

**Math 711: Lecture of September 18, 2006**

We have already noted that when  $(R, m, K)$  is a local ring and  $i \subseteq m$  an ideal we may identify

$$K \otimes_R \text{gr}_I(R) \cong R/m \oplus I/mI \oplus I^2/mI^2 \oplus \cdots \oplus I^n/mI^n \oplus \cdots .$$

$S$  is called a *standard graded  $A$ -algebra* if  $S$  is  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded with  $S_0 = A$  and the 1-forms  $S_1$  of  $S$  generate  $S$  as an  $A$ -algebra. If  $S$  is a standard graded  $K$ -algebra, where  $K$  is a field, then  $R$  has a unique homogeneous maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m} = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n$ , the  $K$ -span (and even the span as an abelian group) of all elements of positive degree.

We note as well that if  $R[It] \subseteq R[t]$  is the Rees ring, then

$$(R/I) \otimes_R R[It] \cong R[It]/IR[It] = \frac{R + It + I^2t^2 + \cdots + I^nt^n + \cdots}{I + I^2t + I^3t^2 + \cdots + I^{n+1}t^n + \cdots},$$

and it is quite straightforward to identify this with  $\text{gr}_I R$ .

Since  $(R/m) \otimes_R (R/I) \cong R/I$ , it follows that

$$K \otimes_R \text{gr}_I(R) \cong (R/m) \otimes_R ((R/I) \otimes_R R[It]) \cong (R/m) \otimes_R (R/I) \otimes_R R[It] \cong K \otimes_R R[It],$$

so that we may also view  $K \otimes_R \text{gr}(R)$  as  $K \otimes_R R[It]$ .

We give two preliminary results. Recall that in Nakayama's Lemma one has a *finitely generated module*  $M$  over a ring  $(R, m)$  with a unique maximal ideal, i.e., a quasilocal ring. The lemma states that if  $M = mM$  then  $M = 0$ . By applying the result to  $M/N$ , one can conclude that if  $M$  is finitely generated (or finitely generated over  $N$ ), and  $M = N + mM$ , then  $M = N$ . In particular, elements of  $M$  whose images generate  $M/mM$  generate  $M$ : if  $N$  is the module they generate, we have  $M = N + mM$ . Less familiar is the homogeneous form of the Lemma: it does not need  $M$  to be finitely generated, although there can be only finitely many negative graded components (the detailed statement is given below).

First recall that if  $H$  is an additive semigroup with 0 and  $R$  is an  $H$ -graded ring, we also have the notion of an  $H$ -graded  $R$ -module  $M$ :  $M$  has a direct sum decomposition

$$M = \bigoplus_{h \in H} M_h$$

as an abelian group such that for all  $h, k \in H$ ,  $R_h M_k \subseteq M_{h+k}$ . Thus, every  $M_h$  is an  $R_0$ -module. A submodule  $N$  of  $M$  is called *graded* (or *homogeneous*) if

$$N = \bigoplus_{h \in H} (N \cap M_h).$$

An equivalent statement is that the homogeneous components in  $M$  of every element of  $N$  are in  $N$ , and another is that  $N$  is generated by forms of  $M$ .

Note that if we have a subsemigroup  $H \subseteq H'$ , then any  $H$ -graded ring or module can be viewed as an  $H'$ -graded ring or module by letting the components corresponding to elements of  $H' - H$  be zero.

In particular, an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded ring is also  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded, and it makes sense to consider a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded module over an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded ring.

