

## LECTURE 2. THE HASSE-WEIL ZETA FUNCTION: DEFINITION AND ELEMENTARY PROPERTIES

In this lecture we introduce the Hasse-Weil zeta function, and prove some elementary properties. Before doing this, we review some basic facts about finite fields and varieties over finite fields.

### 1. REVIEW OF FINITE FIELDS

Recall that if  $k$  is a finite field, then  $|k| = p^e$  for some  $e \geq 1$ , where  $p = \text{char}(k)$ . Furthermore, two finite fields with the same cardinality are isomorphic. We denote a finite field with  $q = p^e$  elements (where  $p$  is a prime positive integer) by  $\mathbf{F}_q$ .

Let us fix  $k = \mathbf{F}_q$ . Given a finite field extension  $K/k$ , if  $r = [K : k]$ , then  $|K| = q^r$ . Conversely, given any  $r \geq 1$ , there is a field extension  $k \hookrightarrow K$  of degree  $r$ . Furthermore, if  $k \hookrightarrow K'$  is another such extension, then the two extensions differ by an isomorphism  $K \simeq K'$ . More generally, if  $[K' : k] = s$ , then there is a morphism of  $k$ -algebras  $K \rightarrow K'$  if and only if  $r|s$ .

If  $\bar{k}$  is an algebraic closure of  $k$ , then we have an element  $\sigma \in G(\bar{k}/k)$  given by  $\sigma(x) = x^q$ . This is called the *arithmetic Frobenius element*, and its inverse in  $G(\bar{k}/k)$  is the *geometric Frobenius element*. There is a unique subextension of  $k$  of degree  $r$  that is contained in  $\bar{k}$ : this is given by  $K = \{x \in \bar{\mathbf{F}}_q \mid \sigma^r(x) = x\}$ .

In fact, the Galois group  $G(K/k)$  is cyclic of order  $r$ , with generator  $\sigma|_K$ . Furthermore, we have canonical isomorphisms

$$G(\bar{k}/k) \simeq \text{projlim}_{K/k \text{ finite}} G(K/k) \simeq \text{projlim}_{r \in \mathbf{Z}_{>0}} \mathbf{Z}/r\mathbf{Z} =: \widehat{\mathbf{Z}},$$

with  $\sigma$  being a topological generator of  $G(\bar{k}/k)$ .

### 2. PRELIMINARIES: VARIETIES OVER FINITE FIELDS

By a variety over a field  $k$  we mean a reduced scheme of finite type over  $k$  (possibly reducible). From now on we assume that  $k = \mathbf{F}_q$  is a finite field. Recall that there are two notions of points of  $X$  in this context, as follows.

Note that  $X$  is a topological space. We denote by  $X_{\text{cl}}$  the set of closed points of  $X$  (in fact, these are the only ones that we will consider). Given such  $x \in X_{\text{cl}}$ , we have the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$  and its residue field  $k(x)$ . By definition,  $k(x)$  is isomorphic to the quotient of a finitely generated  $k$ -algebra by a maximal ideal, hence  $k(x)$  is a finite extension of  $k$  by Hilbert's Nullstellensatz. We put  $\text{deg}(x) := [k(x) : k]$ .

On the other hand, we have the notion of  $K$ -valued points of  $X$ . Recall that if  $k \rightarrow K$  is a field homomorphism, the the set of  $K$ -valued points of  $X$  is

$$X(K) := \text{Hom}_{\text{Spec } k}(\text{Spec } K, X) = \bigsqcup_{x \in X} \text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}(k(x), K).$$

We will always consider the case when the extension  $K/k$  is algebraic. In this case, if  $\varphi: \text{Spec } K \rightarrow X$  is in  $X(K)$ , the point  $x \in X$  that is the image of the unique point in  $\text{Spec } K$  is closed: indeed, we have  $\dim \overline{\{x\}} = \text{trdeg}(k(x)/k) = 0$ . In particular, we see that if  $K/k$  is a finite extension of degree  $r$ , then

$$(1) \quad X(K) = \bigsqcup_{\deg(x)|r} \text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}(k(x), K).$$

