

**Math 711: Lecture of November 6, 2006**

To finish our comparison of symbolic powers in a regular ring, we shall make use of quadratic transforms (also called *quadratic transformations* or *quadratic dilatations*) in a more general context than in the proof of the Lipman-Sathaye Jacobian Theorem.

Let  $(R, m, K)$  be a local domain with  $R \subseteq (V, \mathfrak{n})$  a local map, where  $V$  is a not necessarily Noetherian valuation domain. The *first quadratic transform* of  $R$  along  $V$  is the localization  $(R_1, m_1)$  of  $R[m/x]$  at the contraction of  $\mathfrak{n}$ , where  $x$  is any element of  $m$  such that  $xV = mV$ . This ring is again a local ring with a local map  $R_1 \rightarrow V$ .

The quadratic transform is independent of the choice of the element  $x$ . To see this, suppose that  $xV = yV$ , where  $y, x \in m$ . Then  $y/x \in R[m/x]$  is a unit in  $V$ , so its inverse  $x/y \in R_1$ . Since  $m/y = (m/x)(x/y)$ , it follows that  $R[m, y] \subseteq R_1$ . Moreover, each element of  $R[m/y]$  that is invertible in  $V$  has an inverse in  $R_1$ , so that if  $Q$  is the contraction of  $\mathfrak{n}$  to  $R[m/y]$  we have an induced inclusion map  $R[m/y]_Q \rightarrow R_1$ . An exactly symmetric argument gives the opposite inclusion.

As in our earlier situation, we may take iterated quadratic transforms

$$R \subseteq R_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq R_k \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V.$$

Note that if  $m = x_1, \dots, x_h$ , then  $mV = (x_1, \dots, x_h)V$ , so that  $x$  may be chosen from among the  $x_i$ . Putting this together with the Lemma on p. 2 of the Lecture Notes of September 29, we have:

**Proposition.** *Let  $(R, m, K)$  be regular local with  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  a regular system of parameters and suppose that  $R \subseteq (V, \mathfrak{n})$  is local where  $V$  is a valuation domain. If the  $x_i$  are numbered so that  $x_jV \subseteq x_1V$  for all  $j > 1$ , then the quadratic transform  $R_1$  is a localization of the ring  $S = R[x_2/x_1, \dots, x_d/x_1]$ , which is regular of dimension  $d$ . In particular,  $R_1$  has dimension at most  $d$ . Moreover,  $S/x_1S \cong K[X_2, \dots, X_d]$ , where  $X_i$  is the image of  $x_i/x_1$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq d$ .  $\square$*

Here is another important example:

**Theorem.** *Let  $R$  be a one dimensional local domain whose integral closure  $(V, \mathfrak{n})$  is local and module-finite over  $R$ . (This is always the case if  $R$  is a complete one-dimensional local domain.) Let*

$$R \subseteq R_1 \subseteq \cdots \subseteq R_k \subseteq \cdots \subseteq V$$

*be the sequence of iterated quadratic transforms. Then for all sufficiently large  $k$ ,  $R_k = V$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $V$  is module-finite over  $R$ , it cannot have an infinite ascending chain of  $R$ -submodules. It follows that the chain  $R_i$  is eventually stable. But if the maximal ideal of

$R_i$  is not principal and has minimal generators  $y_1, \dots, y_h$  with  $y_1$  of least order in  $V$ , then for some  $j > 1$ ,  $y_j/y_1 \in V - R_i$ , and  $y_j/y_1 \in R_{i+1}$ . Therefore, for sufficiently large  $i$ , the maximal ideal of  $R_i$  is principal. But then  $R_i$  is a DVR, and is a normal ring inside the fraction field of  $R$  and containing  $R$ . It follows that  $R_i = V$ .  $\square$

We also note:

**Theorem.** *Let  $(R, m, K)$  be a local domain with  $R \subseteq (V, \mathfrak{n})$  a local inclusion, where  $V$  is a valuation domain of the fraction field of  $R$ . Let  $\mathfrak{q}$  be a prime ideal of  $V$  lying over  $P \neq m$  in  $R$ . Let*

$$R \subseteq R_1 \subseteq R_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq R_k \subseteq \dots \subseteq V$$

