

1. Suppose $r \in R$ and $r \in (IS)^*$, so that for some $c \in S \setminus \{0\}$, $cr^q \in (IS)^{[q]} = I^{[q]}S$ for all $q \gg 1$. c has a nonzero multiple in R , so we may assume $c \in R$. As shown in class, there is an R -linear map $\theta : S \rightarrow R$ such that $\theta(1) = d \neq 0$. Applying θ yields $cr^q\theta(1) \in I^{[q]}$ for all $q \gg 1$, and so $(cd)r^q \in I^{[q]}$ for all $q \gg 0$. \square

2. (a) Let \mathfrak{m}_n be the ideal spanned by forms of degree $\geq n$. For a standard grading, $\mathfrak{m}_n = \mathfrak{m}^n$, but in all cases the \mathfrak{m}_n are cofinal with the powers of \mathfrak{m} and are \mathfrak{m} -primary. Since $E := E_R(K)$ is an essential extension of K , every element is killed by \mathfrak{m}_n for some $n \gg 0$, and so $E = \bigcup_n \text{Ann}_E \mathfrak{m}_n$. Since R/\mathfrak{m}_n is Artin, we already know from class results that $\text{Ann}_E \mathfrak{m}_n \cong E_{R/\mathfrak{m}_n}(K) \cong \text{Hom}_K(R/\mathfrak{m}_n, K)$, and $\text{Hom}_K(R/\mathfrak{m}_{n+1}, K) \cong \bigoplus_{t=0}^n \text{Hom}_K([R]_t, K)$. It follows that $E = \bigoplus_{t=0}^{\infty} \text{Hom}_K([R]_t, K)$. Note that the R -module structure on the space of linear functionals that kill some \mathfrak{m}_n is given by $(r\theta)(f) = \theta(rf)$.

(b) If b_1, \dots, b_s is a basis for a finite-dimensional K -vector space V , let $b_1^\vee, \dots, b_s^\vee$ denote the dual basis for the dual of V into K , so that the functional b_i^\vee is 1 on b_i and 0 on b_j for $j \neq i$. Grade the Segre product so that the typical element $\mu = r^a s^b t^c u^d$, where $a+b = c+d$, has degree $a+b$. Let \mathcal{M} be the set of monomials in R . Then we may use the union of all the dual bases of the graded components of R to give a basis $\{\mu^\vee : \mu \in \mathcal{M}\}$, where \mathcal{M} is the set of all monomials in R , for the injective hull $E := E_R(K)$, by part (a). Let $\lambda := rstu$. There is a bijection θ of this dual basis with the strictly negative monomials specified in the problem given by $\theta : \mu^\vee \mapsto (\lambda\mu)^{-1}$. This extends to a K -vector space isomorphism of E with E_0 . To check that this is an R -module isomorphism one needs to check that for all $\nu \in \mathcal{M}$, $(*) \quad \nu\theta(\mu\nu) = \theta(\nu\mu\nu)$. Let $\mu\bar{\nu}$ denote μ/ν if $\nu|\mu$ and 0 otherwise. It is straightforward to check $\nu\mu^\vee = (\mu\bar{\nu})^\vee$. Also, $\nu|\mu$ iff $\nu/(\lambda\mu)$ is strictly negative. It follows that each side of $(*)$ is nonzero if and only if ν divides μ , and then both sides are $\nu/(\lambda\mu)$. \square

3. (a) If $u \in M \setminus \{0\}$ we have $Ru \twoheadrightarrow Ru/\mathfrak{m}(Ru) \cong K$, and so a nonzero composite map $f_0 : Ru \rightarrow K \hookrightarrow E$. Since E is injective, f_0 extends to a nonzero map $f : M \rightarrow E$. \square

(b) If $u \in M \setminus \{0\}$, the map $f : M \rightarrow E$ constructed in part (a) is nonzero on u , and then the image T_u of u in $\text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, E), E)$ is nonzero, since $T_u(f) = f(u) \neq 0$. \square

4. (a) Let x_1, \dots, x_d be a system of parameters in R . By flatness, this is a regular sequence on M (note that $(x_1, \dots, x_d)S \subseteq \mathfrak{n}$ and $M/\mathfrak{n}M \neq 0$, by Nakayama's lemma). Since $\text{Rad}(x_1, \dots, x_d) = \mathfrak{m}$ and $\text{Rad}(\mathfrak{m}S) = \mathfrak{n}$, it follows that $\text{Rad}((x_1, \dots, x_d)S) = \mathfrak{n}$, and so the images of x_1, \dots, x_d form a sequence of elements in S such that $M/(x_1, \dots, x_d)M$ is killed by a power of \mathfrak{n} . Since this sequence is a regular sequence on M , it follows that $\dim(M) = d$ and M is Cohen-Macaulay. \square

