Name: .

Score (Out of ?? points):

- 1. Let (X, d) be a metric space.
  - (a) (2 points) Let  $\mathscr{B}(X,\mathbb{R})$  denote the set of bounded functions  $f: X \to \mathbb{R}$ , where  $\mathbb{R}$  has the Euclidean metric. Explain how to combine our results from class to show that  $\mathscr{B}(X,\mathbb{R})$  is a complete metric space with respect to the sup metric  $\rho$ .

**Solution:** We proved in class that  $\mathbb{R}$  is complete, and therefore that the space of functions  $\mathbb{R}^X$  is complete with respect to the uniform metric  $\bar{\rho}$ . We proved that the subspace  $\mathscr{B}(X,\mathbb{R})$  of bounded functions is closed in  $\mathbb{R}^X$ , and moreover that a closed subset of a complete metric space is also complete. Finally, we saw that on  $\mathscr{B}(X,\mathbb{R})$ ,

$$\bar{\rho}(f,g) = \min\{\rho(f,g), 1\},\$$

and that it follows that  $\mathscr{B}(X,\mathbb{R})$  is complete with respect to the sup metric if and only if it is complete with respect to the uniform metric.

(b) (3 points) Fix  $x_0 \in X$ . For each  $a \in X$ , define a function

$$\phi_a : X \to \mathbb{R}$$
  
$$\phi_a(x) = d(x, a) - d(x, x_0).$$

Use the triangle inequality to show that  $|d(x, a) - d(x, x_0)| \le d(a, x_0)$ . Conclude that  $\phi_a$  is bounded.

Solution: By the triangle inequality,

$$d(x, a) \le d(x, x_0) - d(x_0, a)$$
 so  
 $d(x_0, a) \ge d(x, x_0) - d(x, a).$ 

It also follows from the triangle inequality that

$$d(x, x_0) \le d(x, a) - d(a, x_0)$$
 so  
 $d(x_0, a) \ge d(x, a) - d(x, x_0).$ 

Combining these results implies  $d(x_0, a) \ge |d(x, a) - d(x, x_0)|$ , as claimed.

Thus  $|\phi_a(x)| \leq d(x_0, a)$  for all  $x \in X$ . This implies that the image  $\phi_a(X)$  is contained in the closed interval  $[-d(x_0, a), d(x_0, a)] \subseteq \mathbb{R}$  and hence is bounded.

(c) (3 points) Show that the function

$$\Phi: X \to \mathscr{B}(X, \mathbb{R})$$
$$\Phi(a) = [\phi_a: X \to \mathbb{R}]$$

defines an isometric embedding of X into the complete metric space  $\mathscr{B}(X,\mathbb{R})$  with the sup metric  $\rho$ . Recall that this means that, for all  $a, b \in X$ ,

$$d(a,b) = \rho(\phi_a, \phi_b).$$

**Solution:** We proved in part (b) that this function  $\phi_a$  is bounded for each a, which shows that the map  $\Phi$  is well-defined. It remains to show that it is an isometric embedding.

The sup metric  $\rho$  is defined by the equation

$$\rho(\phi_a, \phi_b) = \sup_{x \in X} |\phi_a(x) - \phi_b(x)|$$
  
=  $\sup_{x \in X} |d(x, a) - d(x, x_0) - d(x, b) + d(x, x_0)|$   
=  $\sup_{x \in X} |d(x, a) - d(x, b)|$ 

But then replacing  $x_0$  by b in the computation in part (b), we conclude that

$$|d(x,a) - d(x,b)| \le d(a,b),$$

 $\mathbf{SO}$ 

$$\rho(\phi_a, \phi_b) = \sup_{x \in X} |d(x, a) - d(x, b)|$$
$$\leq \sup_{x \in X} |d(a, b)|$$
$$= d(a, b).$$

To obtain a lower bound on the superemum, observe that when x = a,

$$|\phi_a(x) - \phi_b(x)| = |d(x, a) - d(x, b)| = |d(a, a) - d(a, b)| = d(a, b).$$

We conclude that  $\rho(\phi_a, \phi_b) = d(a, b)$ .