Algebra & Number Theory Volume 19 2025 No. 4 of del Pezzo surfaces in characteristic 2 Automorphisms Igor Dolgachev and Gebhard Martin



Automorphisms of del Pezzo surfaces in characteristic 2

Igor Dolgachev and Gebhard Martin

We classify the automorphism groups of del Pezzo surfaces of degrees 1 and 2 over an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2. This finishes the classification of automorphism groups of del Pezzo surfaces in all characteristics.

| Int | roduction | 715 |
|-----|---|-----|
| 1. | Notation | 716 |
| 2. | Del Pezzo surfaces of degree ≥ 3 | 717 |
| 3. | Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 | 718 |
| 4. | Automorphism groups of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 | 727 |
| 5. | Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 | 736 |
| 6. | Automorphism groups of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 | 745 |
| Re | ferences | 761 |

Introduction

This is a continuation of our paper [Dolgachev and Martin 2024], where we finished the classification of the automorphism groups of del Pezzo surfaces over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic $p \neq 2$. In this paper, we treat the remaining case when the characteristic equals 2.

As we explained in the Introduction to [loc. cit.], the remaining part of the classification concerns del Pezzo surfaces of degrees 1 and 2. The cases of odd and even positive characteristic are drastically different since, in the latter case, the anticanonical map (resp. the antibicanonical map) is a separable Artin–Schreier cover of degree 2 but not a Kummer cover as in the cases of odd characteristic. So, no plane quartic curves (and no canonical genus-4 curves with vanishing theta characteristic) appear as branch curves.

Instead, in characteristic 2, the branch curve *B* of the anticanonical (resp. antibicanonical) map is not necessarily smooth plane conic (resp. a cubic in \mathbb{P}^3). The ramification curve *R* is a purely inseparable cover of *B*. Theorems 3.4 and 5.6 give normal forms for del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 and 1 depending on the singularities of *R* and *B*.

Although plane quartics and canonical curves of genus 4 disappear in characteristic 2, their familiar attributes, like 28 bitangent lines or 120 tritangent planes, persist. We call them *fake bitangents* and *fake tritangent planes*. They are defined to be lines in the plane (resp. planes in the 3-dimensional space) that split under the anticanonical (resp. antibicanonical) map.

MSC2020: 14E07, 14G17, 14J26, 14J50.

Keywords: del Pezzo surfaces, automorphisms, characteristic 2, Cremona group.

^{© 2025} MSP (Mathematical Sciences Publishers). Distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License 4.0 (CC BY). Open Access made possible by subscribing institutions via Subscribe to Open.

It is well known that the blow-up of the anticanonical base point on a del Pezzo surface of degree 1 yields a rational elliptic surface with only irreducible fibers and, conversely, the contraction of a section of a rational elliptic surface with only irreducible fibers yields a del Pezzo surface of degree 1. Thus, the normal forms of Theorem 5.6 also give normal forms for all rational elliptic surfaces with only irreducible fibers.

Quite surprisingly, in characteristic 2, also every del Pezzo surface of degree 2 has a canonically associated rational elliptic surface. This surface is obtained by blowing up the base points of the preimage of the pencil of lines through the *strange point* of the branch locus *B*. We study the properties of this *strange fibration* in Section 3.4.

Using these geometric observations, we classify the automorphism groups of all del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 and 1 in characteristic 2. The following result is proved in Theorems 4.3 and 6.8.

Theorem. A finite group G is realized as the automorphism group Aut(X) of a del Pezzo surface X of degree 1 or 2 over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic char(k) = 2 if and only if G is listed in, respectively, Table 9 (page 760) or Table 4 (page 736).

Table 4 (resp. Table 9) also gives the conjugacy classes in $W(E_7)$ (resp. $W(E_8)$) of all elements of Aut(*X*) for all del Pezzo surfaces *X* of degree 2 (resp. degree 1). We refer to [Dolgachev and Martin 2024] for a general discussion of the history of the problem and its relationship to the classification of conjugacy classes of finite subgroups of the planar Cremona group. Also, the reader finds there some general facts about del Pezzo surfaces, e.g., the relationship with the Weyl groups of roots systems and some classification results from group theory.

1. Notation

We recall the notation for some finite groups we will encounter in this article. Throughout, p is a prime number, and q is a power of p. Unless stated otherwise, k denotes an algebraically closed field of characteristic 2.

- C_n is the cyclic group of order n.
- \mathfrak{S}_n and \mathfrak{A}_n are the symmetric and alternating groups on *n* letters.
- Q_8 is the quaternion group of order 8.
- D_{2n} is the dihedral group of order 2n.
- $n^k = (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^k$. In particular, $n = n^1 = \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

• p_{\pm}^{1+2n} is the extra special group. For odd *p* the sign + (-) defines a group of exponent *p* (*p*²). For p = 2, the sign distinguishes the type of the quadratic forms on $2^{2n} = \mathbb{F}_2^{2n}$ defined by the extension.

•
$$\operatorname{GL}_n(q) = \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{F}_q).$$

- $\operatorname{PGL}_n(q) = \operatorname{GL}_n(q) / \mathbb{F}_q^*$. Its order is $N = q^{1/2n(n-1)}(q^n 1) \cdots (q^2 1)$.
- $SL_n(q) = \{g \in GL_n(q) : det(g) = 1\}$. This is a subgroup of $GL_n(q)$ of index (q 1).
- $L_n(q) = PSL_n(q)$ is the image of $SL_n(q)$ in $PGL_n(q)$. Its order is N/(q-1, n).

• For odd n, $O_n(q)$ is the subgroup of $GL_n(q)$ that preserves a nondegenerate quadratic form F.

• For even *n*, $O_n^+(q)$ (resp. $O_n^-(q)$) is the subgroup of $GL_n(q)$ that preserves a nondegenerate quadratic form *F* of Witt defect 0 (resp. 1).

- $SO_n^{\pm}(q)$ is the subgroup of $O_n^{\pm}(q)$ of elements with determinant 1.
- $PSO_n^{\pm}(q)$ is the quotient of $SO_n^{\pm}(q)$ by its center.

• $\operatorname{Sp}_{2n}(q)$ is the subgroup of $\operatorname{SL}_q(2n)$ preserving the standard symplectic form on \mathbb{F}_q^{2n} . Its order is $q^{n^2}(q^{2n-1}-1)\cdots(q^2-1)$.

• $\operatorname{Sp}_{2n}(q) = \operatorname{Sp}_{2n}(q)/(\pm 1).$

• $SU_n(q^2)$ is the subgroup of $SL_n(q^2)$ of matrices preserving the hermitian form $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^{q+1}$. Its order is $q^{(1/2)n(n-1)}(q^n - (-1)^n)(q^{n-1} - (-1)^{n-1})\cdots(q^3 + 1)(q^2 - 1)$. We have $SU_2(q^2) = SL_2(q)$.

• $PSU_n(q^2) = SU_n(q^2)/C$, where *C* is a cyclic group of order (q + 1, n) of diagonal Hermitian matrices. The simple group $PSU_n(q^2)$ is denoted by $U_n(q)$ in [Conway et al. 1985].

- $\mathcal{H}_3(3)$ is the Heisenberg group of 3×3 upper triangular matrices with entries in \mathbb{F}_3 .
- A.B is a group that contains a normal subgroup A with quotient group B.
- A: B is the semidirect product $A \rtimes B$.

2. Del Pezzo surfaces of degree ≥ 3

For the convenience of the reader, we first recall the classification of automorphism groups of del Pezzo surfaces of degree at least 3.

2.1. *Degree* \geq **5.** For del Pezzo surfaces of degree at least 5, the description of Aut(*X*) is characteristic-free. We refer the reader to [Dolgachev and Martin 2024, Section 3; Dolgachev 2012] for details.

2.2. *Quartic del Pezzo surfaces.* Starting from degree 4, the classification of automorphism groups depends on the characteristic. As in the other characteristics, a quartic del Pezzo surface *X* is a blow-up of five points in \mathbb{P}^2 no three of which are colinear. Moreover, the anticanonical linear system $|-K_X| = |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(3) - p_1 - p_2 - p_3 - p_4 - p_5|$ embeds *X* into \mathbb{P}^4 as a complete intersection of two quadrics.

Since p = 2, these quadrics cannot be diagonalized. Instead, as shown in [Dolgachev and Duncan 2019], one can choose the normal forms

$$(ab+b+1)t_2^2 + at_3^2 + t_2t_3 + t_3t_4 = bt_1^2 + (ab+a+1)t_2^2 + t_1t_3 + t_2t_4 = 0,$$
(1)

where a, b are parameters such that the binary form $\Delta = uv(u+v)(u+av)(bu+v)$ has five distinct roots.

As in the case $p \neq 2$, the automorphism group Aut(X) contains a normal subgroup H isomorphic to 2^4 , and the quotient G = Aut(X)/H is isomorphic to a subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_5 . The classification is summarized in Table 1 on the next page. The first column refers to the values of the parameters a and b in (1) above. The conjugacy classes of elements of Aut(X) can be obtained by combining [Dolgachev and Duncan 2019, Table 2] and [Carter 1972, Table 5].

| name | Aut(X) | order | id | $2A_1$ | $4A_1$ | A_2 | $A_2 + 2A_1$ | A_3 | $A_3 + A_1$ | A_4 | D_4 | $D_4(a_1)$ | D_5 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------|-------|----|--------|--------|-------|--------------|-------|-------------|-------|-------|------------|-------|
| (ϕ, ϕ) | 2^4 : \mathfrak{A}_5 | 960 | 1 | 70 | 5 | 80 | 80 | | 120 | 384 | 160 | 60 | |
| (ζ_{3}, ζ_{3}) | (same as (ϕ, ϕ)) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (i,i) | (does not exist) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (<i>a</i> , <i>a</i>) | $2^4:2^2$ | 64 | 1 | 22 | 5 | | | | 24 | | | 12 | |
| general | 24 | 16 | 1 | 10 | 5 | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

 Table 1. Automorphism groups of quartic del Pezzo surfaces; see Section 2.2.

2.3. *Cubic surfaces.* The classification of automorphism groups of cubic surfaces in characteristic 2 was achieved in [Dolgachev and Duncan 2019, Table 7]. For the convenience of the reader, we recall it here:

| name | $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ | order | id | $2A_1$ | $4A_1$ | A_2 | $A_2 + 2A_1$ | $2A_2$ | $3A_2$ | $A_3 + A_1$ | A_4 | $A_5 + A_1$ | D_4 | $D_4(a_1)$ | D_5 | E_6 | $E_6(a_1)$ | $E_6(a_2)$ |
|---------|-------------------------|----------|----|--------|--------|-------|--------------|--------|--------|-------------|-------|-------------|-------|------------|-------|-------|------------|------------|
| I/3C | PSU ₄ (2) | 25920 | 1 | 270 | 45 | 240 | 2160 | 480 | 80 | 3240 | 5184 | 1440 | 1440 | 540 | 4 | 4320 | 5760 | 720 |
| II/5A | (same a | as V) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| III/12A | (same | as I) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IV/3A | $H_3(3):2$ | 54 | 1 | | 9 | | | 24 | 2 | | | | | | | | | 18 |
| V/4B | $2^3:\mathfrak{S}_4$ | 192 | 1 | 30 | 13 | | | 32 | | 72 | | 32 | | 12 | | | | |
| VI/6E | (same a | as V) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VII/8A | (does not | t exist) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VIII/3D | \mathfrak{S}_3 | 6 | 1 | | 3 | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| IX/4A | (same a | as V) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| X/2B | 24 | 16 | 1 | 10 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| XI/2A | 2 | 2 | 1 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| XII/1A | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |

3. Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2

3.1. *The anticanonical map.* We start by describing the geometry of del Pezzo surfaces of degree d = 2 over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic p = 2. We refer to [Demazure 1980] for the basic facts from the theory of del Pezzo surfaces over fields of any characteristic. It is known that the anticanonical linear system $|-K_X|$ has no base points and defines a finite morphism $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ of degree 2.

If $p \neq 2$, the map f is automatically separable and its branch curve is a smooth plane quartic. So any automorphism of X induces an automorphism of the quartic, and, conversely, any automorphism of the quartic can be lifted to two automorphisms of X that differ by the deck transformation, classically called the Geiser involution.

If p = 2, the structure of f, being a morphism of degree 2, is more complicated. Nevertheless, as a first step, we observe that f is still always separable.

Proposition 3.1. The anticanonical linear system $|-K_X|$ defines a finite separable morphism $f: X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ of degree 2.

Proof. Assume that f is not separable. Then, since $\deg(f) = 2$, f is purely inseparable. Hence, f is a homeomorphism in the étale topology, which is absurd since $H^2_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ has rank 8 (because X is the blow-up of seven points in the plane), while $H^2_{\text{ét}}(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ has rank 1.

Let

$$R(X, -K_X) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-nK_X))$$

be the graded anticanonical ring of *X*. By the Riemann–Roch theorem, $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} R(X, -K_X)_1 = 3$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{K}} R(X, -K_X)_2 = 7$. One can show that $R(X, -K_X)$ is generated by $R(X, -K_X)_1$ and one element from $R(X, -K_X)_2$ that does not belong to the symmetric square of $R(X, -K_X)_1$. Let *x*, *y*, *z* be elements of $R(X, -K_X)_1$ and $w \in R(X, -K_X)_2$, which together generate $R(X, -K_X)$. Then, the relation between the generators is of the form

$$w^{2} + A(x, y, z)w + B(x, y, z) = 0,$$
(2)

where *A* and *B* are homogeneous forms of degree 2 and 4, respectively. In particular, via (2), we can view *X* as a surface of degree 4 in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)$, and the anticanonical map is the projection of this surface onto the *x*-, *y*-, *z*-coordinates.

If $p \neq 2$, we can complete the square, get rid of *A*, and obtain the standard equation of a del Pezzo surface of degree 2. The curve V(B(x, y, z)) is the smooth plane quartic we mentioned in the Introduction. The Geiser involution just negates *w*.

In our case, when p = 2, we cannot get rid of A, for otherwise the map would become inseparable. Also, the coefficient B is not uniquely determined, since replacing w with w + Q for any quadratic form Q changes B to $B + AQ + Q^2$, without changing the isomorphism class of the surface. Taking Q = A, we obtain the analog of the Geiser involution, so we keep the name for this involution.

The nonuniqueness of *B* becomes more natural if we take the following different point of view: By [Ekedahl 1988, Proposition 1.11], the double cover *f* is a torsor under a group scheme $\alpha_{\mathcal{L},s}$ of order 2 over \mathbb{P}^2 , defined by the exact sequence of fppf-sheaves

$$0 \to \alpha_{\mathcal{L},s} \to \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{\phi} \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \to 0$$

for some line bundle \mathcal{L} and a global section *s*. The homomorphism of sheaves ϕ is locally given by $a \mapsto a_U^2 + a_U s_U$, so *s* cuts out the branch locus of *f*. By [loc. cit., Proposition 1.7], we have $\omega_X \cong f^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(-3) \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1})$; hence $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)$ and s = A. The $\alpha_{\mathcal{L},s}$ -torsor corresponding to *f* is defined by a cohomology class in $H^1_{\text{fppf}}(\mathbb{P}^2, \alpha_{\mathcal{L},s})$. Since $H^1_{\text{fppf}}(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{L}) = H^1(\mathbb{P}^2, \mathcal{L}) = 0$, we have

$$H^{1}_{\mathrm{fppf}}(\mathbb{P}^{2}, \alpha_{\mathcal{L}, s}) \cong H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}) / \wp \left(H^{0}(\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathcal{L}) \right)$$

where $\wp = H^0(\phi)$. The ternary form *B* is a representative of this space, and hence it is defined only up to a transformation of the form $B \mapsto B + Q^2 + AQ$, where *Q* is a quadratic form in *x*, *y*, *z*.

By writing the equation of X locally as $w_U^2 + a_U w_U + b_U$, and taking partial derivatives, we see that the differentials $w_U da_U + db_U$ restricted to V(A) glue together to define a global section α of $\Omega_{\mathbb{P}^2}^1 \otimes \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{V(A)}$. This section vanishes if and only if X is singular. So, in our case, when X is assumed to be smooth, we obtain the following. **Proposition 3.2.** In (2), the equations A = 0, $wA_x + B_x = 0$, $wA_y + B_y = 0$, $wA_z + B_z = 0$ have no common solutions.

3.2. *Normal forms.* Recall that *X* is given by an equation of the form $w^2 + Aw + B = 0$, where *A* is a quadratic ternary form and *B* is quartic ternary form. We say that V(A) is the branch curve of the cover, and its preimage $R = f^{-1}(V(A))$ under the anticanonical map $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ will be called the *ramification curve*.

Remark 3.3. We use the notation A_{2n} for singularities of curves whose formal completion is isomorphic to the unibranched singularity $y^2 + x^{2n+1} = 0$. If n = 1, this is an ordinary cusp singularity. These are exactly the curve singularities that can occur on reduced purely inseparable double covers of smooth curves in characteristic 2. Indeed, after passing to formal completions, such a double cover is given by an equation of the form $y^2 + ux^m$, where $u \in k[[x]]$ is a unit. Now, we can apply a substitution of the form $y \mapsto y + f$ for a suitable power series f to assume that m is odd and then replace x by λx , where λ is an m-th root of u^{-1} , which exists by Hensel's lemma. In other words, the singularity defined by $y^2 + ux^m$ is of type A_{2n} , where 2n + 1 is the smallest odd power of x that occurs in ux^m .

The following theorem gives normal forms for the cover $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^2$. In total, we obtain six normal forms, corresponding to the six possible combinations of singularities of V(A) and R.

Theorem 3.4. Every del Pezzo surface of degree 2 in characteristic 2 is isomorphic to a quartic surface in $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)$ given by an equation of the form

$$w^2 + A(x, y, z)w + B(x, y, z),$$

where (A, B) is one of the forms shown in Table 2. The parameters satisfy the following conditions:

- (1a) $\lambda \neq 0$, $\lambda^2 + a + b + c + d + e \neq 0$, $b^2 + a \neq 0$, $d^2 + e \neq 0$.
- (1b) $b^2 + a \neq 0, d^2 + e \neq 0.$
- (1c) $d^2 + e \neq 0$.
- (2a) $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$.
- (2b) $a \neq 0$.
- (3) *None*.

In terms of these normal forms, the singularities of the irreducible components of R_{red} are as follows:

- (1a) Three A_2 -singularities, over [0:1:0], [0:0:1] and [1:1:1].
- (1b) An A_4 -singularity over [0:0:1] and an A_2 -singularity over [0:1:0].
- (1c) An A_6 -singularity over [0:0:1].
- (2a) Two A_2 -singularities, over [1:0:0] and [0:1:0].
- (2b) Two A_2 -singularities, over [1:0:0] and [0:0:1].
- (3) An A_2 -singularity over [0:0:1].

Automorphisms of del Pezzo surfaces in characteristic 2

| name | Α | В | B_1 | B_0 | # of parameters |
|------|------------|---------------|--------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------|
| (1a) | $x^2 + yz$ | xB_1+B_0 | $\lambda y z(y+z)$ | $ay^4+by^3z+cy^2z^2+dyz^3+ez^4$ | 6 |
| (1b) | $x^2 + yz$ | xB_1+B_0 | y^2z | $ay^4+by^3z+cy^2z^2+dyz^3+ez^4$ | 5 |
| (1c) | $x^2 + yz$ | xB_1+B_0 | y^3 | $by^3z+cy^2z^2+dyz^3+ez^4$ | 4 |
| (2a) | xy | $B_1 + B_0^2$ | xz^3+yz^3 | $ax^2+by^2+cz^2+dxz+eyz$ | 5 |
| (2b) | xy | $B_1 + B_0^2$ | $xz^{3}+y^{3}z$ | $ax^2+cz^2+dxz+eyz$ | 4 |
| (3) | x^2 | xB_1+B_0 | $z^3 + ayz^2$ | $y^3z+by^2z^2+cz^4$ | 3 |

Table 2. Forms of (A, B) in Theorem 3.4.

Proof. Since $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is separable, *A* is nonzero. Hence, up to projective equivalence, there are three possibilities for *A*, corresponding to (1), (2), and (3). Now, we study those cases separately. The conditions on the parameters will follow from Proposition 3.2 by computing partial derivatives, a task which we will leave to the reader.

(1) $A = x^2 + yz$. Applying a substitution of the form $w \mapsto w + Q$ for a suitable quadratic form Q allows us to assume that $B = xB_1 + B_0$ for homogeneous forms B_0 and B_1 in y and z.

Let x = uv, $y = u^2$, $z = v^2$ define the Veronese isomorphism between V(A) and \mathbb{P}^1 . Substituting in *B*, we get that *R* is isomorphic to the double cover of \mathbb{P}^1 given by the equation

$$w^{2} + uvB_{1}(u^{2}, v^{2}) + B_{0}(u^{2}, v^{2}) = 0$$

By taking the partials, we find that R is singular exactly over the roots of B_1 .

After applying a suitable substitution that preserves A, we can move these roots to special positions. Note that the substitution $w \mapsto w + Q$ of the first paragraph does not change the position of these singularities, so we can still assume that $B = xB_1 + B_0$.

If the roots are distinct, we get case (a), if there are two distinct roots, we get case (b), and if there is only a single root, we get case (c). Note that in cases (b) and (c), the substitution $y \mapsto \lambda y$, $z \mapsto \lambda^{-1}z$ preserves the location of the roots and scales B_1 , which is why we can assume that xB_1 occurs with coefficient 1. Finally, in case (c), we can apply a substitution of the form $z \mapsto z + \lambda^2 y$, $x \mapsto x + \lambda y$ for a suitable λ to assume that $B_0(1, 0) = 0$.

