

Math 711: Lecture of November 9, 2007

We note the following fact from field theory:

Proposition. *Let \mathcal{K} be a field of prime characteristic $p > 0$, let \mathcal{L} be a separable algebraic extension of \mathcal{K} , and let \mathcal{F} be a purely inseparable algebraic extension of \mathcal{K} . Then the map $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{L}$ to the compositum $\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{F}]$ (which may be formed within a perfect closure or algebraic closure of \mathcal{L}) such that $a \otimes b \mapsto ab$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. The map is certainly onto. It suffices to show that $\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{L}$ is a field: every element has a q th power in \mathcal{L} , and so if the ring is reduced it must be a field, and the injectivity of the map follows. \mathcal{L} is a direct limit of finite separable algebraic extensions of \mathcal{K} , and so there is no loss of generality in assuming that the \mathcal{L} is finite over \mathcal{K} . The result now follows from the second Corollary on p. 4 of the Lecture Notes from September 19, or the argument given at the bottom of p. 6 of the Lecture Notes from October 19. \square

This fact is referred to as the *linear disjointness* of separable and purely inseparable field extensions.

In consequence:

Corollary. *Let \mathcal{L} be a separable algebraic extension of \mathcal{K} , a field of prime characteristic $p > 0$. Then for every $q = p^e$, $\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{K}^{1/q}] = \mathcal{L}^{1/q}$.*

Proof. We need to show that every element of \mathcal{L} has a q th root in $\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{K}^{1/q}]$. Since \mathcal{L} is a directed union of finite separable algebraic extensions of \mathcal{K} , it suffices to prove the result when \mathcal{L} is a finite separable algebraic field extension of \mathcal{K} . Let $[\mathcal{L} : \mathcal{K}] = d$. The field extension $\mathcal{K}^{1/q} \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{1/q}$ is isomorphic with the field extension $\mathcal{K} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$. Consequently, $[\mathcal{L}^{1/q} : \mathcal{K}^{1/q}] = d$ also. Since $\mathcal{K}^{1/q} \subseteq \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{K}^{1/q}] \subseteq \mathcal{L}^{1/q}$, to complete the proof it suffices to show that $[\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{K}^{1/q}] : \mathcal{K}^{1/q}] = d$ as well. But $\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{K}^{1/q}] \cong \mathcal{K}^{1/q} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{L}$, and so its dimension as a $\mathcal{K}^{1/q}$ -vector space is the same as the dimension of \mathcal{L} as a \mathcal{K} -vector space, which is d . \square

We next prove:

Proposition. *Let R be module-finite, torsion-free, and generically étale over a regular domain A of prime characteristic $p > 0$.*

- (a) *R is reduced.*
- (b) *For every q , then map $A^{1/q} \otimes_A R \rightarrow R[A^{1/q}]$ is an isomorphism. Likewise, $A^\infty \otimes_A R \rightarrow R[A^\infty]$ is an isomorphism.*
- (c) *For every q , $R[A^{1/q}]$ is faithfully flat over R . Moreover, $R[A^\infty]$ is faithfully flat over R .*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{K} = \text{frac}(A)$. Then $\mathcal{K} \otimes_A R = \prod_{i=1}^h \mathcal{L}_i$, where every \mathcal{L}_i is a finite separable algebraic extension of \mathcal{K} .

(a) Since R is torsion-free as an A -module, $R \subseteq \mathcal{K} \otimes_A R = \prod_{i=1}^h \mathcal{L}_i$, from which the result follows.

(b) We have an obvious surjection $A^{1/q} \otimes_A R \rightarrow R[A^{1/q}]$. Since R is torsion-free over A , each nonzero element $a \in A$ is a nonzerodivisor on R . Since $A^{1/q}$ is A -flat, this remains true when we apply $A^{1/q} \otimes_A -$. It follows that $A^{1/q} \otimes_A R$ is a torsion-free A -module. Hence, we need only check that the map is injective after applying $\mathcal{K} \otimes_A -$: if there is a kernel, it will not be killed. The left hand side becomes $\mathcal{K}^{1/q} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \prod_{i=1}^h \mathcal{L}_i$ and the right hand side becomes $\prod_{i=1}^h \mathcal{L}_i[\mathcal{K}^{1/q}]$. The map is the product of the maps $\mathcal{K}^{1/q} \otimes \mathcal{L}_i \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_i[\mathcal{K}^{1/q}]$, each of which is an isomorphism by the Proposition at the top of p. 1.