(b) Let f_1, \dots, f_k generate \mathfrak{m} . We have a map $R \rightarrow R^k$ such that $1 \mapsto (f_1, \dots, f_k)$ whose kernel is $\text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{m}$. Since $0 \rightarrow \text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow R \rightarrow R^k$ is exact and M is R -flat, we have also that $0 \rightarrow (\text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{m}) \otimes_R M \rightarrow M \rightarrow M^k$ is exact, where the rightmost map α is $u \mapsto (f_1 u, \dots, f_k u)$. $\text{Ker}(\alpha) = \text{Ann}_M \mathfrak{m}$, but from the exactness of $(*)$ is also the image (under an injection) of $(\text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{m}) \otimes_R M$, which which may be identified with $(\text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{m})M$. Then $\text{Ann}_M \mathfrak{n} \subseteq \text{Ann}_M \mathfrak{m}$, and is the same as $\text{Ann}_{(\text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{m}) \otimes_R M} \mathfrak{n}$. Now $\text{Ann}_R \mathfrak{m}$ is a K -vector space whose dimension is the type t of R and so is $\cong K^t$. Then $\text{Ann}_M \mathfrak{n} \cong \text{Ann}_{K^t \otimes_R M} \mathfrak{n} \cong \text{Ann}_{(M/\mathfrak{m}M)^{\oplus t}} \mathfrak{n} \cong (\text{Ann}_{M/\mathfrak{m}M} \mathfrak{n})^{\oplus t}$, and the dimension over L , which is the type of M over S , is t times the dimension over L of $\text{Ann}_{M/\mathfrak{m}M} \mathfrak{n}$, which is t times the type of $M/\mathfrak{m}M$. \square

5. (a) Consider a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m}' of R^Γ and the corresponding maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of R . Then $R_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\mathfrak{m}'} = R_{\mathfrak{m}}^\Gamma$ is flat local, $R_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is Cohen-Macaulay, and the fiber is $(R/\mathfrak{m})_{\mathfrak{m}}^\Gamma \cong (R/\mathfrak{m})^\Gamma$, which is purely inseparable over the field (R/\mathfrak{m}) , and so is zero-dimensional. By part (a) of Problem 4., $R_{\mathfrak{m}'}^\Gamma$ is Cohen-Macaulay. Since \mathfrak{m}' is arbitrary, R^Γ is Cohen-Macaulay. \square

(b) It was show in class that when R is regular, so is R^Γ for all sufficiently small $\Gamma \ll \Lambda$. When R is not regular, by a class result if $V(J)$ is the singular locus in R , then for all sufficiently small $\Gamma \ll \Lambda$, $V(JR^\Gamma)$ is the singular locus in R^Γ . if R is normal, we can choose a regular sequence of length two (or more) in J . Since $R \rightarrow R\Gamma$ is flat, the image of these elements is a regular sequence in $JR\Gamma$.

6. We may replace R by \widehat{R} without loss of generality, and so assume that R is complete. When $E_i \subseteq E_j \subseteq E$ where $E_i \subset E_j$ is a proper inclusion, we may apply $\text{Hom}_R(_, E)$ to obtain surjections $R \cong \text{Hom}_R(E, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(E_j, E) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(E_i, E)$ where, since $\text{Hom}_R(_, E)$ is faithfully exact by Problem 3.(a), we have that the rightmost map is a proper surjection. Thus, dualizing produces $R \rightarrow R/J_j \rightarrow R/J_i$ where the second map is a proper surjection: this means J_i is strictly larger than J_j . It will complete all parts of the problem if we show that $J_i = \text{Ann}_R E_i =: \mathfrak{A}_i$. Since $R/J_i \cong \text{Hom}_R(E_i, E)$, we have that $\mathfrak{A}_i \subseteq J_i$. But we also know that $E_i \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(E_i, E), E)$ is injective by problem 3.(b), and the latter module is killed by J_i since $\text{Hom}_R(E_i, E) \cong R/J_i$, which shows that $J_i \subseteq \mathfrak{A}_i$. \square (In fact, over a complete local ring, if M has ACC or DCC, then $\text{Hom}_R(M, E)$ ACC or DCC, respectively, and $M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(\text{Hom}_R(M, E), E)$ is an isomorphism.)