(2) A = xy. After applying a substitution of the form $w \mapsto w + Q$ for a suitable quadratic form Q, we may assume that B does not contain monomials divisible by xy. This allows us to write

$$B = (a_1x^3 + a_2y^3)z + (a_3x + a_4y)z^3 + B_0(x, y, z)^2.$$

Note that the preimages R_1 and R_2 of V(x) and V(y) on X are members of $|-K_X|$; hence they must be reduced.

Restricted to V(x), the equation becomes $w^2 + a_2y^3z + a_4yz^3$, so R_1 is singular over $[0:\sqrt{a_4}:\sqrt{a_2}]$. Similarly, R_2 is singular over $[\sqrt{a_3}:0:\sqrt{a_1}]$. Note that these points must be distinct, for otherwise X is singular over [0:0:1] by Proposition 3.2. If these two points are distinct and different from [0:0:1], we can apply a suitable substitution that preserves *A* to move them to [0:1:0] and [1:0:0]. Then, we can repeat the substitution of the first paragraph and, after rescaling, arrive at case (a).

If the two points are distinct and one of them is [0:0:1], we can assume without loss of generality that the other one lies on V(y) and move it to [1:0:0]. After repeating the substitution of the first paragraph and rescaling, we may assume that B_1 is as in case (b). Finally, after applying a substitution of the form $z \mapsto z + \lambda y$, $w \mapsto w + \lambda z^2 + \lambda^2 yz + \lambda^3 y^2$ for a suitable λ , we may assume that $B_0(0, 1, 0) = 0$.

(3) $A = x^2$. Applying a substitution of the form $w \mapsto w + Q$ for a suitable quadratic form Q allows us to assume that $B = xB_1 + B_0$ for homogeneous forms B_0 and B_1 in y and z.

Let R' be the preimage of V(x). As in case (2), since $R' \in |-K_X|$, R' must be reduced. Restricted to V(x), the double cover becomes

$$w^2 + B_0(y, z) = 0;$$

hence R' is singular over the common zero of $B_{0,y}$ and $B_{0,z}$. We can assume that this zero lies at [0:0:1], that is, that yz^3 does not occur in B_0 and y^3z occurs with nonzero coefficient. After rescaling, we may assume that y^3z occurs with coefficient 1.

Applying a substitution of the form $z \mapsto z + \lambda_1 x + \lambda_2 y$, $y \mapsto y + \lambda_3 x$ for suitable λ_i and repeating the substitution of the first paragraph, we can eliminate the monomials y^3 and $y^2 z$ in B_1 and the monomial y^4 in B_0 . Computing partials, we see that X is singular if and only if $B_1(0, 1) = 0$. Hence, after rescaling, we may assume that B is as claimed.

3.3. *Fake bitangents and odd theta characteristics.* It is known that a del Pezzo surface *X* of degree 2 contains 56 (-1)-curves (see [Dolgachev 2012, Section 8.7], where the proof is characteristic-free). They come in pairs $E_i + E'_i \in |-K_X|$, with $E_i \cdot E'_i = 2$. The Geiser involution γ switches the two curves in a pair. The image of each pair under any birational morphism $\pi : X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ given by the blow-up of seven points $p_1, \ldots, p_7 \in \mathbb{P}^2$ is either the union of a line through two points p_i, p_j and the conic through the remaining five points, or a cubic passing through p_1, \ldots, p_7 with a double point at some p_i (and one curve of the pair is contracted by π). The image of $E_i + E'_i$ under the anticanonical map f is a line ℓ .

If $p \neq 2$, each of the resulting 28 lines is a bitangent line to the branch quartic curve and, conversely, every bitangent to the branch quartic gives rise to a pair of (-1)-curves. A bitangent line intersects the branch curve at two points, not necessarily distinct, whose sum is an odd theta characteristic of the curve. It is known that the number of odd theta characteristics on a smooth curve of genus 3 is equal to 28.

For arbitrary *p*, we still have the following.

Lemma 3.5. The preimage $f^{-1}(\ell)$ of a line ℓ is a sum of two (-1)-curves if and only if $f^{-1}(\ell)$ is reducible.

Proof. Since f has degree 2 and ℓ is integral, the curve $f^{-1}(\ell)$ is reducible if and only if it has two irreducible components L_1 and L_2 . These components satisfy $L_1 + L_2 \in |-K_X|$. Since L_i maps birationally to ℓ , we have $p_a(L_i) = 0$; hence $L_1 \cdot L_2 = 2$ by adjunction. We have $L_1^2 = L_2^2$, because the

two curves are interchanged by the covering involution, so the equality

$$2 = K_X^2 = (L_1 + L_2)^2 = L_1^2 + L_2^2 + 2L_1 \cdot L_2$$

implies that L_i is a (-1)-curve. The converse is clear.

So, even if p = 2, we have 28 splitting lines, which we call *fake bitangent lines* in analogy with the situation in the other characteristics. For the rest of this section, we assume p = 2. Since the anticanonical map is étale outside the branch curve V(A), the intersection $E_i \cap E'_i$ lies on the ramification curve R. Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_R(E_i) \cong \mathcal{O}_R(E'_i)$. It is an invertible sheaf on R of degree 2. We have

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathcal{O}_R(E_i + E'_i) \cong \mathcal{O}_R(-K_X)$$

Since $B \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^2}(2)|$, we have $R \in |-2K_X|$. By the adjunction formula

$$\omega_R \cong \mathcal{O}_R(-2K_X + K_X) \cong \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}$$

Invertible sheaves \mathcal{L} on R that satisfy this property are called *invertible theta characteristics*. They are called *even*, *odd*, or *vanishing* according to whether their space of global sections is even-dimensional, odd-dimensional, or at least 2-dimensional, respectively. We note that, on singular curves, there can be theta characteristics which are not invertible; see [Barth 1977; Beauville 1977]. In the following, we will only discuss invertible theta characteristics, so we drop the "invertible" from the notation.

Let $\Theta(R)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of theta characteristics on R and let J(R) be the identity component of the Picard scheme of R, also called the generalized Jacobian of R.

Lemma 3.6. The generalized Jacobian J(R) of R is isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_a^3 .

Proof. Since *R* is of arithmetic genus 3, J(R) is a commutative group scheme of dimension 3. As R_{red} has only unibranched singularities, [Bosch et al. 1990, Propositions 5 and 9] shows that J(R) is unipotent. Finally, we have a factorization of the absolute Frobenius $F : R \to V(A) \to R$. Note that J(V(A)) is trivial, even if V(A) is nonreduced, since $H^1(V(A), \mathcal{O}_{V(A)}) = 0$. Since F^* is multiplication by *p* on J(R), we obtain that J(R) is *p*-torsion, and hence isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_a^3 .

In particular, J(R)(k) is an infinite 2-torsion group and it acts on $\Theta(R)$ via tensor products. It is easy to check that $\Theta(R)$ is a torsor under J(R)(k) via this action. This already shows that the problem of finding (fake) bitangents using theta characteristics on R in characteristic 2 is much more subtle than it is in the other characteristics. Let us give an example that further illustrates this point.

Example 3.7. Assume that V(A) is a smooth conic.

Consider $\pi : R \to V(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^1$. We have $\pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) = (f|_R)^* \mathcal{O}_{V(A)}(1) = (\omega_X)|_R$, so $\mathcal{L} := \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)$ is a theta characteristic on R. Moreover, we have $h^0(R, \pi^* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(1)) = 2$, so \mathcal{L} is a vanishing theta characteristic. In fact, this is the unique vanishing theta characteristic on R: Indeed, let \mathcal{L}' be another vanishing theta characteristic. Then, the Riemann–Roch formula yields

$$h^0(R, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}') - h^0(R, \omega_R \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}'^{-1}) = 2.$$

Since $h^0(R, \mathcal{L}) \ge 2$ and $h^0(R, \mathcal{L}') \ge 2$, we have $h^0(R, \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathcal{L}') \ge 3$, so $h^0(R, \omega_R \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}'^{-1}) \ne 0$. Since *R* is integral and $\omega_R \otimes \mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathcal{L}'^{-1}$ has degree 0, this implies that $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{L}'$.

Next, let ℓ be any line in \mathbb{P}^2 such that $f^{-1}(\ell)$ meets R in two distinct smooth points. Then, $f^{-1}(\ell \cap V(A))_{\text{red}}$ defines an effective theta characteristic \mathcal{L} on R. By the previous paragraph, we have $h^0(R, \mathcal{L}) = 1$; hence all the infinitely many theta characteristics arising in this way are odd. It would be interesting to find an abstract characterization of the fake bitangent lines among the odd theta characteristics of R.

Nevertheless, we can find explicit equations of fake bitangent lines using the following result.

Lemma 3.8. Let $C \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be an Artin–Schreier double cover given by an equation of the form

$$w^{2} + f(u, v)w + g(u, v) = 0,$$

where f and g are homogeneous polynomials of degree n and 2n, respectively, and $f \neq 0$. Then, C is reducible if and only if there exists a homogeneous polynomial h of degree n with $g(u, v) = f(u, v)h(u, v) + h(u, v)^2$.

Proof. If there exists an h as in the assertion, then $w^2 + fw + g = (w + f + h)(w + h)$, so C is obviously reducible.

Conversely, assume that *C* is reducible. Then, *C* has exactly two irreducible components and these components are interchanged by the substitution $w \mapsto w + f$. In other words, we can write $w^2 + fw + g = h'(h' + f)$, where h' is a weighted homogeneous polynomial of degree *n*. This is only possible if h' is of the form h' = w + h for some *h* homogeneous of degree *n* in the variables *u* and *v*. Then, $w^2 + fw + g = (w + h)(w + h + f) = w^2 + fw + h^2 + fh$; hence $g = fh + h^2$, as claimed.

Finally, for later use, we record some simple restrictions on the possible positions of fake bitangent lines with respect to the singularities of R.

Proposition 3.9. Let ℓ be a fake bitangent line that passes through the image P of a singular point of an *irreducible component of* R_{red} . Then, V(A) is smooth and ℓ is tangent to V(A) at P.

Proof. Write $f^{-1}(\ell) = L_1 + L_2$. Since R_{red} is singular at $f^{-1}(P)$, L_i and R have intersection multiplicity at least 2 in $f^{-1}(P)$. Since $R \in |-2K_X|$ and $L_1 + L_2 \in |-K_X|$, we have $(L_1 + L_2).R = 2K_X^2 = 4$; hence $L_1 + L_2$ and R meet only in $f^{-1}(P)$. Therefore, their images in \mathbb{P}^2 meet only in P. If V(A) is smooth, this implies that ℓ is tangent to V(A) in P. If V(A) is the union of two lines, this implies that ℓ passes through their intersection. However, in this case, L_i and R have intersection multiplicity at least 3 in $f^{-1}(P)$, which is absurd. Finally, if V(A) is a double line, then $R_{red} \in |-K_X|$ and $2 = K_X^2 = (L_1 + L_2).R_{red} \ge 4$, a contradiction.

Remark 3.10. We note there are del Pezzo surfaces for which fake bitangents satisfying the properties of Proposition 3.9 exist. See Proposition 5.10 for a classification in terms of the normal forms of Theorem 3.4.

3.4. *Strange elliptic fibrations.* To each del Pezzo surface *X* of degree 2 in characteristic 2 with branch locus V(A) of the anticanonical map $f : X \to \mathbb{P}^2$, there is a naturally associated point P_X in \mathbb{P}^2 : if V(A) is smooth, we let P_X be the strange point of V(A), if V(A) is the union of two lines, we let P_X be their intersection, and if V(A) is a double line, we let P_X be the image of the singular point of $f^{-1}(V(A))_{\text{red}}$. We call P_X the *strange point* of *X* and note that the action of Aut(*X*) fixes P_X .

The pencil \mathcal{P} of lines through P_X is Aut(X)-invariant as well. Its preimage \mathcal{C} in X is an Aut(X)invariant pencil of curves of arithmetic genus 1 with two base points if V(A) is smooth and with one base point of multiplicity 2 if V(A) is singular. We let $\pi : Y \to X$ be the blow-up of the two (possibly infinitely near) base points of \mathcal{C} . Then, \mathcal{C} defines a relatively minimal genus-1 fibration $\phi : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$. Since the map $X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ is separable and a general line in the pencil is not contained in V(A), its preimage on Y is a smooth elliptic curve. Thus, the genus-1 fibration is an elliptic fibration. We call it the *strange elliptic fibration* associated to X.

By construction, the group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ lifts to a subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ and we will use this in Proposition 4.2 to find restrictions on the possible structure of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. To make the most of this connection, we will now describe the singular fibers of the elliptic fibration $\phi : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$. We employ Kodaira's notation: we say that a fiber isomorphic to an irreducible cuspidal cubic curve is of type II, a fiber that consists of two smooth rational curves intersecting nontransversally at one point is of type III, and a fiber that consists of three smooth rational curves intersecting at one point is of type IV.

We use the normal forms of Theorem 3.4, so that $A = x^2 + yz$, xy, or x^2 and $P_X = [1:0:0]$ in the first case and $P_X = [0:0:1]$ in the other two cases. In the first case, we let $\ell_{[t_0:t_1]}$ be the line $V(t_0y + t_1z)$ and in the other two cases, we let $\ell_{[t_0:t_1]}$ be the line $V(t_0x + t_1y)$. The fiber of ϕ corresponding to $\ell_{[t_0:t_1]}$ is denoted by $F_{[t_0:t_1]}$.

Proposition 3.11. The generic fiber of the strange elliptic fibration associated to X is a supersingular elliptic curve. Its singular fibers are of type II, III, or IV and its Mordell–Weil group is torsion-free. Namely:

(1) If $A = x^2 + yz$, then the following hold:

- The fiber $F_{[t_0:t_1]}$ is smooth if and only if $t_0y + t_1z \nmid B_1$.
- The fiber $F_{[t_0:t_1]}$ is of type III if $\ell_{[t_0:t_1]}$ is a fake bitangent and of type II otherwise.
- The line $\ell_{[1:0]}$ is a fake bitangent if and only if e = 0.
- The line $\ell_{[0:1]}$ is a fake bitangent if and only if a = 0.
- The line $\ell_{[1:1]}$ is a fake bitangent if and only if a + b + c + d + e = 0.
- (2a) If A = xy and $B_1 = xz^3 + yz^3$, then the following hold:
 - The fiber $F_{[t_0:t_1]}$ is smooth if and only if $[t_0:t_1] \neq [1:0], [0:1], [1:1].$
 - $F_{[1:0]}$ and $F_{[0:1]}$ are of type II.
 - $F_{[1:1]}$ is of type IV if $\ell_{[1:1]}$ is a fake bitangent and of type III otherwise.
 - The curve $\ell_{[1:1]}$ is a fake bitangent if and only if $c = d^2 + e^2$.

- (2b) If A = xy and $B_1 = xz^3 + y^3z$, then the following hold:
 - The fiber $F_{[t_0:t_1]}$ is smooth if and only if $[t_0:t_1] \neq [1:0], [0:1]$.
 - The curve $F_{[0:1]}$ is of type II.
 - The curve $F_{[1:0]}$ is of type III.

(3) If $A = x^2$, then the following hold:

- The fiber $F_{[t_0:t_1]}$ is smooth if and only if $[t_0:t_1] \neq [1:0]$.
- The curve $F_{[1:0]}$ is of type III.

Proof. We study each case separately.

(1) In this case, $A = x^2 + yz$.

First, consider $\ell_{[1:t]} = V(y + tz)$. Plugging y = tz into the equation of X, we obtain

$$w^{2} + (x^{2} + tz^{2})w + xB_{1}(tz, z) + (at^{4} + bt^{3} + ct^{2} + dt + e)z^{4},$$

with $B_1(tz, z) \in \{\lambda t (t+1)z^3, t^2z^3, t^3z^3\}$. If $y + tz \nmid B_1$, then $B_1(tz, z) \neq 0$, so taking partials with respect to x and w shows that a singular point must satisfy x = z = 0, which is absurd. If $y + tz \mid B_1$, then $B_1(tz, z) = 0$ and $F_{[1:t]}$ is singular over [t:t:1]. Similarly, one checks that $F_{[0:1]}$ is singular.

The equation

$$w^{2} + (x^{2} + tz^{2})w + xB_{1}(tz, z) + (at^{4} + bt^{3} + ct^{2} + d + e)z^{4}$$

shows that $F_{[1:t]}$ is a double cover of \mathbb{P}^1 branched over a single point. Hence, if $F_{[1:t]}$ is smooth, then it is supersingular, and if it is singular and irreducible, it is a cuspidal cubic.

Finally, consider the curve $F_{[1:0]}$ given by

$$w^2 + x^2w + ez^4.$$

By Lemma 3.8, it is clear that $F_{[1:0]}$ is reducible if and only if e = 0. The calculation for $F_{[1:1]}$ and $F_{[0:1]}$ is similar.

(2a) In this case, A = xy and $B_1 = xz^3 + yz^3$.

First, consider $\ell_{[1:t]} = V(x + ty)$ with $t \neq 0, 1$. Plugging x = ty into the equation of X, we obtain

$$w^{2} + ty^{2}w + (t+1)yz^{3} + B_{0}(ty, y, z)^{2}.$$

Then, taking partials shows that $F_{[1:t]}$ is smooth. Since it is a double cover of \mathbb{P}^1 branched over a single point, it is supersingular.

Next, consider $F_{[1:1]}$, whose image in X is given by

$$w^{2} + y^{2}w + ((a+b)y^{2} + (d+e)yz + cz^{2})^{2}.$$

This curve is singular over [0:0:1], so $F_{[1:1]}$ has one irreducible component contracted by $Y \to X$. By Lemma 3.8, the image of $F_{[1:1]}$ in X is reducible if and only if $c = d^2 + e^2$.

Finally, the curves $F_{[1:0]}$ and $F_{[0:1]}$ are isomorphic to their images in X and these images are irreducible and cuspidal by Theorem 3.4.

(2b) In this case, A = xy and $B_1 = xz^3 + y^3z$.

First, consider $\ell_{[1:t]} = V(x + ty)$ with $t \neq 0$. Plugging x = ty into the equation of X, we obtain

$$w^{2} + ty^{2}w + tyz^{3} + y^{3}z + B_{0}(ty, y, z)^{2}.$$

As in the previous cases, taking partials shows that $F_{[1:t]}$ is smooth and supersingular.

The curve $F_{[0:1]}$ is isomorphic to its image in X, since $f^{-1}(\ell_{[0:1]})$ is smooth over the point [0:0:1]. Hence, $F_{[0:1]}$ is of type II. On the other hand, the curve $F_{[1:0]}$ is of type III, since its image in X has multiplicity 2 over [0:0:1].

(3) In this case $A = x^2$.

First, consider $\ell_{[t:1]} = V(tx + y)$. Plugging y = tx into the equation of X, we obtain

$$w^{2} + x^{2}w + xz^{3} + (bt^{2} + at)x^{2}z^{2} + cz^{4}$$

Then, taking partials shows that $F_{[t:1]}$ is smooth. Since it is a double cover of \mathbb{P}^1 branched over a single point, it is supersingular.

The curve $F_{[1:0]}$ is of type III, by the same argument as in the previous case.

The Mordell–Weil group of the fibration is torsion-free by [Oguiso and Shioda 1991, Main Theorem], since the lattice spanned by fiber components is of rank at most 4 in each case. \Box

Remark 3.12. The classification of singular fibers of rational elliptic surfaces with a section in characteristic 2 can be found in [Lang 2000]. Lang shows that in the cases where the general fiber is a supersingular elliptic curve, the number of singular fibers is at most 3, which agrees with what we observed in the case of strange elliptic fibrations. Proposition 3.11 shows that the singular fibers that occur on strange genus-1 fibrations are of type 9A, 9B, 10A, 10B, 10C or 11 in Lang's terminology.

4. Automorphism groups of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2

4.1. *Preliminaries.* Recall once more from Section 3.1 that a del Pezzo surface *X* of degree 2 is a surface of degree 4 in $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)$ given by an equation of the form

$$w^{2} + A(x, y, z)w + B(x, y, z) = 0.$$

Since this is the anticanonical model of X and ω_X^{-n} admits a natural Aut(X)-linearization for all *n*, we obtain that Aut(X) is isomorphic to the subgroup of Aut($\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)$) of automorphisms that preserve X.

The structure of the group Aut($\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)$) is well known. The vector space $\mathbb{k}[x, y, z]_2$ of quadratic forms is a normal subgroup of Aut($\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)$) that acts via $(x, y, z, w) \mapsto (x, y, z, w + Q)$. The quotient by this subgroup is the group of transformations that change (x, y, z) linearly and multiply w by a scalar. Since the transformation $(x, y, z, w) \mapsto (\lambda x, \lambda y, \lambda z, \lambda^2 w)$ is the identity, this quotient is isomorphic to $GL_3(k)/\mu_2(k)$. Since we are in characteristic 2, the subgroup $\mu_2(k)$ is trivial. This gives an isomorphism

Aut(
$$\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)$$
) $\cong \Bbbk[x, y, z]_2 : GL_3(\Bbbk).$

We denote elements of this group by $(Q, g) \in \mathbb{k}[x, y, z]_2 \times GL_3(\mathbb{k})$ where the semidirect product structure is

$$(Q, g) \circ (Q', g') = (g^*(Q') + Q, gg').$$

Using this description of Aut($\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)$), it is straightforward to calculate the subgroup of automorphisms preserving *X*. We obtain

Aut(X)
$$\cong \{(Q, g) : g^*(A) = A, g^*(B) = B + AQ + Q^2\}.$$

The kernel of the homomorphism

$$\operatorname{Aut}(X) \to \operatorname{GL}_3(\Bbbk), \quad (Q, g) \mapsto g$$

is generated by the Geiser involution γ . We let G(X) be the image of Aut(X) in GL₃(\Bbbk).

