Integrable Nonlinear Waves and Singular Asymptotics

Peter D. Miller Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan

September 15, 2006



Outline

- I. Focusing NLS and N-Solitons
- II. The N-Soliton for N Large
 - A. Phenomenology
 - B. Principles of Riemann-Hilbert Analysis
- III. The Genus-Zero Region
 - A. Location of the Primary Caustic
 - B. Application: Supercontinuum Generation and Power Spectra
- IV. The Genus-Two Region
 - A. Violation of Assumptions and Method Reformulation
 - B. New Mechanism for the Secondary Caustic
- V. Generalizations
- VI. Conclusion



Focusing NLS and *N***-Solitons**

The focusing Nonlinear Schrödinger equation is:

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2} + |\psi|^2\psi = 0.$$



Focusing NLS and *N***-Solitons**

The focusing Nonlinear Schrödinger equation is:

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2} + |\psi|^2\psi = 0.$$

The N-soliton is just the particular solution of this equation denoted $\psi = \psi_N(x, t)$ satisfying the initial condition:

$$\psi_N(x,0) = N \operatorname{sech}(x), \qquad N = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$



Focusing NLS and N-Solitons

The focusing Nonlinear Schrödinger equation is:

$$i\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2\psi}{\partial x^2} + |\psi|^2\psi = 0.$$

The N-soliton is just the particular solution of this equation denoted $\psi = \psi_N(x, t)$ satisfying the initial condition:

$$\psi_N(x,0) = N \operatorname{sech}(x), \qquad N = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

This solution is a model for the effect of variation of amplitude on pulse propagation in nonlinear fiber optics. It will serve in this talk as a basic example of the theory of the semiclassical limit for the focusing NLS equation.



There is a general scheme for solving initial-value problems for the focusing NLS equation (Zakharov & Shabat, 1972). For the N-soliton this method reduces to linear algebra (Satsuma & Yajima, 1974), and $\psi_N(x, t)$ can be obtained in closed-form.



There is a general scheme for solving initial-value problems for the focusing NLS equation (Zakharov & Shabat, 1972). For the N-soliton this method reduces to linear algebra (Satsuma & Yajima, 1974), and $\psi_N(x, t)$ can be obtained in closed-form.

Begin with some "spectral data":

 $\lambda_{N,k} := i(N-k-1/2)\,, \qquad \gamma_{N,k} := \left(-1
ight)^{k+1}\,, \qquad k=0,\ldots,N-1\,.$



There is a general scheme for solving initial-value problems for the focusing NLS equation (Zakharov & Shabat, 1972). For the N-soliton this method reduces to linear algebra (Satsuma & Yajima, 1974), and $\psi_N(x, t)$ can be obtained in closed-form.

Begin with some "spectral data":

$$\lambda_{N,k} := i(N-k-1/2), \qquad \gamma_{N,k} := (-1)^{k+1}, \qquad k = 0, \dots, N-1.$$

Introduce an N-vector f with elements

$$f_k := e^{i(\lambda_{N,k}x + \lambda_{N,k}^2 t)} \left[\frac{2i}{\gamma_{N,k}} \cdot \frac{\prod_{n=0}^{N-1} (\lambda_{N,k} - \lambda_{N,n}^*)}{\prod_{\substack{n=0\\n \neq k}}^{N-1} (\lambda_{N,k} - \lambda_{N,n})} \right]^{1/2}, \qquad k = 0, \dots, N-1.$$



Then build the Hermitian matrix ${\bf B}$ with elements

$$B_{jk} := -\frac{1}{2i} \cdot \frac{f_j f_k^*}{\lambda_{N,j} - \lambda_{N,k}^*}, \qquad j,k = 0,\ldots, N-1,$$

and let $\mathbf{K} := \mathbf{B}^* \mathbf{B}$ (* means conjugation, without transposition).



Linear Algebra Algorithm for the *N*-Soliton

Then build the Hermitian matrix ${f B}$ with elements

$$B_{jk} := -\frac{1}{2i} \cdot \frac{f_j f_k^*}{\lambda_{N,j} - \lambda_{N,k}^*}, \qquad j,k = 0,\ldots, N-1,$$

and let $\mathbf{K} := \mathbf{B}^* \mathbf{B}$ (* means conjugation, without transposition).

Solve (independently for each x and t) the $N \times N$ linear (Fredholm-type) system

$$(\mathbb{I} + \mathbf{K})\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{f}^*$$
.



Linear Algebra Algorithm for the N-Soliton

Then build the Hermitian matrix ${f B}$ with elements

$$B_{jk} := -\frac{1}{2i} \cdot \frac{f_j f_k^*}{\lambda_{N,j} - \lambda_{N,k}^*}, \qquad j,k = 0,\ldots, N-1,$$

and let $\mathbf{K} := \mathbf{B}^* \mathbf{B}$ (* means conjugation, without transposition).

Solve (independently for each x and t) the $N \times N$ linear (Fredholm-type) system

$$(\mathbb{I} + \mathbf{K})\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{f}^*$$
.

Then, the formula

$$\psi_N(x,t)={f f}^\dagger {f c}\,,$$

(\dagger means conjugate-transpose) gives the N-soliton solution.



Basic Properties of the $N\mathchar`-Soliton$

The most famous examples of the N-soliton solution correspond to small N:



The most famous examples of the N-soliton solution correspond to small N:

• N = 1: $\psi_N(x, t) = e^{it/2} \operatorname{sech}(x)$. This is the soliton.



The most famous examples of the N-soliton solution correspond to small N:

- N = 1: $\psi_N(x, t) = e^{it/2} \operatorname{sech}(x)$. This is the soliton.
- $N=2: \psi_N(x,t)$ is a "breather".



The most famous examples of the N-soliton solution correspond to small N:

- N = 1: $\psi_N(x, t) = e^{it/2} \operatorname{sech}(x)$. This is the soliton.
- N=2: $\psi_N(x,t)$ is a "breather".

But for more general N we can read off some features of the solution from the form of the matrix elements and the initial condition:



The most famous examples of the N-soliton solution correspond to small N:

- N = 1: $\psi_N(x, t) = e^{it/2} \operatorname{sech}(x)$. This is the soliton.
- N=2: $\psi_N(x,t)$ is a "breather".

But for more general N we can read off some features of the solution from the form of the matrix elements and the initial condition:

• $\psi_N(x,t)$ is periodic in t with a period independent of N.



The most famous examples of the N-soliton solution correspond to small N:

- N = 1: $\psi_N(x, t) = e^{it/2} \operatorname{sech}(x)$. This is the soliton.
- N=2: $\psi_N(x,t)$ is a "breather".

But for more general N we can read off some features of the solution from the form of the matrix elements and the initial condition:

- $\psi_N(x,t)$ is periodic in t with a period independent of N.
- The amplitude $|\psi_N(x,t)|$ scales like N.



The most famous examples of the N-soliton solution correspond to small N:

- N = 1: $\psi_N(x, t) = e^{it/2} \operatorname{sech}(x)$. This is the soliton.
- N=2: $\psi_N(x,t)$ is a "breather".

But for more general N we can read off some features of the solution from the form of the matrix elements and the initial condition:

- $\psi_N(x,t)$ is periodic in t with a period independent of N.
- The amplitude $|\psi_N(x,t)|$ scales like N.
- The smallest scale in x scales like $\Delta x \sim N^{-1}$.



The most famous examples of the N-soliton solution correspond to small N:

- N = 1: $\psi_N(x, t) = e^{it/2} \operatorname{sech}(x)$. This is the soliton.
- N=2: $\psi_N(x,t)$ is a "breather".

But for more general N we can read off some features of the solution from the form of the matrix elements and the initial condition:

- $\psi_N(x,t)$ is periodic in t with a period independent of N.
- The amplitude $|\psi_N(x,t)|$ scales like N.
- The smallest scale in x scales like $\Delta x \sim N^{-1}$.
- The smallest scale in t scales like $\Delta t \sim N^{-2}$.





