## Math 615: Lecture of April 2, 2007

We next prove that, up to non-unique isomorphism, a coefficient ring of mixed characteristic p in which p is nilpotent is determined by its residue class field and and characteristic (the latter is a power of p). However, there is a uniqueness statement about the isomorphism once liftings of a p-base for K are chosen.

**Theorem.** Let K, K' be isomorphic fields of characteristic p > 0 and let  $g : K \to K'$ be the isomorphism. Let (V, pV, K) and (V', pV', K') be two coefficient rings of the same characteristic,  $p^n > 0$ . We shall also write  $\lambda'$  for the image of  $\lambda \in K$  under g. Let  $\Theta$  be a p-base for K and let  $\Theta' = g(\Theta)$  be the corresponding p-base for K'. Let T be a lifting of  $\Theta$  to V and let T' be a lifting of  $\Theta'$  to T'. We have an obvious bijection  $\tilde{g} : T \to T'$ such that if  $t \in T$  lifts  $\theta \in \Theta$  then  $\tilde{g}(t) \in T'$  lifts  $\theta' = g(\theta)$ . Then  $\tilde{g}$  extends uniquely to an isomorphism of V with V' that lifts  $g : K \to K'$ .

Proof. As in the proof of the Theorem on existence of coefficient rings stated on the first page of the Lecture Notes of March 30, we choose  $N \ge n-1$  and let  $q = p^N$ . For every element  $\lambda \in K$  there is a unique element  $\rho_{\lambda} \in V^q$  that maps to  $\lambda^q \in K^q$ . Similarly, there is a unique element  $\rho'_{\lambda'} \in V'^q$  that maps to  $\lambda'^q$  for every  $\lambda' \in K'$ . If there is an isomorphism  $V \cong V'$  as stated, it must map  $\rho_{\lambda} \to \rho'_{\lambda'}$  for every  $\lambda \in K$ . Said otherwise, we have an obvious bijection  $V^q \to V'^q$ , and  $\tilde{g}$  must extend it. Just as in the proof of the Theorem on existence of coefficient rings, we can define  $S_N = S$  to consist of linear combinations of distinct N-special monomials in T such that every coefficient is in  $V^q$ . Then S will map bijectively onto K. We define  $S'_N = S' \subseteq V'$  analogously. Since S' maps bijectively onto K', we have an obvious bijection  $\tilde{g}: S \to S'$ . We use  $\sigma'$  for the element of S' corresponding to  $\sigma \in S$ .

Every element  $v \in V$  must have the form  $\sigma_0 + pv_1$  where  $\sigma_0$  is the unique element of S that has the same residue as v modulo pV. Continuing this way, as in the proof of the Theorem on existence of coefficient rings, we get a representation

$$v = \sigma_0 + p\sigma_1 + p^2\sigma_2 + \dots + p^{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}$$

for the element  $v \in V$ , where the  $\sigma_j \in S$ . We claim this is unique. Suppose we have another such representation

$$v = \sigma_0^* + p\sigma_1^* + \dots + p^{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}^*.$$

Suppose that  $\sigma_i = \sigma_i^*$  for i < j. We want to show that  $\sigma_j = \sigma_j^*$  as well. Working in  $V/p^{j+1}V$  we have that  $\sigma_j p^j = \sigma_{j+1}p^j$ , i.e., that  $(\sigma_j - \sigma_j^*)$  kills  $p^j$  working mod  $p^{j+1}$ . By part (a) of the Lemma from p. 3 of the Lecture Notes of March 30 we have that  $\sigma_j - \sigma_j^* \in pV$ , and so  $\sigma_j$  and  $\sigma_j^*$  represent the same element of K = V/pV, and therefore are equal.

Evidently, any isomorphism  $V \cong V'$  satisfying the specified conditions must take

$$\sigma_0 + p\sigma_1 + \dots + p^{n-1}\sigma_{n-1}$$

to

$$\sigma'_0 + p\sigma'_1 + \dots + p^{n-1}\sigma'_{n-1}.$$

To show that this map really does give an isomorphism of V with V' one shows simultaneously, by induction on j, that addition is preserved in  $p^j V$ , and that multiplication is preserved when one multiplies elements in  $p^h V$  and  $p^i V$  such that  $h + i \ge j$ . For every element  $\lambda \in K$ , let  $\sigma_{\lambda}$  denote the unique element of S that maps to  $\lambda$ . Note that we may write  $\rho_{\lambda}$  as  $\sigma_{\lambda}^{q}$ , since  $\sigma_{\lambda}$  has residue  $\lambda \mod pV$ .