Note that if  $\deg(x) = e|r$ , then  $\text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}(k(x), K)$  carries a transitive action of  $G(\mathbf{F}_{q^r}/\mathbf{F}_q) \simeq \mathbf{Z}/r\mathbf{Z}$ . The stabilizer of any element is isomorphic to  $G(\mathbf{F}_{q^r}/\mathbf{F}_{q^e})$ , hence

$$|\text{Hom}_{k\text{-alg}}(k(x), K)| = e.$$

In particular, this proves the following

**Proposition 2.1.** *If  $X$  is a variety over the finite field  $k$ , and  $K/k$  is a field extension of degree  $r$ , then*

$$|X(K)| = \sum_{e|r} e \cdot |\{x \in X_{\text{cl}} \mid \deg(x) = e\}|.$$

**Remark 2.2.** It is clear that if  $X = Y_1 \cup \dots \cup Y_m$ , where each  $Y_i$  is a locally closed subset of  $X$ , then  $X(K) = Y_1(K) \cup \dots \cup Y_m(K)$ . Furthermore, if the former union is disjoint, then so is the latter one.

**Remark 2.3.** Suppose that  $X$  is affine, and consider a closed embedding  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}_k^n$  defined by the ideal  $(F_1, \dots, F_d) \subseteq k[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . If  $K/k$  is a field extension, then we have an identification

$$X(K) = \{(u_1, \dots, u_n) \in K^n \mid f_i(u_1, \dots, u_n) = 0 \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq d\}.$$

In particular, we see that if  $K/k$  is finite, then  $X(K)$  is finite. The formula in Proposition 2.1 now implies that for every  $e \geq 1$ , there are only finitely many  $x \in X$  with  $\deg(x) = e$ . Of course, by taking an affine open cover of  $X$ , we deduce that these assertions hold for arbitrary varieties over  $k$ .

It is often convenient to think of  $K$ -valued points in terms of an algebraic closure of the ground field. Suppose that  $\bar{k}$  is a fixed algebraic closure of  $k$ , and let us write  $\mathbf{F}_{q^r}$  for the subfield of  $\bar{k}$  of degree  $r$  over  $k$ . Let  $\overline{X} = X \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } \bar{k}$ . This is a variety over  $\bar{k}$  (the fact that  $\overline{X}$  is reduced follows from the fact that  $X$  is reduced and  $k$  is perfect; however, we will not need this). Note that by definition we have  $\overline{X}(\bar{k}) = X(\bar{k})$ .

Consider the Frobenius morphism  $\text{Frob}_{X,q}: X \rightarrow X$  on  $X$ . This is the identity on  $X$ , and the morphism of sheaves of rings  $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X$  is given by  $u \rightarrow u^q$  (since  $u^q = u$  for every  $u \in k$ , we see that  $\text{Frob}_{X,q}$  is a morphism of schemes over  $k$ ). In particular, it induces a morphism of schemes over  $\bar{k}$ :

$$\text{Frob}_{\overline{X},q} = \text{Frob}_{X,q} \times \text{id}: \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{X}.$$

Note that this is a functorial construction. In particular, if  $X$  is affine and if we consider a closed immersion  $X \hookrightarrow \mathbf{A}_k^N$ , then  $\text{Frob}_{\overline{X},q}$  is induced by  $\text{Frob}_{\mathbf{A}_k^N,q}$ . This in turn corresponds to the morphism of  $\overline{k}$ -algebras

$$\overline{k}[x_1, \dots, x_N] \rightarrow \overline{k}[x_1, \dots, x_N], \quad x_i \rightarrow x_i^q,$$

hence on  $\overline{k}$ -points it is given by  $(u_1, \dots, u_N) \rightarrow (u_1^q, \dots, u_N^q)$ . We conclude that the natural embedding

$$X(\mathbf{F}_{q^r}) \hookrightarrow X(\overline{k}) = \overline{X}(\overline{k})$$

identifies  $X(\mathbf{F}_{q^r})$  with the elements of  $\overline{X}(\overline{k})$  fixed by  $\text{Frob}_{\overline{X},q}^r$ . Indeed, this is clear when  $X = \mathbf{A}_k^N$  by the previous discussion, and the general case follows by considering an affine open cover, and by embedding each affine piece in a suitable affine space.