The N-Soliton for N Large

The behavior of the N-soliton for large N is important because there is a natural connection with the semiclassical limit of the focusing NLS equation:



The behavior of the N-soliton for large N is important because there is a natural connection with the semiclassical limit of the focusing NLS equation: setting

$$\psi_N = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \tilde{\psi}, \qquad t = \epsilon \tilde{t}, \qquad \epsilon = \frac{A}{N},$$

and dropping tildes, the renormalized N-soliton satisfies:

$$i\epsilon \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + |\psi|^2 \psi = 0, \qquad \psi(x,0) = A \operatorname{sech}(x).$$

This problem is connected with the theory of pulse propagation in optical fibers with weak anomalous dispersion.



Application: Supercontinuum Generation





Application: Supercontinuum Generation





Generally, we would like to be able to analyze solutions of initial-value problems like

$$i\epsilon \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + |\psi|^2 \psi = 0, \qquad \psi(x,0) = A(x)e^{iS(x)/\epsilon},$$

when ϵ is a small number. Some remarks about computational approaches for small ϵ :



Generally, we would like to be able to analyze solutions of initial-value problems like

$$i\epsilon \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + |\psi|^2 \psi = 0, \qquad \psi(x,0) = A(x) e^{iS(x)/\epsilon},$$

when ϵ is a small number. Some remarks about computational approaches for small ϵ :

• Direct numerical simulation very difficult:

 \star The problem is "stiff" when ϵ is small.



Generally, we would like to be able to analyze solutions of initial-value problems like

$$i\epsilon \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + |\psi|^2 \psi = 0, \qquad \psi(x,0) = A(x)e^{iS(x)/\epsilon},$$

when ϵ is a small number. Some remarks about computational approaches for small ϵ :

• Direct numerical simulation very difficult:

- **\star** The problem is "stiff" when ϵ is small.
- * Worse yet, the limiting problem is ill-posed: setting $\rho := |\psi|^2$ and $u := \epsilon \Im(\log(\psi)_x)$, the initial-value problem becomes exactly,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(\rho u) = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(\frac{1}{2\rho} \frac{\partial^2 \rho}{\partial x^2} - \left[\frac{1}{2\rho} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x} \right]^2 \right)$$

with initial data $\rho(x, 0) = A(x)^2$ and u(x, 0) = S'(x). Setting $\epsilon = 0$ yields a Cauchy problem for an elliptic system. Reason: enhanced modulational instability.



- Use of N-soliton formula in reflectionless cases (e.g. $A(x) = A \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and $S(x) \equiv 0$ with $\epsilon = A/N$):
 - \star Explicit formulae (from determinants) involve $\sim N!$ terms.



- Use of N-soliton formula in reflectionless cases (e.g. $A(x) = A \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and $S(x) \equiv 0$ with $\epsilon = A/N$):
 - \star Explicit formulae (from determinants) involve $\sim N!$ terms.
 - * Numerical calculations, while attractive because errors don't propagate, are hindered by matrix condition numbers growing rapidly with N.



- Use of N-soliton formula in reflectionless cases (e.g. $A(x) = A \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and $S(x) \equiv 0$ with $\epsilon = A/N$):
 - \star Explicit formulae (from determinants) involve $\sim N!$ terms.
 - * Numerical calculations, while attractive because errors don't propagate, are hindered by matrix condition numbers growing rapidly with N.

However difficult they are, numerical experiments for small ϵ have suggested remarkable structure:



- Use of N-soliton formula in reflectionless cases (e.g. $A(x) = A \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and $S(x) \equiv 0$ with $\epsilon = A/N$):
 - \star Explicit formulae (from determinants) involve $\sim N!$ terms.
 - * Numerical calculations, while attractive because errors don't propagate, are hindered by matrix condition numbers growing rapidly with N.

However difficult they are, numerical experiments for small ϵ have suggested remarkable structure:

• Quasiperiodic microstructure on scales $\Delta x \sim \Delta t \sim \epsilon$.



- Use of N-soliton formula in reflectionless cases (e.g. $A(x) = A \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and $S(x) \equiv 0$ with $\epsilon = A/N$):
 - \star Explicit formulae (from determinants) involve $\sim N!$ terms.
 - * Numerical calculations, while attractive because errors don't propagate, are hindered by matrix condition numbers growing rapidly with N.

However difficult they are, numerical experiments for small ϵ have suggested remarkable structure:

- Quasiperiodic microstructure on scales $\Delta x \sim \Delta t \sim \epsilon$.
- Asymptotically sharp "caustic curves" separate different phases.



References:

- (M & Kamvissis, 1998)
- (Lyng & M, 2007)
- (Bronski & Kutz, 1999)
- (Ceniceros & Tian, 2002)
- (Clarke & M, 2002)

(Latter three using direct numerical simulation of the semiclassically scaled PDE, also for more general initial data.) Weak limits evidently exist in oscillatory regions (bounded amplitude).





References:

- (M & Kamvissis, 1998)
- (Lyng & M, 2007)
- (Bronski & Kutz, 1999)
- (Ceniceros & Tian, 2002)
- (Clarke & M, 2002)

(Latter three using direct numerical simulation of the semiclassically scaled PDE, also for more general initial data.) Weak limits evidently exist in oscillatory regions (bounded amplitude).





References:

- (M & Kamvissis, 1998)
- (Lyng & M, 2007)
- (Bronski & Kutz, 1999)
- (Ceniceros & Tian, 2002)
- (Clarke & M, 2002)

(Latter three using direct numerical simulation of the semiclassically scaled PDE, also for more general initial data.) Weak limits evidently exist in oscillatory regions (bounded amplitude).





References:

- (M & Kamvissis, 1998)
- (Lyng & M, 2007)
- (Bronski & Kutz, 1999)
- (Ceniceros & Tian, 2002)
- (Clarke & M, 2002)

(Latter three using direct numerical simulation of the semiclassically scaled PDE, also for more general initial data.) Weak limits evidently exist in oscillatory regions (bounded amplitude).





Large N Asymptotics: Some Natural Questions


• What is the mechanism that causes these caustic curves?



- What is the mechanism that causes these caustic curves?
- How many caustics are there?



- What is the mechanism that causes these caustic curves?
- How many caustics are there?
- If there are an infinite number of caustics, do they all occur for finite t?





or



- What is the mechanism that causes these caustic curves?
- How many caustics are there?
- If there are an infinite number of caustics, do they all occur for finite t?





or

• Can the locations of the caustics be predicted?



- What is the mechanism that causes these caustic curves?
- How many caustics are there?
- If there are an infinite number of caustics, do they all occur for finite t?





- Can the locations of the caustics be predicted?
- Can the rescaled N-soliton be described asymptotically in between the caustics?

or



Large N Asymptotics: Analysis

We need a way to organize a huge calculation to reveal the dominant contribution as $N \to \infty.$



Large N Asymptotics: Analysis

We need a way to organize a huge calculation to reveal the dominant contribution as $N \to \infty.$

A method that works for analogous calculations in the Korteweg-de Vries (Lax & Levermore, 1983) and defocusing NLS (Jin, Levermore, & D. McLaughlin, 1999) contexts: maybe only certain terms in $det(\mathbb{I} + \mathbf{K})$ are important. Expand the Fredholm determinant in principal minors:

$$\det(\mathbb{I} + \mathbf{K}) = 1 + \sum_{S \subset \{0, \dots, N-1\}} \det(\mathbf{K}_S).$$

Elements of \mathbf{K}_S taken from rows and columns of \mathbf{K} with indices in S. Minors $det(\mathbf{K}_S)$ are explicitly computed. If they are all positive, the sum is dominated by the largest term. This leads to a variational characterization of the limit.



