## Math 615: Lecture of March 23, 2007

*Remark.* It is worth noting that Cauchy sequences in an *I*-adic topology are much easier to study, in some ways, than Cauchy sequences of, say, real numbers. In an *I*-adic topology, for  $\{r_n\}_n$  to be a Cauchy sequence it suffices that  $r_n - r_{n+1} \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , i.e., that for any specified  $N \in \mathbb{N}$ , the differences  $r_n - r_{n+1}$  are eventually in  $I^N$ . The reason is that if this is true for all  $n \ge n_0$ , we also have that

$$r_{n'} - r_n = r_{n'} - r_{n'-1} + \dots + r_{n+1} - r_n \in I^n$$

for all  $n' \ge n \ge n_0$ . In consequence, a necessary and *sufficient* condition for an infinite series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} r_n$  to converge in the *I*-adic topology is that  $r_n \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ , which, of course, is false over  $\mathbb{R}$ : the series  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} 1/n$  does not converge, and the corresponding sequence of partial sums  $\{r_n\}_n$  does not converge, even though  $r_{n+1} - r_n = 1/(n+1) \to 0$  as  $n \to \infty$ .

Our next result on coefficient fields uses a completely different argument:

**Theorem.** Let (R, m, K) be a complete local ring of positive prime characteristic p. Suppose that K is perfect. Let  $R^{p^n} = \{r^{p^n} : r \in R\}$  for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $K_0 = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} R^{p^n}$  is a coefficient field for R, and it is the only coefficient field for R.

*Proof.* Consider any coefficient field L for R, assuming for the moment that one exists. Then  $L \cong K$ , and so L is perfect. Then

$$L = L^p = \dots = L^{p^n} = \dots,$$

and so for all n,

$$L \subseteq L^{p^n} \subseteq R^{p^n}.$$

Therefore,  $L \subseteq K_0$ . If we know that  $K_0$  is a field, it follows that  $L = K_0$ , proving uniqueness.

It therefore suffices to show that  $K_0$  is a coefficient field for K. We first observe that  $K_0$  meets m only in 0. For if  $u \in K_0 \cap m$ , then u is a  $p^n$  th power for all n. But if  $u = v^{p^n}$  then  $v \in m$ , so  $u \in \bigcap_n m^{p^n} = (0)$ .

Thus, every element of  $K_0 - \{0\}$  is a unit of R. Now if  $u = v^{p^n}$  and u is a unit of R, then  $1/u = (1/v)^{p^n}$ . Therefore, the inverse of every nonzero element of  $K_0$  is in  $K_0$ . Since  $K_0$  is clearly a ring, it is a subfield of R.

Finally, we want to show that given  $\theta \in K$  some element of  $K_0$  maps to  $\theta$ . Let  $r_n$  denote an element of R that maps to  $\theta^{1/p^n} \in K$ . Then  $r_n^{p^n}$  maps to  $\theta$ . We claim that  $\{r_n^{p^n}\}_n$  is a

Cauchy sequence in R, and so has a limit r. To see this, note that  $r_n$  and  $r_{n+1}^p$  both map to  $\theta^{1/p^n}$  in K, and so  $r_n - r_{n+1}^p$  is in m. Taking  $p^n$  powers, we find that

$$r_n^{p^n} - r_{n+1}^{p^{n+1}} \in m^{p^n}$$

Therefore, the sequence is Cauchy, and has a limit  $r \in R$ . It is clear that r maps to  $\theta$ . Therefore, it suffices to show that  $r \in R^{p^k}$  for every k. But

$$r_k, r_{k+1}^p, \ldots, r_{k+h}^{p^h} \ldots$$

is a sequence of the same sort for the element  $\theta^{1/p^k}$ , and so is Cauchy and has a limit  $s_k$  in R. But  $s_k^{p^k} = r$  and so  $r \in \mathbb{R}^{p^k}$  for all k.  $\Box$ 

Before pursuing the issue of the existence of coefficient fields further, we show that the existence of a coefficient field implies that the complete local ring is a homomorphic image of a power series ring in finitely many variables over a field, and is also a module-finite extension of such a ring.

We first prove the following result, which bears some resemblance to Nakayama's Lemma, but is rather different, since M is not assumed to be finitely generated.

**Proposition.** Let R be separated and complete in the I-adic topology, where I is a finitely generated ideal of R, and let M be an I-adically separated R-module. Let  $u_1, \ldots, u_h \in M$  have images that span M/IM over R/I. Then  $u_1, \ldots, u_h$  span M over R.

