

1. (a) For every subring A of R finitely generated over the prime ring $\text{Im}(\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow R)$, we may consider the localization (B, P_B) of B at the contraction of P to B . Clearly, (R, P) is the directed union of the rings (B, P_B) , and the inclusion maps are local. Choose a finite presentation $S = T/I$ for S , where $T = R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$ is a polynomial ring over R , and $I = (F_1, \dots, F_m)$. Choose B_0 sufficiently large that $F_1, \dots, F_m \in B_0[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, and for any $B \supseteq B_0$, let $T_B = R[X_1, \dots, X_n]$, let $I_B = (F_1, \dots, F_m)T_B$, and let $S_B = T_B/I_B^2$. Since T/I is smooth over R , $T/I^2 \rightarrow T/I$ splits over R . Suppose that the image of X_j under $T \rightarrow T/I \rightarrow T/I^2$ is represented by $X_j + \sum_{h=1}^m G_{jh}F_h$ (it must have this form). Moreover, (*) for every i , $F_i(X_1 + \sum_{h=1}^m G_{1h}F_h, \dots, X_n + \sum_{h=1}^m G_{nh}F_h)$ must be in I^2 , and so must be expressible as $\sum_{j,k} H_{ijk}F_jF_k$. Choose $B \supseteq B_0$ large enough to contain all the coefficients of the G_{jh} and the H_{ijk} . Then we can define $T_B/I_B \rightarrow T_B/I_B^2$ so that under $T_B \rightarrow T_B/I_B \rightarrow T_B/I_B^2$ the image of X_j is represented by $X_j + \sum_{h=1}^m G_{jh}F_h$. This gives a well-defined map because (*) continues to hold in T_B . Thus, we may take $R_{\lambda_0} = B$, and $S_0 = T_B/I_B$. We have that S_B is smooth over B , that $S = R \otimes_B S_B$. \square

(b) Let P_1, \dots, P_n be the minimal primes of R . Since R is reduced, their intersection is 0. Thus, R embeds in $\prod_{i=1}^n (R/P_i) \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^n \kappa_i$, where $\kappa_i = \text{frac}(R/P_i)$. Since S is R -flat, $S = S \otimes_R R$ embeds in $S \otimes_R \prod_{i=1}^n \kappa_i \cong \prod_{i=1}^n \kappa_i \otimes_R S$, and the rings $\kappa_i \otimes_R S$ are the generic fibers and so are reduced. \square

(c) S is a localization of a smooth R -algebra, and so it suffices to show the result for smooth R -algebras, since a localization of a reduced ring is reduced. If $Q \in \text{Spec}(S)$ is in the support of Sz , where z is nilpotent, then we may localize at the contraction P of S to R , and the image of z in S_P is a nonzero nilpotent. Now represent R_P as a direct limit as in part (a), and S as a direct limit of smooth extensions of these. Then each S_λ in the direct limit system is reduced, and it follows that S is reduced. \square

(d) The Henselization is a direct limit of pointed étale extensions. Each pointed étale extension is essentially smooth, and so reduced. Since a direct limit of reduced rings is reduced, the result follows. \square

2. The polynomial $Y^2 - X^2 - X^3$ is irreducible in $\mathbb{C}[X, Y]$, since $X^2 + X^3 = X^2(1 + X)$ is not a perfect square in the $\mathbb{C}[X]$. Hence, $\mathbb{C}[x, y] = \mathbb{C}[X, Y]/(Y^2 - X^2 - X^3)$ is a domain of dimension one, and $D = \mathbb{C}[x, y]_m$ where $m = (x, y)$ is a local domain of dimension one. In the Henselization, $1 + x$ has two square roots, f and $-f$, corresponding to the roots $1, -1$ of the equation $Z^2 - 1 = 0$. Then $(y - xf)(y + xf) = 0$ in the quotient, but neither factor is 0: there is a local $\mathbb{C}[[x]]$ -homomorphism $D \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[x]]$ that sends $y \mapsto \pm xg$ where $g = 1 + (1/2)x + \dots$, where $g^2 = 1 + x$. One of these maps kills $y - xf$ but not $y + xf$, while the other kills $y + xf$ but not $y - xf$. \square

3. Let $Z = (Z_{ij})$ be an $s \times s$ matrix of unknowns. Then it suffices to show that the system of s^2 equations in s^2 unknowns given by the matrix equation $(I_s + Z)^n = I_s + M$, where M has entries in P , has a solution in P . Mod P , $Z = 0$ is a solution, and so it suffices to show that the $s^2 \times s^2$ Jacobian matrix has a determinant that is a unit. The equation may be written in matrix form as $nZ + Q(Z) - M = 0$ where $Q(Z)$ involves only terms that are of degree 2 and higher in the Z_{ij} . The Jacobian matrix is therefore nI_{s^2} , which will be invertible precisely when n is a unit.