Large N Asymptotics: Analysis

We need a way to organize a huge calculation to reveal the dominant contribution as $N \to \infty.$

A method that works for analogous calculations in the Korteweg-de Vries (Lax & Levermore, 1983) and defocusing NLS (Jin, Levermore, & D. McLaughlin, 1999) contexts: maybe only certain terms in $det(\mathbb{I} + \mathbf{K})$ are important. Expand the Fredholm determinant in principal minors:

$$\det(\mathbb{I} + \mathbf{K}) = 1 + \sum_{S \subset \{0, \dots, N-1\}} \det(\mathbf{K}_S).$$

Elements of \mathbf{K}_S taken from rows and columns of \mathbf{K} with indices in S. Minors $det(\mathbf{K}_S)$ are explicitly computed. If they are all positive, the sum is dominated by the largest term. This leads to a variational characterization of the limit.

This method fails in the focusing case due to sign-indefinite minors. Cancellation. No dominant term.



Consider instead the origin of the linear algebra problem for the N-soliton: the Riemann-Hilbert problem of inverse-scattering.



Consider instead the origin of the linear algebra problem for the N-soliton: the Riemann-Hilbert problem of inverse-scattering.

Begin with rescaled scattering data:

$$\lambda_{N,k}:=i\epsilon(N-k-1/2)\,,\qquad \gamma_{N,k}:=\left(-1
ight)^{k+1},\qquad k=0,\ldots,N-1\,.$$



Consider instead the origin of the linear algebra problem for the N-soliton: the Riemann-Hilbert problem of inverse-scattering.

Begin with rescaled scattering data:

$$\lambda_{N,k} := i\epsilon (N-k-1/2), \qquad \gamma_{N,k} := (-1)^{k+1}, \qquad k = 0, \dots, N-1.$$

Set

$$c_k(x,t) := rac{1}{\gamma_{N,k}} \mathop{\mathrm{Res}}_{\lambda_{N,k}} W(\lambda) \,, \qquad W(\lambda) := e^{2i(\lambda x + \lambda^2 t)/\epsilon} \prod_{n=0}^{N-1} rac{\lambda - \lambda_{N,n}^*}{\lambda - \lambda_{N,n}} \,.$$



Consider instead the origin of the linear algebra problem for the N-soliton: the Riemann-Hilbert problem of inverse-scattering.

Begin with rescaled scattering data:

$$\lambda_{N,k} := i\epsilon (N-k-1/2), \qquad \gamma_{N,k} := (-1)^{k+1}, \qquad k = 0, \dots, N-1.$$

Set

$$c_k(x,t) := rac{1}{\gamma_{N,k}} \mathop{\mathrm{Res}}_{\lambda_{N,k}} W(\lambda) \,, \qquad W(\lambda) := e^{2i(\lambda x + \lambda^2 t)/\epsilon} \prod_{n=0}^{N-1} rac{\lambda - \lambda_{N,n}^*}{\lambda - \lambda_{N,n}} \,.$$

The Riemann-Hilbert problem is: seek a 2×2 matrix $\mathbf{m}(\lambda)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, with the following properties:



1. Rationality: $\mathbf{m}(\lambda)$ is a rational function of λ with simple poles confined to $\{\lambda_{N,n}, \lambda_{N,n}^*\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$ such that for $k = 0, \ldots, N-1$:

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname*{Res}_{\lambda_{N,k}} \mathbf{m}(\lambda) = \lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_{N,k}} \mathbf{m}(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c_k(x,t) & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ & \operatorname*{Res}_{\lambda_{N,k}^*} \mathbf{m}(\lambda) = \lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_{N,k}^*} \mathbf{m}(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -c_k(x,t)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$



1. Rationality: $\mathbf{m}(\lambda)$ is a rational function of λ with simple poles confined to $\{\lambda_{N,n}, \lambda_{N,n}^*\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$ such that for $k = 0, \ldots, N-1$:

$$\begin{split} & \underset{\lambda_{N,k}}{\operatorname{Res}} \mathbf{m}(\lambda) = \lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_{N,k}} \mathbf{m}(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c_k(x,t) & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ & \underset{\lambda_{N,k}}{\operatorname{Res}} \mathbf{m}(\lambda) = \lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_{N,k}^*} \mathbf{m}(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -c_k(x,t)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

2. Normalization: $\mathbf{m}(\lambda) \to \mathbb{I}$ as $\lambda \to \infty$.



1. Rationality: $\mathbf{m}(\lambda)$ is a rational function of λ with simple poles confined to $\{\lambda_{N,n}, \lambda_{N,n}^*\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$ such that for $k = 0, \ldots, N-1$:

$$\begin{split} & \operatorname*{Res}_{\lambda_{N,k}} \mathbf{m}(\lambda) = \lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_{N,k}} \mathbf{m}(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ c_k(x,t) & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\ & \operatorname*{Res}_{\lambda_{N,k}^*} \mathbf{m}(\lambda) = \lim_{\lambda \to \lambda_{N,k}^*} \mathbf{m}(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -c_k(x,t)^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{split}$$

2. Normalization: $\mathbf{m}(\lambda) \to \mathbb{I}$ as $\lambda \to \infty$.

From the solution of this Riemann-Hilbert problem,

$$\psi(x,t) = 2i \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} \lambda m_{12}(\lambda)$$
.



One could solve for $\mathbf{m}(\lambda)$ by using a partial-fractions ansatz:

$$\mathbf{m}(\lambda) = \mathbb{I} + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} rac{\mathbf{a}_k}{\lambda - \lambda_{N,k}} + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} rac{\mathbf{b}_k}{\lambda - \lambda_{N,k}^*} \,,$$

which leads directly to a linear algebra problem governing the matrix elements of the coefficients \mathbf{a}_k and \mathbf{b}_k . This is essentially the same problem already considered. So that would probably be a bad idea.



One could solve for $\mathbf{m}(\lambda)$ by using a partial-fractions ansatz:

$$\mathbf{m}(\lambda) = \mathbb{I} + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} rac{\mathbf{a}_k}{\lambda - \lambda_{N,k}} + \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} rac{\mathbf{b}_k}{\lambda - \lambda_{N,k}^*} \,,$$

which leads directly to a linear algebra problem governing the matrix elements of the coefficients \mathbf{a}_k and \mathbf{b}_k . This is essentially the same problem already considered. So that would probably be a bad idea.

Instead, follow a general procedure that can be viewed as a two-step process:



Remove the poles. Interpolate residues inside a contour Σ . We get a new unknown $\mathbf{M}(\lambda)$ defined for $\Im(\lambda) > 0$ by:

$$\mathbf{M}(\lambda) := \mathbf{m}(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\ iW(\lambda)e^{\pi(\lambda - iA)/\epsilon} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{inside } \Sigma,$$

and outside of Σ , $\mathbf{M}(\lambda) := \mathbf{m}(\lambda)$. Then for $\Im(\lambda) < 0$, set $\mathbf{M}(\lambda) := \sigma_2 \mathbf{M}(\lambda^*)^* \sigma_2$.





Then, $\mathbf{M}(\lambda)$ has no poles at all. Instead it has a jump discontinuity across $\Sigma \cup \Sigma^*$:

$$\mathbf{M}_+(\lambda) = \mathbf{M}_-(\lambda) egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \ iS(\lambda)e^{F(\lambda)/\epsilon} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma\,.$$

where $F(\lambda)$ is a function with a branch cut in the interval [-iA, iA]:

$$F(\lambda) = 2i(\lambda x + \lambda^2 t) + \pi(\lambda - iA) + 2i\lambda \log(-i\lambda)$$
$$-i(\lambda - iA) \log(-i(\lambda - iA)) - i(\lambda + iA) \log(-i(\lambda + iA)).$$

The branch cut may be thought of as a "continuum limit" of the poles that used to be present.



