

Reading: Dummit–Foote Ch 10.4.

Summary of definitions and main results

Definitions we've covered: exact functor, left exact functor, right exact functor, tensor product of modules (as an abelian group), (S, R) -bimodule, tensor product of modules (as an S -module), R -balanced map, R -bilinear map, universal property of the tensor product (version for abelian groups, and version for R -module structure when R is commutative), tensor product of maps, extension of scalars, R -algebra, Jordan block with eigenvalue λ .

Main results: $\text{Hom}_R(D, -)$ is left exact, $\text{Hom}_R(-, D)$ is a contravariant functor and is left exact, an explicit construction of the tensor product $M \otimes_R N$, verification that the construction satisfies the universal property, tensor product distributes over direct sums, $R^n \otimes_R N \cong N^n$, tensor product is associative, using the universal property to perform computations

Warm-Up Questions

- Let R be a ring, let A be a right R -module and B a left R -module. Prove that the universal property of the tensor product defines the abelian group $A \otimes_R B$ uniquely up to unique isomorphism.
- Let R be a ring with right R -module M and left R -module N . What is the additive identity in the tensor product $M \otimes_R N$? Explain why the elements $m \otimes 0$ and $0 \otimes n$ are zero for any $m \in M$ or $n \in N$.
- (a) Explain why, when R is commutative, a left R -module M will also be a right R -module under the action $mr = rm$, and conversely any right R -module N has an induced left R -module structure.
(b) Verify that these actions give M the structure of an (R, R) -bimodule (simply called an R -bimodule).
(c) Why will these constructions generally not work when R is non-commutative?
- Let R be a ring with right R -module M and left R -module N . Show that the natural map

$$M \times N \longrightarrow M \otimes_R N$$

is **not** a group homomorphism in general. What are the constraints on this map, as imposed by the defining relations of $M \otimes_R N$?

- (a) Let R and S be rings (possibly the same ring). Let M be a right R -module and N a left R -module. When will the tensor product $M \otimes_R N$ have the structure of an abelian group, and under what conditions will it additionally have the structure of an S -module?
(b) Verify that if M is an (S, R) -bimodule, then $M \otimes_R N$ has an S -module structure. Why do we need to assume that the actions of S and R on M commute?
(c) Suppose that M is an (S, R) -bimodule. Find a way to modify the statement of the universal property of the tensor product so that it determines $M \otimes_R N$ as an S -module (and not just as an abelian group).
- Let R be a commutative ring. Let e_1, e_2, e_3 be a basis for the R^3 and let f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4 be a basis for R^4 . Expand the tensor

$$(a_1e_1 + a_2e_2 + a_3e_3) \otimes (b_1f_1 + b_2f_2 + b_3f_3 + b_4f_4) \in R^3 \otimes_R R^4.$$

- Let R be a ring with right R -module M and left R -module N . Which of the following maps are R -balanced? Which are homomorphisms of abelian groups? For the maps that are R -balanced, describe how they factor through the tensor product.

- (a) The identity map $M \times N \rightarrow M \times N$.
 (b) The natural projections of $M \times N$ onto M and N .
 (c) The natural map $M \times N \rightarrow M \otimes_R N$.
 (d) Suppose M and N are ideals of R . The multiplication map

$$\begin{aligned} M \times N &\rightarrow R \\ (m, n) &\mapsto mn \end{aligned}$$

- (e) Suppose R is commutative. The matrix multiplication map

$$\begin{aligned} M_{n \times k}(R) \times M_{k \times m}(R) &\rightarrow M_{n \times m}(R) \\ (A, B) &\mapsto AB \end{aligned}$$

- (f) Suppose R is commutative and M, N, P are R -modules. The composition map:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_R(M, N) \times \text{Hom}_R(N, P) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, P) \\ (f, g) &\mapsto g \circ f \end{aligned}$$

- (g) Suppose R is commutative. The dot product map:

$$\begin{aligned} R^n \times R^n &\rightarrow R \\ (v, w) &\mapsto v \cdot w \end{aligned}$$

- (h) Suppose R is commutative. The cross product map:

$$\begin{aligned} R^3 \times R^3 &\rightarrow R^3 \\ (v, w) &\mapsto v \times w \end{aligned}$$

- (i) Suppose R is commutative. The determinant map:

$$\begin{aligned} R^2 \times R^2 &\rightarrow R \\ (v, w) &\mapsto \det \begin{bmatrix} | & | \\ v & w \\ | & | \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