**Nakayama's Lemma, homogeneous form.** *Let  $R$  be an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded ring and let  $M$  be any  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded module such that  $M_{-n} = 0$  for all sufficiently large  $n$  (i.e.,  $M$  has only finitely many nonzero negative components). Let  $I$  be the ideal of  $R$  generated by elements of positive degree. If  $M = IM$ , then  $M = 0$ . Hence, if  $N$  is a graded submodule such that  $M = N + IM$ , then  $N = M$ , and a homogeneous set of generators for  $M/IM$  generates  $M$ .*

*Proof.* If  $M = IM$  and  $u \in M$  is nonzero homogeneous of smallest degree  $d$ , then  $u$  is a sum of products  $i_t v_t$  where each  $i_t \in I$  has positive degree, and every  $v_t$  is homogeneous, necessarily of degree  $\geq d$ . Since every term  $i_t v_t$  has degree strictly larger than  $d$ , this is a contradiction. The final two statements follow exactly as in the case of the usual form of Nakayama's Lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma.** *Let  $S \rightarrow T$  be a degree preserving  $K$ -algebra homomorphism of standard graded  $K$ -algebras. Let  $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq S$  and  $\mathfrak{n} \subseteq T$  be the homogeneous maximal ideals. Then  $T$  is a finitely generated  $S$ -module if and only if the image of  $S_1$  in  $T_1$  generates an  $\mathfrak{n}$ -primary ideal.*

*Proof.* By the homogeneous form of Nakayama's lemma,  $T$  is finitely generated over  $S$  if and only if  $T/\mathfrak{m}T$  is a finite-dimensional  $K$ -vector space, and this will be true if and only if all homogeneous components  $[T/\mathfrak{m}T]_s$  are 0 for  $s \gg 0$ , which holds if and only if  $\mathfrak{n}^s \subseteq \mathfrak{m}T$  for all  $s \gg 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$  be a local ring. If  $I \subseteq J \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$  are ideals, then  $J$  is integral over  $I$  if and only if the image of  $I$  in  $J/\mathfrak{m}J = [K \otimes_R \text{gr}(R)]_1$  generates an  $\mathfrak{n}$ -primary ideal in  $K \otimes_R \text{gr}_J(R)$ , where  $\mathfrak{n}$  is the homogeneous maximal ideal in  $T$ .*

*Proof.* First note that  $J$  is integral over  $I$  if and only if  $R[Jt]$  is integral over  $R[It]$ , and this is equivalent to the assertion that  $R[Jt]$  is module-finite over  $R[It]$ , since  $R[Jt]$  is finitely generated as an  $R$ -algebra, and, hence, as an  $R[It]$ -algebra.

If this holds, we have that  $K \otimes_R R[Jt]$  is a finitely generated module over  $K \otimes_R R[It]$ , and, since the image of  $I$  generates the maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  in  $S = K \otimes_R \text{gr}_I(R) \cong K \otimes_R R[It]$ , the preceding Lemma implies that the latter statement will be true if and only if the image of  $I$  in  $J/\mathfrak{m}J = [K \otimes_R \text{gr}_J(R)]_1$  generates an  $\mathfrak{n}$ -primary ideal in  $T = K \otimes_R \text{gr}_J(R)$ .

The proof will be complete if we can show that when  $T$  is module-finite over  $S$ , then  $R[Jt]$  is module-finite over  $R[It]$ . Let  $j_1 \in J^{d_1}, \dots, j_h \in J^{d_h}$  be elements whose images in  $J^{d_1}/\mathfrak{m}J^{d_1}, \dots, J^{d_h}/\mathfrak{m}J^{d_h}$ , respectively, generate  $T$  as an  $S$ -module. We claim that

$j_{d_1}t^{d_1}, \dots, j_{d_h}t^{d_h}$  generate  $R[Jt]$  over  $R[It]$ . To see this, note that the fact that these elements generate  $T$  over  $S$  implies that for every  $N$ ,

$$J^N = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq h \text{ such that } d_i \leq n} I^{N-d_i} j_{d_i} + mJ^N.$$

For each fixed  $N$ , we may apply the usual form of Nakayama's Lemma to conclude that

$$J^N = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq h \text{ such that } d_i \leq n} I^{N-d_i} j_{d_i}.$$

and so, for all  $N$ , we have

$$J^N t^N = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq h \text{ such that } d_i \leq n} I^{N-d_i} t^{N-d_i} j_{d_i} t^{d_i},$$

which is just what we need to conclude that  $j_{d_1}t^{d_1}, \dots, j_{d_h}t^{d_h}$  generate  $R[Jt]$  over  $R[It]$ .  $\square$

The following fact is often useful.