Then, $\mathbf{M}(\lambda)$ has no poles at all. Instead it has a jump discontinuity across $\Sigma \cup \Sigma^*$:

$$\mathbf{M}_+(\lambda) = \mathbf{M}_-(\lambda) egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \ iS(\lambda)e^{F(\lambda)/\epsilon} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma\,.$$

where $F(\lambda)$ is a function with a branch cut in the interval [-iA, iA]:

$$F(\lambda) = 2i(\lambda x + \lambda^2 t) + \pi(\lambda - iA) + 2i\lambda \log(-i\lambda)$$
$$-i(\lambda - iA) \log(-i(\lambda - iA)) - i(\lambda + iA) \log(-i(\lambda + iA)).$$

The branch cut may be thought of as a "continuum limit" of the poles that used to be present. Some notes:

• $S(\lambda) \approx 1$ if ϵ is small and Σ avoids the poles of $\mathbf{m}(\lambda)$.



Then, $\mathbf{M}(\lambda)$ has no poles at all. Instead it has a jump discontinuity across $\Sigma \cup \Sigma^*$:

$$\mathbf{M}_+(\lambda) = \mathbf{M}_-(\lambda) egin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \ iS(\lambda)e^{F(\lambda)/\epsilon} & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \lambda \in \Sigma \,.$$

where $F(\lambda)$ is a function with a branch cut in the interval [-iA, iA]:

$$F(\lambda) = 2i(\lambda x + \lambda^2 t) + \pi(\lambda - iA) + 2i\lambda \log(-i\lambda)$$
$$-i(\lambda - iA) \log(-i(\lambda - iA)) - i(\lambda + iA) \log(-i(\lambda + iA)).$$

The branch cut may be thought of as a "continuum limit" of the poles that used to be present. Some notes:

- $S(\lambda) \approx 1$ if ϵ is small and Σ avoids the poles of $\mathbf{m}(\lambda)$.
- The freedom of choice of Σ is like choice of contour in steepest descent for integrals. But watch out if you want Σ to cross over the poles!



Stabilize the problem. Consider $g(\lambda)$ analytic in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \Sigma \cup \Sigma^*$ with $g(\lambda) \to 0$ as $\lambda \to \infty$ and $g(\lambda) + g(\lambda^*)^* = 0$. Then a new unknown $\mathbf{N}(\lambda)$ is defined by

$$\mathbf{N}(\lambda) := \mathbf{M}(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} e^{-g(\lambda)/\epsilon} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{g(\lambda)/\epsilon} \end{bmatrix} \,.$$

The jump condition for $\mathbf{N}(\lambda)$ on Σ is now of the form

$$\mathbf{N}_{+}(\lambda) = \mathbf{N}_{-}(\lambda) \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\theta(\lambda)/\epsilon} & 0\\ iS(\lambda)e^{\phi(\lambda)/\epsilon} & e^{-i\theta(\lambda)/\epsilon} \end{bmatrix}, \qquad \lambda \in \Sigma,$$

where $\theta(\lambda) := i(g_+(\lambda) - g_-(\lambda))$ and $\phi(\lambda) := F(\lambda) - g_+(\lambda) - g_-(\lambda)$.

Fundamental principle: try to pick the scalar $g(\lambda)$ and the contour Σ to make the jump matrix as simple as possible in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$. The identity matrix is the best possible target. Piecewise constant is also good.



A near-identity jump matrix is too much to hope for in this situation. So instead, we shall try to choose $g(\lambda)$ and the contour Σ so that Σ splits into two kinds of intervals:

- Bands: in which $\phi(\lambda)$ is an imaginary constant, and $\theta(\lambda)$ is real decreasing in the positive direction.
- Gaps: in which $\theta(\lambda)$ is a real constant, and $\Re(\phi(\lambda)) < 0$.



A near-identity jump matrix is too much to hope for in this situation. So instead, we shall try to choose $g(\lambda)$ and the contour Σ so that Σ splits into two kinds of intervals:

- Bands: in which $\phi(\lambda)$ is an imaginary constant, and $\theta(\lambda)$ is real decreasing in the positive direction.
- Gaps: in which $\theta(\lambda)$ is a real constant, and $\Re(\phi(\lambda)) < 0$.

Then (skipping many steps) $N(\lambda)$ can be approximately built (for small ϵ) from Riemann Θ functions of the hyperelliptic surface with branch points at the band endpoints.



A near-identity jump matrix is too much to hope for in this situation. So instead, we shall try to choose $g(\lambda)$ and the contour Σ so that Σ splits into two kinds of intervals:

- Bands: in which $\phi(\lambda)$ is an imaginary constant, and $\theta(\lambda)$ is real decreasing in the positive direction.
- Gaps: in which $\theta(\lambda)$ is a real constant, and $\Re(\phi(\lambda)) < 0$.

Then (skipping many steps) $N(\lambda)$ can be approximately built (for small ϵ) from Riemann Θ functions of the hyperelliptic surface with branch points at the band endpoints. There are two important implications:

- The semiclassical asymptotics of $\psi(x, t)$ in between the caustic curves are precisely described by modulated multiphase waves written in terms of Θ , where the number of phases is related to the genus of the surface.
- Caustic curves in the (x, t)-plane are genus transitions.



Analysis of caustics boils down to finding $g(\lambda)$ and the contour Σ , and determining the way the number of bands and gaps varies with x and t.



Analysis of caustics boils down to finding $g(\lambda)$ and the contour Σ , and determining the way the number of bands and gaps varies with x and t.

In some problems (e.g. zero-dispersion Korteweg-de Vries, orthogonal polynomials) the conditions that determine $g(\lambda)$ are encoded in a convex variational principle. Analogy: electrostatic equilibrium in an external field. Genus corresponds to number of components of support of equilibrium charge distribution.



Analysis of caustics boils down to finding $g(\lambda)$ and the contour Σ , and determining the way the number of bands and gaps varies with x and t.

In some problems (e.g. zero-dispersion Korteweg-de Vries, orthogonal polynomials) the conditions that determine $g(\lambda)$ are encoded in a convex variational principle. Analogy: electrostatic equilibrium in an external field. Genus corresponds to number of components of support of equilibrium charge distribution.

For focusing NLS, there is a variational formulation for $g(\lambda)$, but the problem is nonconvex. Instead, proceed by ansatz.



The ansatz-based method consists of the following steps:

1. Guess an even (due to conjugation symmetry) genus G.



The ansatz-based method consists of the following steps:

- 1. Guess an even (due to conjugation symmetry) genus G.
- 2. Then it turns out that for each configuration of 2G+2 complex endpoints (in conjugate pairs), $g(\lambda)$ is necessarily given by a well-defined Cauchy-type integral formula.



The ansatz-based method consists of the following steps:

- 1. Guess an even (due to conjugation symmetry) genus G.
- 2. Then it turns out that for each configuration of 2G+2 complex endpoints (in conjugate pairs), $g(\lambda)$ is necessarily given by a well-defined Cauchy-type integral formula.
- 3. Enforce on this formula the band/gap conditions on θ and ϕ . This has two effects:
 - It implicitly determines the endpoints as functions of x and t.
 - It determines certain inequalities that must be satisfied if the correct genus (for some fixed x and t) is indeed G.



The problem of finding $g(\lambda)$ can be approached computationally. By contrast with direct numerical simulation of NLS for small ϵ , or use of the linear algebra algorithm for large N, the conditions determining g are independent of any large or small parameter.

Return to outline.



The problem of finding $g(\lambda)$ can be approached computationally. By contrast with direct numerical simulation of NLS for small ϵ , or use of the linear algebra algorithm for large N, the conditions determining g are independent of any large or small parameter.