*be the sequence of quadratic transforms of  $R$  along  $V$ . Let  $P_i$  be the contraction of  $P$  to  $R_i$ . Then*

$$R/P \subseteq R_1/P_1 \subseteq R_2/P_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq R_k/P_k \subseteq \dots \subseteq V/\mathfrak{q}$$

*is the sequence of quadratic transforms of  $R/P \subseteq V/\mathfrak{q}$  along  $V/\mathfrak{q}$ .*

*Proof.* By induction on  $k$ , it suffices to see this when  $k = 1$ . Let  $x_1, \dots, x_h$  generate the maximal ideal  $m$  of  $R$  with  $x_1V = mV$ . Some  $x \in m$  is not in  $\mathfrak{n}$ , and since  $xV \subseteq mV = x_1V$ ,  $x_1 \notin \mathfrak{q}$  and so  $x_1 \notin P$ . Moreover,  $x_1(V/\mathfrak{q}) = (m/P)(V/\mathfrak{q})$ . It follows that the quadratic transform of  $R/P$  along  $V/\mathfrak{q}$  is the localization at the contraction of  $\mathfrak{n}$  of  $(R/P)[\tilde{m}/\tilde{x}_1]$ , where  $\tilde{m}$  is  $m/P$  and  $\tilde{x}_1$  is the image of  $x_1$  in  $R/P$ . The stated result follows at once. Note that we again have  $P_1 \neq m_1$ , the maximal ideal of  $R_1$ , since  $x_1 \in m_1 - P_1$ .  $\square$

We next observe:

**Lemma.** *Let  $(R, m, K)$  be a regular local ring with algebraically closed residue class field, and suppose  $R \subseteq (V, \mathfrak{n})$  is local, where  $V$  is a valuation domain and  $R/m \rightarrow V/\mathfrak{n}$  is an isomorphism. Then there is a regular system of parameters  $x_1, \dots, x_d$  for  $R$  such that the first quadratic transform is the localization of  $R[x_2/x_1, \dots, x_d/x_1]$  at the height  $d$  maximal ideal generated by  $x_1, x_2/x_1, \dots, x_d/x_1$ , so that these elements are a regular system of parameters in the first quadratic transform.*

*Proof.* Let  $x_1, y_2, \dots, y_d$  be one regular system of parameters for  $R$  such that  $x_1V = mV$ .  $\mathfrak{n}$  contains  $x_1$ , and so  $\mathfrak{n}$  lies over a prime ideal of  $R$  containing  $x_1$ . Hence, the quotient of  $R[m/x_1]$  by the contraction of  $\mathfrak{n}$  is also a quotient of  $K[Y_2, \dots, Y_d]$ , where  $Y_i$  is the image of  $y_i/x_1$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq d$ . The resulting quotient domain imbeds  $K$ -isomorphically in  $K = V/\mathfrak{n}$ , and so is equal to  $K$ . It follows that the contraction of  $\mathfrak{n}$  corresponds to a maximal ideal of  $K[Y_2, \dots, Y_d]$ , which must have the form  $(Y_2 - c_2, \dots, Y_d - c_d)$  for elements  $c_2, \dots, c_d \in K$ . Therefore we may let  $x_i = y_i - c_i x_1$  for each  $i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq d$ .  $\square$

*Proof of the theorem on comparison of symbolic powers.* We want to show that if  $R$  is regular and  $P \subseteq Q$  are prime, then  $P^{(n)} \subseteq Q^{(n)}$  for all  $n$ . By considering a saturated chain of primes joining  $P$  to  $Q$  we immediately reduce to the case where the height of  $Q/P$  in

$R/P$  is one. We may replace  $R$  by  $R_Q$ , and so we may assume that  $Q$  is  $m$  in the regular local ring  $(R, m)$  and that  $\dim(R/P) = 1$ .

Suppose that  $(R, m, K) \rightarrow S$  is a flat local map, where  $S$  is regular with maximal ideal  $mS$ . Then it suffices to prove the theorem for  $S$ , for if  $P_1$  in  $S$  lies over  $P$  and we know the theorem for  $S$ , we have

$$P^{(n)} \subseteq P_1^{(n)} \subseteq (mS)^n = m^n S,$$

and then

$$P^{(n)} \subseteq m^n S \cap R = m^n,$$

because  $S$  is faithfully flat over  $R$ . We may therefore replace  $R$  first by its completion, and then by a complete regular local ring with an algebraically closed residue field. Hence, from now on, we shall assume that  $R$  is complete with residue class field  $K$  that is algebraically closed, as well as that  $\dim(R/P) = 1$ .

