

Math 711: Lecture of October 2, 2006

Remark. The quadratic transform of (R, m, K) along V is independent of the choice of regular system of parameters. If $x \in m$ has minimum order in V , the quadratic transform is the localization of $R[m/x]$ at the contraction P of the maximal ideal of V . If y also has minimum order, then $y/x \notin P$, and so $x/y \in R[m/x]_P$. Since $(u/x)(x/y) = u/y$ for all $u \in m$, it follows that

$$R[m/y] \subseteq R[m/x]_P.$$

If Q is the contraction of the maximal ideal of V to $R[m/y]$, we have that elements of $R[m/y] - Q$ are not in $PR[m/x]_P$, and therefore have inverses in $R[m/x]_P$. Thus,

$$R[m/y]_Q \subseteq R[m/x]_P.$$

The other inclusion follows exactly similarly. \square

Remark. The first quadratic transform (T_1, m_1, K_1) of the regular local ring (R, m, K) has dimension at most $\dim(R)$, and $\dim(T) = \dim(R) - \text{tr. deg.}(K_1/K)$. In fact, since R is regular, it is universally catenary and the dimension formula holds. Since the two fraction fields are equal, the transcendence degree of the the extension of fraction fields is 0. \square

Remark. A local inclusion of valuation domains with the same fraction field must be the identity map. For say that $(W, m_W) \subseteq (V, m_V)$ with $m_W \subseteq m_V$. If $f \in V - W$, then $1/f \in W$. Since $f \notin W$, $1/f \in m_W$. But then $1/f \in m_V$, which contradicts $f \in V$. \square

Lemma. Let x_1, \dots, x_d be a regular sequence in the ring R with $d \geq 2$.

(a) Consider $T = R[x_2/x_1] \subseteq R_{x_1}$. Then $T \cong R[X]/(x_1X - x_2)$. Moreover, x_1, x_3, \dots, x_d is a regular sequence in $R[x_2/x_1]$.

(b) Consider $T = R[x_2/x_1, \dots, x_d/x_1] \subseteq R_{x_1}$. Then

$$T \cong R[X_2, \dots, X_d]/(x_1X_i - x_i : 2 \leq i \leq d).$$

$$\text{Moreover, } \mathcal{J}_{T/R} = x_1^{d-1}T.$$

Proof. (a) Since x_1, x_2 is a regular sequence in R , $x_1, x_1X - x_2$ is a regular sequence in $R[X]$: killing x_1 produces $(R/x_1R)[X]$, and the image of the second element is $-x_2$.

We claim that x_1 is not a zerodivisor modulo $(x_1X - x_2)$, for if $x_1f = (x_1X - x_2)g$ in $R[X]$, then $g = hx_1$ by the paragraph above. Since x_1 is not a zerodivisor in $R[X]$, we find that $f = (x_1X - x_2)h$.

This means that $R[X]/(x_1X - x_2)$ injects into its localization at x_1 , which we may view as $R_{x_1}/(x_1X - x_2)$. Since x_1 is a unit, we may take $X - x_2/x_1$ as a generator of the ideal

in the denominator, and so the quotient is simply R_{x_1} . The image of $R[X]/(x_1X - x_2)$ is then $R[x_2/x_1] \subseteq R_{x_1}$.

The last statement in part (a) follows because if we kill x_1 in $R[X]/(x_1X - x_2)$, we simply get $(R/(x_1, x_2))[X]$. The images of x_3, \dots, x_d form a regular sequence in this polynomial ring, because that was true in $R/(x_1, x_2)$.

