Correlations of Fractional Parts of Dilated Harmonic Sequences

Jeff Lagarias University of Michigan

> David Montague Stanford University

Joint Math Meetings-San Diego Special Session on Arithmetic Statistics, January 10, 2013

Credits

- Work of Jeff Lagarias was partially supported by NSF grants DMS-0801029 and DMS-1101373.
- Some work of David Montague was done as part of an REU at the University of Michigan in 2009, supported by NSF.

Table of Contents

- 1. Dilated Harmonic Sequences
- 2. Results
- 3. Methods

The harmonic sequence is $1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}...,$

The dilated harmonic sequence with integer dilation factor n is

$$n, \frac{n}{2}, \frac{n}{3}, \dots$$

Its fractional parts are

$$x_k(n) := \{\frac{n}{k}\},$$

for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$

More generally, one could allow a real dilation factor y > 0. Then there is a decoupling:

$$x_k(y) := \{\frac{y}{n}\} = x_k([y]) + \frac{y - [y]}{n}$$

3

Problem 1. What is the distribution of the numbers

$$x_k(n) = \{\frac{n}{k}\},$$

for $1 \le k \le f(n)$, as $n \to \infty$?

Answer: It will depend on f(n).

When f(n) is small compared to n, we might expect that the successive fractional parts might be "random".

For large f(n) we will get a lot of fractional parts very near 0. The distribution must approach a delta function supported at x = 0.

Consider the special case f(n) = n.

This was investigated by Dirichlet, while studying the divisor problem. He showed:

Theorem. (Dirichlet 1849)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \{\frac{n}{k}\} = (1 - \gamma)n + O(\sqrt{n}),$$

where $\gamma = 0.57721 \cdots$ is Euler's constant.

The expected value of the fractional parts is $1 - \gamma = 0.42278 \cdots$, and the fractional parts cannot be uniformly distributed in this range, since the mean is not 1/2.

Dirichlet guessed from this that there are more fractional parts in [0, 1/2] than in [1/2, 1]. He then determined that the number of large fractional parts is asymptotic to $(\log 4 - 1)n$ and the smaller ones to $(2 - \log 4)n$. Here

 $2 - \log 4 = 0.613705.$

Consider next the special case $f(n) = \sqrt{n}$.

Dirichlet also encountered this case in connection with the divisor problem, which is that of estimating

$$\sum_{1 \le n \le x} d(n) = x \log x + (2\gamma - 1)x + \Delta(n).$$

His hyperbola method showed that

$$\Delta(n) = -2\Big(\sum_{k=1}^{\sqrt{n}} \{\frac{x}{k}\} - \frac{1}{2}\Big).$$

This immediately gives

$$|\Delta(n)| = O(\sqrt{n}),$$

but one should expect further cancellation in the sum.

Suppose that the x_k were independent, identically distributed. random variables.

Theorem. (Law of iterated logarithm) For a sequence of independent, identically distributed (iid) uniformly distributed random variables on [0, 1]. Set

$$Y_N := \sum_{i=1}^N (x_k - \frac{1}{2})$$

Drawing Y_1, Y_2, Y_3, \dots with new x_k each time, then with probability one

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{Y_N}{\sqrt{N} \log \log N} = 1/\sqrt{3}.$$

8

• This random model predicts:

•

$$|\Delta(n)| = O(n^{1/4} \log \log n)$$

• But are the $x_k(n) = \{n/k\}$ approximately i.i.d. uniform on [0, 1], as k varies, for $f(n) = \sqrt{n}$?

Continuing with $\Delta(n)$, estimating its size is called the Dirichlet Divisor problem.

van der Corput(1923) improved Dirichlet's bound to $O(n^{1/3})$, and the current record is $O(n^{0.31490})$ of Huxley (2003). In the other direction:

Theorem. (Hardy and Landau 1916)

$$|\Delta(n)| = \Omega(n^{1/4}).$$

This bound improve by Soundararajan (2003) to:

$$\Omega(n^{1/4}(\log n)^{1/4}(\log\log n)^b(\log\log\log n)^{-5/8})$$
 where $b = 3/4(2^{4/3}-1)$.