**Proposition.** *Let  $K$  be an infinite field,  $V \subseteq W$  vector spaces, and let  $V_1, \dots, V_h$  be vector subspaces of  $W$  such that  $V \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^h V_i$ . Then  $V \subseteq V_i$  for some  $i$ .*

*Proof.* If not, for each  $i$  choose  $v_i \in V - V_i$ . We may replace  $V$  by the span of the  $v_i$  and so assume it is finite-dimensional of dimension  $d$ . We may replace  $V_i$  by  $V_i \cap V$ , so that we may assume every  $V_i \subseteq V$ . The result is clear when  $d = 1$ . When  $d = 2$ , we may assume that  $V = K^2$ , and the vectors  $(1, c)$ ,  $c \in K - \{0\}$  lie on infinitely many distinct lines. For  $d > 2$  we use induction. Since each subspace of  $V \cong K^d$  of dimension  $d - 1$  is covered by the  $V_i$ , each is contained in some  $V_i$ , and, hence, equal to some  $V_i$ . Therefore it suffices to see that there are infinitely many subspaces of dimension  $d - 1$ . Write  $V = K^2 \oplus W$  where  $W \cong K^{d-2}$ . The line  $L$  in  $K^2$  yields a subspace  $L \oplus W$  of dimension  $d - 1$ , and if  $L \neq L'$  then  $L \oplus W$  and  $L' \oplus W$  are distinct subspaces.  $\square$

Also note:

**Proposition.** *Let  $M$  be an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded or  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded module over an  $\mathbb{N}$ -graded or  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded Noetherian ring  $S$ . Then every associated prime of  $M$  is homogeneous. Hence, every minimal prime of the support of  $M$  is homogeneous and, in particular the associated (hence, the minimal) primes of  $S$  are homogeneous.*

*Proof.* Any associated prime  $P$  of  $M$  is the annihilator of some element  $u$  of  $M$ , and then every nonzero multiple of  $u \neq 0$  can be thought of as a nonzero element of  $S/P \cong Su \subseteq M$ , and so has annihilator  $P$  as well. Replace  $u$  by a nonzero multiple with as few nonzero homogeneous components as possible. If  $u_i$  is a nonzero homogeneous component of  $u$  of degree  $i$ , its annihilator  $J_i$  is easily seen to be a homogeneous ideal of  $S$ . If  $J_h \neq J_i$  we can

choose a form  $F$  in one and not the other, and then  $Fu$  is nonzero with fewer homogeneous components than  $u$ . Thus, the homogeneous ideals  $J_i$  are all equal to, say,  $J$ , and clearly  $J \subseteq P$ . Suppose that  $s \in P - J$  and subtract off all components of  $s$  that are in  $J$ , so that no nonzero component is in  $J$ . Let  $s_a \notin J$  be the lowest degree component of  $s$  and  $u_b$  be the lowest degree component in  $u$ . Then  $s_a u_b$  is the only term of degree  $a + b$  occurring in  $su = 0$ , and so must be 0. But then  $s_a \in \text{Ann}_S u_b = J_b = J$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

**Corollary.** *Let  $S$  be a standard graded  $K$ -algebra of dimension  $d$  with homogeneous maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Then there are forms  $L_1, \dots, L_d$  of degree 1 in  $R_1$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the radical of  $(L_1, \dots, L_d)S$ .*