Part (b) now follows by induction on d : as we successively adjoin $x_2/x_1, x_3/x_1$ and so forth, the hypothesis we need for the next fraction continues to hold. The final statement is then immediate, because the Jacobian matrix calculated from the given presentation is x_1 times the size $d - 1$ identity matrix. \square

We also note:

Proposition. *Let $(R, m, K) \subseteq V$ be an inclusion of a regular local ring in a DVR, let $v \in m$ be such that R/vR is regular, and let $x \in m$ have minimal order in V . Let T be the first quadratic transform of R along V . Then either $v_1 = v/x$ is a unit of T , or else T/v_1T is regular. If the first quadratic transform is a DVR, it is always the case that v_1 is a unit.*

Proof. Extend v to a regular system of parameters \mathcal{S} for R . If v itself has minimum order in V , then v/x is a unit of T . If not, then x is a unit of T times some element $x_1 \in \mathcal{S}$, and $v_1T = v'_1T$ if $v'_1 = v/x_1$. Hence, we may assume without loss of generality that $x = x_1$ and $v = x_2$ in the regular system of parameters \mathcal{S} . Then x_1 and x_2/x_1 are in the maximal ideal of T , and to show that they form a regular sequence in T , it suffices to show that they form a regular sequence in the ring

$$R[x_1, x_2/x_1, \dots, x_d/x_1].$$

However, mod (x_1) , this ring becomes the polynomial ring $K[\bar{x}_2, \dots, \bar{x}_d]$, and the image of x_2/x_1 is \bar{x}_2 . The quotient of T by this regular sequence is a localization of the polynomial ring $K[\bar{x}_3, \dots, \bar{x}_d]$, and so is regular. Hence, $x_1, x_2/x_1$ is part of a regular system of parameters for T , and so $x_2/x_1 = v/x = v_1$ is a regular parameter. Note that in this case $di(T) \geq 2$, so that if T is a DVR we must have that v_1 is a unit. \square

We are now ready to prove the result mentioned at the end of the Lecture Notes for September 29 concerning finiteness of the sequence of quadratic transforms under certain conditions: we follow the treatment in [S. Abhyankar, *Ramification theoretic methods in algebraic geometry*, Annals of Mathematics Studies Number **43**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, 1959], Proposition 4.4, p. 77.

Theorem (finiteness of the quadratic sequence). *Let $(R, m, K) \subseteq (V, \mathfrak{n}, L')$ be a local inclusion of a regular local ring R of dimension d with fraction field K in a discrete valuation ring. Suppose that $\text{tr. deg.}(L'/K) = d - 1$. Let $T_0 = R$, and let (T_i, m_i, K_i) denote the i th quadratic transform of R along V , so that for each $i \geq 0$, T_{i+1} is the first quadratic transform of T_i along V . Then this sequence is finite, and terminates at*

some T_h (which means precisely that T_h has dimension 1). Moreover, for this value of h , $T_h = V \cap \mathcal{K}$, so that $V \cap \mathcal{K}$ is essentially of finite type over R , and the transcendence degree of the residue field of $V \cap \mathcal{K}$ over K is $d - 1$.

Proof. Assume that the sequence is infinite. By the dimension formula, for every i ,

$$\dim(T_i) = \dim(R) - \text{tr. deg.}(K_i/K),$$

so that

$$\text{tr. deg.}(L'/K_i) = \text{tr. deg.}(L'/K) - \text{tr. deg.}(K_i/K) =$$

$$d - 1 - \text{tr. deg.}(K_i/K) = (\dim(R) - \text{tr. deg.}(K_i/K)) - 1 = \dim(T_i) - 1.$$

Therefore, at every stage, we have that $T_i \subseteq V$ satisfies the same condition that $R \rightarrow V$ did. Since the dimension is non-increasing it is eventually stable, and, by replacing R by T_j for $j \gg 0$, we might as well assume that the dimension of T_i is stable throughout. We call the stable value d , and we may assume that $d \geq 2$. It follows that every K_i is algebraic over K . We have a directed (in fact, non-decreasing) union $\bigcup_i T_i$ of local rings inside V : call the union (W, N, L) . Here, N is the union of the m_i and L is the union of the K_i , and so is algebraic over K .

