

Reading: Ch 10.3.

## Summary of definitions and main results

**Definitions we've covered:** Generators of an  $R$ -module, the  $R$ -submodule  $RA$  generated by a set  $A$ , finite generation, cyclic module, Noetherian  $R$ -module, Noetherian ring, minimal set of generators, direct product, direct sum (external and internal),  $R$ -linear independence.

**Main results:** Examples of non-Noetherian modules, equivalent definitions of (internal) direct sums, Chinese remainder theorem.

## Warm-Up Questions

The “warm-up” questions do not need to be submitted (and won't be graded).

1. Let  $A$  be a finite abelian group. Prove that  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Q}, A) = 0$ .
2. Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $R$ -submodules of an  $R$ -module  $M$ .
  - (a) Prove that the sum  $A + B$  is an  $R$ -submodule of  $M$ .
  - (b) Verify that  $A + B$  is equal to  $R(A \cup B)$ , the submodule generated by  $A \cup B$ , as submodules of  $M$ .
  - (c) Prove that  $A + B$  is the smallest submodule of  $M$  containing  $A$  and  $B$  in the following sense: if any submodule  $N$  of  $M$  contains both  $A$  and  $B$ , then  $N$  contains  $A + B$ .
3.
  - (a) Use the first isomorphism theorem to prove that if  $x \in R$  then the cyclic module  $Rx$  is isomorphic to the  $R$ -module  $R/\text{ann}(x)$ .
  - (b) Deduce that if  $R$  is an integral domain, then  $Rx \cong R$  as  $R$ -modules.
  - (c) Give an example of a ring  $R$  and an element  $x \in R$  so that  $Rx \not\cong R$  as  $R$ -modules.
4. Let  $R$  be a ring and  $I$  a two-sided ideal of  $R$ . For each of the following  $R$ -modules  $M$  indicate whether  $M$  is finitely generated, cyclic, or more information is needed:  
 $M = R^n$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , polynomials  $M = R[x]$ , series  $M = R[[x]]$ ,  $M = I$ , and  $M = R/I$ .
5.
  - (a) Prove that if  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, and  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  a map of  $R$ -modules, then its image  $\phi(M)$  is finitely generated by the images of the generators. Conclude in particular that all quotients of finitely generated modules are finitely generated.
  - (b) Suppose that  $N$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, and  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  is an  $R$ -linear surjective map. Must  $M$  be finitely generated?
  - (c) Suppose that  $N$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, and  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  is an  $R$ -linear injective map. Must  $M$  be finitely generated?
6.
  - (a) Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field. Citing results from linear algebra, explain why every finitely generated  $\mathbb{F}$ -module is Noetherian.
  - (b) Citing results from group theory, explain why every finitely generated  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module is Noetherian.
7. Suppose that  $V$  is a finite-dimensional vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ .
  - (a) Explain why every minimal spanning set  $B$  for  $V$  has the same size. Here we mean *minimal* in the sense that the cardinality of  $B$  is smallest among all generating sets for  $V$ .
  - (b) Show that, if  $B$  is a generating set for  $V$  that is not minimal in size, then  $V$  is spanned by some subset of  $B$ .

- (c) Show by example that this does not hold for general  $R$ -modules: Find an example of a ring  $R$  and an  $R$ -module  $M$  that can be generated by  $m$  elements, but has a generating set  $A$  of size  $n > m$  such that no subset of  $A$  generates  $M$ , for some  $n$  and  $m$ .
8. (a) Suppose that  $V$  is a vector space over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . Prove that the following are equivalent.
- $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  is a basis for  $V$
  - Every element  $v \in V$  can be written uniquely as an  $\mathbb{F}$ -linear combination of elements in  $B$
  - $B$  is a minimal (in cardinality) generating set for  $V$  as a  $\mathbb{F}$ -module
  - $B$  is a maximal (in cardinality) linearly independent subset of  $V$
  - $V = \mathbb{F}b_1 \oplus \mathbb{F}b_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{F}b_n$
  - The following map is an isomorphism of  $\mathbb{F}$ -modules