(c) This is immediate from part (b), since $A^{1/q}$ is faithfully flat over A for every q : this is equivalent to the flatness of $F^e : A \rightarrow A$. Since A^∞ is the directed union of the $A^{1/q}$, it is likewise flat over A , and since it is purely inseparable over A , it is faithfully flat. Hence, $R[A^\infty]$ is faithfully flat over R as well, by part (b). \square

Theorem. *Let R be module-finite, torsion-free, and generically étale over a regular domain A of prime characteristic $p > 0$. Then there exist nonzero elements $c \in A$ such that $cR^{1/p} \subseteq R[A^{1/p}]$. For such an element c , we have that $c^2R^{1/q} \subseteq R[A^{1/q}]$ for all q , and, hence, also that $c^2R^\infty \subseteq R[A^\infty]$.*

Proof. Consider the inclusion $R[A^{1/p}] \subseteq R^{1/p}$, which is a module finite extension: even $A^{1/p} \subseteq R^{1/p}$ is module-finite, because it is isomorphic with $A \subseteq R$. If we apply $\mathcal{K} \otimes_A -$, on the left hand side R becomes $\prod_{i=1}^h \mathcal{L}_i$ and $A^{1/p}$ becomes $\mathcal{K}^{1/p}$. Hence, the left hand side becomes

$$\left(\prod_{i=1}^h \mathcal{L}_i\right)[\mathcal{K}^{1/p}] \cong \prod_{i=1}^h \mathcal{L}_i[\mathcal{K}^{1/p}] = \prod_{i=1}^h \mathcal{L}_i^{1/p}$$

by the Corollary on p. 1, and the right hand side also becomes $\prod_{i=1}^h \mathcal{L}_i^{1/p}$. Hence, if we take a finite set of generators for $R^{1/p}$ as an $R[A^{1/p}]$ -module, each generator is multiplied into $R[A^{1/p}]$ by an element $c_i \in A^\circ$. The product c of the c_i is the required element.

Now suppose $c \in A^\circ$ is such that $cR^\infty \subseteq R[A^\infty]$. Let

$$c_e = c^{1 + \frac{1}{p} + \dots + \frac{1}{p^e}}$$

and note that

$$c_{e+1} = cc_e^{1/p}$$

for $e \geq 1$. We shall show by induction on e that $c_e R^{1/q} \subseteq R[A^{1/q}]$ for every $e \in \mathbb{N}$. Note that $c_0 = c$, and this base case is given. Now suppose that $c_e R^{1/q} \subseteq R[A^{1/q}]$. Taking p th roots, we have that $c_e^{1/p} R^{1/pq} \subseteq R^{1/p}[A^{1/pq}]$. We multiply both sides by c to obtain

$$c_{e+1} R^{1/pq} = cc_e^{1/p} R^{1/pq} \subseteq cR^{1/p}[A^{1/pq}] \subseteq R[A^{1/p}][A^{1/pq}] = R[A^{1/pq}],$$

as required.

Since

$$1 + \frac{1}{p} + \cdots + \frac{1}{p^e} \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2^e} < 2,$$

c^2 is a multiple of c_e in $A^{1/q}$ and in $R[A^{1/q}]$, and the stated result follows. \square

Also note:

Lemma. *Let R be module-finite, torsion-free, and generically étale over a domain A .*

- (a) *If $A \hookrightarrow B$ is flat, injective homomorphism of domains, then $B \otimes_A R$ is module-finite, torsion-free and generically étale over B .
In particular, if $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is a field extension and \mathcal{F} is an étale extension of \mathcal{K} , then $\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{F}$ is an étale extension of \mathcal{L} .*
- (b) *With the same hypothesis as in the first assertion in part (a), if $c \in R$ is such that $cR^\infty \subseteq R[A^\infty]$, then $c(B \otimes_A R)^\infty \subseteq (B \otimes_A R)[B^\infty]$.*
- (c) *If \mathfrak{q} is a minimal prime of R , then \mathfrak{q} does not meet A and $A \hookrightarrow R/\mathfrak{q}$ is again module-finite, torsion-free, and generically étale over A .*

