Reading: Dummit–Foote Ch 10.4, 11.5, 18.1.

## Summary of definitions and main results

**Definitions we've covered:** R-algebra,  $k^{th}$  tensor power  $T^k(M)$ , tensor algebra  $T^*(M)$ ,  $k^{th}$  symmetric power  $\operatorname{Sym}^k(M)$ , symmetric algebra  $\operatorname{Sym}^*(M)$ ,  $k^{th}$  exterior power  $\bigwedge^k M$ , exterior algebra  $\bigwedge^* M$ , group ring, (linear) representation, degree of a representation, faithful representation, trivial representation, permutation representation, regular representation, homomorphism and isomorphism of representations, G-equivariant map, intertwiner, minimal polynomial of a linear map.

**Main results:** using right exactness to compute tensor products, construction & universal properties for tensor, symmetric, and exterior powers and algebras, equivalent definitions of a group representation.

## Warm-Up Questions

- 1. (a) We defined how to form the tensor product  $M \otimes_R N$  of a right *R*-module *M* and a left *R*-module *N*. What would go wrong with this construction if *M* instead had the structure of a left *R*-module?
  - (b) Show that if M is an (S, R)-bimodule and N a left R-module, the tensor product  $M \otimes_R N$  has the structure of an S-module. Why must the left action of S and the right action of R on M commute?
- 2. Verify that the tensor product of maps respects composition:

$$(\tilde{\phi} \otimes \tilde{\psi}) \circ (\phi \otimes \psi) = (\tilde{\phi} \circ \phi) \otimes (\tilde{\psi} \circ \psi).$$

- 3. Let  $\phi: M \to M'$  be a map of right *R*-modules, and  $\psi: N \to N'$  be a map of left *R*-modules.
  - (a) Show by example that even if  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  both inject, their tensor product  $\phi \otimes \psi$  may not be injective.
  - (b) Show that if  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are both surjective, then their tensor product  $\phi \otimes \psi$  will be surjective.
  - (c) Show that if  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are both isomorphisms, then their tensor product  $\phi \otimes \psi$  will be an isomorphism *Hint:* Isomorphisms have inverses. Use Warm-Up Problem 2.
- 4. Fill in the details of the proof of that the tensor product associates (Dummit-Foote 10.4 Theorem 14).
- 5. Let M be a right R-module and  $N_1, \ldots, N_n$  a set of left R-modules. Verify that the tensor product distributes over direct sums (Dummit-Foote 10.4 Theorem 17). There is a unique group isomorphism

 $M \otimes_R (N_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_n) \cong (M \otimes_R N_1) \oplus \cdots \oplus (M \otimes_R N_n).$ 

Conclude that if N is a left  $R\text{-module},\,R^n\otimes_R N\cong N^n$  .

- 6. Show that the following alternate definition of an R-algebra A is equivalent to the one from class. Given a commutative ring R, an R-algebra A is an R-module A with a ring structure such that the multiplication map  $A \times A \to A$  is R-bilinear.
- 7. Let R be a commutative ring, and M and R-module.
  - (a) Verify that, if R does not have characteristic 2, then the submodule

 $\langle m_1 \otimes m_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_k \mid m_i = m_j \text{ for some } i \neq j \rangle \subseteq T^k M$ 

defining the exterior power  $\bigwedge^k M$  is equal to the submodule

$$\langle m_1 \otimes m_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes m_k - \operatorname{sign}(\sigma) m_{\sigma(1)} \otimes m_{\sigma(2)} \otimes \cdots \otimes m_{\sigma(k)} \mid \sigma \in S_k \rangle.$$

(b) Are these submodules the same when R has characteristic 2?