We claim that W must be a valuation domain of \mathcal{K} . If not, choose $x \in \mathcal{K}$ such that neither x nor $1/x$ is in W , i.e., neither is in any T_i . Write $x = y_0/z_0$ where $y_0, z_0 \in T_0 = R$. These are both in the maximal ideal of T_0 (if z_0 were a unit, we would have $x \in T_0$, while if y_0 were a unit, we would have $1/x \in T_0$). If u_0 is a minimal generator of m_0 of minimum order in V , then $x = y_1/z_1$ where $y_1 = y_0/u_0$ and $z_1 = z_0/u_0$ are in T_1 . We once again see that y_1 and z_1 must both belong to m_1 . These have positive order in V , but $\text{ord}_V(y_0) > \text{ord}_V(y_1)$ and $\text{ord}_V(z_0) > \text{ord}_V(z_1)$. We recursively construct y_i and z_i in m_i such that $x = y_i/z_i$, and $\text{ord}_V(y_0) > \cdots > \text{ord}_V(y_i)$ while $\text{ord}_V(z_0) > \cdots > \text{ord}_V(z_i)$. At the recursive step let u_i be a minimal generator of m_i such that $\text{ord}_V(u_i)$ is minimum. Then $x = y_{i+1}/z_{i+1}$ where $y_{i+1} = y_i/u_i \in T_{i+1}$ and $z_{i+1} = z_i/u_i \in T_{i+1}$. As before, the fact that $x \notin T_{i+1}$ and $1/x \notin T_{i+1}$ yields that y_{i+1} and z_{i+1} are both in m_{i+1} , as required, and we also have that $\text{ord}_V(y_i) > \text{ord}_V(y_{i+1})$ and $\text{ord}_V(z_i) > \text{ord}_V(z_{i+1})$. This yields that $\{\text{ord}_V(y_i)\}_i$ is a strictly decreasing sequence of nonnegative integers, a contradiction. It follows that W is a valuation domain.

Since $W \subseteq V \cap \mathcal{K}$ is a local inclusion of valuation domains with the same fraction field, we can conclude from the third Remark on the first page of the Notes for this Lecture that $W = V \cap \mathcal{K}$. Therefore, $V \cap \mathcal{K}$ is essentially of finite type over $R = T_0$, and we have from the dimension formula that $\text{tr. deg.}(L/K) = \dim(R) - \dim(W) = d - 1 \geq 1$, contradicting our earlier conclusion that L is algebraic over K . This contradiction establishes the result. \square

Proof of the Key Lemma, second step. We recall the situation: R is a regular domain with fraction field \mathcal{K} , v is an element such that R/vR is regular, S is a reduced torsion-free algebra essentially of finite type over R that is generically étale, $y \in R - vR$ is such

that $y/v \in S$, and we want to prove that $\mathcal{J}_{S'/R} \subseteq vS'$. In the first step, we replaced S' by its localization (V, \mathfrak{n}, L') at a minimal prime of vS' and R by its localization at the contraction of that minimal prime. Thus, we have that $(R, \mathfrak{m}, K) \subseteq V$ is local, where $\dim(R) = d$. Since V is essentially of finite type over R , the dimension formula yields that the $\text{tr.deg.}(L'/K) = d - 1$. It will suffice to show that $\mathcal{J}_{V/R} \subseteq vV$. Note that if $d = 1$, then adjoining y/v makes v invertible in V , and there is nothing to prove. Thus, we may assume that $d \geq 2$. Consider the sequence of quadratic transforms

$$R = (T_0, m_0, K_0) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (T_i, m_i, K_i) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (T_h, m_h, K_h) \subseteq \cdots$$

By the Theorem on the finiteness of the quadratic sequence, we have that for some h , $T_h = V \cap \mathcal{K} = W$, which is therefore essentially of finite type over R . The condition $y/v \in S - R$ shows that $h \geq 1$. By the multiplicative property of Jacobian ideals stated in the Corollary at the end of the Lecture of September 27, we have that $\mathcal{J}_{V/R} = \mathcal{J}_{V/W} \mathcal{J}_{W/R}$. It therefore suffices to prove that $\mathcal{J}_{W/R} \subseteq vW$, and so we may henceforth assume that $V = W$ has fraction field \mathcal{K} and is obtained from R by a finite sequence of quadratic transforms along V .