Reading: Dummit-Foote Ch 10.4, 10.5, 11.5, 12.1, 12.2. We will not cover the computational algorithms.

Summary of definitions and main results

Definitions we've covered: Rank of a module, free rank, invariant factors, elementary divisors, characteristic polynomial, minimal polynomial, companion matrix, rational canonical form.

Main results: $D \otimes_R -$ is a right-exact covariant functor, how to use the universal property (or right exactness) to compute tensor products in specific examples, fundamental theorem for finitely generated modules over a PID (invariant factor form and elementary divisor form), matrices are classified up to conjugacy by their rational canonical forms.

Warm-Up Questions

- 1. Use the universal property of the tensor product $\mathbb{Z}/12\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/20\mathbb{Z}$ to verify that $3 \otimes 6$ is nonzero.
- 2. Which of the following rings are PIDs? Let $\mathbb F$ denote a field.

 $\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{F}[x], \mathbb{F}[x,y], \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}[i], \mathbb{Z}[x], M_n(\mathbb{F}),$ division ring, quotient of a PID

- 3. Compute the torsion submodules of the following:
 - (a) A finite abelian group G (as a \mathbb{Z} -module) (d) A vector space V over a field \mathbb{F}
 - (b) $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$ as a module over \mathbb{Z} , and over $\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z}$
 - (c) The \mathbb{Z} -modules \mathbb{Q} , \mathbb{R} , \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} , and \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} (e) A free *R*-module *F*
- 4. Let R be an integral domain.
 - (a) Let N be an R-module. Show that if its annihilator Ann(N) is nonzero, then N is a torsion module.
 - (b) Is the converse true? If N is torsion, must its annihilator be nonzero? (You proved on Midterm I that this is true when N is finitely generated.)
- 5. Suppose that R is a PID and M a finitely generated R-module with invariant factors a_1, \ldots, a_m . Show that the annihilator of Tor(M) is the ideal generated by a_m .
- 6. Explain why the notions of torsion and linear independence in R-modules are better behaved when R is an integral domain.
- 7. Let R be an integral domain, and M a finitely generated R-module *Hint*: Dummit-Foote 12.1 Prop. 3.
 - (a) Suppose $\{m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_n\}$ generates M. Prove that any linearly independent set in M must have n or fewer elements.
 - (b) Show that the rank is well-defined for a finitely generated module over an integral domain, in the following sense: If S and T are both finite linearly independent sets M, and each is maximal (in the sense that adding any additional element of M would yield a linearly dependent set), then S and T must have the same cardinality.
- 8. Let R be an integral domain. Suppose that \mathbb{F} is a field containing R. Show that any linearly independent set $\{m_1, \ldots, m_n\}$ in an R-module M will yield a linearly independent set of vectors $\{1 \otimes m_1, \ldots, 1 \otimes m_n\}$ in the \mathbb{F} -vector space $\mathbb{F} \otimes_R M$. Conclude that the rank $(M) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F} \otimes_R M)$. *Remark*: When R is an integral domain, it is always possible to construct a field \mathbb{F} containing R (its field of fractions). The dimension $\dim_{\mathbb{F}}(\mathbb{F} \otimes_R M)$ is sometimes taken as the definition of the rank of M.
- 9. Let R be an integral domain.

- (a) Conclude from Exercise 7 that any set of (n+1) elements in \mathbb{R}^n are linearly dependent, and therefore that \mathbb{R}^n has rank n.
- (b) Prove that any torsion R-module has rank zero.
- (c) Show that for any *R*-module M, rank(M)=rank(M/Tor(M)).
- 10. Find the invariant factors and elementary divisors of the finitely generated abelian group

$$M\cong \mathbb{Z}^{12}\oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}}\oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{2\mathbb{Z}}\oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{4\mathbb{Z}}\oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{3\mathbb{Z}}\oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{9\mathbb{Z}}\oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{5\mathbb{Z}}\oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{18\mathbb{Z}}\oplus \frac{\mathbb{Z}}{15\mathbb{Z}}$$

- 11. (a) Show that the ideal $I = (2, x) \subseteq R = \mathbb{Z}[x]$ is a finitely generated, torsion-free *R*-module, but not a free *R*-module. What is the rank of *I*?
 - (b) In contrast, what can you say about finitely generated torsion-free modules over a PID?

12. (Linear algebra review.)