In other words, if  $\Delta, \Gamma_r \subset \overline{X} \times \overline{X}$  are the diagonal, and respectively, the graph of  $\text{Frob}_{\overline{X},q}^r$ , then  $X(\mathbf{F}_{q^r})$  is in natural bijection with the closed points of  $\Gamma_r \cap \Delta$ . The following proposition shows that when  $X$  is smooth, this is a transverse intersection.

**Proposition 2.4.** *If  $X$  is smooth over  $k = \mathbf{F}_q$ , then the intersection  $\Gamma_r \cap \Delta$  consists of a reduced set of points.*

Note that since  $k$  is perfect,  $X$  is smooth over  $k$  if and only if it is nonsingular.

*Proof.* We have already seen that the set  $\Gamma_r \cap \Delta$  is finite, since it is in bijection with  $X(\mathbf{F}_{q^r})$ . In order to show that it is a reduced set, let us consider first the case when  $X = \mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_q}^n$ . In this case, if  $R = \overline{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n]$ , then  $\Delta \subset \text{Spec } R$  is defined by  $(y_1 - x_1, \dots, y_n - x_n)$  and  $\Gamma_r$  is defined by  $(y_1 - x_1^q, \dots, y_n - x_n^q)$ . Therefore  $\Gamma_r \cap \Delta$  is isomorphic to  $\prod_{i=1}^n \text{Spec } k[x_i]/(x_i - x_i^q)$ , hence it is reduced (note that the polynomial  $x_i^q - x_i$  has no multiple roots).

For an arbitrary smooth variety  $X$ , let us consider  $u \in X(\mathbf{F}_{q^e})$ , and let  $x \in X$  be the corresponding closed point. If  $t_1, \dots, t_n$  form a regular system of parameters of  $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ , it follows that  $(t_1, \dots, t_n)$  define an étale map  $U \rightarrow \mathbf{A}^n$ , where  $U$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$ . Note that the restriction to  $\overline{U} \times \overline{U}$  of  $\Delta$  and  $\Gamma_r$  are the inverse images via  $\overline{U} \times \overline{U} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}_k^n \times \mathbf{A}_k^n$  of the corresponding subsets for  $\mathbf{A}_k^n$ . Since the inverse image of a smooth subscheme by an étale morphism is smooth, we deduce the assertion in the proposition for  $X$  from the assertion for  $\mathbf{A}_k^n$ .  $\square$

**Exercise 2.5.** Let  $X$  and  $\overline{X}$  be as above. The group  $G = G(\overline{k}/k)$  acts on the right on  $\text{Spec } \overline{k}$ , by algebraic automorphisms.

- i) Show that  $G$  has an induced right action on  $\overline{X}$ , by acting on the second component of  $X \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } \overline{k}$ . Of course, these automorphisms are not of schemes over  $\overline{k}$ .
- ii) Let  $\tau: \overline{X} \rightarrow \overline{X}$  be the action of the arithmetic Frobenius element. Describe  $\tau$  when  $X = \mathbf{A}_k^n$ . Show that  $\tau \circ \text{Frob}_{\overline{X},q} = \text{Frob}_{\overline{X},q} \circ \tau$ , and they are equal to the absolute  $q$ -Frobenius morphism of  $\overline{X}$  (recall: this is the identity on  $\overline{X}$ , and the morphism of sheaves of rings  $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}$  is given by  $u \rightarrow u^q$ ).

- iii) We also have a natural left action of  $G$  on  $X(\bar{k})$  that takes  $(g, \varphi)$  to  $\varphi \circ g$  (where we identify  $g$  with the corresponding automorphism of  $\text{Spec } \bar{k}$ ). Show that the arithmetic Frobenius acts on  $X(\bar{k}) = \bar{X}(\bar{k})$  by the map induced by  $\text{Frob}_{\bar{X}, q}$ .
- iv) The canonical projection  $\bar{X} \rightarrow X$  induces a map  $\bar{X}_{\text{cl}} \rightarrow X_{\text{cl}}$ . Show that this is identified via  $X(\bar{k}) = \bar{X}(\bar{k}) = \bar{X}_{\text{cl}}$  with the map described at the beginning of this section, that takes a  $\bar{k}$ -valued point of  $X$  to the corresponding closed point of  $X$ .
- v) We similarly have a left action of  $G(\mathbf{F}_{q^r}/\mathbf{F}_q)$  on  $X(\mathbf{F}_{q^r})$ . Show that the fibers of the map  $X(\mathbf{F}_{q^r}) \rightarrow X_{\text{cl}}$  that takes an  $\mathbf{F}_{q^r}$ -valued point to the corresponding closed point of  $X$  are precisely the orbits of the  $G(\mathbf{F}_{q^r}/\mathbf{F}_q)$ -action.