Lemma 4.1. The homomorphism $G(X) \to GL_3(\Bbbk) \to PGL_3(\Bbbk)$ is injective.

Proof. Let $g \in G(X)$ be in the kernel of this homomorphism. Then, $g = \lambda I_3$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}^{\times}$. On the other hand, by definition of G(X), we have $g^*(A) = A$. Since A has degree 2, this implies $\lambda^2 = 1$. Hence, $\lambda = 1$.

We recall from [Dolgachev and Martin 2024, Section 1] that a choice of a blow-up $X \to \mathbb{P}^2$ of seven points defines an injective homomorphism

$$\rho: \operatorname{Aut}(X) \to W(\mathsf{E}_7). \tag{3}$$

The image of the Geiser involution is equal to $-id_{E_7}$. It is known that $W(E_7) = \langle -id_{E_7} \rangle \times W(E_7)^+$, where $W(E_7)^+ \subseteq W(E_7)$ is the kernel of the determinant map.

In particular, to determine Aut(X), it suffices to determine G(X) and both groups are isomorphic to subgroups of $W(E_7)$ via ρ . This puts severe restrictions on the possible structure of G(X). Finally, we can use the strange genus-1 fibrations of the previous section to get information on G(X).

Proposition 4.2. Let $\phi : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the strange elliptic fibration associated to *X*. Choose an exceptional curve *E* of $Y \to X$ as the zero section of ϕ and let *C* be the second exceptional curve. Then, there is a homomorphism $\phi : \operatorname{Aut}(X) \to \operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ that satisfies the following properties:

- (1) φ is injective.
- (2) $\varphi(\gamma)$ preserves every fiber of ϕ .
- (3) If V(A) is smooth, then C is a section of ϕ . We have $\varphi(\gamma) = t_C \circ \iota$, where ι is the negation automorphism and t_C is translation by C.
- (4) If V(A) is singular, then C is a component of a reducible fiber of ϕ . We have $\varphi(\gamma) = \iota$ and φ factors through the stabilizer of the pair (E, C).

Proof. The surface *Y* is obtained by blowing up *X* at two points that are uniquely determined by V(A), and hence is stable under the action of Aut(*X*). This shows existence and injectivity of the homomorphism φ . The fibration ϕ is induced by the pencil of lines in \mathbb{P}^2 through the strange point of *X*. Since γ preserves these lines, it preserves the fibers of ϕ .

If V(A) is smooth, then *E* and *C* are interchanged by $\varphi(\gamma)$. The automorphism $t_{-C} \circ \varphi(\gamma) \circ \iota$ maps *E* to *E* and -C to -C. It is well known that every fixed point of a nontrivial automorphism of an elliptic curve is a torsion point. On the other hand, by Proposition 3.11, ϕ has no torsion sections, so $t_{-C} \circ \varphi(\gamma) \circ \iota = id$, which yields claim (3).

If V(A) is singular, then C is a (-2)-curve which meets E; hence it is the identity component of a reducible fiber of ϕ . Since $\varphi(\gamma)$ is an involution that preserves E, we have $\varphi(\gamma) = \iota$ and we obtain claim (4).

4.2. Classification.

Theorem 4.3. Every del Pezzo surface of degree 2 in characteristic 2 such that, in the decomposition $Aut(X) \cong 2 \times G(X)$, the group G(X) is nontrivial is isomorphic to a surface of degree 4 in $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 1, 2)$ given by an equation of the form

$$w^2 + Aw + B,$$

where (A, B, G(X)) is one of the forms shown in Table 3. The parameters satisfy the following conditions:

(1ai) $\lambda \neq 0, \ \lambda^2 + c \neq 0, \ b^2 + a \neq 0, \ (b, c) \neq (\lambda, a).$ (1aii) $\lambda \neq 0, \ \lambda^2 + a \neq 0.$ (1ci) $e \neq 0.$ (2ai) $a \neq 0, \ (c, d) \neq (0, 0).$ (2aii) $a \neq 0, \ b \neq 0, \ a \neq b.$ (2aiii) $a \neq 0.$ (3i) $c \neq 0.$ (3ii) None.

Proof. We use the normal forms of Theorem 3.4 and the description of Aut(X) and G(X) given in the beginning of the current section. We go through the cases of Theorem 4.3.

(1a) Here, X is given by an equation of the form

$$w^2 + (x^2 + yz)w + \lambda xyz(y+z) + B_0$$

with

$$B_0 = ay^4 + by^3z + cy^2z^2 + dyz^3 + ez^4$$

and the cusps lie over [0:1:0], [0:0:1], and [1:1:1]. Let $(Q, g) \in Aut(X)$ be an automorphism of X. Then, g preserves the three points lying under the cusps. Moreover, if g fixes the three cusps, then it fixes

Igor Dolgachev and Gebhard Martin

| name | Α | В | B_1 | B_0 | G(X) | # of parameters |
|---------|------------|---------------|--------------------|--|---------|-----------------|
| (1ai) | $x^2 + yz$ | xB_1+B_0 | $\lambda y z(y+z)$ | $ay^4+by^3z+cy^2z^2+byz^3+az^4$ | 2 | 4 |
| (1aii) | $x^2 + yz$ | xB_1+B_0 | $\lambda y z(y+z)$ | $ay^4 + \lambda y^3 z + ay^2 z^2 + \lambda y z^3 + az^4$ | S_3 | 2 |
| (1ci) | $x^2 + yz$ | xB_1+B_0 | y^3 | $by^3z+cy^2z^2+ez^4$ | 2^{3} | 3 |
| (2ai) | xy | $B_1 + B_0^2$ | xz^3+yz^3 | $ax^2+ay^2+cz^2+dxz+dyz$ | 2 | 3 |
| (2aii) | xy | $B_1 + B_0^2$ | xz^3+yz^3 | ax^2+by^2 | 3 | 2 |
| (2aiii) | xy | $B_1 + B_0^2$ | xz^3+yz^3 | $ax^2 + ay^2$ | 6 | 1 |
| (3i) | x^2 | xB_1+B_0 | z^3 | y^3z+cz^4 | 3 | 1 |
| (3ii) | x^2 | xB_1+B_0 | z^3 | y^3z | 9 | 0 |

Table 3. Forms of (A, B, G(X)) in Theorem 4.3.

V(A) pointwise; hence g is trivial in PGL₃(k), so, by Lemma 4.1, g is the identity and (Q, g) coincides with the Geiser involution. Hence, G(X) acts faithfully on {[0:1:0], [0:0:1], [1:1:1]}.

Note that G(X) contains an involution if and only if X admits an equation where this involution is given by $y \leftrightarrow z$. This involution is in G(X) if and only if there exists a quadratic form Q such that

$$Q^{2} + (x^{2} + yz)Q = g^{*}B_{0} + B_{0}.$$
(4)

Since $Q^2 + (x^2 + yz)Q$ contains a nonzero monomial divisible by x^2 as soon as it is nonzero and $g^*B_0 + B_0$ does not contain such a monomial, we must have $Q \in \{0, x^2 + yz\}$ and (4) holds if and only if a = e and b = d, as claimed.

Next, note that G(X) contains an automorphism g of order 3 if and only if g is given by $x \mapsto x + z$, $y \mapsto z$, $z \mapsto y + z$ and there exists a quadratic form Q such that

$$Q^{2} + (x^{2} + yz)Q = \lambda yz^{2}(y+z) + g^{*}B_{0} + B_{0}.$$
(5)

By the same argument as in the previous paragraph, we have $Q \in \{0, x^2 + yz\}$ and (5) holds if and only if a = c = e and $b = d = \lambda$. In particular, note that these conditions imply the conditions of the previous paragraph in this case; hence $G(X) = S_3$.

(1b) In this case, V(A) is smooth and R has two nonisomorphic singularities. Then, $g \in G(X)$ must fix the images of them on V(A). Since an automorphism of order 2 of \mathbb{P}^1 has only one fixed point, we may assume that the order of g is odd. By Proposition 3.9, the line ℓ through the images of the singularities is not a fake bitangent. Its preimage E in X is an integral curve of arithmetic genus 1 and the Geiser involution has two fixed points on E. Hence, either E is smooth and ordinary, or nodal. In both cases, there is no nontrivial automorphism of odd order that commutes with the involution; hence g fixes ℓ pointwise. Since g also fixes the strange point P on V(A) and the projection from P is inseparable, g fixes V(A) pointwise; hence g is the identity. We conclude that $G(X) = \{1\}$.

(1c) Here, X is given by an equation of the form

$$w^2 + (x^2 + yz)w + xy^3 + B_0,$$

with

$$B_0 = by^3 z + cy^2 z^2 + dyz^3 + ez^4$$

The singularity of *R* lies over [0:0:1]. An element $g \in G(X)$ of odd order has at least two fixed points on V(A) and then the same argument as in the previous case shows that *g* is the identity. Therefore, G(X) is a 2-group that acts on $V(A) \cong \mathbb{P}^1$ with a fixed point. In particular, G(X) is isomorphic to a subgroup of $\mathbb{G}_a(\mathbb{k})$, and hence is isomorphic to 2^n for some $n \ge 0$.

We may assume that g acts as $x \mapsto x + \alpha y$, $y \mapsto y$, $z \mapsto z + \alpha^2 y$. Then g lifts to Aut(X) if and only if there exists a quadratic form Q such that

$$(x^{2} + yz)Q + Q^{2} = \alpha y^{4} + g^{*}(B_{0}) + B_{0}.$$

Since the right-hand side does not contain a monomial divisible by x^2 , we get, as in the previous cases, $Q = x^2 + yz$ or Q = 0. Comparing coefficients yields the system of equations

$$d\alpha^{2} = 0,$$

$$d\alpha^{4} = 0,$$

$$e\alpha^{8} + d\alpha^{6} + c\alpha^{4} + b\alpha^{2} + \alpha = 0.$$

So, if $d \neq 0$, then $\alpha = 0$ and G(X) is trivial. If d = 0, there are eight possibilities for α , one for each root of $ex^8 + cx^4 + bx^2 + x$. All the roots are distinct since the derivative of this polynomial is 1. Here, we also use that $e \neq 0$ by Theorem 3.4. Thus $G(X) \cong 2^3$.

(2a) Here, X is given by an equation of the form

$$w^2 + xyw + xz^3 + yz^3 + B_0^2,$$

with

$$B_0 = ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 + dxz + eyz.$$

The singularities of the irreducible components of *R* lie over [1:0:0] and [0:1:0]. Let $(Q, g) \in Aut(X)$. Then, *g* preserves these two points and the intersection of V(x) and V(y). Moreover, by Propositions 4.2 and 3.11, *g* preserves the line V(x + y).

Assume that g has odd order. Then, g preserves the three lines V(x), V(y), and V(x + y); hence it is of the form $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, \alpha z)$. The quadratic form Q satisfies

$$Q^{2} + xyQ = g^{*}(B_{1} + B_{0}^{2}) + B_{1} + B_{0}^{2}.$$

The right-hand side does not contain monomials divisible by xy; hence $Q \in \{0, xy\}$. Now, $g^*B_1 + B_1 = 0$ implies that $\alpha^3 = 1$, and if $\alpha \neq 1$, then $g^*B_0^2 + B_0^2 = 0$ holds if and only if c = d = e = 0.

Assume that g has order a power of 2. If g does not swap the points [1:0:0] and [0:1:0], then it acts diagonally; hence it is the identity. Therefore, we may assume that g swaps these two points and $g^2 = id$. Hence, g acts as $x \leftrightarrow y$. The quadratic form Q satisfies

$$Q^{2} + xyQ = g^{*}(B_{1} + B_{0}^{2}) + B_{1} + B_{0}^{2}$$

and hence a = b and d = e.

(2b) Here, X is given by an equation of the form

$$w^2 + xyw + xz^3 + y^3z + B_0^2$$

with

$$B_0 = ax^2 + cz^2 + dxz + eyz$$

and the singularities of the irreducible components of R map to [1:0:0] and [0:0:1]. Let $(Q, g) \in Aut(X)$.

If g has odd order, then there is a g-invariant line ℓ through [1:0:0] and we may assume that $\ell \not\subseteq V(A)$. By the same argument as in case (1b), ℓ is fixed pointwise. Then, every line through [0:0:1] is g-invariant. Since $g^*A = A$, this means that g acts as $(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, \alpha z)$. An automorphism of this form satisfies $g^*B_1 = B_1$ if and only if $\alpha = 1$, so g is trivial.

If g has order a power of 2, then by Proposition 3.11, $\varphi((Q, g)) \in \operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ preserves the two singular fibers of $\phi : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$; hence $\varphi((Q, g))$ acts trivially on the base of ϕ . The 2-Sylow subgroup of automorphisms of the geometric generic fiber of ϕ is the quaternion group Q_8 and $\varphi((Q, g))$ commutes with the unique involution $\varphi(\gamma)$ in Q_8 . This implies that $(Q, g) \in \langle \gamma \rangle$, so g is trivial.

(3) If V(A) is a double line, then X is given by an equation of the form

$$w^2 + x^2 w + x B_1 + B_0,$$

with $B_1 = z^3 + ayz^2$ and $B_0 = y^3z + by^2z^2 + cz^4$. The singularity of R_{red} lies over [0:0:1]. Let $(Q, g) \in Aut(X)$. Then, g is of the form

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, \alpha x + \beta y, \gamma x + \delta y + \epsilon z),$$

with $\beta, \epsilon \neq 0$ and Q satisfies the equation

$$Q^{2} + x^{2}Q = x(g^{*}B_{1} + B_{1}) + g^{*}B_{0} + B_{0}.$$
(6)

The monomials y^3z , xz^3 , xyz^2 , xy^2z and xy^3 do not appear on the left-hand side; hence their coefficients on the right-hand side must be zero. This yields the conditions

$$\epsilon = \beta^{-3}, \quad \delta = a(\beta + \beta^6),$$

$$\beta^9 = 1, \qquad \alpha = a^2(1 + \beta),$$

$$\gamma = a^3(1 + \beta^2).$$

So, the order of g is equal to the order of β in k^{\times} ; hence it is equal to 1, 3 or 9. Now, we calculate that if $\beta^3 = 1$, then g^3 acts as

$$(x, y, z) \mapsto (x, y, a^3(\beta + \beta^2)x + z).$$

Hence, if $a \neq 0$, then g is the identity.

So, assume that a = 0, so that, in particular, $\alpha = \delta = \gamma = 0$. Equation (6) becomes

$$Q^{2} + x^{2}Q = (\epsilon^{3} + 1)xz^{3} + (\beta^{3}\epsilon + 1)y^{3}z + b(\beta^{2}\epsilon^{2} + 1)y^{2}z^{2} + c(\epsilon^{4} + 1)z^{4}.$$

732

On the left-hand side, the coefficients of z^4 and y^2z^2 are the squares of the coefficients of x^2z^2 and x^2yz , respectively. Since the latter monomials do not appear on the right-hand side, the coefficients of the former monomials must vanish. Therefore, we get the four conditions

$$\epsilon^{3} + 1 = 0, \quad b(\beta^{2}\epsilon^{2} + 1) = 0,$$

 $\beta^{3}\epsilon + 1 = 0, \quad c(\epsilon^{4} + 1) = 0,$

Hence, if $b \neq 0$, then $\beta = \epsilon = 1$, so G(X) is trivial. If b = 0 and $c \neq 0$, then $\epsilon = 1$ and $\beta^3 = 1$, and so $G(X) \cong C_3$. If b = c = 0, then $\epsilon = \beta^{-3}$ and $\beta^9 = 1$; hence $G(X) \cong C_9$.

Remark 4.4. With our choice of normal form in Theorem 4.3, the map $g \mapsto (0, g)$ defines an explicit section of the surjection Aut $(X) \rightarrow G(X)$ in every case.

Remark 4.5. The group 2^4 that appears in Theorem 4.3 occurs as a group of automorphisms of a del Pezzo surface of degree 4 in all characteristics [Dolgachev and Duncan 2019]. In characteristic 0, there is a unique conjugacy class of subgroups isomorphic to 2^4 in the Cremona group. One can prove, using the theory of birational links, that in characteristic 2, the two subgroups of $Cr_k(2)$ are not conjugate.

Remark 4.6. The fact that 2 and 3 are the only primes that divide the order of Aut(X) can be proven without the classification. It is known that 2, 3, 5, and 7 are the only primes that divide the order of $W(E_7)$. To exclude the primes 5 and 7, one can use the Lefschetz fixed-point formula and the known traces of elements of $W(E_7)$ acting on the root lattice of type E_7 to get a contradiction with the possible structure of the set of fixed points of an element of the group G(X).

4.3. Conjugacy classes and comparison with the classification in characteristic **0**. In this section, we determine the conjugacy classes in $W(E_7)$ of the elements of the groups that occur in Theorem 4.3 and, whenever possible, compare the surfaces in Theorem 4.3 with their counterparts in characteristic 0 (see [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.9]). To do this, we use the following result.

Lemma 4.7. Let X be a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 in characteristic 2. Let X' be a geometric generic fiber of a lift of X to characteristic 0 and let sp : $Aut(X') \rightarrow Aut(X)$ be the specialization map. Then, sp is injective and preserves conjugacy classes.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{X} \to S$ be a lift of X with geometric generic fiber X'. The map sp sends an automorphism $g \in \operatorname{Aut}(X')$ to the special fiber of the closure of g considered as a point of the relative automorphism scheme $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}/S}$. To see that this is well-defined, we have to explain why $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ is proper over S.

By passing to the anticanonical model of \mathcal{X} in $\mathbb{P}_{S}(1, 1, 1, 2)$, the scheme Aut_{\mathcal{X}/S} is identified with the stabilizer of \mathcal{X} under the action of Aut_{$\mathbb{P}_{S}(1,1,1,2)$} on the space $\mathcal{H}_{dP2,S}$ of smooth quartic hypersurfaces in $\mathbb{P}_{S}(1, 1, 1, 2)$. To check that this stabilizer is proper, it suffices to show that the shear map

$$(\mathbb{G}_a^3: \mathrm{GL}_3) \times \mathcal{H}_{dP2} \to \mathcal{H}_{dP2} \times \mathcal{H}_{dP2},$$

$$(Q, g, w^2 + Aw + B) \mapsto (w^2 + Aw + B, w^2 + (g^*A + 2Q)w + g^*B + g^*AQ + Q^2),$$

is a proper morphism of schemes over Spec \mathbb{Z} . For this, it suffices to check that the individual shear maps for the \mathbb{G}_a^3 -action and the GL₃-action are proper. We check this using the valuative criterion. So, let R be an arbitrary discrete valuation ring with field of fractions K and let $F = w^2 + Aw + B$ and $F' = w^2 + A'w + B'$ be equations of smooth quartics in $\mathbb{P}_R(1, 1, 1, 2)$ with $A, A' \in R[x, y, z]_2$ and $B, B' \in R[x, y, z]_4.$

Given $Q \in K[x, y, z]_2$ sending F to F', we have the two conditions

$$A + 2Q - A' = 0,$$

 $B + AQ + Q^2 - B' = 0.$

Comparing the valuations of the coefficients of Q^2 and AQ + B - B' in the second equation shows that $Q \in$ $R[x, y, z]_2$. This proves the valuative criterion of properness for the shear map of the \mathbb{G}_a^3 -action on \mathcal{H}_{dP2} . Given $g \in GL_3(K)$ sending *F* to *F'*, we have the two conditions

$$g^*A = A', \quad g^*B = B'.$$

Replacing g by its Smith normal form, we may assume that g acts as

$$x\mapsto \pi^{e_x}x, \quad y\mapsto \pi^{e_y}y, \quad z\mapsto \pi^{e_z}z,$$

where π is a uniformizer of R. Thus, if a monomial $x^i y^j z^k$ appears in A or B with unit coefficient, then $ie_x + je_y + ke_z = 0$. We leave it to the reader to check that, because of the smoothness of F and F' modulo π , there are enough such monomials to check that $e_x = e_y = e_z$, that is, that $g \in GL_3(R)$. This is the valuative criterion of properness for the shear map of the GL₃-action on \mathcal{H}_{dP2} .

Since $H^0(X, T_X) = 0$, the scheme Aut_{X/S} is discrete; hence the specialization map is injective. As $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}_X) = H^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X)$, the relative Picard scheme $\operatorname{Pic}_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ is constant over S. Now, specialization of line bundles is sp-equivariant and compatible with the intersection pairing; hence sp preserves conjugacy classes. \square

Remark 4.8. It would be interesting to determine all integers a_1, \ldots, a_n and d such that the action of Aut_{P(a1,...,an}) on the space \mathcal{H}_d of smooth hypersurfaces of degree d in P(a1,...,an) is proper. This would be a generalization of [Katz and Sarnak 1999, Proposition 11.8.2] to the weighted case.

By Theorem 4.3, we have $|Aut(X)| \le 18$, so types I, ..., V and of [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.9] do not have a reduction modulo 2 which is a del Pezzo surface. Similarly, type VII of that table has no analog in characteristic 2.

The surface of type (3ii) of Theorem 4.3 is a reduction modulo 2 of the surface of type VI in [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.9]. Hence, we call this surface type VI. Since the conjugacy classes of elements of Aut(X)are the same as the ones of the lift, the entry for type VI in Table 4 is the same as the one in [Dolgachev and Martin 2024, Table 7].