- (a) 1. Define what it means for two matrices to be *conjugate* (or *similar*)
 - 2. What is the conjugacy class of the zero matrix? The identity matrix? A scalar matrix?
 - 3. Explain why two matrices are conjugate if and only if they represent the same linear map with respect to different bases.
 - 4. Show that conjugate matrices have the same determinant.
 - 5. Show that $(ABA^{-1})^n = AB^n A^{-1}$.
- 13. (Linear algebra review.) Let $A: V \to V$ be a linear map on a finite dimensional vector space V.
 - (a) Suppose A is a block diagonal matrix, i.e., it has square matrices \mathbf{A}_i (its blocks) on the diagonal:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{A}_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mathbf{A}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\left(\text{eg.} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 6 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ has } \mathbf{A}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{A}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ 6 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 2 & 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ has } \mathbf{A}_{1} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{A}_{2} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \text{ and } \mathbf{A}_{3} = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

Explain how the blocks of A correspond to a decomposition of V into a direct sum of subspaces $V = V_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus V_n$ where each V_i is invariant under the action of A. (The matrix A is sometimes called the *direct sum* of its blocks $A = \mathbf{A}_1 \oplus \mathbf{A}_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbf{A}_n$.)

- (b) Conversely, explain why, if V decomposes into a direct sum of subspaces that are invariant under A, then the corresponding matrix for A will be block diagonal. (What are the sizes of the blocks?)
- (c) Observe that $\operatorname{Trace}(A) = \operatorname{Trace}(\mathbf{A}_1) + \cdots + \operatorname{Trace}(\mathbf{A}_n)$, and $\operatorname{Det}(A) = \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{A}_1) \cdots \operatorname{Det}(\mathbf{A}_n)$.
- (d) What is the product of two block diagonal matrices (assuming blocks of the same sizes)?
- (e) Show that for any exponent $p \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$, the matrix A^p is block diagonal with blocks $\mathbf{A}_p^p, \ldots, \mathbf{A}_m^p$.
- 14. Let $T: V \to V$ be a linear map. Show that T is the zero map if and only if Tv = 0 for all $v \in V$. Conclude in particular that T satisfies a polynomial p(x) if and only if p(T)v = 0 for all $v \in V$.
- 15. (a) Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix. Show that A satisfies its minimal polynomial (ie, $m_A(A)$ is the zero matrix), and that it is the smallest-degree monic polynomial that vanishes at A.
 - (b) Let T be a linear map. Show that $m_T(A) = 0$ for any matrix representation A of T, and that the minimal polynomial $m_T(x)$ is the smallest-degree polynomial with this property.

- (c) Show that the matrix $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 2 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ satisfies the polynomial $x^2 x 2$. Conclude that this polynomial must be in the ideal $(m_T(x))$ and therefore a multiple of the minimal polynomial $m_T(x)$. What are the possibilities for $m_T(x)$?
- 16. Let A be an $n \times n$ square matrix and B the $2n \times 2n$ block diagonal matrix $\begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{bmatrix}$. Let $c_A(x)$ and $m_A(x)$ be the characteristic and minimal polynomials of A. What are the characteristic and minimal polynomials of B? Observe in particular that the minimal polynomial of B can have degree at most n.
- 17. Prove that the minimal polynomial of

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A}_1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & \mathbf{A}_2 & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \mathbf{A}_n \end{bmatrix}$$

is the least common multiple of the minimal polynomials of the blocks \mathbf{A}_i .

- 18. Prove that conjugate matrices have the same characteristic polynomial and the same minimal polynomial.
- 19. Let \mathbb{F} be a field and all matrices taken over \mathbb{F} .
 - (a) Show that 2×2 matrices that are not scalar matrices are conjugate iff they have the same characteristic polynomial.
 - (b) Show that 3×3 matrices are conjugate iff they have the same characteristic and minimal polynomials.
 - (c) Write down two nonconjugate 4 × 4 matrices with the same minimal and characteristic polynomials.
- 20. Let $T: V \to V$ be a linear map on a finite dimensional \mathbb{F} -vector space V. Describe how to construct an $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -module corresponding to T. Explain the relationship between T and this $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -module, and explain what we can infer about T from the invariant factor decomposition of this $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -module.
- 21. What is the characteristic polynomial of a companion matrix $\mathcal{C}_{a(x)}$?
- 22. Suppose that V is an $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -module that is n dimensional over the field \mathbb{F} . Let T be the linear map on V given by multiplication by x.
 - (a) What does the dimension of V imply about the degrees of the invariant factors $a_1(x)$, $a_2(x)$, ..., $a_m(x)$? About the degree of the characteristic polynomial of T?
 - (b) Show in particular that the minimal polynomial of T has degree at most n.
 - (c) If $m_T(x)$ has degree n, what does this tell you about the invariant-factor decomposition of V?
 - (d) Conversely, suppose that V is a cyclic $\mathbb{F}[x]$ -module. What can you conclude about $m_T(x)$?
- 23. A linear map $L: V \to V$ is called *nilpotent* if $L^k = 0$ for some positive $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Show that the following $n \times n$ matrices $J_{0,n}$ are nilpotent, and find the minimal k such that $J_{0,n}^k = 0$.

$$J_{0,1} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad J_{0,2} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad J_{0,3} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad J_{0,4} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$J_{0,n} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad \begin{bmatrix} J_{0,2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_{0,2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & J_{0,3} \end{bmatrix}$$
(here 0 denotes the zero matrix).