Proof. (a) We prove the second statement first. Since \mathcal{F} is a product of finite separable algebraic extensions of \mathcal{K} , we reduce at once to the case where \mathcal{F} is a finite separable algebraic field extension of \mathcal{K} , and then $\mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{K}[x]/(g)$, where x is an indeterminate and g is an irreducible monic polynomial of positive degree over \mathcal{K} whose roots in an algebraic closure of \mathcal{K} are mutually distinct. Let $g = g_1 \cdots g_s$ be the factorization of g into monic irreducible polynomials over \mathcal{L} . These are mutually distinct, and any two generate the unit ideal. Hence, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem,

$$\mathcal{L} \otimes_{\mathcal{K}} \mathcal{F} \cong \mathcal{L}[x]/(g) \cong \prod_{j=1}^s \mathcal{L}[x]/(g_j),$$

and every $\mathcal{L}_j[x]/(g_j)$ is a finite separable algebraic extension of \mathcal{L} .

It is obvious that $B \otimes_A R$ is module-finite over A . By the Lemma on the first page of the Lecture Notes from October 12, the fact that R is module-finite and torsion-free over A implies that we have an embedding of $R \hookrightarrow A^{\oplus h}$ for some h . Because B is A -flat, we have injection $B \otimes_A R \hookrightarrow B^{\oplus h}$, and so $B \otimes_A R$ is torsion-free over B . The fact that the condition of being generically étale is preserved is immediate from the result of the first paragraph above, with $\mathcal{K} = \text{frac}(A)$, $\mathcal{L} = \text{frac}(B)$, and $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{K} \otimes_A R$.

(b) Every element of $(B \otimes_A R)^{1/q} \cong B^{1/q} \otimes_{A^{1/q}} R^{1/q}$ is a sum of elements of the form $b^{1/q} \otimes r^{1/q}$, while $c(b^{1/q} \otimes r^{1/q}) = b^{1/q} \otimes cr^{1/q}$. Since $cr^{1/q} \in R[A^\infty]$, it follows that $c(B \otimes_A R)^{1/q} \subseteq (B \otimes_A R)[A^\infty][B^{1/q}] \subseteq (B \otimes_A R)[B^\infty]$.

(c) \mathfrak{q} cannot meet A° because R is torsion-free over A . Thus, \mathfrak{q} corresponds to one of the primes of the generic fiber $\mathcal{K} \otimes_A R$, which is a product of finite algebraic separable

field extensions of \mathcal{K} . It follows that $\mathcal{K} \otimes_A (R/\mathfrak{p})$ is one of these finite algebraic separable field extensions. \square

We can now prove the result on test elements, which we state again.

Theorem. *Let R be module-finite, torsion-free, and generically étale over a regular domain A . Let $c \in R^\circ$ be any element such that $cR^\infty \subseteq R[A^\infty]$. Then c is a completely stable big test element for R .*

Proof. Let Q be any prime ideal of R , and let P be its contraction to A . Then $A_P \rightarrow R_P$ satisfies the same hypothesis by parts (a) and (b) of the Lemma on p. 3, and so we may assume that A is local and that Q is a maximal ideal of R . We may now apply $B \otimes_A _$, where $B = \widehat{A}$. By the same Lemma, the hypotheses are preserved. B becomes a product of complete local rings, one of which is the completion of R_Q . The hypotheses hold for each factor, and so we may assume without loss of generality that $(A, m, K) \rightarrow (R, \text{frac } m, L)$ is a local map of complete local rings as well. Now suppose that $H \subseteq G$ are R -modules and $u \in H_G^*$. We may assume, as usual, that G is free. (This is not necessary, but may help to make the argument more transparent.) We are not assuming, however, that G or H is finitely generated.

We know that $u \in H_G^*$. Hence, there is an element r of R° , such that $ru^{q'} \in H^{[q']}$ for all $q' \gg 0$. Since $r \in R^\circ$, $\dim(R/rR) < \dim(R)$. Since R/rR is a module finite extension of $A/(rR \cap A)$, we must have that $\dim(A/(rR \cap A)) < \dim(A)$, and it follows that $rR \cap A \neq (0)$, i.e., that r has a nonzero multiple $a \in A$. Then $au^{q'} \in H^{[q']}$ for all $q' \gg 0$. We may take q' th roots and obtain $a^{1/q'} \in R^{1/q'}H$ for all $q' \gg 0$. We are using the notation $R^{1/q'}H$ for the expansion of H to $R^{1/q'} \otimes_R G$, i.e., for the image of $R^{1/q'} \otimes H$ to $R^{1/q'} \otimes_R G$.