The equations of the surfaces of type (2aiii) of Theorem 4.3 define smooth surfaces in characteristic 0 and the automorphisms $x \leftrightarrow y$ and $z \mapsto \zeta_3 z$ make sense in characteristic 0. Hence, these surfaces lift to characteristic 0 as del Pezzo surfaces with an action of 2×6 . As explained above, del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 with an automorphism group of order bigger than 18 do not have a smooth reduction modulo 2; hence these lifts are of type VIII [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.9], so we also call the surfaces of type (2aiii) type VIII. As in the previous case, the conjugacy classes are the same as in [Dolgachev and Martin 2024, Table 7].

As for the surfaces of type (1aii), we rewrite their equations using the substitution $x \mapsto x + y + z$ as

$$w^{2} + (x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2} - yz)w + \lambda xyz(z - y) + a(y^{2} + z^{2} - yz)^{2}.$$

This equation defines a lift of X to characteristic 0 and the Aut(X)-action lifts as well, since it is generated by the Geiser involution $\gamma : w \mapsto -w$, the involution $y \leftrightarrow z$ and the automorphism g of order 3 given by

$$x \mapsto -x$$
, $y \mapsto z$, $z \mapsto z - y$.

Hence, all surfaces of type (1aii) are reductions modulo 2 of surfaces of type IX in [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.9]. In particular, we can read off the conjugacy classes of elements of Aut(X) from [Dolgachev and Martin 2024, Table 7].

The surfaces of type (1ci) are the characteristic-2 analogs of type X from [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.9]. We claim that every involution on a surface X of type (1ci) which is different from the Geiser involution is of conjugacy class $3A_1/4A_1$. It suffices to check this for the surface given by

$$w^2 + (x^2 + yz)w + xy^3 + z^4$$
,

where G(X) acts as $g_{\alpha}: x \mapsto x + \alpha y$, $z \mapsto \alpha^2 x + z$, with $\alpha^8 = \alpha$. After using the substitution $z \mapsto \alpha x + y + z$, $y \mapsto \alpha^6 x + \alpha^6 y$, the equation of X becomes

$$w^{2} + (x^{2} + xy + y^{2} + \alpha^{6}(y + x)z + \alpha^{4}(x^{2} + y^{2}))w + \alpha^{4}(x^{3}y + x^{2}y^{2} + xy^{3}) + \alpha^{3}(x^{4} + y^{4} + z^{4})$$

and the involution g_{α} acts as $x \leftrightarrow y$. Then, the above equation makes sense in characteristic 0 and defines a lift of X together with the involution g_{α} . In particular, by [Dolgachev and Martin 2024, Table 7], the conjugacy class of g_{α} is $3A_1$ or $4A_1$.

The equations of types (2aii) and (3i) make sense in characteristic 0, where they define a lift of the surface together with the C_3 -action. These lifts must be of type XI from [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.9].

Similarly, the equations of types (1ai) and (2ai) define lifts to characteristic 0 together with the C_2 -action. Hence, these lifts are of type XII from [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.9].

We summarize the classification of automorphism groups of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 in Table 4. In the first column, we give the name of the corresponding family, both in the notation of Theorem 4.3 and in the notation of [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.9]. The second and third columns give the group Aut(X) and its size. In the remaining columns, we list the number of elements of a given Carter conjugacy class in Aut(X).

| name | $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ | order | id | $3A_1$ | $4A_1$ | $7A_1$ | $2A_2$ | $3A_2$ | $2A_3$ | $2A_3 + A_1$ | A_5+A_2 | A_6 | $D_4(a_1)$ | $D_4(a_1) + A_1$ |
|------------------|---------------------------|----------|----|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------------|-----------|-------|------------|------------------|
| I–V | (do not | exist) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VI/(3ii) | 18 | 18 | 1 | | | 1 | | 2 | | | | | | |
| VII | (does not | t exist) | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VIII/(2aiii) | 2×6 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | 2 | | | 2 | | | |
| IX/ (1aii) | $2 \times \mathfrak{S}_3$ | 12 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | | | | | | | |
| X/(1ci) | 2^{4} | 16 | 1 | 7 | 7 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| XI/(2aii), (3i) | 6 | 6 | 1 | | | 1 | | 2 | | | | | | |
| XII/(1ai), (2ai) | 2^{2} | 4 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| XIII | 2 | 2 | 1 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |

| name | Aut(X) | order | D_5 | D_5+A_1 | $D_6(a_2) + A_1$ | E_6 | $E_6(a_1)$ | $E_6(a_2)$ | E_7 | $E_7(a_1)$ | $E_7(a_2)$ | $E_7(a_4)$ |
|------------------|---------------------------|----------|-------|-----------|------------------|-------|------------|------------|-------|------------|------------|------------|
| I–V | (do not | exist) | | | | | | | | | | |
| VI/(3ii) | 18 | 18 | | | | | 6 | | 6 | | | 2 |
| VII | (does no | t exist) | | | | | | | | | | |
| VIII/(2aiii) | 2×6 | 12 | | | | | | 2 | | | | 2 |
| IX/(1aii) | $2 \times \mathfrak{S}_3$ | 12 | | | 2 | | | | | | | |
| X/(1ci) | 24 | 16 | | | | | | | | | | |
| XI/(2aii), (3i) | 6 | 6 | | | | | | | | | | 2 |
| XII/(1ai), (2ai) | 2 ² | 4 | | | | | | | | | | |
| XIII | 2 | 2 | | | | | | | | | | |

Table 4. Automorphism groups of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2.

5. Del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1

5.1. *The antibicanonical map.* As in the case of degree 2, we start by describing the geometry of del Pezzo surfaces of degree d = 1 and we refer to [Demazure 1980] for characteristic-free facts on del Pezzo surfaces. Recall that the antibicanonical system $|-2K_X|$ defines a finite morphism $f: X \to Q$ onto a quadratic cone $Q \subseteq \mathbb{P}^3$. As in degree 2, it turns out that this map is always separable, even in characteristic 2.

Proposition 5.1. The antibicanonical linear system $|-2K_X|$ defines a finite separable morphism $f: X \rightarrow Q$ of degree 2.

Proof. If f is not separable, then p = 2 and f is purely inseparable. But then f is a homeomorphism in the étale topology. This is impossible, since $H^2_{\text{ét}}(X, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ has rank 9 (because X is the blow-up of eight points in the plane), while $H^2_{\text{ét}}(Q, \mathbb{Z}_\ell)$ has rank 1.

Let

$$R(X, -K_X) = \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(-nK_X))$$

be the graded anticanonical ring of X. By the Riemann–Roch theorem, we have

$$\dim_{\Bbbk} R(X, -K_X)_1 = 2,$$

$$\dim_{\Bbbk} R(X, -K_X)_2 = 4,$$

$$\dim_{\Bbbk} R(X, -K_X)_3 = 7.$$

Thus, we can choose u, v from $R(X, -K_X)_1$, $x \in R(X, -K_X)_2 \setminus S^2(R(X, -K_X)_1)$, and $y \in R(X, -K_X)_3 \setminus S^3(R(X, -K_X)_1) + R(X, -K_X)_1 \otimes R(X, -K_X)_2$ and obtain the following relation between the generators:

$$y^{2} + y(a_{1}x + a_{3}) + x^{3} + a_{2}x^{2} + a_{4}x + a_{6} = 0,$$
(7)

where a_k denotes a binary form of degree k in u and v. In particular, via (7), we can view X as a surface of degree 6 in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 3)$, the anticanonical map is the projection of this surface onto the *u*-, *v*-coordinates, and the antibicanonical map is the projection onto the u^2 -, uv-, v^2 -, *x*-coordinates.

If $p \neq 2$, we can replace y with $y + \frac{1}{2}(a_1x + a_3)$ to assume that $a_1 = a_3 = 0$. The surface X is a double cover of a quadratic cone $Q \cong \mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$. The branch curve $B = V(x^3 + a_2x^2 + a_4x + a_6)$ is a curve of degree 6 not passing through the vertex of Q. It is a smooth curve of genus 4 with a vanishing theta characteristic g_3^1 defined by the ruling of Q. If we blow up the vertex of Q, we obtain a surface isomorphic to the rational minimal ruled surface F_2 . The preimage of the curve B is a curve in the linear system |6f + 3e|, where f and e are the standard generators of Pic(F_2), with $f^2 = 0$ and $e^2 = -2$. The curve B is its canonical model in \mathbb{P}^3 .

In our case, when the characteristic p = 2, the analog of *B* is the curve $V(a_1x + a_3)$ in *Q*. In particular, Proposition 5.1 tells us that $a_1x + a_3 \neq 0$ and there is no way of removing these terms. Moreover, the curve *B* always passes through the vertex of *Q* and its strict transform on F_2 is in $|3\mathfrak{f}|$ if $a_1 = 0$ and in $|3\mathfrak{f} + \mathfrak{e}|$ if $a_1 \neq 0$. The analog of the involution $y \mapsto -y$, classically called the Bertini involution, is the involution β defined by replacing y with $y + a_1x + a_3$. As in the classical case, we call this β Bertini involution.

By calculating the partial derivatives in (7), the smoothness of X yields the following restrictions on the a_i :

Proposition 5.2. In (7), the smoothness of X is equivalent to the condition that the equations

$$a_{1}x + a_{3} = 0,$$

$$x^{2} + a_{1}y + a_{4} = 0,$$

$$a_{1,u}xy + a_{3,u}y + a_{2,u}x^{2} + a_{4,u}x + a_{6,u} = 0,$$

$$a_{1,v}xy + a_{3,v}y + a_{2,v}x^{2} + a_{4,v}x + a_{6,v} = 0,$$

with $a_{i,u} := \partial a_i / \partial u$ and $a_{i,v} := \partial a_i / \partial v$ have no common solutions on X.

5.2. *Normal forms.* In this section, we find normal forms for del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 in characteristic 2. In total, we will have 14 different normal forms, corresponding to the 14 possible combinations of singularities of the ramification curve R and the branch curve B. First, we simplify the equations of the branch curve.

Lemma 5.3. Let X be a del Pezzo surface of degree 1 given by (7). Then, after a suitable change of coordinates, we may assume that the equation $a_1x + a_3$ of B is one of the following:

(1) $ux + v^3$; (2) ux; (3) uv(u + v); (4) u^2v ; (5) u^3 .

Proof. If $a_1 \neq 0$, we may assume that $a_1 = u$ after applying a linear substitution in u and v. Then, a substitution of the form $x \mapsto x + b_2$ for a suitable binary form b_2 of degree 2 in u and v allows us to set $a_3 = \lambda v^3$. Then, rescaling v, we can assume $\lambda \in \{0, 1\}$.

If $a_1 = 0$, we get three cases according to the number of distinct roots of a_3 . The equation can be normalized by applying a linear substitution in *u* and *v* to get cases (3), (4), and (5).

If we consider $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$ as a quadratic cone Q in \mathbb{P}^3 , these five normal forms for $a_1x + a_3$ correspond to the cases where B is a twisted cubic, a union of a line and a conic, a union of three lines, a union of a double line and a simple line, or a triple line, respectively. Later, we will use automorphisms of $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2)$ that preserve the equation of B and the form of (7) in order to move the images of the singular points of R to special positions. In the following lemma, we describe this group of automorphisms.

Lemma 5.4. Let $H \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(\Bbbk[u, v, x]) \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(\Bbbk[u, v, x, y])$ be the subgroup of automorphisms that preserve $a_1x + a_3$, act on x as $x \mapsto x + b_2$ for some binary quadratic form b_2 in u and v, and that map (7) to one of the same form, with possibly different a_2 , a_4 , and a_6 . Then, H consists of substitutions of the form

$$u \mapsto \alpha u + \beta v, \quad v \mapsto \gamma u + \delta v, \quad x \mapsto x + b_2,$$

where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \in \mathbb{k}$ such that $\alpha \delta + \beta \gamma \neq 0$, and:

- (1) If $a_1x + a_3 = ux + v^3$, then $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = 0$, $\delta^3 = 1$, $b_2 = \gamma^3 u^2 + \gamma^2 \delta uv + \gamma \delta^2 v^2$. In particular, $H \cong \Bbbk^+ : 3$.
- (2) If $a_1x + a_3 = ux$, then $\alpha = 1$, $\beta = b_2 = 0$. In particular, $H \cong \mathbb{k}^+ : \mathbb{k}^{\times}$.
- (3) If $a_1x + a_3 = uv(u+v)$, then $\alpha\gamma(\alpha+\gamma) = \beta\delta(\beta+\delta) = 0$, $\alpha^2\delta + \beta\gamma^2 = \alpha\delta^2 + \beta^2\gamma = 1$. In particular, $H \cong \Bbbk[u, v]_2 : (3 \times \mathfrak{S}_3).$
- (4) If $a_1x + a_3 = u^2v$, then $\beta = \gamma = 0$, $\delta = \alpha^{-2}$. In particular, $H \cong \Bbbk[u, v]_2 : \Bbbk^{\times}$.

(5) If $a_1x + a_3 = u^3$, then $\beta = 0$, $\alpha^3 = 1$. In particular, $H \cong \Bbbk[u, v]_2 : (\Bbbk : \Bbbk^{\times} \times 3)$.

For the convenience of the reader, we record the effect of a general substitution on the remaining a_i in (7). The proof is a straightforward calculation.

Lemma 5.5. A substitution of the form

$$u \mapsto \alpha u + \beta v, \quad x \mapsto x + b_2,$$
$$v \mapsto \gamma u + \delta v, \quad y \mapsto y + b_1 x + b_3,$$

where α , β , γ , $\delta \in \mathbb{k}$ and $b_i \in \mathbb{k}[u, v]_i$ such that $\alpha \delta + \beta \gamma \neq 0$, changes the coefficients (a_2, a_4, a_6) in (7) *as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 &\mapsto \sigma^* a_2 + \sigma^* a_1 b_1 + b_1^2 + b_2, \\ a_4 &\mapsto \sigma^* a_4 + \sigma^* a_3 b_1 + \sigma^* a_1 b_1 b_2 + \sigma^* a_1 b_3 + b_2^2, \\ a_6 &\mapsto \sigma^* a_6 + \sigma^* a_4 b_2 + \sigma^* a_3 b_3 + \sigma^* a_2 b_2^2 + \sigma^* a_1 b_2 b_3 + b_3^2 + b_2^3, \end{aligned}$$

where $\sigma^* a_i := a_i (\alpha u + \beta v, \gamma u + \delta v)$.

Now, we are ready to describe the normal forms for del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1.

| Δ. | 1.1 | C I I | | r | • | |
|----------|--------|---------|-------|----------|----|------------------|
| Automori | ohisms | of del | Pezzo | surfaces | In | characteristic 2 |
| | | 0. 0.0. | | 04114000 | | 0 |

| name | a_1x+a_3 | a_2 | a_4 | a_6 | # of parameters |
|------|------------|--------|-------------------------------|---|-----------------|
| (1a) | $ux+v^3$ | av^2 | $bu^4 + cu^2v^2 + dv^4$ | $eu^6 + fu^4v^2 + gu^2v^4 + hv^6$ | 8 |
| (1b) | $ux+v^3$ | av^2 | $cu^2v^2+dv^4$ | $eu^{6}+fu^{4}v^{2}+gu^{2}v^{4}+hv^{6}$ | 7 |
| (1c) | $ux+v^3$ | av^2 | dv^4 | $eu^{6}+fu^{4}v^{2}+gu^{2}v^{4}+hv^{6}$ | 6 |
| (1d) | $ux+v^3$ | av^2 | cu^2v^2 | $eu^{6}+fu^{4}v^{2}+gu^{2}v^{4}+hv^{6}$ | 6 |
| (1e) | $ux+v^3$ | av^2 | 0 | $eu^6 + fu^4v^2 + gu^2v^4 + hv^6$ | 5 |
| (2a) | их | av^2 | v^4 | $bu^{6}+du^{4}v^{2}+eu^{3}v^{3}+fu^{2}v^{4}+guv^{5}+hv$ | ⁶ 7 |
| (2b) | их | av^2 | v^4 | $bu^{6}+du^{4}v^{2}+fu^{2}v^{4}+guv^{5}+hv^{6}$ | 6 |
| (2c) | их | av^2 | v^4 | $bu^{6}+du^{4}v^{2}+eu^{3}v^{3}+fu^{2}v^{4}+hv^{6}$ | 6 |
| (2d) | ux | av^2 | v^4 | $cu^5v + du^4v^2 + fu^2v^4 + hv^6$ | 5 |
| (2e) | их | av^2 | 0 | $bu^{6}+du^{4}v^{2}+eu^{3}v^{3}+fu^{2}v^{4}+euv^{5}+hv$ | ⁶ 6 |
| (2f) | ux | av^2 | 0 | $bu^6 + du^4v^2 + fu^2v^4 + uv^5 + hv^6$ | 5 |
| (3) | uv(u+v) | auv | $bu^3v + (b+c)u^2v^2 + cuv^3$ | $du^5v + eu^3v^3 + fuv^5$ | 6 |
| (4) | u^2v | 0 | $au^3v+bu^2v^2+cuv^3$ | $du^5v+eu^3v^3+uv^5$ | 5 |
| (5) | u^3 | 0 | $au^3v+bu^2v^2+cuv^3$ | $uv^5 + dv^6$ | 4 |

Table 5. Forms of $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6)$ in Theorem 5.6.

Theorem 5.6. Every del Pezzo surface of degree 1 in characteristic 2 is isomorphic to a surface of degree 6 in $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 3)$ given by an equation of the form

$$y^{2} + y(a_{1}(u, v)x + a_{3}(u, v)) + x^{3} + a_{2}(u, v)x^{2} + a_{4}(u, v)x + a_{6}(u, v) = 0,$$
(8)

where $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6)$ is one of the forms shown in Table 5. Moreover, the parameters satisfy the conditions summarized in Table 6, where

$$\Delta := a_3^4 + a_1^3 a_3^3 + a_1^4 (a_4^2 + a_1 a_3 a_4 + a_2 a_3^2 + a_1^2 a_6)$$

In Table 6, we also describe the singularities of the irreducible components of the reduction R_{red} of the ramification curve R.

Remark 5.7. The conditions on the parameters that guarantee the smoothness of *X* are equivalent to the conditions that (8) is the Weierstrass equation of an elliptic fibration with only irreducible fibers. We will study this fibration later in Section 5.4. The homogeneous polynomial Δ appearing in Theorem 5.6 is the discriminant of this fibration.

Proof of Theorem 5.6. By Lemma 5.3, there are, up to choice of coordinates, five possible equations for *B*. We will now give normal forms in each case.

(1) $a_1x + a_3 = ux + v^3$. Here, the ramification curve *R* is given by the two equations

$$ux + v^{3} = 0,$$

$$y^{2} + x^{3} + a_{2}x^{2} + a_{4}x + a_{6} = 0.$$

| name | conditions on the parameters | singularities of the irreducible components of $R_{\rm red}$ |
|------|--|---|
| (1a) | Δ has only simple roots $v^8 + dv^6 + cv^4 + bv^2$ has four distinct roots | A_2 over $[1:v:v^3]$ with $v^8 + dv^6 + cv^4 + bv^2 = 0$ |
| (1b) | Δ has only simple roots, $c, d \neq 0$ | A_4 over $[1:0:0]$ $2A_2$ over $[1:v:v^3]$ with $v^4 + dv^2 + c = 0$ |
| (1c) | Δ has only simple roots, $d \neq 0$ | A_6 over $[1:0:0]$ A_2 over $[1:d^{1/2}:d^{3/2}]$ |
| (1d) | Δ has only simple roots, $c \neq 0$ | $2A_4$ over $[1:0:0]$ and $[1:c^{1/4}:c^{3/4}]$ |
| (1e) | $e \neq 0$ | A_8 over $[1:0:0]$ |
| (2a) | $u^{-4}\Delta$ has only simple roots, $e, g, (g^2 + a + h) \neq 0$ | $3A_2$ over $[0:1:1]$, $[1:0:0]$ and $[g^{1/2}:e^{1/2}:0]$ |
| (2b) | $b, g, (g^2 + a + h) \neq 0$ | A_4 over $[1:0:0]$ A_2 over $[0:1:1]$ |
| (2c) | $b, e, (a+h) \neq 0$ | $3A_2$ over $[0:1:1], [1:0:0]$ and $[0:1:0]$ |
| (2d) | $c, (a+h) \neq 0$ | A ₄ over [0 : 1 : 0] A ₂ over [0 : 1 : 1] |
| (2e) | $u^{-6}\Delta$ has only simple roots, $e \neq 0$ | $3A_2$ over $[0:1:0], [1:0:0]$ and $[1:1:0]$ |
| (2f) | $u^{-6}\Delta$ has only simple roots | A_4 over $[1:0:0]$ A_2 over $[0:1:0]$ |
| (3) | $d, f \neq 0, (d + e + f) \notin \{0, 1\}$ | $3A_2$ over $[1:0:0]$, $[0:1:0]$ and $[1:1:0]$ |
| (4) | $d \neq 0$ | $2A_2$ over [1.0:0] and [0:1:0] |
| (5) | _ | A_2 over $[0:1:0]$ |

Table 6. Conditions for the parameters in Theorem 5.6.

One checks that the curve *R* is smooth at the points with u = 0. On the affine chart u = 1, it is given in \mathbb{A}^2 by the single equation

$$y^{2} + v^{9} + a_{2}(1, v)v^{6} + a_{4}(1, v)v^{3} + a_{6}(1, v),$$

so it has singularities over the roots of the derivative F' of $F := v^9 + a_2(1, v)v^6 + a_4(1, v)v^3 + a_6(1, v)$. After applying an element of H in Lemma 5.4, we may assume that 0 is the root of highest multiplicity of F'.