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{F}^n &\longrightarrow V \\ (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) &\longmapsto a_1b_1 + a_2b_2 + \dots + a_nb_n \end{aligned}$$

- Which of these equivalences hold for general  $R$ -modules?
  - Brainstorm examples to show how the other equivalences may fail for general  $R$ -modules.
9. Let  $U, V, W$  be vector spaces over a field  $\mathbb{F}$ . (For simplicity you may assume these vector spaces are finite dimensional. If you do not make this assumption, you should assume the axiom of choice).
- Let  $\phi : U \rightarrow V$  be an injective linear map, and let  $\psi : V \rightarrow W$  be a surjective linear map. Prove that both  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  have one-sided inverses.
  - Show by example that when  $R$  is not a field, not all surjective maps of  $R$ -modules have (one-sided) inverses, and show that not all injective maps of  $R$ -modules have (one-sided) inverses. (Later in the course, we will describe this phenomenon by the phrase “Every short exact sequence of vector spaces splits”)

10. **(Group theory review)**

- Given the finitely generated abelian group  $M = \mathbb{Z}/m_1\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m_2\mathbb{Z} \times \dots \times \mathbb{Z}/m_N\mathbb{Z}$ , explain how to write  $M$  as a product with the minimal number of cyclic factors.
- Find a minimal generating set for the groups

$$\mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}, \quad \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbb{Z}/4\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}.$$

11. **(Linear algebra review)** Let  $V, W$  be vector spaces over a field  $\mathbb{F}$  of dimension  $n$  and  $m$ , respectively.

- Consider a linear map  $A : V \rightarrow V$  (equivalently, of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ ). Show that the following are equivalent. If  $A$  satisfies any of these conditions, it is called *singular*.
 

1. $A$ has a nontrivial kernel	5. The rows of $A$ are linearly dependent
2. $\text{rank}(A) < n$	6. $\det(A) = 0$
3. $A$ is not invertible	7. $\lambda = 0$ is an eigenvalue of $A$
4. The columns of $A$ are linearly dependent	
- Let  $T$  be a linear transformation on a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{F}$ -vector space  $V$ . Show that the following are equivalent
  - $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of  $T$
  - $(\lambda I - T)$  is singular
  - $\lambda$  is a root of the *characteristic polynomial* of  $T$ ,  $p_T(x) = \det(xI - T)$ .

## Assignment Questions

1. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Let's call a generating set  $A$  for  $M$  *minimal* if it has the smallest cardinality among all generating sets for  $M$  (to distinguish from being "minimal" under inclusion of sets).

(a) Suppose an  $R$ -module  $M$  can be decomposed  $M = Ra_1 \oplus Ra_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus Ra_n$  for some finite set  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq M$ . Prove or find a counterexample: the following map must define an isomorphism  $M \cong R^n$

$$\begin{aligned} R^n &\longrightarrow M \\ (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_n) &\longmapsto r_1 a_1 + r_2 a_2 + \cdots + r_n a_n \end{aligned}$$

(b) Suppose an  $R$ -module  $M$  can be decomposed  $M = Ra_1 \oplus Ra_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus Ra_n$  for some finite set  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\} \subseteq M$ . Prove or find a counterexample:  $A$  is a minimal generating set for  $M$ .

(c) Suppose a finitely generated  $R$ -module  $M$  has a minimal generating set  $A = \{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$ . We saw in class that  $M$  need not be the internal direct sum  $Ra_1 \oplus Ra_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus Ra_n$ . Now suppose that  $R$  is a PID, and prove or find a counterexample:  $M = Ra_1 \oplus Ra_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus Ra_n$ .