This makes the problem of finding $g(\lambda)$ especially attractive for numerical computation:

• Finding the endpoints from the nonlinear equations that implicitly define them as functions of x and t amounts to root-finding.

Return to outline.



The problem of finding $g(\lambda)$ can be approached computationally. By contrast with direct numerical simulation of NLS for small ϵ , or use of the linear algebra algorithm for large N, the conditions determining g are independent of any large or small parameter.

This makes the problem of finding $g(\lambda)$ especially attractive for numerical computation:

- Finding the endpoints from the nonlinear equations that implicitly define them as functions of x and t amounts to root-finding.
- Once the endpoints are known, the band intervals of Σ are determined by solving ODEs to determine paths in the complex plane connecting pairs of endpoints.

Return to outline.



The problem of finding $g(\lambda)$ can be approached computationally. By contrast with direct numerical simulation of NLS for small ϵ , or use of the linear algebra algorithm for large N, the conditions determining g are independent of any large or small parameter.

This makes the problem of finding $g(\lambda)$ especially attractive for numerical computation:

- Finding the endpoints from the nonlinear equations that implicitly define them as functions of x and t amounts to root-finding.
- Once the endpoints are known, the band intervals of Σ are determined by solving ODEs to determine paths in the complex plane connecting pairs of endpoints.
- The (x, t)-plane can then be explored to search for genus transitions (caustics). Pick x and t and shade the region of the complex λ -plane where the inequality $\Re(\phi) < 0$ allows the gaps to reside. Topological changes in this region can indicate caustics.

Return to outline.



The Genus Zero Region

The assumption of G = 0 can be proven to hold for |t| sufficiently small but independent of ϵ . The analysis exactly at t = 0 requires a modification of this technique based on two simultaneous interpolants of residues in step 1 (M, 2002).


The Genus Zero Region

The assumption of G = 0 can be proven to hold for |t| sufficiently small but independent of ϵ . The analysis exactly at t = 0 requires a modification of this technique based on two simultaneous interpolants of residues in step 1 (M, 2002).

The primary caustic curve first appears (in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$) at x = 0 for $t = (2A)^{-1}$.



The Genus Zero Region

The assumption of G = 0 can be proven to hold for |t| sufficiently small but independent of ϵ . The analysis exactly at t = 0 requires a modification of this technique based on two simultaneous interpolants of residues in step 1 (M, 2002).

The primary caustic curve first appears (in the limit $\epsilon \to 0$) at x = 0 for $t = (2A)^{-1}$.

A topological change ("pinch-off") of the region where $\Re(\phi) < 0$ leads to the birth of a new band on Σ (and by symmetry a new band on Σ^*). The genus transition at the primary caustic is from G = 0 to G = 2.



The phase transition upon crossing the primary caustic. Shaded region: $\Re(\phi) < 0$. Solid curve: band. Complete Σ with a gap around poles (red) lying in shaded region.





The phase transition upon crossing the primary caustic. Shaded region: $\Re(\phi) < 0$. Solid curve: band. Complete Σ with a gap around poles (red) lying in shaded region.





The phase transition upon crossing the primary caustic. Shaded region: $\Re(\phi) < 0$. Solid curve: band. Complete Σ with a gap around poles (red) lying in shaded region.





The phase transition upon crossing the primary caustic. Shaded region: $\Re(\phi) < 0$. Solid curve: band. Complete Σ with a gap around poles (red) lying in shaded region.





The phase transition upon crossing the primary caustic. Shaded region: $\Re(\phi) < 0$. Solid curve: band. Complete Σ with a gap around poles (red) lying in shaded region.





The phase transition upon crossing the primary caustic. Shaded region: $\Re(\phi) < 0$. Solid curve: band. Complete Σ with a gap around poles (red) lying in shaded region.





The phase transition upon crossing the primary caustic. Shaded region: $\Re(\phi) < 0$. Solid curve: band. Complete Σ with a gap around poles (red) lying in shaded region.





The phase transition upon crossing the primary caustic. Shaded region: $\Re(\phi) < 0$. Solid curve: band. Complete Σ with a gap around poles (red) lying in shaded region.





The phase transition upon crossing the primary caustic. Shaded region: $\Re(\phi) < 0$. Solid curve: band. Complete Σ with a gap around poles (red) lying in shaded region.





In the genus zero region, the rescaled N-soliton ($\psi(x,0) = A \operatorname{sech}(x)$) is

$$\psi(x,t) = b_0(x,t)e^{-i\kappa_0(x,t)/\epsilon} + O(\epsilon), \qquad \frac{\partial\kappa_0}{\partial x} = 2a_0(x,t),$$

where the endpoint is $\lambda_0 = a_0 + ib_0$, determined implicitly from (see also Akhmanov, Sukhorukov, and Khokhlov, 1965)

$$a_0^2 = t^2 b_0^4 \frac{A^2 - b_0^2 + t^2 b_0^4}{A^2 + t^2 b_0^4}, \quad \text{and} \quad x = -2ta_0 + \Re\left(\operatorname{arcsinh}\left(\frac{a_0 + iA}{b_0}\right)\right).$$



In the genus zero region, the rescaled N-soliton ($\psi(x,0) = A \operatorname{sech}(x)$) is

$$\psi(x,t) = b_0(x,t)e^{-i\kappa_0(x,t)/\epsilon} + O(\epsilon), \qquad \frac{\partial\kappa_0}{\partial x} = 2a_0(x,t),$$

where the endpoint is $\lambda_0 = a_0 + ib_0$, determined implicitly from (see also Akhmanov, Sukhorukov, and Khokhlov, 1965)

$$a_0^2 = t^2 b_0^4 rac{A^2 - b_0^2 + t^2 b_0^4}{A^2 + t^2 b_0^4} \,, \quad ext{and} \quad x = -2ta_0 + \Re\left(ext{arcsinh}\left(rac{a_0 + iA}{b_0}
ight)
ight) \,.$$

Calculate the Fourier transform of $b_0 e^{-i\kappa_0/\epsilon}$ at the (large) frequency $\omega = \Omega/\epsilon$ with Ω held fixed. In the limit $\epsilon \to 0$ this is a stationary phase integral. Stationary phase points only exist for $|\Omega| < M(t)$, for some function M(t) independent of ϵ . Thus the power spectrum is broad and flat (on average; there is a "ripple") with width $|\omega| \sim \epsilon^{-1}$. Note: spectral broadening consistent with supercontinuum generation occurs before wave breaking (primary caustic).



This approach improves upon that of solving the "zero-dispersion" equation

$$i\epsilon \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + |\psi|^2 \psi = 0, \qquad \psi(x,0) = A \mathrm{sech}(x).$$

Return to outline.



This approach improves upon that of solving the "zero-dispersion" equation

$$i\epsilon \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + |\psi|^2 \psi = 0, \qquad \psi(x,0) = A \mathrm{sech}(x).$$

This equation has the global solution

$$\psi(x,t) = A \operatorname{sech}(x) e^{itA^2 \operatorname{sech}^2(x)/\epsilon}$$

whose Fourier spectrum also broadens to be of width ϵ^{-1} over propagation distances of order 1. However, whenever the spectrum is this broad, the term $\epsilon^2 \psi_{xx}$ cannot be neglected. Therefore this simple approach cannot be accurate.

Return to outline.



Numerical construction of the genus G = 2 ansatz beyond the primary caustic.





Numerical construction of the genus G = 2 ansatz beyond the primary caustic.





Numerical construction of the genus G = 2 ansatz beyond the primary caustic.





Numerical construction of the genus G = 2 ansatz beyond the primary caustic.





Numerical construction of the genus G = 2 ansatz beyond the primary caustic.





At a time earlier than when numerics suggest the secondary caustic should occur, the contour Σ collides with the interval containing the poles of $\mathbf{m}(\lambda)$. Our approximations fail at this point as $S(\lambda) - 1$ is no longer small.