Now,

$$p^{j}\rho_{\lambda}\mu + p^{j}\rho_{\eta}\mu = p^{j}(\sigma_{\lambda}^{q} + \sigma_{\eta}^{q})\mu = p^{j}((\sigma_{\lambda} + \sigma_{\eta})^{q} - pG_{q}(\sigma_{\lambda}, \sigma_{\eta})),$$

where  $G_q(x, y) \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y]$  is such that  $(x + y)^q = x^q + y^q + pG_q(x, y)$ . Since  $\sigma_{\lambda} + \sigma_{\eta}$  has residue  $\lambda + \eta \mod pV$ , we have that  $(\sigma_{\lambda} + \sigma_{\eta})^q = \rho_{\lambda+\eta}$ , and it follows that

$$p^{j}
ho_{\lambda}\mu + p^{j}
ho_{\eta}\mu = p^{j}
ho_{\lambda+\eta}\mu - p^{j+1}G_{q}(\sigma_{\lambda}, \sigma_{\eta})\mu.$$

We have similarly that

$$p^{j}\rho_{\lambda'}'\mu' + p^{j}\rho_{\eta'}'\mu' = p^{j}\rho_{\lambda'+\eta'}'\mu' - p^{j+1}G_{q}(\sigma_{\lambda'}', \sigma_{\eta'}')\mu',$$

and it follows easily that addition is preserved by our map  $p^j V \to p^j V'$ : note that  $p^{j+1}G_q(\sigma_\lambda, \sigma_\eta)\mu$  maps to  $p^{j+1}G_q(\sigma'_{\lambda'}, \sigma'_{\eta'})\mu'$  because all terms are multiples of  $p^{j+1}$  (the argument here needs that certain multiplications are preserved as well addition).

Once we have that our map preserves addition on terms in  $p^j V$ , the fact that it preserves products of pairs of terms from  $p^h V \times p^i V$  for  $h + i \ge j$  follows from the distributive law, the fact that addition in  $p^j V$  is preserved, and the fact that there is a unique way of writing  $\mu_1 \mu_2$ , where  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  are monomials in the elements of T with all exponents  $\le q - 1$ , in the form  $\nu^q \mu_3$  where all exponents in  $\mu_3$  are  $\le q - 1$ , and

$$(p^h \rho_\lambda \mu_1)(p^i \rho_\eta \mu_2) = p^{h+i} (\sigma_\lambda \sigma_\eta \nu)^q \mu_3$$

in V, while

$$(p^{h}\rho_{\lambda'}'\mu_{1}')(p^{i}\rho_{\eta'}'\mu_{2}') = p^{h+i}(\sigma_{\lambda'}'\sigma_{\eta'}'\nu')^{q}\mu_{3}'$$

in V'.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem.** Let K be a field of characteristic p > 0. Then there exists a complete Noetherian valuation domain (V, pV, K) with residue class field K.

*Proof.* It suffices to prove that there exists a Noetherian valuation domain (V, pV, K): its completion will then be complete with the required properties. Choose a well-ordering of K in which 0 is the first element. We construct, by transfinite induction, a direct limit system of Noetherian valuation domains  $\{V_{\lambda}, pV_{\lambda}, K_{\lambda}\}$  indexed by the well-ordered set K and injections  $K_a \hookrightarrow K$  such that

(1)  $K_0 \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ 

- (2) The image of  $K_{\lambda}$  in K contains a.
- (3) The diagrams

commute for all  $\lambda \leq \lambda' \in K$ .

Note the given a direct limit system of Noetherian valuation domains and injective local maps such that the same element, say, t (in our case t = p) generates all of their maximal ideals, the direct limit, which may be thought of as a directed union, of all of them is a Noetherian discrete valuation domain such that t generates the maximal ideal, and such that the residue class field is the directed union of the residue class fields. Every element of any of these rings not divisible by t is a unit (even in that ring): thus, if W is the directed union, pW is the unique maximal ideal. Every nonzero element of the union is a power of t times a unit, since that is true in any of the valuation domains that contain it, and it follows that every nonzero ideal is generated by the smallest power of p that it contains. The statement about residue class fields is then quite straightforward.

Once we have a direct limit system as described, the direct limit will be a discrete Noetherian valuation domain in which p generates the maximal ideal and the residue class field is isomorphic with K.

It will therefore suffice to construct the direct limit system.