*Proof.* The minimal primes of a graded algebra are homogeneous, and  $\dim(S)$  is the same as  $\dim(S/P)$  for some minimal prime  $P$  of  $R$ . Then  $P \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ , and

$$\dim(S) = \dim(S/P) = \dim(S/P)_{\mathfrak{m}} \leq \dim S_{\mathfrak{m}} \leq \dim(S),$$

so that  $\dim(S) = \dim(S_{\mathfrak{m}}) = \text{height } \mathfrak{m}$ . If  $\dim(S) = 0$ ,  $\mathfrak{m}$  must be the unique minimal prime of  $S$ , and therefore is itself nilpotent. Otherwise,  $S_1$  cannot be contained in the union of the minimal primes of  $S$ , or the Proposition just above would imply that it is contained in one of them, and  $S_1$  generates  $\mathfrak{m}$ . Choose  $L_1 \in S_1$  not in any minimal prime, and then  $\dim(S/L_1) = d - 1$ . Use induction. If  $L_1, \dots, L_k$  have been chosen in  $S_1$  such that  $\dim(S/(L_1, \dots, L_k)S) = d - k < d$ , choose  $L_{k+1} \in S_1$  not in any minimal prime of  $(L_1, \dots, L_k)S$  (if  $S_1$  were contained in one of these,  $\mathfrak{m}$  would be, and it would follow that  $\text{height } \mathfrak{m} \leq k$ , a contradiction). Thus, we eventually have  $L_1, \dots, L_d$  such that  $\dim(S/(L_1, \dots, L_d)S) = 0$ , and then by the case where  $d = 0$  we have that  $\mathfrak{m}$  is nilpotent modulo  $(L_1, \dots, L_d)S$ .  $\square$

We are now ready to prove the result that we have been aiming for:

**Theorem.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$  be local and  $J \subseteq R$  an ideal. Then any reduction  $I$  of  $J$  has at least  $\text{an}(J)$  generators. Moreover, if  $K$  is infinite, there is a reduction with  $\text{an}(J)$  generators.*

*Proof.* The problem of giving  $i_1, \dots, i_a \in J$  such that  $J$  is integral over  $(i_1, \dots, i_a)R$  is equivalent to giving  $a$  elements of  $J/\mathfrak{m}J$  that generate an  $\mathfrak{m}$ -primary ideal of  $S = K \otimes_R \text{gr}_J(R)$ , where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the homogeneous maximal ideal of  $S$ . Clearly, we must have  $a \geq \dim(S) = \text{an}(J)$ . If  $K$  is infinite, the existence of suitable elements follows from the Corollary just above.  $\square$

**Discussion.** If  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K)$  is local and  $t$  is an indeterminate over  $R$ , let  $R(t)$  denote the localization of the polynomial ring  $R[t]$  at  $\mathfrak{m}R[t]$ . Then  $R \rightarrow R(t)$  is a faithfully flat map of local rings of the same dimension, and the maximal ideal of  $R(t)$  is  $\mathfrak{m}R(t)$  while the residue class field of  $R(t)$  is  $K(t)$ . If  $J \subseteq \mathfrak{m}$ ,  $\text{an}(J)$  is the least number of generators of an ideal over which  $JR(t)$  is integral. In fact,  $K(t) \otimes \text{gr}_{JR(t)} R(t) \cong K(t) \otimes_K (K \otimes_R \text{gr}_J(R))$ , so that  $\text{an}(J) = \text{an}(JR(t))$ .

**Remark.** If  $I$  and  $J$  are any two ideals of any ring  $R$ ,  $\overline{I\overline{J}} \subseteq \overline{IJ}$ . There are many ways to see this. E.g., if  $r \in \overline{I}$ ,  $s \in \overline{J}$  and  $R \rightarrow V$  is any homomorphism to a valuation domain, then  $r \in IV$  and  $s \in JV$ , whence  $rs \in (IV)(JV) = (IJ)V$ . Thus,  $rs \in \overline{IJ}$  for every such  $r$  and  $s$ , and the elements  $rs$  generate  $\overline{I\overline{J}}$ .  $\square$

We shall prove below that if  $R$  is local and  $J$  is any proper ideal, then  $\dim(R) = \dim(\text{gr}_J R)$ . Assume this for the moment. It then follows that  $\dim(K \otimes_R \text{gr}_J(R)) \leq \dim(R)$ . We define the *big height* of a proper ideal  $J$  of a Noetherian ring to be the largest height of any minimal prime of  $J$ . (The height is the smallest height of any minimal prime of  $J$ .) We then have:

**Proposition.** *For any proper ideal  $J$  of a local ring  $(R, m, K)$ , the analytic spread of  $J$  lies between the big height of  $J$  and  $\dim(R)$ .*

*Proof.* That  $\text{an}(I) \leq \dim(R)$  follows from the discussion above, once we have shown that  $\dim(R) = \dim(\text{gr}_J R)$ . Let  $P$  be a minimal prime of  $J$ . We want to show that  $\text{height } P \leq \text{an}(J)$ . After replacing  $R$  by  $R(t)$ , if necessary, we have that  $J$  is integral over an ideal  $I$  with  $a = \text{an}(J)$  generators. Then  $J$  is contained in the radical of  $I$ . In  $R_P$  we have that  $P$  is the radical of  $JR_P$ , since  $P$  is a minimal prime of  $J$ , and so is contained in the radical of  $IR_P$ . Thus,  $\text{height } P \leq a = \text{an}(J)$ , as required.  $\square$

**Corollary.** *If  $K$  is infinite, every proper ideal  $J$  of a local ring  $(R, m, K)$  of Krull dimension  $d$  is integral over an ideal generated by at most  $d$  elements.*  $\square$

**Proposition.** *Let  $(R, m, K)$  be local, and  $J$  a proper ideal. Then for every positive integer  $n$ , the ideals  $J$  and  $J^n$  have the same analytic spread.*

*Proof.* The Rees ring of  $J^n$  may be identified with  $R[J^n t^n]$  since  $t^n$  is an indeterminate over  $R$ , and this is a subring of  $R[Jt]$  over which the larger ring is module-finite, since the  $n$ th power of any element of  $Jt$  is in  $R[J^n t^n]$ . The injectivity is retained when we apply  $K \otimes_R \_$ , since tensor commutes with direct sum, and the module-finite property continues to hold as well. It follows that  $K \otimes \text{gr}_J(R)$  is a module-finite extension of  $K \otimes \text{gr}_{J^n} R$ , and so these two rings have the same dimension.  $\square$

In general, if  $X$  is a matrix and  $B$  is a ring,  $B[X]$  denotes the ring generated over  $B$  by the entries of  $X$ . We frequently use this notation when these entries are indeterminates, in which case  $B[[X]]$  denotes the formal power series ring over  $B$  in which the variables are the entries of  $X$ . If  $M = (r_{ij})$  is a matrix over a ring  $R$  and  $t$  is a nonnegative integer,  $I_t(M)$  denotes the ideal of  $R$  generated by the size  $t$  minors of  $M$ . By convention, this ideal is  $R$  if  $t = 0$  and is  $(0)$  if  $t$  is strictly larger than either of the dimensions of the matrix  $M$ .

**Example.** Let  $I \subseteq (R, m, K)$  and let  $r$  be a nonzerodivisor. Then  $R[It] \cong R[rIt]$ : in fact  $rt$  is algebraically independent of  $R$ , so that there is an  $R$ -isomorphism  $R[t] \rightarrow R[rt]$  mapping  $t \mapsto rt$ , and this induces an  $R$ -isomorphism  $RI[t] \cong R[rIt]$ . It follows that

$K \otimes_R R[It] \cong K \otimes_R R[rIt]$ , and so  $\text{an}(I) = \text{an}(Ir)$ .  $Ir \subseteq rR$  which has analytic spread one. If  $I = m$ , or if  $I$  is  $m$ -primary, the analytic spread of  $I$  and of  $Ir$  is  $\dim(R)$ . Thus, the smaller of two ideals may have a much larger analytic spread than the larger ideal.