At a time earlier than when numerics suggest the secondary caustic should occur, the contour Σ collides with the interval containing the poles of $\mathbf{m}(\lambda)$. Our approximations fail at this point as $S(\lambda) - 1$ is no longer small. On the other hand, the equations implicitly determining the endpoints admit continuation through the logarithmic branch cut arising from the accumulation of poles. This suggests following the contour Σ through the branch cut. How to justify?



At a time earlier than when numerics suggest the secondary caustic should occur, the contour Σ collides with the interval containing the poles of $\mathbf{m}(\lambda)$. Our approximations fail at this point as $S(\lambda) - 1$ is no longer small. On the other hand, the equations implicitly determining the endpoints admit continuation through the logarithmic branch cut arising from the accumulation of poles. This suggests following the contour Σ through the branch cut. How to justify? We used a dual interpolant approach as in (M, 2002).





The new contour arc Σ' is "all gap". However, its inequality is different from the inequality $\Re(\phi) < 0$ that has to hold in gaps of Σ . We shade the new inequality in green.





The new contour arc Σ' is "all gap". However, its inequality is different from the inequality $\Re(\phi) < 0$ that has to hold in gaps of Σ . We shade the new inequality in green.





The new contour arc Σ' is "all gap". However, its inequality is different from the inequality $\Re(\phi) < 0$ that has to hold in gaps of Σ . We shade the new inequality in green.





The new contour arc Σ' is "all gap". However, its inequality is different from the inequality $\Re(\phi) < 0$ that has to hold in gaps of Σ . We shade the new inequality in green.





The new contour arc Σ' is "all gap". However, its inequality is different from the inequality $\Re(\phi) < 0$ that has to hold in gaps of Σ . We shade the new inequality in green.





The Genus Two Region: Secondary Caustic

It has now been proved that

- The "pinched" genus two configuration corresponds to a degenerate genus four configuration with a closed-up band on $\Sigma'.$
- As t is increased through the secondary caustic, the closed band on Σ' opens up. The dynamics immediately beyond the secondary caustic are described by Riemann Θ functions of genus four.
- The ten equations implicitly governing the endpoints have a different form than had the new band opened up on Σ . Nonetheless, the endpoints satisfy the same set of Whitham (modulation) equations:

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_k}{\partial t} + c_k(\lambda_0, \dots, \lambda_5) \frac{\partial \lambda_k}{\partial x} = 0, \qquad k = 1, \dots, 5.$$



The Genus Two Region: Secondary Caustic

An important point: a natural approach one might adopt from the beginning of the problem is to slightly modify the initial data so that for each ϵ sufficiently small the poles making up the spectral data are "condensed" into a continuous branch cut, *i.e.* so that $S(\lambda) \rightarrow 1$ exactly.

This turns out to be a small modification right up until the secondary caustic, but any theory that does not take into account the discrete nature of the spectrum will fail to correctly capture the secondary caustic.

Return to outline.



Generalizations

Going beyond the special initial data $\psi(x, 0) = A \operatorname{sech}(x)$ in the semiclassical analysis of the focusing NLS equation requires asymptotic information about the spectrum of the nonselfadjoint Zakharov-Shabat problem:

$$\epsilon \frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dx} = \begin{bmatrix} -i\lambda & \psi(x,0) \\ -\psi(x,0)^* & i\lambda \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \,.$$



Generalizations

Going beyond the special initial data $\psi(x, 0) = A \operatorname{sech}(x)$ in the semiclassical analysis of the focusing NLS equation requires asymptotic information about the spectrum of the nonselfadjoint Zakharov-Shabat problem:

$$\epsilon \frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dx} = \begin{bmatrix} -i\lambda & \psi(x,0) \\ -\psi(x,0)^* & i\lambda \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{u} \, .$$

State of current knowledge:

- 1. Tovbis & Venakides (2000) have generalized the hypergeometric analysis of Satsuma & Yajima to potentials having the form $\psi(x, 0) = A \operatorname{sech}(x) e^{iS(x)/\epsilon}$ where $S'(x) = \mu \tanh(x)$, which have a "fast phase".
- 2. Klaus & Shaw (2002) have proved that if $\psi(x, 0)$ is real and "single-hump", then the discrete spectrum is purely imaginary and the number of eigenvalues is directly proportional to the L^1 -norm.



Generalizations

- 3. Bronski (1996) used numerics to show that for certain potentials of the form $\psi(x, 0) = A(x)e^{iS(x)/\epsilon}$ with A and S analytic, the discrete spectrum apparently accumulates with some regularity on certain curves in the complex plane. The curves were tied to analytic turning point theory in (M, 2001), and this theory is being strengthened in current work of Servat and Tovbis.
- 4. Rigorous, eigenvalue-by-eigenvalue asymptotics, as well as spectrally uniform asymptotics of the reflection coefficient for Klaus-Shaw potentials are the subject of current work by Bronski, K. McLaughlin, and M.
- 5. The ultimate goal for this problem is to understand the semiclassical dynamics for nonanalytic initial data.



The Semiclassical Modified Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation

Generalization of the focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation: let $\alpha \ge 0$, and consider the initial-value problem

$$i\epsilon \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \frac{\partial^2 \psi}{\partial x^2} + |\psi|^2 \psi + i\alpha \epsilon \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (|\psi|^2 \psi) = 0, \qquad \psi(x,0) = A(x) e^{iS(x)/\epsilon}.$$

In recent work with Jeffery DiFranco, we are beginning a study of this problem in the $\epsilon \to 0$ limit.



Modulation Equations

One of the main reasons for our interest in this problem is summarized by the following calculation.



Modulation Equations

One of the main reasons for our interest in this problem is summarized by the following calculation. Letting $\rho := |\psi|^2$ and $u := \epsilon \Im(\log(\psi)_x)$, the initial-value problem becomes, exactly,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[u\rho + \frac{3\alpha}{2}\rho^2 \right] = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\frac{1}{2}u^2 - \rho + \alpha u\rho \right] = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{(\rho^{1/2})_{xx}}{\rho^{1/2}},$$

with initial data $\rho(x,0) = A(x)^2$ and u(x,0) = S'(x).


Modulation Equations

One of the main reasons for our interest in this problem is summarized by the following calculation. Letting $\rho := |\psi|^2$ and $u := \epsilon \Im(\log(\psi)_x)$, the initial-value problem becomes, exactly,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[u\rho + \frac{3\alpha}{2}\rho^2 \right] = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\frac{1}{2}u^2 - \rho + \alpha u\rho \right] = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{(\rho^{1/2})_{xx}}{\rho^{1/2}},$$

with initial data $\rho(x, 0) = A(x)^2$ and u(x, 0) = S'(x). Setting $\epsilon = 0$ yields a Cauchy problem for a quasilinear system.



Modulation Equations

One of the main reasons for our interest in this problem is summarized by the following calculation. Letting $\rho := |\psi|^2$ and $u := \epsilon \Im(\log(\psi)_x)$, the initial-value problem becomes, exactly,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[u\rho + \frac{3\alpha}{2}\rho^2 \right] = 0 , \qquad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\frac{1}{2}u^2 - \rho + \alpha u\rho \right] = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{(\rho^{1/2})_{xx}}{\rho^{1/2}} ,$$

with initial data $\rho(x,0) = A(x)^2$ and u(x,0) = S'(x). Setting $\epsilon = 0$ yields a Cauchy problem for a quasilinear system. This system is

• Elliptic if $\alpha^2 \rho + \alpha u - 1 < 0$.