### 3. THE HASSE-WEIL ZETA FUNCTION

**3.1. The exponential and the logarithm power series.** Recall that the exponential formal power series is given by

$$\exp(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{t^n}{n!} \in \mathbf{Q}[[t]].$$

We will also make use of the logarithm formal power series, defined by

$$\log(1+t) = \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{(-1)^{m+1} t^m}{m} \in \mathbf{Q}[[t]].$$

In particular, we may consider  $\exp(u(t))$  and  $\log(1+u(t))$  whenever  $u \in t\mathbf{Q}[[t]]$ .

We collect in the following proposition some well-known properties of the exponential and logarithm formal power series. We will freely use these properties in what follows.

**Proposition 3.1.** *The following properties hold:*

- i) We have  $\exp(t)' = \exp(t)$  and  $\log(1+t)' = (1+t)^{-1}$ .
- ii)  $\exp(s+t) = \exp(s) \cdot \exp(t)$  in  $\mathbf{Q}[[s, t]]$ . In particular, we have  $\exp(u+v) = \exp(u) \cdot \exp(v)$  for every  $u, v \in t\mathbf{Q}[[t]]$ .
- iii)  $\exp(mt) = \exp(t)^m$  for every  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$ . In particular,  $\exp(mu) = \exp(u)^m$  for every  $u \in t\mathbf{Q}[[t]]$ .
- iv)  $\log(\exp(u)) = u$  and  $\exp(\log(1+u)) = 1+u$  for every  $u \in t\mathbf{Q}[[t]]$ .
- v)  $\log((1+u)(1+v)) = \log(1+u) + \log(1+v)$  for every  $u, v \in t\mathbf{Q}[[t]]$ .
- vi)  $\log((1+u)^m) = m \cdot \log(1+u)$  for every  $m \in \mathbf{Z}$  and every  $u \in t\mathbf{Q}[[t]]$ .

*Proof.* The proofs are straightforward. i) and ii) follow by direct computation, while iii) is a direct consequence of i). It is enough to prove the assertions in iv) for  $u = t$ . The first assertion now follows by taking formal derivatives of the both sides. Note that we have two ring homomorphisms  $f, g: \mathbf{Q}[[t]] \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}[[t]]$ ,  $f(u) = \log(1+u)$  and  $g(v) = \exp(v) - 1$ . They are both isomorphisms by the formal Inverse Function theorem, and  $f \circ g = \text{Id}$  by the first equality in iv). Therefore  $g \circ f = \text{Id}$ , which is the second equality in iv). The assertions in v) and vi) now follow from ii) and iii) via iv).  $\square$

**3.2. The definition of the Hasse-Weil zeta function.** Suppose that  $X$  is a variety over a finite field  $k = \mathbf{F}_q$ . For every  $m \geq 1$ , let  $N_m = |X(\mathbf{F}_{q^m})|$ <sup>1</sup>. The Hasse-Weil zeta function of  $X$  is

$$(2) \quad Z(X, t) = \exp \left( \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{N_m}{m} t^m \right) \in \mathbf{Q}[[t]].$$

The following proposition gives a product formula for  $Z(X, t)$  that is very useful in practice.

**Proposition 3.2.** *For every variety  $X$  over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ , we have*

$$(3) \quad Z(X, t) = \prod_{x \in X_{\text{cl}}} (1 - t^{\deg(x)})^{-1}.$$

*In particular,  $Z(X, t) \in \mathbf{Z}[[t]]$ .*

By making  $t = p^{-s}$ , we see that the above formula is analogous to the product formula for the Riemann zeta function.

*Proof.* Let us put  $a_r := |\{x \in X_{\text{cl}} \mid [k(x) : \mathbf{F}_q] = r\}|$  for every  $r \geq 1$ . Therefore the right-hand side of (3) is equal to  $\prod_{r \geq 1} (1 - t^r)^{-a_r}$ . It is clear that this product is well-defined in  $\mathbf{Z}[[t]]$ .