We now introduce valuations. Let  $V_1$  be a valuation domain of the fraction field of  $R$  whose maximal ideal contracts to  $P$ : we may use, for example, order with respect to powers of  $PR_P$  to construct  $V_1$ . Let  $W$  be the integral closure of  $R/P$ , which will be a discrete valuation ring because  $R/P$  is a complete local domain of dimension one. Since  $K$  is algebraically closed, the residue class field of  $W$  is  $K$ . Let  $(V, \mathfrak{n})$  be the composite valuation. Then  $\mathfrak{n}$  lies over  $m$ , and  $V/\mathfrak{n} = K$ . Moreover,  $V$  has a prime  $[\mathfrak{q}]$  lying over  $P$ . Now consider the sequence of quadratic transforms

$$(R, m, K) \subseteq (R, m_1, K) \subseteq (R, m_2, K) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (R_k, m_k, K) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq (V, \mathfrak{n}, K).$$

Each  $R_i$  has a prime  $P_i$  that is the contraction of  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Now  $R/P_k$  is the  $k$ th quadratic transform of  $R/P$ , by the Theorem above, and so for large  $k$  is the DVR  $W$ , by the earlier Theorem. Then  $R_k/P_k$  is regular, and so  $P_k$  is generated by part of a regular system of parameters. We shall see in the sequel that  $P_k^{(n)} = P_k^n \subseteq m^n$  in this case. Assuming this, to complete the proof it suffices to show that if a given  $R_i$  provides a counterexample (where  $R_0 = R$ ), then so does its quadratic transform.

We might as well work with  $R$  and

$$R_1 = R[x_2/x_1, \dots, x_d/x_1]_{\mathcal{M}},$$

where  $\mathcal{M}$  is the maximal ideal  $(x_1, x_2/x_1, \dots, x_d/x_1)R[m/x_1]$ . Suppose  $f \in R$  has  $m$ -adic order  $n$ , but order at least  $n+1$  in  $R_P$ . Since  $m^n/x_1^n \subseteq R[m/x_1]$ , we have that  $f/x_1^n \in R[m/x_1]$ . Since  $x_1 \notin P_1$ ,  $f_n/x_1^n$  has the same order as  $f$  in  $(R_1)_{P_1}$ , and since  $P_1$  lies over  $P$  this will be at least  $n+1$ . It therefore will suffice to show that  $f/x_1^n$  has  $m_1$ -adic order at most  $n$  in  $R_1$ . Since  $R_1/\mathcal{M}^{n+1}$  already local, it suffices to show that  $f/x_1^n \notin \mathcal{M}^{n+1}$ . Suppose otherwise. The ideal  $\mathcal{M}^{n+1}$  is generated by elements  $\mu/x_1^{n+1}$  where  $\mu$  is a monomial of degree  $n+1$  in  $x_1^2, x_2, \dots, x_n$ , and

$$R[m/x_1] = \bigcup_t m^t/x_1^t.$$

Therefore, for some  $t$ , we have

$$f/x_1^n \in (1/x_1^{n+1})(x_1^2, x_2, \dots, x_d)^{n+1}m^t/x_1^t$$

and so

$$x_1^{t+1}f \in (x_1^2, x_2, \dots, x_d)^{n+1}m^t.$$

Each of the obvious generators obtained by expanding the product on the right that involves  $x_1^2$  has degree at least  $n + t + 2$ . Hence, in the degree  $n + t + 1$  part of  $\text{gr}_m(R) = K[X_1, \dots, X_d]$ , we have that

$$X_1^{t+1}F \in (X_2, \dots, X_d)^{n+1}(X_1, \dots, X_d)^t,$$

where  $F$  is the image of  $f$  in  $m^n/m^{n+1}$ , and is supposedly not 0. By taking homogeneous components in degree  $n + t + 1$  we see that  $x_1^{t+1}F$  must be in the  $K$ -vector space span of the obvious monomial generators of

$$(X_2, \dots, X_d)^{n+1}(X_1, \dots, X_d)^t.$$

But this is clearly impossible with  $F \neq 0$ , since none of these monomials is divisible by  $X_1^{t+1}$ .

This completes the proof, once we have shown that for primes generated by a regular sequence, symbolic powers are the same as ordinary powers.  $\square$