Modulation Equations

One of the main reasons for our interest in this problem is summarized by the following calculation. Letting $\rho := |\psi|^2$ and $u := \epsilon \Im(\log(\psi)_x)$, the initial-value problem becomes, exactly,

$$\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[u\rho + \frac{3\alpha}{2}\rho^2 \right] = 0, \qquad \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\frac{1}{2}u^2 - \rho + \alpha u\rho \right] = \frac{\epsilon^2}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \frac{(\rho^{1/2})_{xx}}{\rho^{1/2}},$$

with initial data $\rho(x,0) = A(x)^2$ and u(x,0) = S'(x). Setting $\epsilon = 0$ yields a Cauchy problem for a quasilinear system. This system is

- Elliptic if $\alpha^2 \rho + \alpha u 1 < 0$.
- Hyperbolic if $\alpha^2 \rho + \alpha u 1 > 0$.

Therefore, modulational stability can be recovered with a focusing nonlinearity if $\alpha > 0$ is sufficiently large, and if u > 0 in the tails of ψ .



The relevant spectral problem for inverse scattering is

$$\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dx} = \begin{bmatrix} -2i(k^2 - 1/4)/\alpha & 2k\psi\\ -2k\psi^* & 2i(k^2 - 1/4)/\alpha \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} \,.$$



The relevant spectral problem for inverse scattering is

$$\epsilon \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dx} = \begin{bmatrix} -2i(k^2 - 1/4)/\alpha & 2k\psi \\ -2k\psi^* & 2i(k^2 - 1/4)/\alpha \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} \,.$$

Suppose the initial data is of the form $\psi(x) = A(x)e^{iS(x)/\epsilon}$. Then, the discrete eigenvalues k are confined to the strip $|\Im(k)| \leq \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} A(x)$, and furthermore, as $\epsilon \to 0$ they must lie in the "hyperbolic shadow" of the so-called *turning point curve* in the k-plane given parametrically by the equations:

$$\Im(k)^2 = \frac{\alpha^2}{4} A(x)^2$$
, $\Re(k)^2 = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - \alpha S'(x) - \alpha^2 A(x)^2 \right)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$.



Example: $A(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and $S(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x) \tanh(x)$, $\alpha = 0.894$.





Example: $A(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and $S(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x) \tanh(x)$, $\alpha = 0.805$.





Example: $A(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and $S(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x) \tanh(x)$, $\alpha = 0.716$.





Example: $A(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and $S(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x) \tanh(x)$, $\alpha = 0.626$.





Example: $A(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and $S(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x) \tanh(x)$, $\alpha = 0.537$.





Example: $A(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and $S(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x) \tanh(x)$, $\alpha = 0.447$.





Example: $A(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and $S(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x) \tanh(x)$, $\alpha = 0.358$.





Example: $A(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and $S(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x) \tanh(x)$, $\alpha = 0.268$.





Example: $A(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and $S(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x) \tanh(x)$, $\alpha = 0.179$.





Example: $A(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and $S(x) = \operatorname{sech}(x) \tanh(x)$, $\alpha = 0.089$.





Some history:

• In 1973, Satsuma and Yajima showed that for potentials of the form $\psi(x) = A \operatorname{sech}(x)$ in the nonselfadjoint Zakharov-Shabat spectral problem (appropriate for focusing NLS):

$$\epsilon \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dx} = \begin{bmatrix} -i\lambda & \psi \\ -\psi^* & i\lambda \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}$$

all scattering data could be computed explicitly for all ϵ by converting the eigenvalue problem into a hypergeometric equation.



Some history:

• In 1973, Satsuma and Yajima showed that for potentials of the form $\psi(x) = A \operatorname{sech}(x)$ in the nonselfadjoint Zakharov-Shabat spectral problem (appropriate for focusing NLS):

$$\epsilon rac{d \mathbf{v}}{d x} = egin{bmatrix} -i\lambda & \psi \ -\psi^* & i\lambda \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}$$

all scattering data could be computed explicitly for all ϵ by converting the eigenvalue problem into a hypergeometric equation.

• In 2000, Tovbis and Venakides generalized this result to potentials of the form $\psi(x) = A \operatorname{sech}(x) e^{iS(x)/\epsilon}$ where $S'(x) = \mu \tanh(x)$ and A and μ are independent real parameters.



Some history:

• In 1973, Satsuma and Yajima showed that for potentials of the form $\psi(x) = A \operatorname{sech}(x)$ in the nonselfadjoint Zakharov-Shabat spectral problem (appropriate for focusing NLS):

$$\epsilon \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dx} = \begin{bmatrix} -i\lambda & \psi \\ -\psi^* & i\lambda \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{v}$$

all scattering data could be computed explicitly for all ϵ by converting the eigenvalue problem into a hypergeometric equation.

• In 2000, Tovbis and Venakides generalized this result to potentials of the form $\psi(x) = A \operatorname{sech}(x) e^{iS(x)/\epsilon}$ where $S'(x) = \mu \tanh(x)$ and A and μ are independent real parameters.

It is easy to see that the Tovbis-Venakides result also holds if $S'(x) = \mu \tanh(x) + \delta$ for any $\delta \in \mathbb{R}$.



We have found that potentials of the Tovbis-Venakides class are hypergeometric also for the spectral problem of the modified focusing NLS, for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$.



We have found that potentials of the Tovbis-Venakides class are hypergeometric also for the spectral problem of the modified focusing NLS, for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$. This is a rich enough family to afford several interesting possiblilities:

- If $\alpha\delta > 1$, then there are no discrete eigenvalues. In this case,
 - \star if $|\mu| < (\alpha \delta 1)/\alpha,$ then the modulation equations are hyperbolic for all x at t=0, while
 - ★ if $|\mu| > (\alpha \delta 1)/\alpha$, then there exist $x \in \mathbb{R}$ for which the modulation equations are elliptic at t = 0.



We have found that potentials of the Tovbis-Venakides class are hypergeometric also for the spectral problem of the modified focusing NLS, for arbitrary $\epsilon > 0$ and $\alpha > 0$. This is a rich enough family to afford several interesting possiblilities:

- If $\alpha\delta > 1$, then there are no discrete eigenvalues. In this case,
 - \star if $|\mu|<(\alpha\delta-1)/\alpha,$ then the modulation equations are hyperbolic for all x at t=0, while
 - ★ if $|\mu| > (\alpha \delta 1)/\alpha$, then there exist $x \in \mathbb{R}$ for which the modulation equations are elliptic at t = 0.
- If $\alpha \delta < 1$, then regardless of the value of $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$ there exist $x \in \mathbb{R}$ for which the modulation equations are elliptic at t = 0. In this case,
 - \star if $|\mu| < 2A\sqrt{1-\alpha\delta}$ then there are $\sim \epsilon^{-1}$ discrete eigenvalues, while
 - \star if $|\mu| > 2A\sqrt{1-\alpha\delta}$ then there are no discrete eigenvalues.



The Semiclassical Sine-Gordon Equation

Consider the initial-value problem

$$\epsilon^{2}\left[rac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial t^{2}}-rac{\partial^{2}u}{\partial x^{2}}
ight]+\sin(u)=0\,,\qquad u(x,0)=f(x)\,,\quad \epsilonrac{\partial u}{\partial t}(x,0)=g(x)\,.$$

In recent work with Robert Buckingham, we are beginning a study of this problem in the $\epsilon \to 0$ limit.