*Proof.* Since  $M = Ru_1 + \cdots + Ru_h + IM$ , we find that for all n,

$$(*) I^n M = I^n u_1 + \dots + I^n u_h + I^{n+1} M.$$

Let  $u \in M$  be given. Then u can be written in the form  $r_{01}u_1 + \cdots + r_{0h}u_h + \Delta_1$  where  $\Delta_1 \in IM$ . Therefore  $\Delta_1 = r_{11}u_1 + \cdots + r_{1h}u_h + \Delta_2$  where the  $r_{1j} \in IM$  and  $\Delta_2 \in I^2M$ . Then

$$u = (r_{01} + r_{11})u_1 + \dots + (r_{0n} + r_{1h})u_h + \Delta_2$$

where  $\Delta_2 \in I^2 M$ . By a straightforward induction on n we obtain, for every n, that

$$u = (r_{01} + r_{11} + \dots + r_{n1})u_1 + \dots + (r_{0h} + r_{1h} + \dots + r_{nh})u_n + \Delta_{n+1}$$

where every  $r_{jk} \in I^j$  for  $1 \le k \le h$  and all  $j \ge 0$  and  $\Delta_{n+1} \in I^{n+1}M$ . In the recursive step, the formula (\*) is applied to the element  $\Delta_{n+1} \in I^{n+1}M$ .

For every  $k, \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} r_{jk}$  represents an element  $s_k$  of the complete ring R. We claim that

$$u = s_1 u_1 + \dots + s_h u_h.$$

The point is that if we subtract

$$\sigma_n = (r_{01} + r_{11} + \dots + r_{n1})u_1 + \dots + (r_{0h} + r_{1h} + \dots + r_{nh})u_h$$

from u we get  $\Delta_{n+1} \in I^{n+1}M$ , and if we subtract  $\sigma_n$  from

$$s_1u_1 + \cdots + s_hu_h$$

we also get an element of  $I^{n+1}M$ , which we shall justify in greater detail below. Therefore,

$$u - (s_1u_1 + \dots + s_hu_h) \in \bigcap_n I^{n+1}M = 0,$$

since M is I-adically separated.

It remains to see why  $s_1u_1 + \cdots + s_hu_h - \sigma_n$  is in  $I^{n+1}M$ . This difference can be rewritten as  $s'_1u_1 + \cdots + s'_hu_h$  where  $s'_k = r_{n+1,k} + r_{n+2,k} + \cdots$ . Hence, we simply need to justify the assertion that assertion that if  $r_{jk} \in I^j$  for  $j \ge n+1$  then

$$r_{n+1,k} + r_{n+2,k} + \dots + r_{n+t,k} + \dots \in I^{n+1},$$

which needs a short argument. Since I is finitely generated, we know that  $I^{n+1}$  is finitely generated by the monomials of degree n + 1 in the generators of I, say,  $g_1, \ldots, g_d$ . Then

$$r_{n+1+t,k} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{d} q_{t\nu} g_{\nu}$$
 with every  $q_{t\nu} \in I^t$  and  $\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} r_{n+1+t,k} = \sum_{\nu=1}^{d} (\sum_{t=0}^{\infty} q_{t\nu}) g_{\nu}$ .  $\Box$ 

We also note:

**Proposition.** Let  $f : R \to S$  be a ring homomorphism. Suppose that S is J-adically complete and separated for an ideal  $J \subseteq S$  and that  $I \subseteq R$  maps into J. Then there is a unique induced homomorphism  $\widehat{R}^I \to S$  that is continuous (i.e., preserves limits of Cauchy sequences in the appropriate ideal-adic topology).

*Proof.*  $\widehat{R}^{I}$  is the ring of *I*-adic Cauchy sequences mod the ideal of sequences that converge to 0. The continuity condition forces the element represented by  $\{r_n\}_n$  to map to

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} f(r_n)$$

(Cauchy sequences map to Cauchy sequences: if  $r_m - r_n \in I^N$ , then  $f(r_m) - f(r_n) \in J^N$ , since  $f(I) \subseteq J$ .) It is trivial to check that this is a ring homomorphism that kills the ideal of Cauchy sequences that converge to 0, which gives the required map  $\widehat{R}^I \to S$ .  $\Box$ 

A homomorphism of quasilocal rings  $h : (A, \mu, \kappa) \to (R, m, K)$  is called a *local homomorphism* if  $h(\mu) \subseteq m$ . If A is a local domain, not a field, the inclusion of A in its fraction field is not local. If A is a local domain, any quotient map arising from killing a proper ideal is local. A local homomorphism induces a homomorphism of residue class fields  $\kappa = A/\mu \to R/m = K$ .

**Proposition.** Let A be a Noetherian ring that is complete and separated with respect to an ideal  $\mu$ , which may be 0, let (R, m, K) be a complete local ring, and let  $h : A \to R$  be a homomorphism, so that R is an A-algebra and  $\mu$  maps into m. Thus, if  $(A, \mu)$  is local, we are requiring that  $A \to R$  be local. Suppose that  $f_1, \ldots, f_n \in m$  together with  $\mu R$  generate an m-primary ideal. Then:

- (a) There is a unique continuous homomorphism  $h : A[[X_1, \ldots, X_n]] \to R$  extending the A-algebra map  $A[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$  taking  $X_i$  to  $f_i$  for all i.
- (b) If K is module-finite over the image of A, then R is module-finite over the image of  $A[[X_1, \ldots, X_n]]$  under the map discussed in part (a).
- (c) If the composite map  $A \to R \to K$  is surjective, and  $\mu R + (f_1, \ldots, f_n)R = m$ , then the map h described in (a) is surjective.