We may take  $V_0 = \mathbb{Z}_P$  where  $P = p\mathbb{Z}$ . We next consider an element  $\lambda' \in K$  which is the immediate successor of  $\lambda \in K$ . We have a Noetherian discrete valuation domain  $(V_{\lambda}, pV_{\lambda}, K_{\lambda})$  and an embedding  $K_{\lambda} \hookrightarrow K$ . We want to enlarge  $V_{\lambda}$  suitably to form  $V_{\lambda'}$ . If  $\lambda'$  is transcendental over  $K_{\lambda}$  we simply let  $V_{\lambda'}$  be the localization of the polynomial ring  $V_{\lambda}[x]$  in one variable over  $V_{\lambda}$  at the expansion of  $pV_{\lambda}$ : the residue class field may be identified with  $K_{\lambda}(x)$ , and the embedding of  $K_{\lambda} \hookrightarrow K$  may be extended to the simple transcendental extension  $K_{\lambda}(x)$  so that x maps to  $\lambda' \in K$ .

If  $\lambda'$  is already in the image of  $K_{\lambda}$  we may take  $V_{\lambda'} = V_{\lambda}$ . If instead  $\lambda'$  is algebraic over the image of  $K_{\lambda}$ , but not in the image, then it satisfies a minimal monic polynomial g = g(x) of degree at least 2 with coefficients in the image of  $K_{\lambda}$ . Lift the coefficients to  $V_{\lambda}$  so as to obtain a monic polynomial G = G(x) of the same degree over  $V_{\lambda}$ . We shall show that  $V_{\lambda'} = V_{\lambda}[x]/(G(x))$  has the required properties. If G were reducible over the fraction field of  $V_{\lambda}$ , by Gauss' Lemma it would be reducible over  $V_{\lambda}$ , and then g would be reducible over the image of  $K_{\lambda}$  in K. If follows that (G(x)) is prime in  $V_{\lambda}[x]$  and so  $V_{\lambda'}$  is a domain that is a module-finite extension of  $V_{\lambda}$ . Consider a maximal ideal m of  $V_{\lambda'}$ . Then the chain  $m \supset (0)$  in  $V_b$  lies over a chain of distinct primes in  $V_{\lambda}$ : since  $V_{\lambda}$  has only two distinct primes, we see that m lies over  $pV_{\lambda}$  and so  $p \in m$ . But

$$V_{\lambda'}/pV_{\lambda'} \cong \operatorname{Im}(K_{\lambda})[x]/g(x) \cong \operatorname{Im}(K_{\lambda})[\lambda'],$$

and so p must generate a unique maximal ideal in  $V_{\lambda'}$ , and the residue class field behaves as we require as well.

Finally, if  $\lambda'$  is a limit ordinal, we first take the direct limit of the system of Noetherian discrete valuation domains indexed by the predecessors of  $\lambda'$ , and then enlarge this ring as in the preceding paragraph so that the image of its residue class field contains  $\lambda'$ .  $\Box$ 

**Corollary.** If p is a positive prime integer and K is field of characteristic p, there is, up to isomorphism, a unique coefficient ring of characteristic p > 0 with residue class field K and characteristic  $p^t$ , and it has the form  $V/p^tV$ , where (V, pV, K) is a Noetherian discrete valuation domain.

*Proof.* By the preceding Theorem, we can construct V so that it has residue field K. Then  $V/p^t V$  is a coefficient ring with residue class field K of characteristic p, and we already know that such all rings are isomorphic, which establishes the uniqueness statement.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary.** Let p be a positive prime integer, K a field of characteristic p, and suppose that (V, pV, K) and (W, pW, K) are complete Noetherian discrete valuation domains with residue class field K. Fix a p-base  $\Theta$  for K. Let T be a lifting of  $\Theta$  to V and T' a lifting to W. Then there is a unique isomorphism of V with W that maps each element of T to the element with the same residue in  $\Theta$  in T'.

*Proof.* By our results for the case where the maximal ideal is nilpotent, we get a unique such isomorphism  $V/p^n V \cong W/p^n W$  for every n, and this gives an isomorphism of the inverse limit systems

$$V/pV \leftarrow V/p^2V \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow V/p^nV \leftarrow \cdots$$

and

$$W/pW \leftarrow W/p^2W \leftarrow \cdots \leftarrow W/p^nW \leftarrow \cdots$$

that takes the image of T in each  $V/p^n V$  to the image of T' in the corresponding  $W/p^n W$ . This induces an isomorphism of the inverse limits, which are V and W, respectively.  $\Box$