8. Let R be a commutative ring and M and R-module. Verify the universal properties for the R-modules

(a) 
$$T^k(M)$$
 (b)  $Sym^k(M)$  (c)  $\bigwedge^{\kappa}(M)$ 

and for R-algebras

(d) 
$$T^*(M)$$
 (e)  $Sym^*(M)$ 

- 9. Let G be a group and V an  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space. Show that the following are all equivalent ways to define a (linear) representation of G on V.
  - i. A group homomorphism  $G \to \operatorname{GL}(V)$ .
  - ii. A group action (by linear maps) of G on V.
  - iii. An  $\mathbb{F}[G]$ -module structure on V.
- 10. Let R be a commutative ring. Show that the group ring  $R[\mathbb{Z}] \cong R[t, t^{-1}]$ . Show that  $R[\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}] \cong R[t]/\langle t^n 1 \rangle$ . What is the group ring  $R[\mathbb{Z}^n]$ ? The group ring  $R[\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}]$ ?
- 11. Let  $\phi: G \to GL(V)$  be any group representation. What is the image of the identity element in GL(V)?
- 12. Compute the sum and product of  $(1 + 3e_{(12)} + 4e_{(123)})$  and  $(4 + 2e_{(12)} + 4e_{(13)})$  in the group ring  $\mathbb{Q}[S_3]$ .
- 13. Let G be a group and R a commutative ring. Show that R[G] is commutative if and only if G is abelian.
- 14. Given any representation  $\phi: G \to GL(V)$ , prove that  $\phi$  defines a faithful representation of  $G/\ker(\phi)$ .
- 15. (a) Find an explicit isomorphism T between the following two representations of  $S_2$ .

$$S_2 \to GL(\mathbb{R}^2) \qquad \qquad S_2 \to GL(\mathbb{R}^2)$$
$$(1\ 2) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (1\ 2) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Give a geometric description of the action and the bases for  $\mathbb{R}^2$  associated to each matrix group. (b) Prove that the following two representations of  $S_2$  are not isomorphic.

$$S_2 \to GL(\mathbb{R}^2) \qquad \qquad S_2 \to GL(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

$$(1\ 2) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \qquad (1\ 2) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

16. Fix an integer n > 0. Recall the following example from class: The symmetric group  $S_n$  acts on  $\mathbb{C}^n$  by permuting a basis  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$ . We saw that this representation has two subrepresentations,

$$D = \operatorname{span}_{\mathbb{C}}(e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_n) \quad \text{and} \quad U = \{a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + \dots + a_ne_n \mid a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n = 0\}.$$

Show that, as a  $\mathbb{C}S_n$ -module,  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is the direct sum  $\mathbb{C}^n \cong D \oplus U$ .

- 17. Let A, B, C be linear maps  $V \to V$ , with C invertible. Verify the following properties of the trace.
  - (a)  $\operatorname{Trace}(CAC^{-1}) = \operatorname{Trace}(A)$  (so trace does not depend on choice of basis or matrix representing A).
  - (b)  $\operatorname{Trace}(cA + B) = c\operatorname{Trace}(A) + \operatorname{Trace}(B)$  for any scalar c.
  - (c)  $\operatorname{Trace}(AB) = \operatorname{Trace}(BA)$  but  $\operatorname{Trace}(AB) \neq \operatorname{Trace}(A)\operatorname{Trace}(B)$  in general.
  - (d)  $\operatorname{Trace}(A) = \operatorname{Trace}(A^T).$
  - (e)  $\operatorname{Trace}(\operatorname{Id}_V) = \dim(V).$
  - (f)  $\operatorname{Trace}(A)$  is the sum of the eigenvalues of A (with algebraic multiplicity).
  - (g) If A has characteristic polynomial  $p_A(x) = x^n + a_{n-1}x^{n-1} + \dots + a_0$ , then  $\operatorname{Trace}(A) = a_{n-1}$ .
  - (h) If  $V = U \oplus W$  and U, W are stabilized by A, then  $\operatorname{Trace}(A) = \operatorname{Trace}(A|_U) + \operatorname{Trace}(A|_W)$ .

## Assignment Questions

1. (a) Use the right-exactness of the functor  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} -$  and the short exact sequence of  $\mathbb{Z}$ -modules

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{n} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

to (re)compute the abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ .

(b) Let k be a field and let R = k[x, y]. Using any method you prefer, give simple descriptions of the following *R*-modules, and determine their dimensions over k.

$$\frac{R}{(x)} \otimes_R \frac{R}{(x-y)} \qquad \frac{R}{(x)} \otimes_R \frac{R}{(x-1)} \qquad \frac{R}{(y-1)} \otimes_R \frac{R}{(x-y)}$$

- 2. Let R be a commutative ring and M and R-module.
  - (a) For any commutative ring R and R-module M, show that the R-module  $T^*M := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} M^{\otimes i}$  has the structure of an R-algebra. Verify that this algebra may not be commutative.
  - (b) A similar proof shows that  $\operatorname{Sym}^* M := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{Sym}^i(M)$  and  $\bigwedge^* M := \bigoplus_{i=0}^{\infty} \bigwedge^i M$  are *R*-algebras. You do not need to give a full proof, but verify that multiplication is well-defined for these spaces (it is independent of representative of an equivalence class of elements in these quotients).
- 3. Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field of characteristic zero and V a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  with basis  $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ .
  - (a) Verify that  $\operatorname{Sym}^k(V)$  is a vector space over  $\mathbb{F}$  with basis given by the set of monomials in the variables  $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n\}$  of total degree k. (*Remark:* There are  $\binom{n+k-1}{n-1}$  such monomials). *Hint:* To show these elements are linearly independent, it is enough to use the universal property to define a symmetric multilinear map  $V^k \to \mathbb{F}$  that factors through  $\operatorname{Sym}^k V$  which takes value 1 on one basis element and 0 on all others.
  - (b) Verify that  $\bigwedge^k V$  is isomorphic to the  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space with a basis given by elements of the form  $x_{i_1} \wedge x_{i_2} \wedge \cdots \wedge x_{i_k}$  with  $i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k$ . (*Remark:* There are  $\binom{n}{k}$  such elements).
  - (c) Suppose that  $A: V \to V$  is a diagonalizable linear map with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_n$  (listed with multiplicity). Compute the eigenvalues of the maps induced by A on  $T^kV$ ,  $\operatorname{Sym}^k(V)$ , and  $\wedge^k V$ .
  - (d) Show that you can identify  $\operatorname{Sym}^* V$ , and  $\bigwedge^* V$  as direct summands of  $T^*V$  via the (split) maps

$$x_1 x_2 \cdots x_k \longmapsto \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \sigma(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k) \quad \text{and} \quad x_1 \wedge x_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge x_k \longmapsto \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_k} \operatorname{sign}(\sigma) \sigma(x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_k)$$

(We are using the assumption that  $\mathbb{F}$  has characteristic zero, so the integer k! is invertible in  $\mathbb{F}$ .)

- (e) Show that  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} V \cong \operatorname{Sym}^2(V) \oplus \wedge^2 V$ .
- (f) Show that if V has dimension at least 2, then  $V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} V \otimes_{\mathbb{F}} V \supseteq \operatorname{Sym}^{3}(V) \oplus \wedge^{3}V$ .
- 4. Let G be a finite group, and  $\mathbb{F}$  a field. You may use properties of the trace without proof.
  - (a) Let  $G \to GL(U)$  be any representation of G. Citing facts from linear algebra (which you don't need to prove), explain why the trace of the matrix representing a given element  $g \in G$  is well-defined in the sense that it will be the same in any isomorphic representation of G.
  - (b) A permutation representation of G on a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space V is a linear representation  $\rho: G \to GL(V)$  in which elements act by permuting some basis  $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}$  for V. Show that, with respect to the basis  $\{b_1, \ldots, b_m\}$ , for each element  $g \in G$ ,  $\rho(g)$  is represented by an  $m \times m$  permutation matrix, a square matrix that has exactly one entry 1 in each row and each column, and zero elsewhere. Use this description of matrices  $\rho(g)$  to show that the trace of  $\rho(g)$  is equal to the number of basis elements  $b_i$  fixed by  $\rho(g)$ .

(c) Our first example of a permutation representation was given by the action of  $S_n$  on  $\mathbb{F}^n$  by permuting the basis  $e_1, \ldots, e_n$ . Show, in contrast, that the subrepresentation

 $U = \{a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + \dots + a_ne_n \mid a_1 + a_2 + \dots + a_n = 0\} \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$ 

is not a permutation representation with respect to any basis for U. Hint: Warm-up Question 17(h). What is the trace of an n-cycle?