Now, substitutions as in Lemma 5.5 that fix u, v, and x do not change the location of the points that lie under singularities of R and thus, by Lemma 5.5, we can assume that $a_2 = av^2$, $a_4 = bu^4 + cu^2v^2 + dv^4$, $a_6 = eu^6 + fu^4v^2 + gu^2v^4 + hv^6$. With this notation, the polynomial F' becomes $v^8 + dv^6 + cv^4 + bv^2$ and the conditions of Proposition 5.2 boil down to $v^8 + dv^6 + cv^4 + bv^2$ and

$$\Delta(1, v) = v^{12} + v^9 + (d^2 + a)v^8 + dv^7 + hv^6 + cv^5 + (c^2 + g)v^4 + bv^3 + fv^2 + b^2 + e$$

not having a common solution. The former is the derivative of the latter; hence we want that the latter has only simple zeroes.

Now, if F' has four distinct roots, we are in case (a). If F' has less than four distinct roots, we may assume b = 0. If F' has exactly three roots, then we are in case (b). If b = 0, the polynomial F' has exactly two roots if and only if either c = 0 and $d \neq 0$, which is case (c), or d = 0 and $c \neq 0$, which is case (d). Finally, F' has a single root if and only if b = c = d = 0, which is case (e).

(2) $a_1x + a_3 = ux$. Here, the ramification curve has two components R_1 and R_2 . The curve R_1 is given by

$$u = 0,$$

 $y^{2} + x^{3} + a_{2}x^{2} + a_{4}x + a_{6} = 0.$

This curve has a unique singularity, which is of type A_2 and located over $[0:1:a_4(0,1)^{1/2}]$. Rescaling v, we may assume that $a_4(0,1) \in \{0,1\}$.

The curve R_2 is given by

$$x = 0,$$
$$y^2 + a_6 = 0$$

This curve has singularities over the points [u : v : 0], where the derivatives of a_6 by u and v both vanish.

First, assume that $a_4(0, 1) = 1$ and one of the singularities of R_2 does not lie over [0:1:0]. Then, using a substitution in v as in Lemma 5.4, we can assume that one of them lies over [1:0:0]. Substitutions as in Lemma 5.5 which fix u, v, and x do not change the location of these points and, after applying one of them, we may assume that $a_2 = av^2$, $a_4 = v^4$, and $a_6 = bu^6 + du^4v^2 + eu^3v^3 + fu^2v^4 + guv^5 + hv^6$. If $e, g \neq 0$, this is case (a), if e = 0 and $g \neq 0$, this is case (b), and if $e \neq 0$ and g = 0, this is case (c). The conditions of Proposition 5.2 boil down to $\Delta(1, v) = v^8 + hv^6 + gv^5 + fv^4 + ev^3 + dv^2 + b$ having only simple roots and $g^2 \neq a + h$. In particular, $(e, g) \neq (0, 0)$.

If $a_4(0, 1) = 1$, R_2 has a unique singularity, and this singularity lies over [0:1:0], then the only odd monomial in a_6 is u^5v . A substitution of the form $v \mapsto v + \mu u$ and substitutions as in the previous paragraph allow us to assume that $a_2 = av^2$, $a_4 = v^4$, and $a_6 = cu^5v + du^4v^2 + fu^2v^4 + hv^6$. The conditions of Proposition 5.2 become $a + h \neq 0$ and $c \neq 0$. This is case (d).

If $a_4(0, 1) = 0$, then Proposition 5.2 implies that R_2 is smooth over [0:1:0]. Hence, we can assume that one of the singularities of R_2 lies over [1:0:0]. Using a substitution as in Lemma 5.5 which fixes u, v and x, we may assume that $a_2 = av^2$, $a_4 = 0$, and $a_6 = bu^6 + du^4v^2 + eu^3v^3 + fu^2v^4 + guv^5 + hv^6$. Since R_2 is smooth over [0:1:0], we have $g \neq 0$. If $e \neq 0$, we can scale v so that g = e. This is case (e). If e = 0, we scale v so that g = 1. This is case (f).

(3) $a_1x + a_3 = uv(u + v)$. The curve *B* has the three irreducible components B_1 , B_2 , and B_3 , given by V(u), V(v), and V(u + v), respectively. The corresponding components R_1 , R_2 , and R_3 of *R* are given

by

$$y^{2} + x^{3} + a_{2}(0, v)x^{2} + a_{4}(0, v)x + a_{6}(0, v),$$

$$y^{2} + x^{3} + a_{2}(u, 0)x^{2} + a_{4}(u, 0)x + a_{6}(u, 0),$$

$$y^{2} + x^{3} + a_{2}(u, u)x^{2} + a_{4}(u, u)x + a_{6}(u, u),$$

respectively. The singular points of R_1 , R_2 , and R_3 lie over $[0:1:a_4(0, 1)^{1/2}]$, $[1:0:a_4(1, 0)^{1/2}]$, and $[1:1:a_4(1, 1)^{1/2}]$, respectively.

A substitution as in Lemma 5.4 which fixes u and v allows us to set $a_4(0, 1) = a_4(1, 0) = a_4(1, 1) = 0$, that is, that $a_4 = bu^3v + (b+c)u^2v^2 + cuv^3$ for some $b, c \in \mathbb{k}$. Then, a substitution as in Lemma 5.5 which fixes u, v, and x allows us to set $a_2 = auv$ and $a_6 = du^5v + eu^3v^3 + fuv^5$. The conditions of Proposition 5.2 become $d \neq 0$, $f \neq 0$ and $d + e + f \notin \{0, 1\}$.

(4) $a_1x + a_3 = u^2v$. The curve *B* has two irreducible components B_1 and B_2 , given by V(u) and V(v), respectively. The corresponding components R_1 and R_2 of *R* are given by

$$y^{2} + x^{3} + a_{2}(0, v)x^{2} + a_{4}(0, v)x + a_{6}(0, v),$$

$$y^{2} + x^{3} + a_{2}(u, 0)x^{2} + a_{4}(u, 0)x + a_{6}(u, 0),$$

respectively. The singular points of R_1 and R_2 lie over $[0:1:a_4(0,1)^{1/2}]$ and $[1:0:a_4(1,0)^{1/2}]$, respectively.

A substitution as in Lemma 5.5, which fixes u and v, allows us to set $a_4(0, 1) = a_4(1, 0)$ and gives that a_2 is a square. Then, a substitution with $b_2 = b_3 = 0$ allows us to eliminate a_2 . Finally, a substitution with $b_1 = b_2 = 0$ allows us to assume that a_6 contains no squares. If we write $a_6 = du^5v + eu^3v^3 + fuv^5$, then the conditions of Proposition 5.2 becomes $d \neq 0$ and $f \neq 0$, and we can rescale f to 1.

(5) $a_1x + a_3 = u^3$. The curve *R* is given by

$$y^{2} + x^{3} + a_{2}(0, v)x^{2} + a_{4}(0, v)x + a_{6}(0, v)$$

and it is singular over $[0:1:a_4(0,1)^{1/2}]$.

We apply the same substitutions as in the previous case to remove a_2 . Then, we apply a substitution as in Lemma 5.5 with $b_2 = b_1^2$ to remove the v^4 -term in a_4 . Next, using a substitution that fixes u, v, and xwith $b_1 = 0$, we eliminate the squares in a_6 , write $a_6 = du^5v + eu^3v^3 + fuv^5$, and rescale f to 1. After that, a substitution of the form $v \mapsto v + \lambda u$, and eliminating the square again, allows us to set d = 0. Next, a substitution as in Lemma 5.5 which fixes u and v, with $b_1 = \lambda u$, $b_2 = \lambda^2 u^2$, and $b_3 = \mu u^3$ for suitable λ and μ allows us to eliminate the u^4 -term in a_4 without changing a_6 . Finally, we apply a substitution with $b_3 = ev^3$ and rename the parameters to assume that $a_6 = uv^5 + dv^6$. The conditions of Proposition 5.2 are fulfilled for every choice of parameters.

5.3. *Fake tritangent planes and odd theta characteristics.* It is known that a del Pezzo surface X of degree 1 contains 240 (-1)-curves (see [Dolgachev 2012, Section 8.7], where the proof is characteristic-free). They come in pairs $E_i + E'_i \in |-2K_X|$ with $E_i \cdot E'_i = 3$. The Bertini involution β swaps the two

742

curves in a pair. The image of $E_i + E'_i$ under the antibicanonical map f is a plane section of Q not passing through the vertex.

If $p \neq 2$, each of the resulting 120 planes is a tritangent plane to the branch sextic curve and, conversely, every tritangent plane to the branch sextic gives rise to a pair of (-1)-curves $E_i + E'_i$ with $E_i + E'_i \in |-2K_X|$. A tritangent plane intersects the branch curve in twice a positive divisor of degree 3. This divisor is an odd theta characteristic of the curve. It is known that the number of odd theta characteristics on a smooth curve of genus 4 is equal to 120.

For arbitrary *p*, we still have the following.

Lemma 5.8. The preimage $f^{-1}(C)$ of an integral conic $C = V(x + b_2)$ is a sum of two (-1)-curves if and only if it is reducible.

Proof. Since f has degree 2 and C is integral, the curve $f^{-1}(C)$ is reducible if and only if it has two irreducible components L_1 and L_2 . These components satisfy $L_1 + L_2 \in |-2K_X|$, $L_1 \cdot L_2 = 3$, and $L_1^2 = L_2^2$. Via adjunction, this easily implies that L_1 and L_2 are (-1)-curves. The converse is clear. \Box

So, even if p = 2, we have 120 splitting conics and we call the corresponding planes in \mathbb{P}^3 *fake tritangent planes* in analogy with the situation in the other characteristics. For the rest of this section, we assume p = 2.

Since the antibicanonical map is étale outside the branch curve V(A), the intersection $E_i \cap E'_i$ lies on the ramification curve R. Let $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{O}_R(E_i) \cong \mathcal{O}_R(E'_i)$. It is an invertible sheaf on C of degree 2. We have

$$\mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2} \cong \mathcal{O}_R(E_i + E'_i) \cong \mathcal{O}_R(-2K_X).$$

Since $B \in |\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}(1,1,2)}(3)|$, we have $R \in |-3K_X|$. By the adjunction formula, we have

$$\omega_R \cong \mathcal{O}_R(-3K_X + K_X) \cong \mathcal{L}^{\otimes 2}.$$

As in the case of degree 2, invertible sheaves on *R* that satisfy this property are called invertible theta characteristics. Let $\Theta(R)$ be the set of isomorphism classes of such invertible theta characteristics on *R* and let J(R) be the generalized Jacobian of *R*. As in Lemma 3.6, one can prove that J(R) is a product of additive groups.

Lemma 5.9. The generalized Jacobian J(R) of R is isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_a^4 .

Thus, as in degree 2, finding fake tritangent planes using theta characteristics on R is subtle in characteristic 2. We refer to Example 3.7 for an example in degree 2 that further illustrates this point and leave it to the reader to find a similar example in degree 1.

5.4. *Rational elliptic surfaces.* Equation (7) can also serve as the Weierstrass equation of the rational surface with a genus-1 fibration $\phi : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$ obtained by blowing up the base point p_0 of $|-K_X|$. Since X is a del Pezzo surface, all members of $|-K_X|$ are irreducible; hence so are all fibers of ϕ . The discriminant of ϕ is

$$\Delta = a_3^4 + a_1^3 a_3^3 + a_1^4 (a_4^2 + a_1 a_3 a_4 + a_2 a_3^2 + a_1^2 a_6).$$

The singular fibers of ϕ lie over the zeroes of Δ . Moreover, the Bertini involution, which is given by $\beta : y \mapsto y + (a_1x + a_3)$, induces the inversion on the group structure of each fiber. In particular, for $[u_0 : v_0] \in \mathbb{P}^1$, if $a_1(u_0, v_0)x + a_3(u_0, v_0) = 0$, the corresponding fiber *F* of ϕ is cuspidal, if $a_1(u_0, v_0) = 0$ and $a_3(u_0, v_0) \neq 0$, then *F* is smooth and supersingular, and in the other cases, *F* is either nodal, or smooth and ordinary, according to whether $\Delta(u_0, v_0)$ is zero or not. Applying these observations to the normal forms of Theorem 5.6, we obtain the following information on ϕ .

Proposition 5.10. Let X be a del Pezzo surface of degree 1 given by one of the normal forms in Theorem 5.6. Then, the associated genus-1 fibration ϕ is elliptic and all its fibers are irreducible. The discriminant Δ and the singular fibers of ϕ are given in Table 7.

Remark 5.11. As in Remark 3.12, we point out the connection to Lang's classification of singular fibers on rational elliptic surfaces: our normal forms for del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 yield normal forms for all rational elliptic surfaces with a section in characteristic 2 whose fibers are all are irreducible.

| name | Δ | nodal fibers over the | cuspidal fibers over |
|------|--|---|----------------------|
| (1a) | $v^{12} + u^3 v^9 + (d^2 + a)u^4 v^8 + du^5 v^7 + hu^6 v^6 + cu^7 v^5 + (c^2 + g)u^8 v^4 + bu^9 v^3 + fu^{10} v^2 + (b^2 + e)u^{12}$ | 12 roots of Δ | _ |
| (1b) | $v^{12} + u^{3}v^{9} + (d^{2} + a)u^{4}v^{8} + du^{5}v^{7} + hu^{6}v^{6} + cu^{7}v^{5} + (c^{2} + g)u^{8}v^{4} + fu^{10}v^{2} + eu^{12}$ | 12 roots of Δ | _ |
| (1c) | $v^{12}+u^3v^9+(d^2+a)u^4v^8+du^5v^7+hu^6v^6$ + $gu^8v^4+fu^{10}v^2+eu^{12}$ | 12 roots of Δ | _ |
| (1d) | $v^{12} + u^3 v^9 + a u^4 v^8 + h u^6 v^6 + c u^7 v^5 + (c^2 + g) u^8 v^4 + f u^{10} v^2 + e u^{12}$ | 12 roots of Δ | _ |
| (1e) | $v^{12} + u^3 v^9 + a u^4 v^8 + h u^6 v^6 + g u^8 v^4 + f u^{10} v^2 + e u^{12}$ | 12 roots of Δ | _ |
| (2a) | $u^4(v^8 + u^2(bu^6 + du^4v^2 + eu^3v^3 + fu^2v^4 + gsv^5 + hv^6))$ | 8 roots of $u^{-4}\Delta$ | [0:1] |
| (2b) | $u^4(v^8 + u^2(bu^6 + du^4v^2 + fu^2v^4 + gsv^5 + hv^6))$ | 8 roots of $u^{-4}\Delta$ | [0:1] |
| (2c) | $u^4(v^8 + u^2(bu^6 + du^4v^2 + eu^3v^3 + fu^2v^4 + hv^6))$ | 8 roots of $u^{-4}\Delta$ | [0:1] |
| (2d) | $u^4(v^8 + u^2(cu^5v + du^4v^2 + fu^2v^4 + hv^6))$ | 8 roots of $u^{-4}\Delta$ | [0:1] |
| (2e) | $u^{6}(bu^{6}+du^{4}v^{2}+eu^{3}v^{3}+fu^{2}v^{4}+euv^{5}+hv^{6})$ | If $h \neq 0$: 6 roots of $u^{-6}\Delta$ if $h = 0$: 5 roots of $u^{-7}\Delta$ | [0:1] |
| (2f) | $u^{6}(bu^{6}+du^{4}v^{2}+fu^{2}v^{4}+uv^{5}+hv^{6})$ | if $h \neq 0$: 6 roots of $u^{-6}\Delta$ if $h = 0$: 5 roots of $u^{-7}\Delta$ | [0:1] |
| (3) | $u^4v^4(u+v)^4$ | | [1:0], [0:1], [1:1] |
| (4) | $u^8 v^4$ | _ | [1:0], [0:1] |
| (5) | <i>u</i> ¹² | _ | [0:1] |

Table 7. The discriminant Δ and the singular fibers of ϕ for Proposition 5.10.

6. Automorphism groups of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1

This section consists of three parts. In the first part, we collect various restrictions on the group $G(X) = \operatorname{Aut}(X)/\langle\beta\rangle$ arising from the geometry of X. In the second part, we give an explicit description of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ in terms of (7) and use it to classify all surfaces where G(X) is nontrivial and to determine the group $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ in every case. In the third part, we compare our classification with the classification in characteristic 0 from [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.14] and use this to determine the conjugacy classes of all elements in $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ (see Table 9 on page 760). Throughout, we assume p = 2.

6.1. *Restrictions on* G(X). Since the elliptic fibration $\phi: Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$ associated to X is obtained by blowing up the base point of $|-K_X|$, we can identify $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ with the subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}(Y)$ preserving a chosen section. Let $r: \operatorname{Aut}(X) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ be the natural homomorphism defined by the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ on the coordinates [u:v] of the base of ϕ . Since ϕ is the unique relatively minimal smooth proper model of its generic fiber F_η , the kernel $K = \operatorname{Ker}(r)$ is isomorphic to the group of automorphisms of the elliptic curve F_η . In particular, K contains the Bertini involution β and it can contain more automorphisms only if the *j*-invariant of F_η is equal to 0 = 1728, in which case K is a subgroup of $Q_8: 3 \cong \operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{F}_3)$.

Let *P* be the image of *r*. Evidently, *P* is a finite subgroup of Aut(\mathbb{P}^1) that leaves invariant the set S_1 of points $p = [u_i : v_i]$ corresponding to the singular fibers. It also leaves invariant the set S_2 of the projections of singular points of the irreducible components of the ramification curve *R*.

The following proposition shows what kind of groups can be expected to occur for *P*. We use the known classification of finite subgroups of $Aut(\mathbb{P}^1) \cong PGL_2(\mathbb{k}) \cong SL_2(\mathbb{k})$ [Dolgachev and Martin 2024, Theorem 2.5].

Proposition 6.1. The group P is isomorphic to $G_{\xi,A}$ or D_{2n} .

Proof. Since $SL_2(2) \cong \mathfrak{S}_3 \cong D_6$, it suffices to show that $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q) \not\subseteq P$ for $q = 2^m$ and $m \ge 2$. Since the set S_2 has cardinality at most 4 and P preserves S_2 , every homogeneous polynomial F with simple roots along S_2 is P-semi-invariant of degree at most 4. On the other hand, by [Neusel and Smith 2002, Theorem 6.1.8], the ring $\Bbbk[u, v]^{SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)}$ is generated over \mathbb{F}_q by the Dickson polynomials L and $d_{2,1}$ of degrees q + 1 and $q^2 - q$, respectively. If $SL_2(q) \subseteq P$, then F is also a semi-invariant polynomial for $SL_2(q)$ and if $q \neq 2$, then $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_q)$ is simple, so $F \in \Bbbk[u, v]^{SL_2(q)} = \Bbbk[L, d_{2,1}]$. Hence, q = 2, as claimed.

We recall from [Dolgachev and Martin 2024, Section 1.3] that the image of the Bertini involution β under the injective homomorphism $\rho : \operatorname{Aut}(X) \to W(\mathsf{E}_8)$ is equal to $-\operatorname{id}_{\mathsf{E}_8}$. However, in contrast to the situation in degree 2, the extension $W(\mathsf{E}_8) \to W(\mathsf{E}_8)/(-\operatorname{id}_{\mathsf{E}_8}) \cong \mathsf{O}_8^+(2)$ does not split. The semidirect product $W(\mathsf{E}_8) = 2$. $\operatorname{GO}_8^+(2)$ corresponds to a nontrivial homomorphism $\mathsf{O}_8^+(2) \to C_2$, whose kernel is a simple group $\mathsf{O}_8(2)$, where we use the ATLAS notation.

Therefore, in order to determine $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$, it is not enough to determine the image G(X) of the homomorphism $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \to \operatorname{Aut}(X)/\langle \beta \rangle$, and thus the calculation of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ is more complicated than in the case of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2.

Let us summarize the restrictions on Aut(X) and G(X) that we have collected by now.

Theorem 6.2. Let X be a del Pezzo surface of degree 1 in characteristic 2. Let G(X) be the image of the homomorphism $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2))$, let K be the kernel of the homomorphism $r : \operatorname{Aut}(X) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$, let P be the image of r, and let $\phi : Y \to \mathbb{P}^1$ be the elliptic fibration associated to X. Then, the following hold:

- (i) Aut(X) is a central extension of G(X) by $\langle \beta \rangle \cong C_2$.
- (ii) Aut(X) is an extension of P by K.
- (iii) Aut(X) is a subgroup of $W(E_8)$.
- (iv) G(X) is a subgroup of $O_8^+(2)$.
- (v) *K* is the automorphism group of the generic fiber of ϕ .
- (vi) *P* is isomorphic to $G_{\xi,A}$ or D_{2n} .
- (vii) P preserves the set S_1 of points lying under singular fibers of ϕ . Moreover, it preserves the decomposition of S_1 into subsets corresponding to isomorphic fibers.
- (viii) *P* preserves the set S₂ of points lying under the singularities of *R*. Moreover, it preserves the decomposition of S₂ into subsets of isomorphic singularities.
- (ix) The *j*-function of ϕ is *P*-invariant.

This yields the following preliminary restrictions on Aut(X) and G(X).

Corollary 6.3. *Let X be a del Pezzo surface of degree* 1 *in characteristic* 2 *given by one of the normal forms in Theorem* 5.6.

- (i) In case (1), G(X) is a subgroup of A_4 .
- (ii) In cases (2a), (2b), (2c), and (2d), G(X) is a subgroup of 2^3 .
- (iii) In cases (2e) and (2f), G(X) is a subgroup of C_5 or C_2 .
- (iv) In case (3), K is a subgroup of $SL_2(3)$ and P is a subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_3 .
- (v) In case (4), K is a subgroup of $SL_2(3)$ and P is cyclic of order 1, 3, 5, 7, 9, or 15.
- (vi) In case (5) K is a subgroup of $SL_2(3)$ and $P \cong G_{\xi,A}$, where ξ is a primitive n-th root of unity with $n \in \{1, 3, 5, 7, 9, 15\}$.