4. Assume R is separable. Then for each minimal prime P of R , R_P is separable, and R_P is the fraction field of R/P . Hence, $R/P \subseteq \text{frac}(R/P)$ is separable. In the other direction, since $R \hookrightarrow \prod_P (R/P)$ as P runs through the minimal primes of R , it suffices to show that $K \rightarrow \prod_P (R/P)$ is separable. Let K' be a finite purely inseparable extension of K . Then $K' \otimes_K (\prod_P R/P) \cong \prod_P (K' \otimes_K R/P)$ (this needs that K' is a finite-dimensional vector space over K) and since every factor is reduced, so is the product. Alternatively, one can use that for any field extension $L \supseteq K$, $L \otimes \prod_P (L \otimes_K R/P)$ is injective.

Note that, more generally, if V are vector spaces over K and W_j is a possibly infinite family of such vector spaces, we have a map $V \otimes_K \prod_j W_j \rightarrow \prod_j (V \otimes_K W_j)$ that sends $v \otimes \theta$ to the element whose j th coordinate is $v \otimes \theta_j$. If V is finite-dimensional with basis v_1, \dots, v_s , this map is bijective: a typical element of $\prod_j (V \otimes_K W_j)$ has j th coordinate of the form $v_1 \otimes w_{1j} + \dots + v_s \otimes w_{sj}$, and the inverse takes this element to the sum of the elements $v_i \otimes \theta_i$, where θ_i has j th coordinate w_{ij} . If V is not finite-dimensional the map is injective, since for every finite-dimensional V_0 inside V , we have $V_0 \otimes_K \prod_j W_j \hookrightarrow \prod_j (V_0 \otimes_K W_j) \hookrightarrow \prod_j (V \otimes_K W_j)$ and the composite map is the same as V FINISH.

5. R must be Henselian. Let S be a module-finite extension of R . The maximal ideals of S/NS correspond bijectively to those of S , since NS consists of nilpotents, and since R/N is Henselian, S/NS decomposes as a product of quasilocal rings, one for each maximal ideal of S (or S/NS). Since idempotents lift uniquely modulo nilpotents, all of the idempotents yielding the product decomposition of S/NS lift to S , and so S also decomposes into such a product.

6. For the domain (resp., reduced) property, note that if $xy = 0$ (resp. $x^k = 0$) for nonzero x, y (resp., x) we have that x, y (resp., x) $\notin m^n \widehat{R}$ for large n . But then $XY = 0$ (resp. $X^k = 0$) has a solution in R that is congruent to the original solution modulo $m^n \widehat{R}$, which yields elements x_1, y_1 (resp., x_1) of R not in m^n such that $x_1 y_1 = 0$ (resp., $x_1^k = 0$). \square

EXTRA CREDIT 7. Since localizations are formally étale, every local ring of R is formally smooth over K and we may assume that R is local, since it suffices to check regularity locally. One has a coefficient field $L \subseteq R/P^2$ (which is complete), and by the structure theory for complete local rings, L contains K , since K is perfect. Let x_1, \dots, x_d be a minimal set of generators of P . Then we have a K -algebra surjection $R \twoheadrightarrow R/P^2 \cong L[X_1, \dots, X_d]/m^2$, where X_1, \dots, X_d are indeterminates, $m = (X_1, \dots, X_d)$, and the image of x_i maps to the image of X_i . Since R is formally smooth over K this lifts to a map $\theta_n : R \rightarrow L[X_1, \dots, X_d]/m^{n+1} = S_n$ for all n . Let m_n be the maximal ideal of S_n . Since the images of the x_i generate m_n/m_n^2 , by Nakayama's lemma they generate m_n . It follows from the part (c) of the Proposition stated at the bottom of p. 7 of the Supplement on the Structure Theory of Complete Local Rings that the map $R/P^n \rightarrow S_n$ is surjective. Hence, $\ell(R/P^n) \geq \ell(S_n)$, which is a polynomial of degree d in n for $n \gg 0$. It follows that $\dim(R) \geq d$, the least number of generators of P , while $\dim(R) \leq d$ is automatic. Thus, $\dim(R) = d$, and R is regular. \square

EXTRA CREDIT 8. We know from Problem 2. that we may assume both rings are domains. It suffices to prove that if \widehat{R} is not normal, then R is not normal. Suppose that y/x is an element of the fraction field of \widehat{R} not in \widehat{R} , where $x \neq 0$. Then y/x satisfies a

monic polynomial over \widehat{R} of degree, say, n . Multiplying by x^n , we obtain a polynomial equation (*) $y^n + z_1 y^{n-1} x + \cdots + z_i y^{n-i} x^i + \cdots + z_n x^n = 0$. Since $y/x \notin R$, we have that $y \notin xR$, and so there exists $N \gg 0$ such that $y \notin xR + m^N$ and $x \notin m^N$, where m is the maximal ideal of \widehat{R} . Let Y, X, Z_i be variables, and approximate the solution y, x, z_i of the equation (**) $Y^n + Z_1 Y^{n-1} X + \cdots + Z_i Y^{n-i} X^i + \cdots + Z_n X^n = 0$ we have over \widehat{R} by a solution in the approximation ring R , call it y', x', z'_i , that is congruent to the original solution mod m^N . Then $y' \notin x'R + m^N$, and so $y' \notin x'R$, and $x' \notin m^N$, so that $x' \neq 0$. Hence, y'/x' is an element of the fraction field of R . Since y'/x' satisfies (**), by dividing by x'^n we see that y'/x' is integral over R , a contradiction. \square