To what extent do the $x_k(n)$ behave like independent, uniformly distributed random variables for $f(n) = \sqrt{n}$?

Answer. The $x_k(n)$ are individually uniformly distributed as $n \to \infty$, with $f(n) = \sqrt{n}$.

Follows from: Isbell-Schanuel (1976), Saffari-Vaughan (1977)

Answer: We will show for $f(n) = \sqrt{n}$ that the joint random variables $(x_k(n), x_{k+1}(n))$ are correlated. They go to a limiting distribution which is a "continuous" distribution on the square $[0, 1]^2$, but it is not the uniform distribution on the square.

Disclaimer: We get no results about $\Delta(n)$.

The distribution of $\{\frac{n}{k}\}$ was investigated in detail by B. Saffari -R. Vaughan (Ann. Inst. Fourier 1976/1977) for a range of f(n). They are obtained general, flexible bounds, as well as rates of convergence. Their results imply uniform distribution at this scale, but their main result does not apply for slow growing $f(n) = O(n^{1/3} \log n)$.

They get an explicit formula for the mass of the distribution on the interval $[0, \alpha)$, for f(x) = cx, with $0 < c \le 1$. which depends on c. For c = 1, it is the continuous distribution:

$$Prob[\{n/k\} \in [0, \alpha]] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha}{k(k+\alpha)}$$

2. Results-1

For very small f(n) there is no limiting distribution. (*) If $f(n) < C \log n$ then there is no limit distribution of fractional parts as $n \to \infty$.

Theorem 1. (Uniform Distribution) If f(n) is increasing and if

$$f(n) >> \exp\left((\log 2 + \epsilon)(\log n / \log \log n)\right)$$

for some $\epsilon > 0$, and as $n \to \infty$

$$f(n) = o(n),$$

then the limit distribution is the uniform distribution.

This result is in in Schanuel-Isbell (1976) for the range down to $f(n) = n^{\epsilon}$.

3. Results-2

Theorem. For fixed $0 < c < \infty$

 $f(n) \sim cn$

as $n \to \infty$, then the distribution $x_k(n)$ approaches a limiting distribution which appears to be continuous on [0,1]. (It may vanish on part of the interval.) The Fourier series of this distribution can be given explicitly.

Note. If $f(n)/n \to \infty$ then the distribution of $x_k(n)$ has limiting distribution a delta function at x = 0.

The case $0 < c \le 1$ is done in Saffari-Vaughan(1977).

3. Results-3

Our main result extends the result above to multiple correlations. Throughout we assume, for some $\epsilon > 0$,

$$f(n) >> \exp\left((\log 2 + \epsilon)(\log n / \log \log n)\right).$$

Theorem (Joint Pair Distribution) One has a trichotomy. (1) The joint distribution of pairs $(x_k(n), x_{k+1}(n))$ will be be uniform up to a scale $o(\sqrt{n})$.

(2) The joint distribution will be non-uniform with a distribution "continuous" on the square for $f(n) = c\sqrt{n}$, $0 < c < \infty$;

(3) The joint distribution $(x_k(n), x_{k+1}(n))$ will be totally correlated (i.e. supported on the diagonal of the square) whenever $f(n)/\sqrt{n} \to \infty$ as $n \to \infty$.

3, Results-4

For multiple joint distribution of $(x_k(n), ..., x_{k+j}(n))$ there is also a trichotomy. The threshold for change of behavior is proportional to the scale $n^{1/(j+1)}$.

In the totally-correlated regime $x_{k+j}(n)$ will in the limit $n \to \infty$ be totally determined by the values $(x_k(n), x_{k+1}(n), ..., x_{k+j-1}(n))$ when $f(n)/n^{1/j} \to \infty$.

In the middle range, where the distribution changes, the Fourier coefficients are obtained for the distribution on the unit (j+1)-cube.

3, Methods

The proofs use the van der Corput method.

A key point is to go after the Fourier coefficients of the distribution, rather than after a direct formula for the cumulative density function, as in Saffari-Vaughan.

Work to do: We have not unwound what the Fourier coefficients say about the density function of the distribution.

Thank you for your attention!