Proof. In case (1), the generic fiber of ϕ is ordinary; hence $K = \langle \beta \rangle$ and $G(X) \cong P$. The fibration ϕ has 12 nodal fibers; hence the *j*-function has 12 poles, so |P||12. Since *P* is isomorphic to $G_{\xi,A}$ or D_{2n} with *n* odd, this implies that *P* is isomorphic to a subgroup of A_4 .

In cases (2a)–(2f), we also have $K = \langle \beta \rangle$ and $G(X) \cong P$. In cases (2a), (2b), (2c), and (2d), the fibration ϕ has eight nodal fibers; hence |P||8. This implies that P is elementary abelian of order 1, 2, 4 or 8. In cases (2e) and (2f), the fibration ϕ has five or six nodal fibers. If it has five nodal fibers, then |P||5; hence P is a subgroup of C_5 . If it has six nodal fibers, then P is either a subgroup of C_2 or

746

isomorphic to the dihedral group D_6 . In the latter case, P acts without fixed point on \mathbb{P}^1 , which is impossible, since ϕ admits a unique cuspidal fiber.

In case (3), we have $K \subseteq SL_2(3)$, since the generic fiber of ϕ is supersingular. Since ϕ has three singular fibers, *P* is isomorphic to a subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_3 .

In case (4), we also have $K \subseteq SL_2(3)$. Since one of the components of *R* is reduced and the other is not, *P* acts trivially on S_2 , hence with two fixed points on \mathbb{P}^1 . So, *P* is cyclic of odd order. Moreover, *P* is a subgroup of $O_8^+(2)$. In particular, *P* admits a faithful representation of dimension at most 8. Hence, if we denote Euler's totient function by φ , then $\varphi(|P|) \leq 8$. Thus, *P* is of order 1, 3, 5, 7, 9 or 15.

In case (5), we have $K \subseteq SL_2(3)$ and the action of P on \mathbb{P}^1 fixes the point lying under the unique singular fiber of ϕ ; hence $P \cong G_{\xi,A}$. The order of ξ can be bounded by the same argument as in the previous paragraph.

In particular, we get upper bounds on the size of Aut(X) in every case. Further information on the 2-groups that can occur in case (5) can be obtained using the following remark.

Remark 6.4. Since the maximal powers of 2 that divide $|W(E_8)|$ and $|W(D_8)|$ are both 2¹⁴, and since $W(D_8)$ is a subgroup of $W(E_8)$, the 2-Sylow subgroups P in $W(E_8)$ are isomorphic to the 2-Sylow subgroups in $W(D_8) = 2^7 : \mathfrak{S}_8$. Hence, P is isomorphic to $2^7 : (\mathfrak{S}_8)_2$, where 2^7 acts on \mathbb{Z}^8 by an even number of sign changes and $(\mathfrak{S}_8)_2$ is a 2-Sylow subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_8 acting as permutations on \mathbb{Z}^8 . The group $(\mathfrak{S}_8)_2$ is isomorphic to the symmetry group of a binary tree of depth 3, considered as a subgroup of \mathfrak{S}_8 via the permutation it induces on the leaves of the tree. An equivalent description is as the wreath product $D_8 \wr C_2$, where $D_8 \times D_8$ is a subgroup of $\mathfrak{S}_4 \times \mathfrak{S}_4 \subset \mathfrak{S}_8$. The Bertini involution β corresponds to the element (-1, id) that changes all signs. The 2-groups that can occur in Corollary 6.3 are isomorphic to subgroups of P.

In the following example, we apply this remark to give an explicit description of the group 2^{1+6}_+ , which will occur in our classification.

Example 6.5. With notation as in the previous remark, let $G \subseteq P$ be a subgroup containing β such that $G/\langle\beta\rangle$ is an elementary abelian 2-group and such that $\beta \in Q_8 \subseteq G$. Then, each element of G is of the form (σ, τ) , where $\operatorname{ord}(\tau) \leq 2$ and either τ preserves the set of coordinates whose sign is changed by σ and then (σ, τ) has order 1 or 2, or τ swaps this set with the set of coordinates whose sign is not changed and then (σ, τ) has order 4. In particular, in the latter case, τ has cycle type (2, 2, 2, 2). Since $Q_8 \subseteq G$, the image of $G \to (\mathfrak{S}_8)_2$ contains a subgroup H of order 4 generated by involutions of cycle type (2, 2, 2, 2). The centralizer C of H is of order 8 and its nontrivial elements are involutions of cycle type (2, 2, 2, 2). The kernel of $G \to (\mathfrak{S}_8)_2$ consists of sign changes σ that are compatible with all $\tau \in H$ in the sense that $(\sigma, \tau)^2 \in \langle\beta\rangle$. One checks that the group N of all such compatible sign changes has order 16 and that all elements of N are also compatible with C. Then, G is a subgroup of the resulting extension M of C by N.

We have $M/\langle\beta\rangle = 2^6$. This is a quadratic space over \mathbb{F}_2 with the quadratic form $q: M/\langle\beta\rangle \to \langle\beta\rangle$ defined as $q(x) = \tilde{x}^2$, where \tilde{x} is a lift of x to M. The subspace $N/\langle\beta\rangle$ is totally isotropic of dimension 3

and the description of M in the previous paragraph shows that q is nondegenerate. Hence, by [Aschbacher 2000, (23.10)], M is isomorphic to the extra-special 2-group of 2^{1+6}_+ .

6.2. *Classification.* Recall that *X* is a hypersurface of degree 6 in $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 3)$ given by (7). An automorphism of $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 3)$ is induced by a substitution of the form

$$u \mapsto \alpha u + \beta v, \quad x \mapsto \epsilon x + b_2,$$
$$v \mapsto \gamma u + \delta v \quad y \mapsto \zeta y + b_1 x + b_3,$$

where α , β , γ , δ , ϵ , $\zeta \in \mathbb{k}$, $b_i \in \mathbb{k}[u, v]_i$, and $\alpha \delta + \beta \gamma$, ϵ , $\zeta \neq 0$. The substitutions that induce the identity on $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 3)$ are the ones with β , γ , b_1 , b_2 , $b_3 = 0$ and $\gamma = \alpha$, $\epsilon = \alpha^2$, $\zeta = \alpha^3$.

Since X is anticanonically embedded into $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 3)$, all automorphisms of X are induced by the substitutions as above that map (7) to a multiple of itself. Clearly, we can represent every such automorphism by a substitution with $\zeta = 1$. Then, the substitution does not change the coefficient of y^2 in (7); hence $\epsilon^3 = 1$. Therefore, we may assume $\epsilon = 1$ as well. In particular, using Lemma 5.5, we obtain the following description of Aut(X), where we write σ for the substitution

$$u \mapsto \alpha u + \beta v,$$
$$v \mapsto \gamma u + \delta v,$$

and $\sigma^* a_i := a_i (\alpha u + \beta v, \gamma u + \delta v).$

Lemma 6.6. Let X be a del Pezzo surface of degree 1 given by (7). Then, Aut(X) can be identified with the group of 4-tuples (b_1, b_2, b_3, σ) , where $b_i \in \Bbbk[u, v]_i$ and $\sigma \in GL_2(\Bbbk)$ such that

$$\sigma^* a_1 + a_1 = 0,$$

$$\sigma^* a_2 + a_2 = a_1 b_1 + b_1^2 + b_2,$$

$$\sigma^* a_3 + a_3 = a_1 b_2,$$

$$\sigma^* a_4 + a_4 = a_3 b_1 + a_1 b_3 + b_2^2,$$

 $\sigma^* a_6 + a_6 = a_4 b_2 + a_3 (b_3 + b_1 b_2) + a_2 b_2^2 + a_1 (b_2 b_3 + b_1 b_2^2) + b_3^2 + b_1^2 b_2^2$

and where the composition is given by

$$(b_1, b_2, b_3, \sigma) \circ (b_1', b_2', b_3', \sigma') = (\sigma'^* b_1 + b_1', \sigma'^* b_2 + b_2', \sigma'^* b_3 + b_3' + \sigma'^* b_1 b_2', \sigma \circ \sigma')$$

In particular, there is a homomorphism $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \to H \subseteq \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2))$, where *H* is the group from Lemma 5.4.

Lemma 6.7. The kernel of the homomorphism $Aut(X) \rightarrow H$ is generated by the Bertini involution.

Proof. Let (b_1, b_2, b_3, σ) be in the kernel. Then, $\sigma = \text{id}$ and $b_2 = 0$. The conditions $\sigma^* a_2 = a_2 + a_1 b_1 + b_1^2$, $\sigma^* a_4 = a_4 + a_3 b_1 + a_1 b_3$, and $\sigma^* a_6 = a_6 + a_3 b_3 + b_3^2$ show that $(b_1, b_3) \in \{(0, 0), (a_1, a_3)\}$, so we recover our explicit description of the Bertini involution.

Now, we use the normal forms of Theorem 5.6 to classify all del Pezzo surfaces X of degree 1 with nontrivial G(X).

Automorphisms of del Pezzo surfaces in characteristic 2

| name | a_1x+a_3 | a_2 | a_4 | a_6 | G(X) | $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ | # of parameters |
|---------|-----------------------|--------|---------------------------------------|--|---------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|
| (1ai) | $ux+v^3$ | av^2 | $bu^4 + (b+1)u^2v^2$ | $eu^{6}+fu^{4}v^{2}+(a+b+b^{2}+f)u^{2}v^{4}+bv^{6}$ | 2 | 4 | 4 |
| (1aii) | $ux+v^3$ | 0 | bu^4 | eu^6+hv^6 | 3 | 6 | 3 |
| (1aiii) | $ux+v^3$ | av^2 | u^4 | $eu^6+au^4v^2+v^6$ | 2^{2} | Q_8 | 2 |
| (1aiv) | $ux+v^3$ | 0 | u^4 | eu^6+v^6 | A_4 | SL ₂ (3) | 1 |
| (1di) | $ux+v^3$ | av^2 | u^2v^2 | $eu^6 + fu^4v^2 + (a+f)u^2v^4$ | 2 | 4 | 3 |
| (1ei) | $ux+v^3$ | 0 | 0 | eu^6+hv^6 | 3 | 6 | 2 |
| (2ai) | ux | av^2 | $v^4 bu^6 + (e)$ | $fg^{-1} + e^{3/2}g^{-1/2} + e^3g^{-3})u^4v^2 + eu^3v^3 + fu^2v^4 + guv^5 + e^{-1/2}g^{3/2}u^4v^2 + e^{-1/2}g^{-1}u^4v^2 + e^{-1/2}g^{-1}u^4v^4 + guv^5 + guv^5$ | v^{6} 2 | 2^{2} | 5 |
| (2di) | их | av^2 | v^4 | $cu^5v + du^4v^2 + fu^2v^4$ | 2^{3} | 2^{4} | 4 |
| (2ei) | ux | av^2 | 0 | $bu^{6} + (e+f)u^{4}v^{2} + eu^{3}v^{3} + fu^{2}v^{4} + euv^{5} + ev^{6}$ | 2 | 2^{2} | 4 |
| (2fi) | ux | 0 | 0 | bu^6+uv^5 | 5 | 10 | 1 |
| (3i) | $uv(u{+}v)$ | auv | bu^3v+buv^3 | $du^5v + eu^3v^3 + duv^5$ | 2 | 2^{2} | 4 |
| (3ii) | uv(u+v) | auv | $a^{1/2}u^3v + a^{1/2}uv^3$ | $(e+e^{1/2})u^5v+eu^3v^3+(e+e^{1/2})uv^5$ | \mathfrak{S}_3 | $2 \times \mathfrak{S}_3$ | 2 |
| (3iii) | uv(u+v) | 0 | 0 | $du^5v + eu^3v^3 + duv^5$ | 6 | 2×6 | 2 |
| (3iv) | uv(u+v) | 0 | $bu^3v+\zeta_3bu^2v^2+\zeta_3^2buv^3$ | $(e+e^{1/2})u^5v+eu^3v^3+(e+e^{1/2})uv^5$ | 3 | 6 | 2 |
| (3v) | uv(u+v) | 0 | 0 | $(e+e^{1/2})u^5v+eu^3v^3+(e+e^{1/2})uv^5$ | $3 \times \mathfrak{S}_3$ | $6 \times \mathfrak{S}_3$ | 1 |
| (4i) | u^2v | 0 | 0 | $du^5v+eu^3v^3+uv^5$ | 3 | 6 | 2 |
| (5i) | <i>u</i> ³ | 0 | $au^3v+bu^2v^2$ | uv^5+dv^6 | 26 | 2^{1+6}_{+} | 3 |
| (5ii) | u^3 | 0 | 0 | uv^5+dv^6 | $2^6:3$ | $2^{1+6}_+:3$ | 1 |
| (5iii) | <i>u</i> ³ | 0 | 0 | uv^5 | 2 ⁶ :15 | 2^{1+6}_+ : 15 | 0 |

Table 8. Forms of $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, G(X), Aut(X))$ in Theorem 6.8.

Theorem 6.8. Every del Pezzo surface of degree 1 in characteristic 2 such that G(X) is nontrivial is isomorphic to a surface of degree 6 in $\mathbb{P}(1, 1, 2, 3)$ given by an equation of the form

$$y^{2} + (a_{1}x + a_{3})y + x^{3} + a_{2}x^{2} + a_{4}x + a_{6},$$

where $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_6, G(X), Aut(X))$ is one of the forms in Table 8.

Here, \mathfrak{S}_3 , D_8 , Q_8 , and 2^{1+6}_+ , denote the symmetric group on three letters, the dihedral group of order 8, the quaternion group, and the even extra-special group of order 128, respectively. In each case, the parameters have to satisfy the conditions of Theorem 5.6 and the obvious genericity conditions that keep them from specializing to other subcases.

Proof. We use the normal forms of Theorem 5.6 and let *H* be the group of Lemma 5.4. By Lemma 6.6, we have $G(X) \subseteq H$. We apply Lemma 6.6 to calculate Aut(*X*).

(1a) Let $(b_2, \sigma) \in H$. If $(b_2, \sigma) \in G(X)$, then σ permutes the roots of the polynomial $F' := v^8 + dv^6 + cv^4 + bv^2$, since these are determined by the singularities of R. We have

$$\sigma^* F' = \delta^2 v^8 + dv^6 + \delta(\gamma^2 d + c)v^4 + \delta^2(\gamma^4 d + b)v^2 + \gamma^8 + \gamma^6 d + \gamma^4 c + \gamma^2 b.$$

If $d \neq 0$, this is a multiple of F' if and only if $\delta = 1$ and $\gamma = 0$; hence σ is the identity and G(X) is trivial. If d = 0, it is a multiple of F' if and only if

$$\gamma^8 + \gamma^4 c + \gamma^2 b = 0 \tag{9}$$

and $\delta = 1$ or c = 0.

So, assume first that $c \neq 0$ and $\delta = 1$. If $(b_2, \sigma) \in G(X)$, then there exist polynomials b_1 and b_3 such that $\sigma^* a_2 = a_2 + a_1 b_1 + b_1^2 + b_2$ and $\sigma^* a_4 = a_4 + a_3 b_1 + a_1 b_3 + b_2^2$. In our case, this means

$$0 = \gamma^{2}au^{2} + ub_{1} + b_{1}^{2} + \gamma^{3}u^{2} + \gamma^{2}uv + \gamma v^{2},$$

$$0 = \gamma^{2}cu^{4} + v^{3}b_{1} + ub_{3} + \gamma^{6}u^{4} + \gamma^{4}u^{2}v^{2} + \gamma^{2}v^{4};$$

hence $b_1 = \lambda u + \gamma^2 t$ with $\lambda^2 + \lambda = \gamma^2 a + \gamma^3$ and $\gamma^4 = \gamma$, and $b_3 = (\gamma^2 c + \gamma^3)u^3 + \gamma uv^2 + \lambda v^3$. If $\gamma \neq 0$, then $\gamma^4 = \gamma$ implies $\gamma^3 = 1$. Modifying the equation of *X* by an element of *H*, we may assume that $\gamma = 1$. Plugging this into (9), we obtain c = b + 1. Hence, $b_1 = \lambda u + v$ with $\lambda^2 + \lambda = a$ and $b_3 = bu^3 + uv^2 + v^3$. Plugging this into the equation for $\sigma^* a_6$ and comparing coefficients in Lemma 6.6, we obtain the conditions h = b and $g = a + b + b^2 + f$. Since γ is uniquely determined by (9), we have $G(X) \cong C_2$. The square of any lift of a nontrivial element of G(X) to Aut(X) is the Bertini involution; hence Aut(X) $\cong C_4$.

Next, assume that c = 0. If $(b_2, \sigma) \in G(X)$, then there exist polynomials b_1 and b_3 such that $\sigma^* a_2 = a_2 + a_1 b_1 + b_1^2 + b_2$ and $\sigma^* a_4 = a_4 + a_3 b_1 + a_1 b_3 + b_2^2$. In our case, this means

$$0 = \gamma^2 a u^2 + (1 + \delta^2) a v^2 + u b_1 + b_1^2 + \gamma^3 u^2 + \gamma^2 \delta u v + \gamma \delta^2 v^2,$$

$$0 = v^3 b_1 + u b_3 + \gamma^6 u^4 + \gamma^4 \delta^2 u^2 v^2 + \gamma^2 \delta^4 v^4;$$

hence $b_1 = \lambda u + \gamma^2 \delta v$ with $\lambda^2 + \lambda = \gamma^2 a + \gamma^3$ and $\gamma^4 + \gamma = (1+\delta)a$, as well as $b_3 = \gamma^6 u^3 + \gamma^4 \delta^2 u v^2 + \lambda v^3$.

First, assume that $\delta \neq 1$. Then, σ has order 3; hence if $(b_2, \sigma) \in G(X)$, then it fixes one of the four roots of F'. After conjugating by a suitable element of H and repeating the substitutions we used in Theorem 5.6, we may assume that (b_2, σ) fixes [1:0:0]. This implies that $\gamma = 0$; hence $(1 + \delta)a = 0$ implies a = 0. Now, we plug everything into the equation for σ^*a_6 and compare coefficients to obtain the conditions f = g = 0.

If $\delta = 1$, then $\gamma^4 + \gamma = 0$. Hence, if (b_2, σ) is nontrivial, then $\gamma^3 = 1$. Modifying the equation of X by an element of H, we may assume $\gamma = 1$, that is, that (b_2, σ) maps [1:0:0] to [1:1:1]. Then, (9) implies b = 1. Plugging into the equation for σ^*a_6 and comparing coefficients yields g = f + a and h = 1. The square of both lifts of (b_2, σ) to Aut(X) is the Bertini involution; hence the subgroup generated by these lifts is isomorphic to C_4 .

Suppose next that G(X) contains two distinct nontrivial automorphisms with $\delta = 1$. Then, we can assume that one of them acts as in the previous paragraph, so b = h = 1 and g = f + a. The other one satisfies $\gamma \neq 1$. Plugging this into the equation for σ^*a_6 and comparing coefficients yields f = a. As in the previous paragraph, the square of all lifts of these automorphisms is the Bertini involution; hence they generate a subgroup isomorphic to the quaternion group Q_8 .

Finally, Corollary 6.3 shows that G(X) acts on the four singular points of R through A_4 , so if G(X) contains a nontrivial automorphism with $\delta = 1$ and a nontrivial automorphism with $\delta \neq 1$, then $G(X) \cong A_4$. In particular, the previous two paragraphs show that b = h = 1 and g = 0 and f = a, while the above paragraph for $\delta \neq 1$ shows a = f = g = 0. In this case, Aut $(X) \cong SL_2(3)$. (1b) and (1c) In these cases, the singularity of *R* over [1:0:0] is not isomorphic to the other singularities of *R*; hence G(X) is a subgroup of C_3 acting through the subgroup of *H* with $\gamma = 0$. In particular, G(X) fixes the points [1:0:0] and [0:1:0]. Since the number of singular points of *R* that lie over points different from [1:0:0] and [0:1:0] is not divisible by 3, G(X) fixes all of them; hence G(X) is trivial.

(1d) In this case, *R* has singularities over [1:0:0] and $[1:c^{1/4}:c^{3/4}]$. An element of *H* that fixes both of these points is trivial, and the unique one that swaps the two points is of the form (b_2, σ) , where σ acts as $v \mapsto v + c^{1/4}u$ and $b_2 = c^{3/4}u^2 + c^{1/2}uv + c^{1/4}v^2$. If such an element lies in G(X), then there exist polynomials b_1 and b_3 such that

$$0 = (ac^{1/2} + c^{3/4})u^2 + ub_1 + b_1^2 + c^{1/2}uv + c^{1/4}v^2,$$

$$0 = v^3b_1 + ub_3 + cu^2v^2 + c^{1/2}v^4;$$

hence $b_1 = \lambda u + c^{1/2}v$ with $\lambda^2 + \lambda = ac^{1/2} + c^{3/4}$ and $c^4 = c$, and $b_3 = \lambda v^3 + cuv^2$. By Theorem 5.6 we have $c \neq 0$; hence we can apply an element of *H* to assume that c = 1. Plugging this into the equation for $\sigma^* a_6$ and comparing coefficients in Lemma 6.6, we obtain the conditions h = 0 and g = a + f. The square of this automorphism (b_1, b_2, b_3, σ) is the Bertini involution; hence Aut $(X) \cong C_4$ in this case.