**Example.** Let  $K$  be a field and let  $X = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_n \\ y_1 & y_2 & \cdots & y_n \end{pmatrix}$  be a  $2 \times n$  matrix of formal indeterminates over  $K$ . Let  $K[X]$  be the polynomial ring in the entries of  $X$ , and let  $A = K[X]/I_2(X)$ . This ring is known to be a normal ring with an isolated singularity of dimension  $n+1$ . One can see what the dimension is as follows: we may tensor with algebraic closure of  $K$  without changing the dimension (this produces an integral extension), and so we may assume that  $K$  is algebraically closed. The algebraic set  $Z$  in  $\mathbb{A}^{2n}$  defined by  $I_2(X)$  corresponds to  $2 \times n$  matrices of rank at most one. We can map  $\mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^1$  to  $Z$  by sending  $(v, c)$  to the matrix whose first row is  $v$  and whose second row is  $cv$ . This map is not onto, but its image contains the open set consisting of matrices whose first row is not 0. It follows that the dimension of  $Z$  is  $n+1$ . (This ring is also known to be Cohen-Macaulay. Cf. [M. Hochster and J. A. Eagon, *Cohen-Macaulay rings, invariant theory, and the generic perfection of determinantal loci*, Amer. J. of Math. **93** (1972), 1020–1058].) The same properties hold if we localize  $A$  at the ideal generated by the entries of  $X$  (and if we complete). Call the local ring obtained  $S$ . Let  $P$  be the prime ideal  $(x_1, \dots, x_n)R$ . Then  $A = S/P$  is either the localization of  $K[y_1, \dots, y_n]$  at  $(y_1, \dots, y_n)$  or its completion. In any case,  $R/P$  has dimension  $n$ , so that  $P$  is a height one prime of  $S$ . But its analytic spread is  $n$ . In fact,  $\text{gr}_P(S)$  has the form  $A[u_1, \dots, u_n]$  where the  $u_i$  satisfy the relations  $y_i u_j - y_j u_i = 0$ . If we kill only these relations we get a domain of dimension  $n+1$  that maps onto  $\text{gr}_P(S)$ . Since  $\text{gr}_P(S)$  has the same dimension as  $S$  (we have not proved this yet, but will shortly), the map onto  $\text{gr}_P(S)$  cannot have a nonzero kernel, i.e., it is an isomorphism. But then  $K \otimes \text{gr}_P(S) \cong K[u_1, \dots, u_n]$  has dimension  $n$ .

We next want to prove the assertion that the local ring  $(R, m, K)$  and the ring  $\text{gr}_J R$  have the same dimension. In order to do so, we review the dimension formula. Recall that a Noetherian ring  $R$  is *catenary* if for any two prime ideals  $P \subseteq Q$ , any two saturated chains of prime ideals joining  $P$  to  $Q$  have the same length. Localizations and homomorphic images of catenary rings are clearly catenary.  $R$  is *universally catenary* if every polynomial ring in finitely many variables over  $R$  is catenary. It is equivalent to assert that every algebra essentially of finite type over  $R$  is catenary. A ring is called *Cohen-Macaulay* if in each of its local rings some (equivalently, every) system of parameters is a regular sequence. Cohen-Macaulay rings are catenary and, therefore, universally catenary, since a polynomial ring over a Cohen-Macaulay ring is Cohen-Macaulay. Regular rings are Cohen-Macaulay, and, hence, universally catenary. A complete local ring is a homomorphic image of a regular ring and so is also universally catenary. If  $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{G}$  are fields,  $\text{tr. deg.}(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{F})$  denotes the transcendence degree over  $\mathcal{G}$  over  $\mathcal{F}$ .

**Theorem (dimension formula).** *Let  $R \subseteq S$  be Noetherian domains such that  $S$  is finitely generated over  $R$ , and call the fraction fields  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{G}$ , respectively. Let  $Q$  be a prime ideal of  $S$  lying over  $P$  in  $R$ . Let  $K$  and  $L$  be the residue class fields of  $R_P$  and  $S_Q$ ,*

respectively. Then

$$\text{height } Q - \text{height } P \leq \text{tr. deg.}(\mathcal{G}/\mathcal{F}) - \text{tr. deg.}(L/K),$$

with equality if  $R$  is universally catenary.