Let ord denote any valuation on A with values in \mathbb{Z} that is nonnegative on A and positive on m . Then ord extends uniquely to a valuation on A^∞ with values in $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ such that $\text{ord}(b^{1/q'}) = (1/q')\text{ord}(b)$ for all $b \in A^\circ$ and q' .

To complete the argument, we shall prove the following:

(#) Suppose that $\{\delta_n\}_n$ is a sequence of elements of $A^\infty - \{0\}$ such that $\delta_n u \in R^\infty H$ for all n and $\text{ord}(\delta_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $cu^q \in H^{[q]}$ for all q , and so $u \in H_G^*$.

This not only proves that c is a big test element, it also gives a new characterization of tight closure which is stated as a Corollary of this proof in the sequel.

Moreover, we will have proved that c is a completely stable big test element in every completed local ring of R , and so it will follow that c is a completely stable big test element for R .

If the statement (#) is false, fix q such that $cu^q \notin H^{[q]}$. For every n , we have

$$\delta_n u \in R^\infty H$$

and, hence,

$$\delta_n^q u^q \in R^\infty H^{[q]}.$$

Let $S = R[A^\infty]$, which we know is flat over R . We multiply by $c \in R$ to obtain $\delta_n^q(cu^q) \in SH^{[q]}$, i.e., that

$$\delta_n^q \in SH^{[q]} :_S cu^q = (H^{[q]} :_R cu^q)S,$$

by the second statement in part (a) of the Lemma on p. 1 of the Lecture Notes from October 29 and the flatness of S over R . Since $cu^q \notin H^{[q]}$, $H^{[q]} :_R cu^q$ is a proper ideal J of R , and so $\delta_n^q \in JR[A^\infty]$ for all n . Now J is contained in some maximal ideal \mathcal{M} of R . \mathcal{M} contains a minimal prime \mathfrak{q} of R . By part (c) of the Lemma on p. 3, A injects into \overline{R} , where \overline{R} is module-finite domain extension of A generically étale over A , and $\mathfrak{m} = J\overline{R}$ is a proper ideal of \overline{R} . We can map $R[A^\infty]$ onto $\overline{R}[A^\infty]$. Then for all n , $\delta_n^q \in \mathfrak{m}\overline{R}[A^\infty] \cap A^\infty$. Let d denote the torsion-free rank of \overline{R} over A . By the Lemma at the bottom of p. 5 of the Lecture Notes from November 7, we have that

$$q \operatorname{ord}(\delta_n) = \operatorname{ord}(\delta_n^q) \geq \frac{1}{d!}$$

for all n , and so

$$\operatorname{ord} \delta_n \geq \frac{1}{qd!}$$

for all n . Since q and d are both fixed and $n \rightarrow \infty$, this contradicts the assumption that $\operatorname{ord}(\delta_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. \square

Remark. The Theorem also holds when the regular ring A is not assumed to be a domain, if the hypothesis that R be torsion-free over A is taken to mean that every element of A° is a nonzero divisor on R , and the condition that R be generically étale over A is taken to mean that $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is étale over $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for every minimal prime \mathfrak{p} of A . In this case, A is a finite product of regular domains, there is a corresponding product decomposition of R , and each factor of R is module-finite, torsion-free, and generically étale over the corresponding factor of A . The result follows at once from the results for the individual factors. \square

In the course of the proof of the Theorem we have demonstrated the following:

Corollary. *Let (A, m, K) be a complete regular local ring, let R be module-finite, torsion-free and generically étale over A , and let ord be a \mathbb{Z} -valued valuation on A nonnegative on A and positive on m . Extend ord to a $\mathbb{Z}[1/p]$ -valued valuation on A^∞ . Let $N \subseteq M$ by R -modules and let $u \in M$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (1) $u \in N_M^*$.
- (2) *There exists an infinite sequence of elements $\{\delta_n\}_n$ of $A^\infty - \{0\}$ such that $\operatorname{ord}(\delta_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and for all n , $\delta_n \otimes u$ is in the image of $R^\infty \otimes_R N$ in $R^\infty \otimes_R M$. \square*

This result is surprising: in the standard definition of tight closure, the δ_n are all q th roots of a single element c . Here, they are permitted to be entirely unrelated. We shall soon prove a better result in this direction, in which the multipliers are allowed to be arbitrary elements of R^+ whose orders with respect to a valuation are approaching 0.