(1e) In this case, we have $G(X) \subseteq C_3$, since G(X) fixes [1:0:0]. Nontrivial elements of *H* that fix [1:0:0] are of the form $(0, \sigma)$, where σ acts as $v \mapsto \delta v$ with $\delta^3 = 1$ and $\delta \neq 1$. Such an automorphism lifts to *X* if and only if there exist polynomials b_1 and b_3 such that

$$(1+\delta^2)av^2 = ub_1 + b_1^2,$$

$$0 = v^3b_1 + ub_3,$$

$$(1+\delta^2)fu^4v^2 + (1+\delta)gu^2v^4 = v^3b_3 + b_3^2$$

The first equation implies a = 0 and $b_1 = \lambda u$ with $\lambda^2 + \lambda = 0$ and then the second equation implies that also $b_3 = \lambda v^3$. Finally, the third equation shows f = g = 0.

(2a) Here, $G(X) \subseteq H$ fixes the point [0:1:1]. Moreover, if G(X) fixes the images of the other two singularities, then, by our description of H, G(X) is trivial. Hence, $G(X) \subseteq C_2$ with equality if and only if G(X) contains the involution $(0, \sigma)$, where σ acts as $v \mapsto v + e^{1/2}g^{-1/2}u$.

If this involution is in G(X), then there exist polynomials b_1 and b_3 such that

$$aeg^{-1}u^2 = ub_1 + b_1^2$$

 $e^2g^{-2}u^4 = ub_3;$

hence $b_1 = \lambda u$ with $\lambda^2 + \lambda = aeg^{-1}$, and $b_3 = e^2g^{-2}u^3$. Plugging this into the equation for σ^*a_6 and comparing coefficients in Lemma 6.6, we obtain the conditions

$$0 = e^{4} + he^{3}g + fe^{2}g^{2} + deg^{3},$$

$$0 = e^{1/2}(g^{3/2} + he^{1/2}).$$

Since $e \neq 0$ by Theorem 5.6, we have $h = e^{-1/2}g^{3/2}$ and $d = efg^{-1} + e^{3/2}g^{-1/2} + e^3g^{-3}$. Note that both lifts of $(0, \sigma)$ have order 2; hence Aut $(X) \cong 2^2$.

(2b) and (2c) Here, $G(X) \subseteq H$ fixes [0:1:1] and [1:0:0], since these are the points that lie under the singularities of the irreducible components of R, but not under the intersection of the two components R_1 and R_2 . By our description of H in Lemma 5.4, this implies that G(X) is trivial.

(2d) In this case, G(X) fixes [0:1:1], but we get no other restrictions from the position of the singularities of *R*. Therefore, an element of $G(X) \subseteq H$ is of the form $(0, \sigma)$ where σ acts as $v \mapsto v + \gamma u$ for some $\gamma \in \mathbb{k}$. Such an element is in G(X) if and only if there exist polynomials b_1 and b_3 such that

$$a\gamma^2 u^2 = ub_1 + b_1^2,$$

$$\gamma^4 u^4 = ub_3,$$

$$(c\gamma + d\gamma^2 + f\gamma^4 + h\gamma^6)u^6 + h\gamma^4 u^4 v^2 + h\gamma^2 u^2 v^4 = b_3^2.$$

Such b_1 and b_3 exist if and only if h = 0 and $\gamma^8 + h\gamma^6 + f\gamma^4 + d\gamma^2 + c\gamma = 0$, and then $b_1 = \lambda u$ with $\lambda^2 + \lambda = a\gamma^2$, and $b_3 = \gamma^4 u^3$. By Theorem 5.6, we have $c \neq 0$; hence, as soon as h = 0, there are exactly eight choices for γ . This shows $G(X) \cong 2^3$. Every lift of every nontrivial element in G(X) has order 2; hence Aut $(X) \cong 2^4$.

(2e) Here, the elements of $G(X) \subseteq H$ fix [0:1:0] and preserve the pair $\{[1:0:0], [1:1:0]\}$. Using our description of γ , it is clear that an element of H that fixes all of these three points is the identity. An element that swaps [1:0:0] and [1:1:0] is of the form $(0, \sigma)$, where σ acts as $v \mapsto v + u$. Such an element is in G(X) if and only if there exist polynomials b_1 and b_3 such that

$$au^{2} = ub_{1} + b_{1}^{2},$$

$$0 = ub_{3},$$

$$(d + f + h)u^{6} + (e + h)u^{4}v^{2} + (e + h)u^{2}v^{4} = b_{3}^{2};$$

hence if and only if h = e and d = e + f, and then $b_1 = \lambda u$ with $\lambda^2 + \lambda = a$ and $b_3 = 0$. The square of the lift of this automorphism to Aut(X) is the identity; hence Aut(X) $\cong 2^2$.

(2f) In this case, $G(X) \subseteq H$ fixes [1:0:0] and [0:1:0]. Hence, by our description of H in Lemma 5.4, every element in G(X) is of the form $(0, \sigma)$, where σ acts as $v \mapsto \delta v$ for some $\delta \in \mathbb{k}^{\times}$. A nontrivial element of this form is in G(X) if and only if there exist b_1 and b_3 such that

$$a(1+\delta^2)v^2 = ub_1 + b_1^2,$$

$$0 = ub_3,$$

$$d(1+\delta^2)u^4v^2 + f(1+\delta^4)u^2v^4 + (1+\delta^5)uv^5 + h(1+\delta^6) = b_3^2.$$

Hence, we always have $b_1 = b_3 = 0$ and $\delta^5 = 1$. Since $\delta \neq 1$ by assumption, we deduce that $(0, \sigma)$ lifts if and only if a = d = f = h = 0.

(3) Here, the group $G(X) \subseteq H$ fixes [1:0:0], [0:1:0], and [1:1:0]. Hence, every element of G(X) is of the form $(0, \sigma)$ and σ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 5.4(3).

First, assume that σ has even order and interchanges two components of *B*. Without loss of generality, we may assume that σ swaps *u* and *v*. Then, $(0, \sigma)$ lifts to *X* if and only if there exist b_1 and b_3 such that

$$0 = b_1^2,$$

$$(b+c)(u^3v + uv^3) = uv(u+v)b_1,$$

$$(d+f)(u^5v + uv^5) = uv(u+v)b_3 + b_3^2.$$

This holds if and only if b = c, and then $b_1 = 0$, as well as $b_3 = \lambda uv(u + v)$ with $\lambda^2 + \lambda = 0$ and d = f. The square of both lifts of $(0, \sigma)$ is the identity; hence they generate a group isomorphic to 2^2 .

Next, assume that σ is nontrivial and preserves the three components of *B*. Then, it acts as $u \mapsto \alpha u$, $v \mapsto \alpha v$, where $\alpha^3 = 1$, $\alpha \neq 1$. This automorphism lifts to *X* if and only if there exist polynomials b_1 and b_3 such that

$$a(1 + \alpha^{-1})uv = b_1^2,$$

(1+\alpha)(bu³v + (b+c)u²v² + cuv³) = uv(u+v)b_1,
0 = uv(u+v)b_3 + b_3^2;

hence if and only if a = b = c = 0.

Finally, assume that σ has odd order and interchanges components of *B*. Without loss of generality, we may assume that σ acts as $u \mapsto \beta v, v \mapsto \beta(u + v)$ with $\beta^3 = 1$. This lifts to *X* if and only if there exist b_1 and b_3 such that

$$a(1+\beta^{2})uv + a\beta^{2}v^{2} = b_{1}^{2},$$

$$(b+\beta c)u^{3}v + (b+c+\beta b)u^{2}v^{2} + (c+\beta(b+c))uv^{3} = uv(u+v)b_{1},$$

$$(d+f)u^{5}v + fu^{4}v^{2} + eu^{2}v^{4} + (d+e)uv^{5} + (d+e+f)v^{6} = uv(u+v)b_{3} + b_{3}^{2}.$$

The third equation implies f = d and $d = e + e^{1/2}$ and then $b_3 = \lambda u^2 v + \lambda u v^2 + e^{1/2} v^3$, where $\lambda^2 + \lambda = e + e^{1/2}$. If $\beta = 1$, the first equation implies $b_1 = a^{1/2}v$ and the second equation implies $b = c = a^{1/2}$. If $\beta \neq 1$, the first equation implies $b_1 = a = 0$ and the second equation implies $b = \beta c$.

(4) In this case, the group $G(X) \subseteq H$ fixes [1:0:0] and [0:1:0]; hence every element of G(X) is of the form (b_2, σ) , with $b_2 = \lambda uv$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{k}$ and where σ acts as $u \mapsto \alpha u, v \mapsto \alpha^{-2}v$ with $\alpha \in \mathbb{k}^{\times}$.

If such an automorphism lifts to X, then the condition $\sigma^* a_2 = a_2 + b_1^2 + b_2$ forces $b_2 = b_1^2$; hence $b_1 = b_2 = 0$. The other conditions of Lemma 6.6 become

$$a(1+\alpha)u^{3}v + b(1+\alpha^{-2})u^{2}v^{2} + c(1+\alpha^{-5})uv^{3} = 0,$$

$$d(1+\alpha^{3})u^{5}v + e(1+\alpha^{-3})u^{3}v^{3} + f(1+\alpha^{-9})uv^{5} = u^{2}vb_{3} + b_{3}^{2}.$$

Since $d \neq 0$, the second equation implies $\alpha^3 = 1$. Hence, if σ is nontrivial, then $(0, \sigma)$ lifts to X if and only if a = b = c = 0.

(5) Here, $G(X) \subseteq H$ fixes [0:1:0]; hence every element of G(X) is of the form (b_2, σ) , with $b_2 = \lambda u^2 + \mu u v$ for some $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{k}$ and where σ acts as $u \mapsto \alpha u, v \mapsto \gamma u + \delta v$, with $\alpha^3 = 1, \gamma \in \mathbb{k}, \delta \in \mathbb{k}^{\times}$.

If such an automorphism lifts to X, then there exists b_1 with $b_1^2 + b_2 = 0$; hence $\mu = 0$ and $b_1 = \lambda^{1/2} u$. Comparing coefficients in the equation for $\sigma^* a_4$, we obtain

$$\lambda^2 + \lambda^{1/2} + a\gamma + b\alpha^2 \gamma^2 + c\alpha \gamma^3 = 0, \qquad (10)$$

$$a + a\delta + c\alpha\delta\gamma^2 = 0, \tag{11}$$

$$b + b\alpha^2 \delta^2 + c\alpha \delta^2 \gamma = 0, \tag{12}$$

$$c + c\alpha\delta^3 = 0. \tag{13}$$

The automorphism lifts to X if and only if, additionally, there exists a $b_3 = \lambda_0 u^3 + \lambda_1 u^2 v + \lambda_2 u v^2 + \lambda_3 v^3$ satisfying the conditions

$$\lambda_0^2 + \lambda_0 = \lambda^3 + (a\gamma + b\alpha^2 \gamma^2 + c\alpha\gamma^3)\lambda + \alpha\gamma^5 + d\gamma^6,$$

$$\lambda_1 = (a\delta + c\alpha\delta\gamma^2)\lambda + \alpha\delta\gamma^4,$$

$$\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2 = (b\alpha^2\delta^2 + c\alpha\delta^2\gamma)\lambda + d\delta^2\gamma^4,$$

$$\lambda_3 = c\alpha\delta^3\lambda,$$
(14)

$$\lambda_2^2 = \alpha \delta^4 \gamma + d \delta^4 \gamma^2,$$

$$0 = 1 + \alpha \delta^3, \tag{15}$$

$$\lambda_3^2 = d + d\delta^6. \tag{16}$$

Equation (15) shows that $\alpha = \delta^{-5}$. In particular, as $\alpha^3 = 1$, we have $\delta^{15} = 1$.

First, assume that $\delta = 1$; hence $\alpha = 1$. Then, (16) shows that $\lambda_3 = 0$. Equation (14) shows $c\lambda = 0$ and (11) shows $c\gamma = 0$. Hence, if $c \neq 0$, then (b_2, σ) is the identity, so we assume c = 0 in the following. Let $G_{a,b,d}$ be the group of lifts of such automorphisms to X. By the description above, these $G_{a,b,d}$ form a family $\mathcal{G}_{a,b,d}$ of finite group schemes over Spec $\Bbbk[a, b, d]$ cut out in Spec $\Bbbk[a, b, d, \lambda, \lambda_0, \gamma]$ by the equations

$$F_1 := \lambda^4 + \lambda + a^2 \gamma^2 + b^2 \gamma^4 = 0,$$
(17)

$$F_2 := a^4 \lambda^4 + b^2 \lambda^2 + \gamma + d\gamma^2 + d^2 \gamma^8 + \gamma^{16} = 0,$$
(18)

$$F_3 := \lambda_0^2 + \lambda_0 + \lambda^3 + (a\gamma + b\gamma^2)\lambda + \gamma^5 + d\gamma^6 = 0.$$

In the following, we show that all geometric fibers of $\mathcal{G}_{a,b,d} \to \operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{k}[a, b, d]$ are reduced of length 128. In particular, $\mathcal{G}_{a,b,d}$ is étale over $\operatorname{Spec} \mathbb{k}[a, b, d]$; hence all the $G_{a,b,d}$ are isomorphic and we will show afterwards that $G_{a,b,d} \cong 2^{1+6}_+$.

• If $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq a^2$, we argue as follows: The condition $a^8 F_1^2 + F_2^2 + b^4/a^4 F_2 = 0$ yields the following expression for λ :

$$(a^{12}+b^6)\lambda^2 = b^4\gamma + (a^4+b^4d)\gamma^2 + (a^4d^2+b^4d^2+a^{16})\gamma^4 + (a^{12}b^4+b^4d^2)\gamma^8 + (a^4d^4+b^4)\gamma^{16} + a^4\gamma^{32}.$$

By our assumptions, we can divide by $(a^{12}+b^6)$ and we obtain an expression of λ^2 in terms of γ . Plugging this back into (18), we obtain a polynomial *F* in γ of the form $F = \sum_{i=0}^{5} c_i \gamma^{2^i}$ of degree 64 with

$$c_0 = 0$$
, $c_1 = \frac{a^{12}}{a^{12} + b^6}$, $c_5 = \frac{a^8}{(a^{12} + b^6)^2}$

Since $a \neq 0$, both c_1 and c_5 are nonzero, so $\partial_{\gamma} F = 1$ and F has only simple roots. Hence, there are exactly 64 choices for γ such that (b_2, σ) lifts and λ is uniquely determined by γ . In particular, $G_{a,b,d}$ has order 128 and it acts on the base of the associated elliptic fibration through 2⁶.

• If $a \neq 0$ and $b = a^2$, we argue as follows: The condition $a^8F_1^2 + F_2^2 + a^4F_2 = 0$ becomes

$$0 = a^{8}\gamma + (a^{4} + a^{8}d)\gamma^{2} + (a^{4}d^{2} + a^{8}d^{2} + a^{16})\gamma^{4} + (a^{20} + a^{8}d^{2})\gamma^{8} + (a^{4}d^{4} + a^{8})\gamma^{16} + a^{4}\gamma^{32} =: F.$$

Note that, since $a \neq 0$, $F_1 = F_2 = 0$ holds if and only if $F_2 = F = 0$. There are 32 choices for γ with $F(\gamma) = 0$ and for each choice of γ , there are exactly two choices for λ such that $F_2(\gamma, \lambda) = 0$. As in the previous case, $G_{a,b,d}$ has order 128, but in this case, it acts on the base of the associated elliptic fibration through 2⁵.

• Next, assume that a = 0 and $b \neq 0$. We can immediately solve (18) for λ and obtain

$$b^2 \lambda^2 = \gamma + d\gamma^2 + d^2 \gamma^8 + \gamma^{16}.$$

Plugging this into the square of (17), we obtain a polynomial F in γ of the form $F = \sum_{i=0}^{5} c_i \gamma^{2^i}$ of degree 64 with

$$c_0 = 0$$
, $c_1 = b^{-2}$, $c_5 = b^{-8}$.

Hence, there are 64 choices for γ such that (b_2, σ) lifts and λ is uniquely determined by γ . Therefore, $G_{a,b,d}$ has order 128 and acts on the base of the associated elliptic fibration through 2⁶.

• Now, assume that a = b = 0. The equations simplify to

$$\begin{split} \lambda^4 + \lambda &= 0, \qquad \lambda_0^2 + \lambda_0 = \lambda^3 + \gamma^5 + d\gamma^6, \qquad \lambda_1 = \gamma^4, \\ \lambda_2 &= d\gamma^4 + \gamma^8, \quad \gamma + d\gamma^2 + d^2\gamma^8 + \gamma^{16} = 0. \quad \lambda_3 = 0, \end{split}$$

Hence, there are 16 choices for γ and 4 choices for λ . Hence, $G_{a,b,d}$ has order 128 and it acts on the base of the associated elliptic fibration through 2⁴.

It remains to determine the group $G_{a,b,d}$. By the last bullet point, the subgroup of $G_{0,0,0}$ of automorphisms that act trivially on the base of the associated elliptic fibration has order 8. Thus, by Corollary 6.3, it is isomorphic to Q_8 . Hence, every $G_{a,b,d}$ contains a quaternion group Q_8 with $\beta \in Q_8$. On the other hand, in the cases where $a \neq 0, b \neq a^2$, we have seen that $G_{a,b,d}/\langle\beta\rangle \cong 2^6$. Hence, by Example 6.5, we have $G_{a,b,d} \cong 2^{1+6}_+$.

Next, assume that $\delta \neq 1$, $\delta^3 = 1$. Then, (11), (12), and (13) show that a = b = c = 0. The remaining equations become

$$\begin{split} \lambda^4 + \lambda &= 0, \qquad \lambda_0^2 + \lambda_0 = \lambda^3 + \delta \gamma^5 + d\gamma^6, \qquad \lambda_1 = \delta^2 \gamma^4, \\ \lambda_2 &= d\delta^2 \gamma^4 + \delta \gamma^8, \quad \delta^2 \gamma^{16} + d^2 \delta \gamma^8 + d\delta \gamma^2 + \delta^2 \gamma = 0. \quad \lambda_3 = 0, \end{split}$$

We see that if $\gamma = \lambda = 0$, then (b_2, σ) admits a lift to *X* as an automorphism *g* of order 3. For a fixed γ , there are at most 128 possible choices of (γ, λ) . All of them are obtained by composing *g* with an element of $G_{0,0,d}$; hence all choices are realized.

Finally, assume that $\delta \neq 1$, $\delta^5 = \alpha = 1$. As in the previous paragraph, we have a = b = c = 0. But in this case, (16) yields the condition d = 0.

So, in summary, if $c \neq 0$, then G(X) is trivial and if c = 0, then Aut(X) admits a unique 2-Sylow subgroup isomorphic to 2^{1+6}_+ . If a, b, or c is nonzero, this is the full automorphism group. If a = b = c = 0 and $d \neq 0$, then $Aut(X)/2^{1+6}_+ \cong C_3$ and if a = b = c = d = 0, then $Aut(X)/2^{1+6}_+ \cong C_{15}$.

Remark 6.9. The largest order of an automorphism group of a del Pezzo surface of degree 1 over the complex numbers is equal to 144 and the surface with such a group of automorphisms is unique [Dolgachev 2012]. In our case, the maximal order is equal to $1920 = 2^7 \cdot 15$ and the surface with such an automorphism group is also unique. We also see the occurrence of the group $G = 2^4$ in case (5). It is obtained as the preimage in 2^{1+6}_+ of a maximal isotropic subspace of \mathbb{F}_2^6 . Since del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 are super-rigid (see [Dolgachev and Iskovskikh 2009, Definition 7.10, Corollary 7.11]) and the corresponding *G*-surface is minimal, this group is not conjugate in the Cremona group of \mathbb{P}^2 to the isomorphic subgroup of the group of automorphisms of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4 or 2 that appeared in [Dolgachev and Duncan 2019; Dolgachev and Martin 2024].

6.3. Conjugacy classes and comparison with the classification in characteristic 0. In this section, we determine the conjugacy classes in $W(E_8)$ of the elements of the groups that occur in Theorem 6.8 and, whenever possible, compare the surfaces in Theorem 6.8 with their counterparts in characteristic 0 (see [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.14]).

For a del Pezzo surface X of degree 1, we denoted by N_X and P_X the kernel and image of the morphism $\operatorname{Aut}(X) \to \operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{P}^1)$ induced by the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ on the base of the associated elliptic pencil.

Lemma 6.10. Let g be a nontrivial element of N_X . Then, the conjugacy class of g is either $8A_1$, $4A_2$, $2D_4(a_1)$, or $E_8(a_8)$.

Proof. Since g acts trivially on the base of the pencil, it cannot preserve any (-1)-curve on X. Then, the lemma follows from the classification of conjugacy classes in $W(E_8)$ (see, e.g., [Dolgachev and Martin 2024, Table 3]), by checking which of them fix no (-1)-class in E_8 .

Corollary 6.11. Let X be a del Pezzo surface of degree 1 in characteristic 2. Let X' be a geometric generic fiber of a lift of X to characteristic 0 and let $sp : Aut(X') \rightarrow Aut(X)$ be the specialization map.

(1) sp is injective and preserves conjugacy classes.

- (2) sp induces morphisms $N_{X'} \rightarrow N_X$ and $P_{X'} \rightarrow P_X$.
- (3) The kernel H of $P_{X'} \rightarrow P_X$ is an elementary 2-group and if g is an element of Aut(X') that maps to a nontrivial element of H, then the conjugacy class of g is $2D_4(a_1)$.

Proof. The proof of claim (1), including the existence of sp, is analogous to Lemma 4.7.