*Proof.* (a) This is immediate from the preceding Proposition, since  $(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$  maps into m.

(b)  $A[[X_1, \ldots, X_n]]$  is complete and separated with respect to the the  $\mathfrak{A}$ -adic topology, where  $\mathfrak{A} = (\mu, X_1, \ldots, X_n)A[[X_1, \ldots, X_n]]$ . Given a Cauchy sequence of power series  $\{f_k\}_k$ , it is easy to see that the sequence of coefficients of a fixed monomial  $X_1^{\nu_1} \cdots X_n^{\nu_n} =$  $X^{\nu}$  is a Cauchy sequence in A in the  $\mu$ -adic topology, and so has a limit  $a_{\nu} \in A$ . The only possible limit for the Cauchy sequence  $\{f_k\}_k$  is the power series

$$\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^n} a_{\nu} X^{\nu},$$

and it is easy to verify that this is the limit.

The expansion of the ideal  $\mathfrak{A}$  of  $A[[X_1, \ldots, X_n]]$  to R is  $\mu R + (f_1, \ldots, f_n)R$ , which contains a power of m, say  $m^N$ . Thus,  $R/\mathcal{M}R$  is a quotient of  $R/m^N$  and has finite length: the latter has a filtration whose factors are the finite-dimensional K-vector spaces  $m^i/m^{i+1}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq N-1$ . Since K is module-finite over the image of A, it follows that  $R/\mathfrak{A}R$  is module finite over over  $A[[X_1, \ldots, X_n]]/\mathfrak{A} = A/\mu$ . Choose elements of R whose images in  $R/\mathfrak{A}R$  span it over  $A/\mu$ . By the Proposition stated on p. 2, these elements span R as an  $A[[X_1, \ldots, X_n]]$ -module. We are using that R is  $\mathfrak{A}$ -adically separated, but this follows because  $\mathfrak{A}R \subseteq m$ , and R is m-adically separated.

(c) We repeat the argument of the proof of part (b), noting that now  $R/\mathfrak{A}R \cong K \cong A/\mu$ , so that  $1 \in R$  generates R as an  $A[[X_1, \ldots, X_n]]$  module. But this says that R is a cyclic  $A[[X_1, \ldots, X_n]]$ -module spanned by 1, which is equivalent to the assertion that  $A[[X_1, \ldots, X_n]] \to R$  is surjective.  $\Box$ 

We have now done all the real work needed to prove the following:

**Theorem.** Let (R, m, K) be a complete local ring with coefficient field  $K_0 \subseteq K$ , so that  $K_0 \subseteq R \twoheadrightarrow R/m = K$  is an isomorphism. Let  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$  be elements of m generating

an ideal primary to m. Let  $K_0[[X_1, \ldots, X_n]] \to R$  be constructed as in the preceding Proposition, with  $X_i$  mapping to  $f_i$  and with  $A = K_0$ . Then:

- (a) R is module-finite over  $K_0[[X_1, \ldots, X_n]]$ .
- (b) Suppose that  $f_1, \ldots, f_n$  generate m. Then the homomorphism  $K_0[[x_1, \ldots, x_n]] \to R$ is surjective. (By Nakayama's lemma, the least value of n that may be used is the dimension as a K-vector space of  $m/m^2$ .)
- (c) If  $d = \dim(R)$  and  $f_1, \ldots, f_d$  is a system of parameters for R, the homomorphism

$$K_0[[x_1,\ldots,x_d]] \to R$$

is injective, and so R is a module-finite extension of a formal power series subring.

Proof. (a) and (b) are immediate from the preceding Proposition. For part (c), let  $\mathfrak{A}$  denote the kernel of the map  $K_0[[x_1, \ldots, x_d]] \to R$ . Since R is a module-finite extension of the ring  $K_0[[x_1, \ldots, x_d]]/\mathfrak{A}$ ,  $d = \dim(R) = \dim(K_0[[x_1, \ldots, x_d]]/\mathfrak{A})$ . But we know that  $\dim(K_0[[x_1, \ldots, x_d]]) = d$ . Killing a nonzero prime in a local domain must lower the dimension. Therefore, we must have that  $\mathfrak{A} = (0)$ .  $\Box$ 

Thus, when R has a coefficient field  $K_0$  and  $f_1, \ldots, f_d$  are a system of parameters, we may consider a formal power series

$$\sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{N}^d} c_{\nu} f^{\nu},$$

where  $\nu = (\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_d)$  is a multi-index, the  $c_{\nu} \in K_0$ , and  $f^{\nu}$  denotes  $f_1^{\nu_1} \cdots f_d^{\nu_d}$ . Because R is complete, this expression represents an element of R. Part (c) of the preceding Theorem implies that this element is not 0 unless all of the coefficients  $c_{\nu}$  vanish. This fact is sometimes referred to as the *analytic independence of a system of parameters*. The elements of a system of parameters behave like formal indeterminates over a coefficient field. Formal indeterminates are also referred to as *analytic indeterminates*.