- (d) The group ring of  $\mathbb{F}[G]$  is a left module over itself. This corresponds to permutation representation of the group G on the underlying vector space  $\mathbb{F}[G]$ , called the *(left) regular representation* of G. Find the degree of this representation. In what basis is this a permutation representation, and how many G-orbits does this basis have?
- (e) For any  $g \in G$ , compute the trace of the matrix representing g in the regular representation.
- 5. Let V be a  $\mathbb{C}[x]$ -module that is finite dimensional over  $\mathbb{C}$ , where x acts on V by a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map T. According to the structure theorem for finitely generated modules over a PID, we can write

$$V \cong \frac{\mathbb{C}[x]}{(p_1(x))} \oplus \frac{\mathbb{C}[x]}{(p_2(x))} \oplus \dots \oplus \frac{\mathbb{C}[x]}{(p_k(x))}$$

for some monic polynomials  $p_i(x) \in \mathbb{C}[x]$  such that  $p_1(x)$  divides  $p_2(x)$ ,  $p_2(x)$  divides  $p_3(x)$ , etc. The monic polynomial  $p_k(x)$  is called the *minimal polynomial* of T, and the product  $p_1(x)p_2(x)\cdots p_k(x)$  is called the *characteristic polynomial* of T. By construction the minimal and characteristic polynomials have the same set of roots (possibly with different multiplicities).

- (a) Verify that if  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  is a root of  $p_i(x)$ , then  $\frac{p_i(x)}{(x-\lambda)} \in \frac{\mathbb{C}[x]}{(p_i(x))}$  is an eigenvector of T with eigenvalue  $\lambda$ .
- (b) Suppose that  $\mu \in \mathbb{C}$  is not a root of  $p_k(x)$  (and therefore not a root of  $p_i(x)$  for any *i*). Show that  $\mu$  is not an eigenvalue of *T*. Conclude that the eigenvalues of *T* are precisely the roots of the minimal polynomial  $p_k(x)$ .

*Hint:* Recall that an eigenvector for  $\mu$  is a nonzero element of ker $(T - \mu I)$ , where I is the identity matrix. Consider the projection of a  $\mu$ -eigenspace onto the summand  $\frac{\mathbb{C}[x]}{(p_i(x))}$  for each i, and notice

that the polynomial  $(x - \mu)$  is coprime to  $p_i(x)$ .

- (c) Suppose the roots of  $p_k(x)$  are distinct, i.e, they each occur with multiplicity one. Show that T is diagonalizable. *Hint:* Chinese Remainder Theorem.
- (d) Show that  $\operatorname{Ann}(V) = (p_k(x)).$
- (e) Show that Ann(V) is equal to the set

 $\{a_n x^n + a_{n-1} x^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 x + a_0 \in \mathbb{C}[x] \mid a_n T^n + a_{n-1} T^{n-1} + \dots + a_1 T + a_0 I \text{ is the zero map} \}$ 

Conclude that if p(T) = 0 for some polynomial p(x), every eigenvalue of T is a root of p(x).

- (f) Suppose the linear map T has finite order, that is,  $T^n = I$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ . Show that T is diagonalizable, and that all its eigenvalues are  $n^{th}$  roots of unity.
- (g) Let G be a finite group of order n, and let  $\rho : G \to GL(V)$  be a representations of G on a finite dimensional vector space V. Conclude that for every  $g \in G$  the linear map  $\rho(g)$  is diagonalizable, and its eigenvalues are all  $n^{th}$  roots of unity.
- 6. Bonus (Optional). Let  $\mathbb{C}^d$  be the canonical permutation representation of the symmetric group  $S_d$ , and consider the induced action on  $\bigwedge^k \mathbb{C}^d$ . Prove that

$$\frac{1}{d!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_d} \left( \operatorname{Trace} \left( \sigma \curvearrowright \wedge^k \mathbb{C}^d \right) \right)^2 = 2 \quad \text{for any } d \ge 1 \text{ and } 0 \le k \le d-1.$$

We will see that with *character theory*, this result implies that  $\bigwedge^k U$  is an *irreducible*  $S_d$ -representation for all  $0 \le k \le d-1$ .