EC9. We have $\text{pd}_R M = \text{ht}(I) = n - d$. Let $0 \rightarrow R^{b_h} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow R^{b_0} \rightarrow 0$ be a minimal free resolution of M , where $d_i : R^{b_i} \rightarrow R^{b_{i-1}}$ and $\text{Coker}(d_1) \cong M$. When we apply $_{}^* = \text{Hom}_R(_, R)$ (*not* tight closure!), we get: $(*) \quad 0 \rightarrow (R^{b_0})^* \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow (R^{b_h})^* \rightarrow 0$, and the cohomology is $\text{Ext}_R^\bullet(M, R)$. Here, the $(R^{b_i})^*$ are free, and the matrix of d_i^* is the transpose of the matrix of d_i . Since the depth of R on $\text{Ann}_R M = I$ is $\text{ht}(I)$, which is h , the j th cohomology of the complex $(*)$ is $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, R) = 0$ except when $j = h$. Hence, the complex $(*)$ is a minimal (entries of the matrices are still in \mathfrak{m}) free resolution of the $\text{Coker}(d_h^*) = \text{Ext}_R^h(M, R) = M^\vee$. Moreover, if use this resolution to compute $\text{Ext}^h(M^\vee, R)$, because $_{}^{**}$ is naturally isomorphic to the identity functor, we come back to the original complex, which shows that $\text{Ext}_R^h(M^\vee, R) \cong M$. Clearly, I kills M^\vee and the annihilator of M^\vee kills $\text{Ext}_R^h(M^\vee, R)$. Since the annihilator of each module kills the other, each annihilator is contained in the other, and they are equal. Thus, $\dim(M^\vee) = n - h = d$. By the Auslander-Buchsbaum theorem, the depth of M^\vee on \mathfrak{m} is $n - \text{pd}_R(M^\vee) = n - h = d$. Hence, M^\vee is Cohen-Macaulay. Exactness of $_{}^\vee$ now follows from the long exact sequence for Ext along with the fact that for Cohen-Macaulay modules of dimension d , the only non-vanishing $\text{Ext}_R^j(M, R)$ occurs when $j = n - d$. When x is a nonzerodivisor on M , $0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow M \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow 0$ is exact, yield a long exact sequence for $\text{Ext}_R(_, R)$ with just three consecutive nonzero terms, and then $(M/xM)^\vee \cong M^\vee/xM^\vee$ is immediate. When we localize at a prime $P \supseteq I$, R_P is regular, M_P is Cohen-Macaulay, the new annihilator is I_P , which has height h since all minimal primes of I have height h , and so $M_P^\vee \cong \text{Ext}_{R_P}^h(M_P, R_P) \cong \text{Ext}_R^h(M, R)_P = (M^\vee)_P$. \square

EC10. (a) Use the Koszul complex of a regular system of parameters to calculate Ext .

(b) Fom the exactness of $_{}^\vee$, if one has a short exact sequence of finite length modules

$0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow M \rightarrow B \rightarrow 0$, then $\ell(M^\vee) = \ell(A^\vee) + \ell(C^\vee)$. The result is immediate from (a) by induction on $\ell(M)$.

(c) The type of M and the least number of generators of M^\vee don't change when one passes from M to M/xM , where x is a nonzerodivisor on M , since $(M/xM)^\vee = M^\vee/xM^\vee$. Iterated application of this fact reduces the problem to the case where M has finite length. The result then says that the socle in M has the same dimension as the least number of generators of M^\vee . With notation as in the first part of Problem 4.(b) we have that $0 \rightarrow \text{Ann}_M \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow M \rightarrow M^k$ is exact, and thus, applying $^\vee$, we have that the sequence $M^{\vee k} \rightarrow M^\vee \rightarrow (\text{Ann}_M \mathfrak{m})^\vee \rightarrow 0$ is exact, where the leftmost map takes $(u_1, \dots, u_k) \mapsto \sum_{i=1}^k f_i u_i$. Thus, the cokernel of the map is also $M^\vee/\mathfrak{m}M^\vee$, and the least number of generators of M^\vee , by Nakayma's lemma, is the length (the same as vector space dimension) of $M^\vee/\mathfrak{m}M^\vee$. This is the same as the length of $(\text{Ann}_M \mathfrak{m})^\vee$, which by part (b) is the same as the length (or vector space dimension) of $\text{Ann}_M \mathfrak{m}$, the socle of M . \square