

**Math 711: Lecture of October 13, 2006**

*A critical Lemma and the final step in the proof.*

The following result of Lipman and Sathaye is exactly what is needed to establish that  $W_{S/R}$  decreases as  $S$  is increased by adjoining integral fractions.

**Lemma.** *Let  $T$  be a commutative ring,  $Y$  an indeterminate, and  $J$  an ideal of  $T[Y]$  such that  $J$  contains a monic polynomial  $h$  in  $Y$  of degree  $d$ . Suppose also that  $J$  contains an element of the form  $\alpha Y - \beta$  where  $\alpha, \beta \in T$  are such that  $J :_{T[Y]} \alpha T[Y] = J$ , i.e., such that  $\alpha$  is not a zerodivisor modulo  $J$ . Let  $\mathfrak{G} \subseteq T$  be an ideal of  $T$  with  $\mathfrak{G} \subseteq J$ . Then for every element  $v \in T[Y]$  such that  $vJ \subseteq (h, \mathfrak{G})T[Y]$  there exists an element  $u \in T$  such that  $u(J \cap T) \subseteq \mathfrak{G}$  and such that*

$$v \equiv u \frac{\partial h}{\partial Y} \text{ modulo } J.$$

*Proof.* We may replace  $T$  by  $T/\mathfrak{G}$  and  $J$  by  $J/\mathfrak{G}T[Y]$  without affecting the problem. Thus, we may assume without loss of generality that  $\mathfrak{G} = (0)$ . By the division algorithm we may replace  $v$  by its remainder upon division by  $h$ , and so assume that  $v = \sum_{i=1}^d u_i Y^{d-i}$ , where the  $u_i \in T$ . We shall show that we may take  $u = u_1$ , and we drop the subscript from here on. First note that  $u_i(J \cap T) = (0)$  for all  $i$ : in fact since  $vJ \subseteq hT[Y]$  (recall that we killed  $\mathfrak{G}$ ) we have that  $v(J \cap T)$  consists of multiples of  $h$  that have degree smaller than  $d$ , and these must be zero. In particular,  $u(J \cap T) = (0)$ . Likewise,  $v(\alpha Y - \beta) \in hT[Y]$ . The left hand side has degree at most  $d$  and coefficient  $\alpha u$  in degree  $d$ , and so we must have  $v(\alpha Y - \beta) = \alpha u h$ . Differentiating with respect to  $Y$  yields

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial Y}(\alpha Y - \beta) + v\alpha = \alpha u \frac{\partial h}{\partial Y}$$

and since  $\alpha Y - \beta \in J$ , we have that

$$\alpha(v - u \frac{\partial h}{\partial Y}) \equiv 0 \text{ modulo } J.$$

Since  $\alpha$  is not a zerodivisor modulo  $J$ , the required result follows.  $\square$

We now use this to prove:

**Theorem.** *If  $S_1$  is obtained from  $S$  by adjoining finitely many integral fractions of  $\mathcal{L}$ , then  $W_{S_1/R} \subseteq W_{S/R}$ .*

*Proof.* By induction on the number of fractions adjoined, it is obviously sufficient to prove this when  $S_1 = S[\lambda]$ , where  $\lambda$  is a single element of  $\mathcal{L}$ . Choose a presentation  $\theta: T \rightarrow S$  and

a special sequence  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  in the kernel  $I$ . Let  $Y$  be a new indeterminate and extend  $\theta$  to a map  $T[Y] \twoheadrightarrow S[\lambda]$  by sending  $Y$  to  $\lambda$ . Since  $\lambda$  is integral over  $S$  there is a monic polynomial  $h = h(Y) \in T[Y]$  of degree say,  $d$ , in the kernel  $J$  of  $T[Y] \twoheadrightarrow S[\lambda]$ . If  $\lambda \in S$  there is nothing to prove so that we may assume that  $d \geq 2$ . Since  $\lambda$  is in  $\mathcal{L}$  we may also choose  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in  $T$  with  $\alpha$  not a zerodivisor on  $I$  such that  $\alpha Y - \beta$  is in the kernel. Consider the image of  $h(Y)$  in  $S[Y]$ . There will be a certain subset of the minimal primes of  $S$  such that the image of  $\lambda$  is a multiple root of the image of  $h$  modulo those primes. If that set of primes is empty, we shall not alter  $h$ . If it is not empty choose an element of  $S$  that is not in any of those minimal primes but that is in the others, and represent it by an element  $t \in T$ . Then  $h(Y) + t(\alpha Y - \beta)$  has the property that its image modulo any minimal prime of  $S$  has the image of  $\lambda$  as a simple root, and so we may assume, using this polynomial in place of the original choice of  $h$ , that  $h$  is a monic polynomial of degree  $d \geq 2$  such that image of  $\lambda$  modulo every minimal prime of  $\mathcal{L}$  is a simple root of the image of  $h$ .

Because  $h$  is monic in  $Y$ , the sequence  $g_1, \dots, g_n, h$  is a regular sequence, and the Jacobian determinant with respect to  $X_1, \dots, X_n, Y$  is  $\gamma \frac{\partial h}{\partial Y}$ , where  $\gamma$  is  $\det(\partial g_j / \partial X_i)$ .

Our choice of  $h$  implies that  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial Y}$  has image that is not in any minimal prime of  $\mathcal{L}$ , and it follows that  $g_1, \dots, g_n, h$  is a special sequence in  $J$  and can be used to calculate  $W_{S[\lambda]/R}$ . Let  $v \in (g_1, \dots, g_n, h)T[Y] :_{T[Y]} J$ . We may now apply the preceding Lemma with this  $T, Y, J, v, \alpha, \beta$  and  $h$ , while taking  $\mathfrak{G} = (g_1, \dots, g_n)T$ . Note that  $J \cap T = I$ . Observe as well that  $v$  gives rise to a typical element of the module  $W_{S[\lambda]/R}$ , namely the image of  $v / (\gamma \frac{\partial h}{\partial Y})$  in  $\mathcal{L}$ . We want to show that this element is in  $W_{S/R}$ . Pick  $u$  as in the preceding Lemma. Then  $u \in (g_1, \dots, g_n)T :_T I$  and since  $v \equiv u \frac{\partial h}{\partial Y}$  modulo  $J$ , this image is the same as the image of  $(u \frac{\partial h}{\partial Y}) / (\gamma \frac{\partial h}{\partial Y}) = u/\gamma$ , and so is in  $W_{S/R}$ , as required.  $\square$

*The proof of the Jacobian theorem.* We can now complete the proof of the theorem as already indicated in the Sketch of the proof of the Jacobian theorem in the Lecture of October 4. We know that  $S'$  is module-finite over  $S$  and can therefore be obtained from  $S$  by adjoining finitely many elements of the total quotient ring that are integral over  $S$ . We then have

$$S' :_{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{J}_{S'/R} = W_{S'/R} \subseteq W_{S/R} \subseteq S :_{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{J}_{S/R}$$

where the equality on the left follows from part (f) of the Proposition on p. 4 of the Lecture Notes of October 11, the middle inclusion follows from the Theorem above, and the inclusion on the right follows from the Corollary on p. 4 of the Lecture Notes of October 11.  $\square$