Scattering Problem I: Gauge Transformations

This initial-value problem can be integrated with the help of the spectral problem

$$4\epsilon \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dx} = \left(-iz \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + iz^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(u) & \sin(u)\\ \sin(u) & -\cos(u) \end{bmatrix} + \epsilon(u_x + u_t) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1\\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \mathbf{v} \,.$$



Scattering Problem I: Gauge Transformations

This initial-value problem can be integrated with the help of the spectral problem

$$4\epsilon \frac{d\mathbf{v}}{dx} = \left(-iz \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} + iz^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(u) & \sin(u)\\ \sin(u) & -\cos(u) \end{bmatrix} + \epsilon(u_x + u_t) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1\\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}\right) \mathbf{v}.$$

It might appear that solutions of this problem should have complicated singularities at z = 0. That this is not the case can be seen more easily by making a certain gauge transformation. Following Kaup (1975), consider a rotation of the vector \mathbf{v} by an x-dependent angle of u(x)/2:

$$\mathbf{u} := \begin{bmatrix} \cos(u/2) & \sin(u/2) \\ -\sin(u/2) & \cos(u/2) \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} \, .$$

Then, the scattering problem becomes

$$4\epsilon \frac{d\mathbf{u}}{dx} = \left(iz^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0\\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} - iz \begin{bmatrix} \cos(u) & -\sin(u)\\ -\sin(u) & -\cos(u) \end{bmatrix} - \epsilon(u_x - u_t) \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1\\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) \mathbf{u}.$$



Scattering Problem I: Gauge Transformations

A gauge rotation by only half as much is useful for other purposes:

$$\mathbf{w} := \begin{bmatrix} \cos(u/4) & \sin(u/4) \\ -\sin(u/4) & \cos(u/4) \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{v} \, .$$

This yields the spectral problem in the *symmetric gauge*:

$$4\epsilon \frac{d\mathbf{w}}{dx} = -iz \begin{bmatrix} \cos(u/2) & -\sin(u/2) \\ -\sin(u/2) & -\cos(u/2) \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + iz^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \cos(u/2) & \sin(u/2) \\ \sin(u/2) & -\cos(u/2) \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w} + \epsilon u_t \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbf{w}.$$

This spectral problem exhibits symmetry between z and z^{-1} , and u_x does not appear.



An important application of the symmetric gauge is its solution for all $\epsilon>0$ in the case when $u_t\equiv 0$ and

 $\sin(u/2) = \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and $\cos(u/2) = \tanh(x)$

in terms of hypergeometric function theory.



An important application of the symmetric gauge is its solution for all $\epsilon>0$ in the case when $u_t\equiv 0$ and

 $\sin(u/2) = \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and $\cos(u/2) = \tanh(x)$

in terms of hypergeometric function theory. Some notes:

 While the sine-Gordon equation can also be treated in characteristic coordinates using the Zakharov-Shabat eigenvalue problem, this result does not follow from Satsuma-Yajima or Tovbis-Venakides.



An important application of the symmetric gauge is its solution for all $\epsilon>0$ in the case when $u_t\equiv 0$ and

 $\sin(u/2) = \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and $\cos(u/2) = \tanh(x)$

in terms of hypergeometric function theory. Some notes:

- While the sine-Gordon equation can also be treated in characteristic coordinates using the Zakharov-Shabat eigenvalue problem, this result does not follow from Satsuma-Yajima or Tovbis-Venakides.
- The $(\sim \epsilon^{-1})$ discrete eigenvalues are all on the unit circle in the z-plane, uniformly distributed with respect to $\Im(z)$.



An important application of the symmetric gauge is its solution for all $\epsilon>0$ in the case when $u_t\equiv 0$ and

 $\sin(u/2) = \operatorname{sech}(x)$ and $\cos(u/2) = \tanh(x)$

in terms of hypergeometric function theory. Some notes:

- While the sine-Gordon equation can also be treated in characteristic coordinates using the Zakharov-Shabat eigenvalue problem, this result does not follow from Satsuma-Yajima or Tovbis-Venakides.
- The $(\sim \epsilon^{-1})$ discrete eigenvalues are all on the unit circle in the z-plane, uniformly distributed with respect to $\Im(z)$.
- The reflection coefficient vanishes identically when $\epsilon = 1/(2N + 1)$, for $N = 0, 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. For such ϵ , numerical linear algebra may be used as for the N-soliton of focusing NLS.



Inverse Scattering: Reflectionless Hypergeometric Case





September 15, 2006





September 15, 2006





September 15, 2006













September 15, 2006




Some notes:

The fact that there is no "smooth" phase is to be expected: sine-Gordon has no family of constant solutions. Instead of a smooth phase we see roll patterns characteristic of genus 1 (cnoidal) waves. Think independent pendulum motions for ε small.



Some notes:

- The fact that there is no "smooth" phase is to be expected: sine-Gordon has no family of constant solutions. Instead of a smooth phase we see roll patterns characteristic of genus 1 (cnoidal) waves. Think independent pendulum motions for ϵ small.
- The complicated region emerging from x = t = 0 is more surprising.
 - ★ On the one hand, this looks like the caustic curves from focusing NLS and other integrable semiclassical problems. One expects a genus transition and local behavior given in terms of theta functions of some finite genus.



Some notes:

- The fact that there is no "smooth" phase is to be expected: sine-Gordon has no family of constant solutions. Instead of a smooth phase we see roll patterns characteristic of genus 1 (cnoidal) waves. Think independent pendulum motions for ϵ small.
- The complicated region emerging from x = t = 0 is more surprising.
 - ★ On the one hand, this looks like the caustic curves from focusing NLS and other integrable semiclassical problems. One expects a genus transition and local behavior given in terms of theta functions of some finite genus.
 - ★ On the other hand, the transition emerges from a part of space where the pendula are nearly vertical (close to the separatrix). Viewing the $\epsilon^2 u_{xx}$ term as a perturbation, the system is susceptible to instability and perhaps homoclinic chaos.



Some notes:

- The fact that there is no "smooth" phase is to be expected: sine-Gordon has no family of constant solutions. Instead of a smooth phase we see roll patterns characteristic of genus 1 (cnoidal) waves. Think independent pendulum motions for ϵ small.
- The complicated region emerging from x = t = 0 is more surprising.
 - ★ On the one hand, this looks like the caustic curves from focusing NLS and other integrable semiclassical problems. One expects a genus transition and local behavior given in terms of theta functions of some finite genus.
 - ★ On the other hand, the transition emerges from a part of space where the pendula are nearly vertical (close to the separatrix). Viewing the $\epsilon^2 u_{xx}$ term as a perturbation, the system is susceptible to instability and perhaps homoclinic chaos.

Thus what we have is an example of integrable homoclinic chaos!



 Caustics or phase transitions are a key feature of semiclassical nonlinear dynamics in integrable wave equations.



- Caustics or phase transitions are a key feature of semiclassical nonlinear dynamics in integrable wave equations.
- The focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation exhibits catastrophic modulational instability in the semiclassical limit. However, we are able to analyze the problem subject to analytic initial data.



- Caustics or phase transitions are a key feature of semiclassical nonlinear dynamics in integrable wave equations.
- The focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation exhibits catastrophic modulational instability in the semiclassical limit. However, we are able to analyze the problem subject to analytic initial data.
- The modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation may be more relevant to applications, and admits similar semiclassical analysis, possibly also for general initial data.



- Caustics or phase transitions are a key feature of semiclassical nonlinear dynamics in integrable wave equations.
- The focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation exhibits catastrophic modulational instability in the semiclassical limit. However, we are able to analyze the problem subject to analytic initial data.
- The modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation may be more relevant to applications, and admits similar semiclassical analysis, possibly also for general initial data.
- The initial-value problem for the semiclassical sine-Gordon equation in laboratory coordinates allows for an interesting comparison between properties of integrable and chaotic dynamical systems.



- Caustics or phase transitions are a key feature of semiclassical nonlinear dynamics in integrable wave equations.
- The focusing nonlinear Schrödinger equation exhibits catastrophic modulational instability in the semiclassical limit. However, we are able to analyze the problem subject to analytic initial data.
- The modified nonlinear Schrödinger equation may be more relevant to applications, and admits similar semiclassical analysis, possibly also for general initial data.
- The initial-value problem for the semiclassical sine-Gordon equation in laboratory coordinates allows for an interesting comparison between properties of integrable and chaotic dynamical systems.

Thank You!

