor on  $M$ , and, hence, also not a zerodivisor on  $N$ . We claim that  $x$  is not a zerodivisor on  $\overline{M} = M/N$ , for if  $u \in M - N$  and  $xu \in N$ , then  $Rxu \subseteq N$  has dimension  $< s$ . But this module is isomorphic with  $Ru \subseteq M$ , since  $x$  is not a zerodivisor, and so  $\dim(Ru) < s$ . But then  $Ru \subseteq N$ . Consequently, multiplication by  $x$  induces an isomorphism of the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \rightarrow \overline{M} \rightarrow 0$  with the sequence  $0 \rightarrow xN \rightarrow xM \rightarrow x\overline{M} \rightarrow 0$ , and so this sequence is also exact. But we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & N & \longrightarrow & M & \longrightarrow & \overline{M} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & xN & \longrightarrow & xM & \longrightarrow & x\overline{M} & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are inclusions. By the nine lemma, or by an elementary diagram chase, the sequence of cokernels  $0 \rightarrow N/xN \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow \overline{M}/x\overline{M} \rightarrow 0$  is exact. Since  $x$  is a nonzerodivisor on  $N$  and  $M$ ,  $\dim(N/xN) = \dim(N) - 1 < s - 1$ , while  $\text{depth}_m M/xM = s - 1$ . This contradicts the induction hypothesis.  $\square$

**Corollary.** *If  $(R, m, K)$  is a Cohen-Macaulay local ring, then for every minimal prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $R$ ,  $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) = \dim(R)$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is minimal, then  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(R)$  and so  $R/\mathfrak{p} \hookrightarrow R$ . By the preceding Theorem,  $\dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) \geq \text{depth}_m R = \dim(R)$ , while the other inclusion is obvious.  $\square$

Thus, a Cohen-Macaulay local ring cannot exhibit the kind of behavior one observes in  $R = K[[x, y, z]]/((x, y) \cap (z))$ : this ring has two minimal primes. One of them,  $\mathfrak{p}_1$ , generated by the images of  $x$  and  $y$ , is such that  $R/\mathfrak{p}_1$  has dimension 1. The other,  $\mathfrak{p}_2$ , generated by the image of  $z$ , is such that  $R/\mathfrak{p}_2$  has dimension 2.

A Noetherian ring is called *catenary* if for any two prime ideals  $P \subseteq Q$ , any two saturated chains of primes joining  $P$  to  $Q$  have the same length. If  $R$  is catenary, then so is  $R/I$  for every ideal  $I$ , since primes containing  $I$  are in bijective correspondence with primes of  $R$  containing  $I$ , and saturated chains of primes in  $R/I$  joining  $P/I$  to  $Q/I$ , where  $I \subseteq P \subseteq Q$  and  $P, Q$  are primes of  $R$ , correspond to saturated chains of primes of  $R$  joining  $P$  to  $Q$ . Similarly, any localization of a catenary ring is catenary. M. Nagata gave the first examples of Noetherian rings that are not catenary: there is a local domain  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$  of dimension 3, for example, containing saturated chains  $0 \subset Q \subset \mathfrak{m}$  and  $0 \subset P_1 \subset P_2 \subset \mathfrak{m}$ , where all inclusions are strict. See [M. Nagata, *Local rings*, Interscience, New York, 1962], Appendix A1, pp. 204–205. Although  $Q$  has height one and  $\dim(R) = 3$ , the dimension of  $R/Q$  is 1. Nagata also showed that even when a Noetherian ring is catenary, the polynomial ring in one variable over it need not be.

A Noetherian ring  $R$  is called *universally catenary* if every finitely generated  $R$ -algebra is catenary. Cohen-Macaulay rings are universally catenary, as we show in the two results below.

**Theorem.** *A Cohen-Macaulay ring  $R$  is catenary, and for any two prime ideals  $P \subseteq Q$  in  $R$ , every saturated chain of prime ideals joining  $P$  to  $Q$  has length  $\text{height}(Q) - \text{height}(P)$ . Hence, every finitely generated algebra over a Cohen-Macaulay ring is catenary.*

*Proof.* The issues are unaffected by localizing at  $Q$ . Thus, we may assume that  $R$  is local and that  $Q$  is the maximal ideal. There is part of a system of parameters of length  $h = \text{height}(P)$  contained in  $P$ , call it  $x_1, \dots, x_h$ , by the Lemma at the beginning of this section. This sequence is a regular sequence on  $R$  and in so on  $R_P$ , which implies that its image in  $R_P$  is system of parameters. We now replace  $R$  by  $R/(x_1, \dots, x_h)$ . Both the dimension and depth of  $R$  have decreased by  $h$ , so that  $R$  is still Cohen-Macaulay.  $Q$  and  $P$  are replaced by their images, which have heights  $\dim(R) - h$  and 0, and  $\dim(R) - h = \dim(R/(x_1, \dots, x_h))$ . We have therefore reduced to the case where  $R$  is local and  $P$  is a minimal prime. We know that  $\dim(R) = \dim(R/P)$ , and so at least one saturated chain from  $P$  to  $Q$  has length  $\text{height}(Q) - \text{height}(P) = \text{height}(Q) - 0 = \dim(R)$ . To complete the proof, it will suffice to show that all saturated chains from  $P$  to  $Q$  have the same length, and we may use induction on  $\dim(R)$ . Consider two such chains, and let their smallest elements other than  $P$  be  $P_1$  and  $P'_1$ . Choose an element  $x$  in  $P_1$  not in any minimal prime, and an element  $y$  of  $P'_1$  not in any minimal prime. Then  $xy$  is a nonzerodivisor in  $R$ , and  $P_1, P'_1$  are both minimal primes of  $xy$ . The ring  $R/(xy)$  is Cohen-Macaulay of dimension  $\dim(R) - 1$ . The result now follows from the induction hypothesis applied to  $R/(xy)$ : the images of the two saturated chains (omitting  $P$  from each) give saturated chains joining  $P_1/(xy)$  (respectively,  $P'_1/(xy)$ ) to  $Q/(xy)$  in  $R/(xy)$ . These have the same length, and, hence, so did the original two chains.  $\square$

**Corollary.** *Cohen-Macaulay rings are universally catenary, i.e., a finitely generated algebra over a Cohen-Macaulay ring is catenary.*

*Proof.* Such an algebra is a homomorphic image of a polynomial ring in finitely many variables over a Cohen-Macaulay ring, which is again Cohen-Macaulay, and homomorphic images of catenary rings are catenary.  $\square$