2. (a) (**Chinese Remainder Theorem**) Let  $R$  be any ring, and let  $I_1, \dots, I_k$  be two-sided ideals of  $R$  such that  $I_i + I_j = R$  for any  $i \neq j$  (such ideals are called *comaximal*). Prove there is an isomorphism of  $R$ -modules

$$\frac{R}{(I_1 \cap I_2 \cap \cdots \cap I_k)} \cong \frac{R}{I_1} \times \frac{R}{I_2} \times \cdots \times \frac{R}{I_k}.$$

(b) Conclude that for pairwise coprime integers,  $m_1, m_2, \dots, m_k$ , there is an isomorphism of groups

$$\mathbb{Z}/m_1 m_2 \cdots m_k \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}/m_1 \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/m_2 \mathbb{Z} \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}/m_k \mathbb{Z}.$$

3. Let  $\{M_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a (possibly infinite) set of  $R$ -modules with index set  $I$ . We define the *direct product* of these modules to be

$$\prod_{i \in I} M_i = \{(m_i)_{i \in I} \mid m_i \in M_i\}$$

When  $I$  is finite or countable, we can express elements as ordered tuples  $(m_1, m_2, \dots, m_n, \dots)$ . The direct product forms an  $R$ -module under pointwise addition and scalar multiplication. We define the *direct sum* of the modules  $\{M_i \mid i \in I\}$  to be the submodule of  $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$

$$\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i = \{(m_i)_{i \in I} \mid m_i \in M_i, m_i = 0 \text{ for all but at most finitely many } i \in I\}$$

These definitions coincide when  $I$  is finite.

(a) The direct sum  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  is a submodule of the direct product  $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$ , but show by example that these may not be isomorphic. *Hint*: What are their cardinalities?

(b) Show that  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  is generated by the set  $\bigcup_{i \in I} M_i$ , but that  $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$  may not be.

4. (a) Let  $A_1, A_2, \dots, A_n$  be  $R$ -modules, and  $B_i \subseteq A_i$  a submodule for each  $i$ . Show that

$$\frac{A_1 \times A_2 \times \cdots \times A_n}{B_1 \times B_2 \times \cdots \times B_n} \cong \frac{A_1}{B_1} \times \frac{A_2}{B_2} \times \cdots \times \frac{A_n}{B_n}.$$

(b) Let  $R$  be a commutative ring, and let  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Prove that that  $R^n \cong R^m$  if and only if  $n = m$ . You may assume without proof that finite-dimensional vector spaces are isomorphic if and only if their dimensions are equal. You may also assume Zorn's Lemma. *Hint*: Dummit–Foote 10.3 # 2.

(c) Show that this property fails for noncommutative rings – that is, find a ring  $R$  which admits an isomorphism of  $R$ -modules  $R \cong R^2$ . Conclude that free  $R$ -modules need not have a uniquely defined rank. *Hint*: Dummit–Foote 10.3 # 27.

5. (a) Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module. Prove that the following statements are equivalent.
- (i)  $M$  is *Noetherian* in the sense that every  $R$ -submodule of  $M$  is finitely generated.
  - (ii)  $M$  satisfies the *ascending chain condition* on submodules. This is the condition that every sequences of submodules of  $M$  with inclusions

$$M_1 \subseteq M_2 \subseteq M_3 \subseteq \cdots$$

(called an *ascending chain*) eventually stabilizes in the sense that there exists some index  $k$  so that

$$M_k = M_{k+1} = M_{k+2} = \cdots$$

- (b) A ring  $R$  is called *Noetherian* if the  $R$ -module  $R$  is Noetherian.
    - (i) Let  $R$  be a PID. Show that  $R$  is Noetherian.
    - (ii) Let  $R$  be the ring of polynomials  $\mathbb{Q}\langle x, y \rangle$  in **noncommuting** variables  $x$  and  $y$ . Show that  $R$  is not Noetherian.
6. **Bonus (Optional)**. Let  $R$  be a ring. Show that an arbitrary direct sum of free  $R$ -modules is free, but an arbitrary direct product need not be. *Hint*: Dummit–Foote 10.3 # 24.