8. Let $V \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ be a complex vector space, and let $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$ be a matrix with respect to the standard basis e_1, e_2 . Write down the matrix for the linear map induced by A on the four-dimensional vector space $V \otimes V$ with respect to the basis $e_1 \otimes e_1, e_1 \otimes e_2, e_2 \otimes e_1, e_2 \otimes e_2$.
9. Let V be a complex vector space. Let $T : V \rightarrow V$ be a diagonalizable linear map with eigenbasis v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n , and associated eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. What are the eigenvalues of the map induced by T on $V \otimes V$, and what are the associated eigenvectors?
10. Let R be a ring and S a subring.
- Give an example of R, S and an S -module that embeds into an R -module.
 - Give an example of R, S , and an S -module that cannot embed into any R -module.
11. (a) We defined how to form the tensor product $M \otimes_R N$ of a right R -module M and a left R -module N . What would go wrong with this construction if M instead had the structure of a left R -module?
 (b) Show that if M is an (S, R) -bimodule and N a left R -module, the tensor product $M \otimes_R N$ has the structure of an S -module. Why must the left action of S and the right action of R on M commute?
12. Verify that the tensor product of maps respects composition:
- $$(\tilde{\phi} \otimes \tilde{\psi}) \circ (\phi \otimes \psi) = (\tilde{\phi} \circ \phi) \otimes (\tilde{\psi} \circ \psi).$$
13. Fill in the details of the proof of that the tensor product associates (Dummit–Foote 10.4 Theorem 14).

Assignment Questions

1. (The functor $\text{Hom}_R(-, D)$).

(a) Show that if D is any R -module, then there is a **contravariant** functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_R(-, D) : R\text{-Mod} &\longrightarrow \text{Ab} \\ M &\longmapsto \text{Hom}_R(M, D) \\ [\phi : M \rightarrow N] &\longmapsto \left[\begin{array}{c} \phi^* : \text{Hom}_R(N, D) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(M, D) \\ f \longmapsto f \circ \phi \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

Remark: The vector space dual functor $\text{Hom}_k(-, k) : V \mapsto \text{Hom}_k(V, k) = V^*$ is a special case.

(b) Show that $\text{Hom}_R(-, D)$ is left exact. This means (for a contravariant functor) that for any short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow A \xrightarrow{\psi} B \xrightarrow{\phi} C \longrightarrow 0$$

the following is exact:

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(C, D) \xrightarrow{\phi^*} \text{Hom}_R(B, D) \xrightarrow{\psi^*} \text{Hom}_R(A, D).$$

(c) Show that this functor may not be exact by finding an example of an injective R -module map $\psi : M \rightarrow N$ such that ψ^* is not surjective.

2. Let R be a **commutative** ring. Let M, N, L be R -modules.

(a) Show that any R -module has the structure of an (R, R) -bimodule.

(b) Verify that the tensor product $M \otimes_R N$ (as defined by the quotient of abelian groups $F(M \times N)/I_\otimes$) has a well-defined R -module structure.

(c) A map $\varphi : M \times N \rightarrow L$ is called R -bilinear if it satisfies the following properties:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(r_1 m_1 + r_2 m_2, n) &= r_1 \varphi(m_1, n) + r_2 \varphi(m_2, n) && (R\text{-linearity in } M) \\ \varphi(m, r_1 n_1 + r_2 n_2) &= r_1 \varphi(m, n_1) + r_2 \varphi(m, n_2) && (R\text{-linearity in } N) \end{aligned}$$

for all $m, m_1, m_2 \in M, n, n_1, n_2 \in N, r_1, r_2 \in R$.

Prove that the tensor product $M \otimes_R N$ (viewed as an R -module) satisfies the following universal property. For any R -bilinear map $\varphi : M \times N \rightarrow L$ there is a unique R -linear map $\Phi : M \otimes_R N \rightarrow L$ that makes the following diagram commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} M \times N & \longrightarrow & M \otimes_R N \\ & \searrow \varphi & \downarrow \exists! \Phi \\ & & L \end{array}$$

(d) Conclude that there is a bijection between the set of R -bilinear maps $M \times N \rightarrow L$ and the set of R -linear maps $M \otimes_R N \rightarrow L$.