Assignment Questions

- 1. (The functor $D \otimes_R -$ is right exact.) Let R be any ring, and D a right R-module.
 - (a) Show that the following map of categories is well-defined and a covariant functor:

$$D \otimes_{R} - : R - \underline{\mathrm{Mod}} \longrightarrow \underline{\mathrm{Ab}}$$
$$M \longmapsto D \otimes_{R} M$$
$$\{f : M \to N\} \longmapsto \begin{bmatrix} f_{*} : D \otimes_{R} M \longrightarrow D \otimes_{R} N\\ f_{*}(d \otimes m) = d \otimes f(m) \end{bmatrix}$$

(b) Show that the functor $D \otimes_R -$ is right exact.

Hint: Dummit-Foote 10.5 Theorem 39. An alternate argument on p402 uses the Hom-tensor adjunction.

- 2. For any ring R and right R-module D, the functor $D \otimes_R -$ is right exact. A similar argument shows that for any left R-module D the functor $\otimes_R D$ is right exact. In this question, we will use the right-exactness of these functors together with a presentation of an R-module M to compute $D \otimes_R M$ or $M \otimes_R D$.
 - (a) Use the right-exactness of the functor $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} -$ and the short exact sequence of \mathbb{Z} -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{n} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

to (re)compute $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$.

(b) More generally, let R be a ring and I a two-sided ideal. Use the right exactness of $-\otimes_R N$ and the short exact sequence of R-modules

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/I \longrightarrow 0$$

to (re)prove the result: $R/I \otimes_R N \cong N/IN$.

(c) Let k be a field and let R = k[x, y]. Give simple descriptions of the following tensor products, and determine their dimensions over k.

$$\frac{R}{(x)} \otimes_R \frac{R}{(x-y)} \qquad \frac{R}{(x)} \otimes_R \frac{R}{(x-1)} \qquad \frac{R}{(y-1)} \otimes_R \frac{R}{(x-y)}$$

- 3. Let \mathbb{F} be a field (not of characteristic 2) and V a vector space over \mathbb{F} with basis $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$.
 - (a) Verify that $\operatorname{Sym}^k(V)$ is a vector space over \mathbb{F} with basis given by the set of monomials in the variables $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$ of total degree k. (*Remark:* There are $\binom{n+k-1}{n-1}$ such monomials).
 - (b) Verify that ∧^kV is isomorphic to the F-vector space with a basis given by elements of the form x_{i1}x_{i2} ··· x_{ik} with i₁ < i₂ < ··· < i_k. (*Remark:* There are ⁿ_k) such elements).
 Hint for (a) and (b): To show these elements are linearly independent, is enough to use the universal property to define a symmetric or alternating multilinear map V^k → C that factors through Sym^kV or ∧^kV such that it takes value 1 on one basis element and 0 on all others.
 - (c) Show that the additive groups

$$T^*V := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} V^{\otimes i} \qquad \operatorname{Sym}^*V := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Sym}^i(V) \qquad \wedge^*V := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \wedge^i V$$

each have a natural ring structure. You do not need to check the axioms for a ring, but define and briefly describe the multiplication in each case, and identify the multiplicative identity. The multiplication on T^*V is called *noncommutative*, the multiplication on Sym^{*}V is *commutative*, and the multiplication on \wedge^*V is called *anti-commutative*. (d) Show that you can identify Sym^*V , and \wedge^*V as subspaces of T^*V via the maps

$$x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k \longmapsto \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \sigma(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k) \quad \text{and} \quad x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k \longmapsto \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} sgn(\sigma) \sigma(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k)$$

- (e) Show that $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} V \cong \operatorname{Sym}^2(V) \oplus \wedge^2 V$.
- (f) Show that $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} V \supsetneq \operatorname{Sym}^{3}(V) \oplus \wedge^{3} V$.
- 4. (a) Let *H* be a subgroup of a group *G*. Show by example that there may be elements in *H* which are not conjugate in *H*, but are conjugate in *G*. What is the relationship between the conjugacy classes in *H* and the conjugacy classes in *G*?
 - (b) Let \mathbb{E} be a field and \mathbb{F} a subfield of \mathbb{E} . Let A and B be $n \times n$ matrices with coefficients in \mathbb{F} . Use the theory of rational canonical form to show that A and B are conjugate in $M_n(\mathbb{E})$ if and only if they are conjugate in $M_n(\mathbb{F})$.

Remark. This implies:

- If two matrices A and B are conjugate, then they are conjugate by a matrix with coefficients in the smallest field over which the entries of A and B are defined.
- Matrices that are not conjugate in $M_n(\mathbb{F})$ cannot become conjugate when we extend scalars to a field extension.
- Suppose F is a field that is not algebraically closed (like Q, R, or F_q). Two linear maps over F are conjugate if and only if they have the same Jordan canonical form (over the algebraic closure of F)

 even if their Jordan canonical form is not defined over F.