The existence of the morphisms in claim (2) is clear, as, for a given lift $\mathcal{X} \to S$, the groups that appear can be defined as fibers of kernel and image of the homomorphism of *S*-group schemes $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}/S} \to \operatorname{Aut}_{\mathbb{P}^1_S/S}$ that describes the action of $\operatorname{Aut}_{\mathcal{X}/S}$ on the anticanonical system.

For claim (3), recall that sp preserves conjugacy classes by claim (1). Therefore, by Lemma 6.10, all nontrivial elements of *H* are represented by elements *g* of Aut(*X'*) of conjugacy class $8A_1$, $4A_2$, $2D_4(a_1)$, or $E_8(a_8)$. If *g* is of class $8A_1$, then it is the Bertini involution; hence $g \in N_{X'}$. If *g* is of class $4A_2$, then it has negative trace on E_8 , so, by the Lefschetz fixed-point formula, it must act trivially on the base of the elliptic pencil. Hence, $g \in N_{X'}$. If *g* is of class $E_8(a_8)$, then, by what we just proved, g^2 and g^3 are in $N_{X'}$; hence $g \in N_{X'}$. Thus, *g* must be of conjugacy class $2D_4(a_1)$. Then, g^2 is the Bertini involution, so *H* is 2-elementary.

By Theorem 6.8, $|Aut(X)| \le 36$ or $|Aut(X)| \in \{128, 384, 1920\}$, so types I and II [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.14] do not have a reduction modulo 2 which is a del Pezzo surface.

The surfaces of type VI, VII, IX, XII, and XV from that table admit an automorphism of order 2n with n > 1 acting faithfully on \mathbb{P}^1 , which is impossible in characteristic 2, so by Corollary 6.11 they do not have good reduction mod 2.

The equation of the surfaces of type (3v) in Theorem 6.8 can be rewritten as

$$y^{2} + uv(u - v)y + x^{3} + a(u^{2} - uv + v^{2})^{3} + bu^{2}v^{2}(u - v)^{2}$$

for certain $a, b \in \mathbb{k}$. This equation makes sense in characteristic 0, and it is stable under the \mathfrak{S}_3 -action generated by $(u, v, x, y) \mapsto (v, u, x, -y)$ and $(u, v, x, y) \mapsto (u - v, -u, x, -y)$, as well as the C_3 -action $(u, v, x, y) \mapsto (u, v, \zeta_3 x, y)$, where ζ_3 is a primitive third root of unity. Hence, the automorphism group of has order at least 36; thus it is isomorphic to $6 \times \mathfrak{S}_3$. Thus, surfaces of type (3v) are reductions mod 2 of the surfaces of type III from [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.14]. In particular, we can read off the conjugacy classes from [Dolgachev and Martin 2024, Table 8].

The equation of type (5iii) makes sense in characteristic 0, where it is isomorphic to

$$y^2 + x^3 + u(u^5 + v^5)$$

which is the equation of type IV in [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.14].

The equation of the surfaces of type (3ii) in Theorem 6.8 can be rewritten as

$$y^{2} + uv(u - v)y + x^{3} + c(u^{2} - uv + v^{2})x^{2} + a(u^{2} - uv + v^{2})^{3} + bu^{2}v^{2}(u - v)^{2}$$

for certain $a, b, c \in k$. Similar to the case of type (3v) above, these equations are stable under a \mathfrak{S}_3 -action, both in characteristic 0 and in characteristic 2. In characteristic 0, these equations can be simplified to the normal forms of type X from [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.14].

The equation of the surfaces of type (3iii) makes sense in characteristic 0, where it defines a lift of X together with the action of Aut(X). Both X and the lift admit an automorphism of order 6 that acts trivially on the base of the elliptic pencil. Hence, these surfaces are reductions mod 2 of the surfaces of type XI from [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.14].

The equations of the surfaces of type (2fi) in Theorem 6.8 define a 1-dimensional family of surfaces in characteristic 0 with an action of C_{10} . These lifts must be of type XIII [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.14].

The equations of the surfaces of type (4i) in Theorem 6.8 define a 2-dimensional family of surfaces in characteristic 0 with an action of C_6 that is trivial on the base of the elliptic pencil. Hence, these lifts are of type XVII [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.14].

Next, consider the equations

$$y^{2} + (aux + bu^{3} + cv^{3})y + x^{3} + (du^{4} + euv^{3})x + fu^{6} + gu^{3}v^{3} + hv^{6},$$

where a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h are parameters. In characteristic 0, we can simplify this equation to the normal form of type XVIII from [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.14]. In characteristic 2, these equations cover three of the families of Theorem 6.8: If $a, c \neq 0$, we can simplify the equation to the normal form for type (1aii) which, in turn, specializes to type (1ei) for special values of the parameters. If a = 0 but $b, c \neq 0$, we can simplify the equation to

$$y^{2} + (u^{3} + v^{3})y + x^{3} + euv^{3}x + fu^{6}$$

This is an alternative normal form for our surfaces of type (3iv).

Finally, consider the equations

$$y^{2} + (a(u+v)x + b(u+v)^{3} + cuv(u+v))y + x^{3} + (d(u+v)^{4} + euv(u+v)^{2} + fu^{2}v^{2})x + (g(u+v)^{6} + huv(u+v)^{4} + iu^{2}v^{2}(u+v)^{2} + ju^{3}v^{3}).$$

In characteristic 0, we can simplify this equation to the normal form of type XX from [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.14]. In characteristic 2, these equations cover four of the families of Theorem 6.8:

If $a \neq 0$, we can simplify the equation to

$$y^{2} + (u+v)xy + x^{3} + cuvx^{2} + du^{2}v^{2}x + g(u+v)^{6} + huv(u+v)^{4} + iu^{2}v^{2}(u+v)^{2} + ju^{3}v^{3}.$$

If $d, j \neq 0$, we can rescale one of them to 1 and obtain an alternative normal form for type (2ai). If $d \neq 0$ and j = 0, we obtain a normal form for type (2ei). If $j \neq 0$ and d = 0, we obtain an alternative normal form for type (2di). Note that d = j = 0 would lead to a singular surface. Since the family of type (2di) occurs as a reduction mod 2 of certain surfaces of type XX from [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.14], we call them type XX'.

If a = 0 and $c \neq 0$, we can simplify the equation to

$$y^{2} + (b(u+v)^{3} + uv(u+v))y + x^{3} + (euv(u+v)^{2} + fu^{2}v^{2})x + (g(u+v)^{6} + ju^{3}v^{3})x^{3} + (g(u+v)^{6} + ju^{3})x^{3} + (g($$

This defines a 4-dimensional family of surfaces with 2^2 -action (one parameter is redundant). By Theorem 6.8, the corresponding surfaces must be of type (3i).

The surfaces in the families (1ai), (1aiii), (1aiv), (1di), (5i), (5ii), and (5iii) admit an automorphism of order 4 and it turns out that writing down integral equations for such automorphisms similar to the ones above is hard. So, instead, to determine the conjugacy classes of the automorphisms of this family and to compare with the classification in characteristic 0, we will use the following observation.

Lemma 6.12. Let g be an automorphism of a del Pezzo surface of degree 1. Let $m := \operatorname{ord}(g)$ and let n be the order of the induced automorphism of \mathbb{P}^1 . Assume that m is even. Then, the conjugacy class Γ of g in $W(\mathsf{E}_8)$ is one of the following:

If (m, n) = (2, 1), then Γ = 8A₁.
 If (m, n) = (2, 2), then Γ = 4A₁.
 If m = 4, then Γ = 2D₄(a₁).
 If (m, n) = (6, 1), then Γ = E₈(a₈).
 If (m, n) = (6, 2), then Γ = E₆(a₂) + A₂.
 If (m, n) = (6, 3) and g² is of class 3A₂, then Γ = E₇(a₄) + A₁.
 If (m, n) = (6, 3) and g² is of class 2A₂, then Γ = 2D₄.
 If m = 10, then Γ = E₈(a₆).
 If m = 12, then Γ = E₈(a₃).
 If m = 20, then Γ = E₈(a₂).
 If m = 30, then Γ = E₈.

Proof. By Theorem 6.8, we know that the only possible values for *m* and *n* are the ones in the statement. In case (1), *g* is the Bertini involution; hence $\Gamma = 8A_1$. In case (2), we may assume that *g* acts as $u \leftrightarrow v$. Then, we proved in this section that *g* lifts to characteristic 0, so by [Dolgachev and Martin 2024, Table 8], $\Gamma = 4A_1$. In case (3), g^2 is the Bertini involution, because PGL₂(\Bbbk) does not contain elements of order 4, and it is known (see [loc. cit., Table 3]) that the only conjugacy class of automorphisms of order 4 whose square is the Bertini involution is $2D_4(a_1)$. In case (8) and (11), $g^{m/2}$ is the Bertini involution and g^2 lifts to characteristic 0; hence *g* lifts to characteristic 0 and we can read off the conjugacy class Γ from [loc. cit., Table 8]. Then, we deduce case (10) from case (8). In case (9), g^2 must be of type $E_8(a_8)$, since PGL₂(\Bbbk) does not contain any elements of order 4 or 6. Then, from [loc. cit., Table 3], we see that $\Gamma = E_8(a_3)$. Finally, cases (4), (5), (6), and (7) follow from [loc. cit., Table 3] by comparing the conjugacy classes of g^2 and g^3 .

Now, we can complete Table 9 by using the description of Aut(X) in Theorem 6.8. We observe that the conjugacy classes for types (1ai) and (1di) are the same as for type XIX from [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.14], the conjugacy classes for type (1aiii) are the same as for type XIV from [loc. cit., Table 8.14], and the conjugacy classes for type (1aiv) are the same as for type V from [loc. cit., Table 8.14]. The only groups in Theorem 6.8 that contain D_8 are 2^{1+6}_+ , 2^{1+6}_+ : 3, and 2^{1+6}_+ : 15, and the only group that contains an

| name | $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ | order | id 4 | $A_1 = 8$ | $4_1 2A$ | 2 3A | $4A_2$ | $2A_3+A$ | 1 2A ₄ | $A_5 + A_2$ | $+A_1$ I | $0_4 + A_2$ | $2D_4 D$ | $_{4}(a_{1})+A_{1}$ | $2D_4(a_1$ | $) D_8(a_3)$ | $E_{6}+A_{1}$ |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|--------------------|-------------|----------------|-----------|--------------|------------------------|-------------|------------|-------------|------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------|---------------|
| I-II | (do not e: | xist) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| III / (3v) | $6 \times D_6$ | 36 | - | 9 | 1 2 | 4 | 61 | | | | | | 7 | | | | |
| IV / (5iii) | $2^{1+6}_{+}:15$ | 1920 | - | 20 | _ | | 8 | | 64 | | | | | | 56 | | |
| M/(5ii) | $2^{1+6}_+:3$ | 384 | - | 20 | _ | | 8 | | | | | | | | 56 | | |
| V/(laiv) | SL ₂ (3) | 24 | | | _ | × | | | | | | | | | 9 | | |
| NI-VII | (do not e: | xist) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| VIII | (same as | <u>S</u> | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IX | (does not e | exist) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| X/(3ii) | D_{12} | 12 | - | 9 | 1 2 | | | | | | | | 7 | | | | |
| XI/(3iii) | 2×6 | 12 | - | 6 | _ | | 7 | | | | | | | | | | |
| XII | (does not | exist) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| XIII / (2fi) | 10 | 10 | - | | _ | | | | 4 | | | | | | | | |
| XIV/(laiii) | \mathcal{Q}_8 | ~ | - | | _ | | | | | | | | | | 9 | | |
| XV | (does not e | exist) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| XVI/(5i) | 2^{1+6}_{+} | 128 | - | 20 | _ | | | | | | | | | | 56 | | |
| XVII/(4i) | 9 | 9 | 1 | | _ | | 0 | | | | | | | | | | |
| XVIII/(laii), (lei), (3iv) | 9 | 9 | - | | _ | 7 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| XIX/(lai), (ldi) | 4 | 4 | 1 | | _ | | | | | | | | | | 2 | | |
| XX /(2a i), (2e i), (3i) | 2^2 | 4 | - | 6 | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| XX' / (2di) | 24 | 16 | 1 | 4 | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IXX | 2 | 0 | - | | _ | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| name | $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ | order | $E_6(a_2$ |) E ₆ (| $(a_2) = E$ | $_{6(a_{2})+}$ | $A_2 E_7$ | $(a_2) E_7$ | $(a_4) + A_1$ | E_8 | $E_8(a_1)$ | $E_8(a_2)$ | $E_8(a_3)$ | $E_8(a_5)$ | $E_{8}(a_{6})$ | $E_8(a_8)$ | |
| II-II | (do not e: | kist) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| III / (3v) | $6 \times D_6$ | 36 | | | | 12 | | | 4 | | | | | | | 2 | |
| IV / (5iii) | $2^{1+6}_{\pm}:15$ | 1920 | | | | 80 | | | | 512 | | 384 | 160 | 512 | 64 | 000 | |
| M/(5ii) | $2^{1+6}_{\pm}:3$ | 384 | | | | 80 | | | | | | | 160 | | | ~ | |
| V/(1aiv) | $SL_2(3)$ | 24 | | | | | | | 8 | | | | | | | | |
| | (do not e: | vist) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (same as | Ϊ Σ | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| XI | (does not | tist) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| X/(3ii) | D_{12} | 12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| XI/(3iii) | 2×6 | 12 | | | | 4 | | | | | | | | | | 7 | |
| XII | (does not e | exist) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| XIII / (2fi) | 10 | 10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 4 | | |
| XIV/(laiii) | \mathcal{Q}_8 | 8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| XV | (does not e | exist) | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| XVI/(5i) | 2^{1+6}_{+} | 128 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| XVII/(4i) | 9 | 9 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 | |
| XVIII/(laii), (lei), (3iv) | 9 | 9 | | | | | | | 7 | | | | | | | | |
| XIX/(lai), (ldi) | 4 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| XX / (2a i), (2e i), (3i) | 2^2 | 4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| XX' / (2di) | 24 | 16 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| IXX | 2 | 0 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | Та | ble 9. | . Aut | omo | phisn | l groi | aps of | del Pe | ins ozz | rfaces (| of deg | ree 1. | | | | | |

Igor Dolgachev and Gebhard Martin

automorphism of order 20 is 2^{1+6}_+ : 15. Hence, if the types XVI, M, and VIII from [loc. cit., Table 8.14] and [Dolgachev and Martin 2024, Table 8] have good reduction modulo 2, then they must reduce to our types (5i) and (5ii), respectively. In each of these cases, we determine the conjugacy classes using Lemma 6.12.

We summarize the classification of automorphism groups of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 1 in Table 9. In the first column, we give the name of the corresponding family, both in the notation of Theorem 4.3 and in the notation of [Dolgachev 2012, Table 8.14]. The second and third columns give the group Aut(X) and its size. In the remaining columns, we list the number of elements of a given Carter conjugacy class in Aut(X).

References

- [Aschbacher 2000] M. Aschbacher, *Finite group theory*, 2nd ed., Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math. **10**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2000. MR Zbl
- [Barth 1977] W. Barth, "Moduli of vector bundles on the projective plane", Invent. Math. 42 (1977), 63-91. MR Zbl
- [Beauville 1977] A. Beauville, "Prym varieties and the Schottky problem", Invent. Math. 41:2 (1977), 149–196. MR Zbl
- [Bosch et al. 1990] S. Bosch, W. Lütkebohmert, and M. Raynaud, *Néron models*, Ergebnisse der Math. (3) **21**, Springer, 1990. MR Zbl
- [Carter 1972] R. W. Carter, "Conjugacy classes in the Weyl group", Compos. Math. 25:1 (1972), 1–59. MR Zbl
- [Conway et al. 1985] J. H. Conway, R. T. Curtis, S. P. Norton, R. A. Parker, and R. A. Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups: maximal subgroups and ordinary characters for simple groups*, Oxford Univ. Press, 1985. MR Zbl
- [Demazure 1980] M. Demazure, "Surfaces de del Pezzo, I–V", pp. 21–69 in *Séminaire sur les singularités des surfaces* (Palaiseau, 1976–1977), edited by M. Demazure et al., Lecture Notes in Math. **777**, Springer, 1980. Zbl
- [Dolgachev 2012] I. V. Dolgachev, Classical algebraic geometry: a modern view, Cambridge Univ. Press, 2012. MR Zbl
- [Dolgachev and Duncan 2019] I. Dolgachev and A. Duncan, "Automorphisms of cubic surfaces in positive characteristic", *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk Ser. Mat.* 83:3 (2019), 15–92. MR Zbl
- [Dolgachev and Iskovskikh 2009] I. V. Dolgachev and V. A. Iskovskikh, "Finite subgroups of the plane Cremona group", pp. 443–548 in *Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry, I*, Progr. Math. **269**, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 2009. MR Zbl
- [Dolgachev and Martin 2024] I. Dolgachev and G. Martin, "Automorphisms of del Pezzo surfaces in odd characteristic", *J. Lond. Math. Soc.* (2) **109**:5 (2024), art. id. e12905. MR Zbl
- [Ekedahl 1988] T. Ekedahl, "Canonical models of surfaces of general type in positive characteristic", *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* **67** (1988), 97–144. MR Zbl
- [Katz and Sarnak 1999] N. M. Katz and P. Sarnak, *Random matrices, Frobenius eigenvalues, and monodromy*, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. **45**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1999. MR Zbl

[Lang 2000] W. E. Lang, "Configurations of singular fibres on rational elliptic surfaces in characteristic two", *Comm. Algebra* **28**:12 (2000), 5813–5836. MR Zbl

- [Neusel and Smith 2002] M. D. Neusel and L. Smith, *Invariant theory of finite groups*, Math. Surv. Monogr. **94**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002. MR Zbl
- [Oguiso and Shioda 1991] K. Oguiso and T. Shioda, "The Mordell–Weil lattice of a rational elliptic surface", *Comment. Math. Univ. St. Paul.* **40**:1 (1991), 83–99. MR Zbl

Communicated by Gavril Farkas

| Received 2023-05-28 | Revised 2024-02-14 | Accepted 2024-03-29 | |
|-------------------------|--------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| idolga@umich.edu | Depar United | tment of Mathematics, I States | University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, |
| gmartin@math.uni-bonn.o | de Mathe | matisches Institut, Univ | versität Bonn, Bonn, Germany |



Algebra & Number Theory

msp.org/ant

EDITORS

MANAGING EDITOR Antoine Chambert-Loir Université Paris-Diderot France EDITORIAL BOARD CHAIR David Eisenbud University of California Berkeley, USA

BOARD OF EDITORS

| Jason P. Bell | University of Waterloo, Canada | Philippe Michel | École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne |
|----------------------|---|-----------------------|--|
| Bhargav Bhatt | University of Michigan, USA | Martin Olsson | University of California, Berkeley, USA |
| Frank Calegari | University of Chicago, USA | Irena Peeva | Cornell University, USA |
| J-L. Colliot-Thélène | CNRS, Université Paris-Saclay, France | Jonathan Pila | University of Oxford, UK |
| Brian D. Conrad | Stanford University, USA | Anand Pillay | University of Notre Dame, USA |
| Samit Dasgupta | Duke University, USA | Bjorn Poonen | Massachusetts Institute of Technology, USA |
| Hélène Esnault | Freie Universität Berlin, Germany | Victor Reiner | University of Minnesota, USA |
| Gavril Farkas | Humboldt Universität zu Berlin, Germany | Peter Sarnak | Princeton University, USA |
| Sergey Fomin | University of Michigan, USA | Michael Singer | North Carolina State University, USA |
| Edward Frenkel | University of California, Berkeley, USA | Vasudevan Srinivas | SUNY Buffalo, USA |
| Wee Teck Gan | National University of Singapore | Shunsuke Takagi | University of Tokyo, Japan |
| Andrew Granville | Université de Montréal, Canada | Pham Huu Tiep | Rutgers University, USA |
| Ben J. Green | University of Oxford, UK | Ravi Vakil | Stanford University, USA |
| Christopher Hacon | University of Utah, USA | Akshay Venkatesh | Institute for Advanced Study, USA |
| Roger Heath-Brown | Oxford University, UK | Melanie Matchett Wood | Harvard University, USA |
| János Kollár | Princeton University, USA | Shou-Wu Zhang | Princeton University, USA |
| Michael J. Larsen | Indiana University Bloomington, USA | | |
| | | | |

PRODUCTION

production@msp.org

Silvio Levy, Scientific Editor

See inside back cover or msp.org/ant for submission instructions.

The subscription price for 2025 is US \$565/year for the electronic version, and \$820/year (+\$70, if shipping outside the US) for print and electronic. Subscriptions, requests for back issues and changes of subscriber address should be sent to MSP.

Algebra & Number Theory (ISSN 1944-7833 electronic, 1937-0652 printed) at Mathematical Sciences Publishers, 798 Evans Hall #3840, c/o University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720-3840 is published continuously online.

ANT peer review and production are managed by EditFLOW® from MSP.

PUBLISHED BY

mathematical sciences publishers

nonprofit scientific publishing

http://msp.org/ © 2025 Mathematical Sciences Publishers

Algebra & Number Theory

Volume 19 No. 4 2025

| Odd moments in the distribution of primes VIVIAN KUPERBERG | 617 |
|--|-----|
| Efficient resolution of Thue–Mahler equations ADELA GHERGA and SAMIR SIKSEK | 667 |
| Automorphisms of del Pezzo surfaces in characteristic 2 IGOR DOLGACHEV and GEBHARD MARTIN | 715 |
| On the D-module of an isolated singularity THOMAS BITOUN | 763 |
| Ribbon Schur functors KELLER VANDEBOGERT | 771 |