3. (a) Let M be a right R -module and N_1, \dots, N_k a set of left R -modules. Prove that the tensor product distributes over direct sums, that is, prove that there is an isomorphism of groups defined by the map

$$\begin{aligned} M \otimes_R (N_1 \oplus \dots \oplus N_k) &\xrightarrow{\cong} (M \otimes_R N_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (M \otimes_R N_k) \\ m \otimes (n_1, \dots, n_k) &\longmapsto (m \otimes n_1, m \otimes n_2, \dots, m \otimes n_k) \end{aligned}$$

Verify that if M is an (S, R) -bimodule, then this is an isomorphism of S -modules.

Hint: Dummit–Foote Chapter 10.4 Theorem 17.

Remark: A similar argument (which you need not verify) shows that

$$(M_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus M_k) \otimes_R N \xrightarrow{\cong} (M_1 \otimes_R N) \oplus \cdots \oplus (M_k \otimes_R N).$$

(b) Conclude that if N is a left R -module, $R^k \otimes_R N \cong N^k$.

(c) Show that if $F(A) \cong \bigoplus_A R$ is the free R -module on a set A , and $F(B) \cong \bigoplus_B R$ is the free R -module on the set B , then $F(A) \otimes_R F(B)$ is the free R -module on the set $A \times B$.

Remark: In particular, this proves our claim about tensor products of vector spaces!

(d) Show that $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}$ are **not** isomorphic as vector spaces over \mathbb{R} .

4. (a) Let D be a right R -module. Prove that this map is a (well-defined) additive covariant functor:

$$\begin{aligned} D \otimes_R - : R\text{-Mod} &\longrightarrow \text{Ab} \\ M &\longmapsto D \otimes_R M \\ [\phi : M \rightarrow N] &\longmapsto \left[\begin{array}{l} \phi_* : D \otimes_R M \longrightarrow D \otimes_R N \\ \phi_*(d \otimes m) = d \otimes \phi(m) \end{array} \right] \end{aligned}$$

(b) Let k be a field, and V a k -vector space. Prove that the functor $V \otimes_k - : k\text{-Vect} \longrightarrow k\text{-Vect}$ is exact.

5. **(Building toward a theory of Jordan Canonical Form: Part 1).** Let V be the $\mathbb{C}[x]$ -module

$$V = \frac{\mathbb{C}[x]}{(x - \lambda)^k} \quad \text{for some } \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}.$$

We write T to denote the \mathbb{C} -linear map $T : V \rightarrow V$ defined by multiplication by $x \in \mathbb{C}[x]$.

(a) Show that $\{(x - \lambda)^{k-1}, (x - \lambda)^{k-2}, \dots, (x - \lambda), 1\}$ is a basis for V .

(b) Write down the matrix for the action of T on V with respect to the ordered basis

$$(x - \lambda)^{k-1}, \quad (x - \lambda)^{k-2}, \quad \dots \quad (x - \lambda), \quad 1.$$

A matrix of this form is called a *Jordan block* with eigenvalue λ .

(c) Verify that λ is the only eigenvalue of this Jordan block, and that the associated eigenspace is 1-dimensional.

6. **Bonus (Optional).** **(The functor $D \otimes_R -$ is right exact.)** Let R be any ring, and D a right R -module.

(a) Show by example that the functor $D \otimes_R - : R\text{-Mod} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}$ may *not* be an exact functor.

(b) Show that $D \otimes_R -$ is right exact. *Hint:* Dummit–Foote 10.5 Theorem 39.

7. **Bonus (Optional).**

(a) Let R be a ring and N a left R -module. Let $I \subseteq R$ be an ideal. Define the R -submodule $IN = \{a_1 n_1 + \cdots + a_k n_k \mid a_i \in I, n_i \in N\}$. Prove that

$$R/I \otimes_R N \cong N/IN$$

(i) using the universal property of the tensor product to construct an R -linear map and a two-sided inverse,

(ii) using the presentation

$$0 \longrightarrow I \longrightarrow R \longrightarrow R/I \longrightarrow 0$$

for R/I and the fact that the tensor product is right-exact.

- (b) Use this result to compute the abelian group $\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ (as a product of cyclic groups) for any $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$.
- (c) Let k be a field and let $R = k[x, y]$. Give simple descriptions of the following R -modules, and determine their dimensions over k .

$$\frac{R}{(x)} \otimes_R \frac{R}{(x-y)} \quad \frac{R}{(x)} \otimes_R \frac{R}{(x-1)} \quad \frac{R}{(y-1)} \otimes_R \frac{R}{(x-y)}$$