Recall that by Proposition 2.1, we have  $N_m = \sum_{r|m} r \cdot a_r$ . It follows from definition that

$$\begin{aligned} \log(Z(X, t)) &= \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{N_m}{m} t^m = \sum_{m \geq 1} \sum_{r|m} \frac{r \cdot a_r}{m} t^m = \sum_{r \geq 1} a_r \cdot \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{t^{\ell r}}{\ell} = \sum_{r \geq 1} (-a_r) \cdot \log(1 - t^r) \\ &= \sum_{r \geq 1} \log(1 - t^r)^{-a_r} = \log \left( \prod_{r \geq 1} (1 - t^r)^{-a_r} \right). \end{aligned}$$

The formula (3) now follows applying exp on both sides.  $\square$

**Remark 3.3.** Suppose that  $q = (q')^m$ . If  $X$  is a variety over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ , we may consider  $X$  as a variety over  $\mathbf{F}_{q'}$ , in the natural way. For every closed point  $x \in X$ , we have  $\deg(k(x)/\mathbf{F}_{q'}) = m \cdot \deg(k(x)/\mathbf{F}_q)$ . It follows from Proposition 3.2 that  $Z(X/\mathbf{F}_{q'}, t) = Z(X, \mathbf{F}_q, t^m)$ .

**Remark 3.4.** One can interpret the formula in Proposition 3.2 by saying that  $Z(X, t)$  is a generating function for the effective 0-cycles on  $X$ . Recall that the group of 0-cycles  $Z_0(X)$  is the free abelian group generated by the (closed) points of  $X$ . Given a 0-cycle  $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^r m_i x_i$ , its degree is  $\deg(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^r m_i \deg(x_i)$ . A 0-cycle  $\sum_i m_i x_i$  is *effective* if all  $m_i$  are nonnegative. With this terminology, we see that the formula in Proposition 3.2 can be rewritten as

$$Z(X, t) = \prod_{x \in X_{\text{cl}}} (1 + t^{\deg(x)} + t^{2\deg(x)} + \dots),$$

<sup>1</sup>If  $k'$  is a finite extension of  $k$  of degree  $m$ , then the set  $X(k')$  depends on this extension. However, any two extension of  $k$  of the same degree differ by a  $k$ -automorphism, hence  $|X(k')|$  only depends on  $|k'|$ .

and multiplying we obtain

$$(4) \quad Z(X, t) = \sum_{\alpha} t^{\deg(\alpha)},$$

where the sum is over all effective 0-cycles on  $X$ .

**3.3. Examples and elementary properties.** We start with the example of the affine space.

**Example 3.5.** Let  $k = \mathbf{F}_q$ , and  $X = \mathbf{A}_k^n$ . It is clear that for every finite extension  $k'/k$  we have  $X(k') = (k')^n$ , hence  $|X(k')| = |k'|^n$ . We conclude that

$$Z(\mathbf{A}^n, t) = \exp\left(\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{q^{mn}}{m} t^m\right) = \exp(-\log(1 - q^n t)) = \frac{1}{(1 - q^n t)}.$$

**Example 3.6.** More generally, note that for every two varieties  $X$  and  $Y$ , we have  $X \times Y(k') = X(k') \times Y(k')$ . In particular, if  $X = \mathbf{A}^n$ , we have  $|\mathbf{A}^n \times Y(\mathbf{F}_{q^m})| = |Y(\mathbf{F}_{q^m})| q^{mn}$ , hence

$$Z(\mathbf{A}^n \times Y, t) = \exp\left(\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{|Y(\mathbf{F}_{q^m})| q^{mn}}{m} t^m\right) = Z(Y, q^n t).$$

**Proposition 3.7.** *If  $X$  is a variety over  $\mathbf{F}_q$ , and  $Y$  is a closed subvariety of  $X$ , then  $Z(X, t) = Z(Y, t) \cdot Z(U, t)$ , where  $U = X \setminus Y$ .*

*Proof.* It is clear that for every  $m \geq 1$  we have  $|X(\mathbf{F}_{q^m})| = |Y(\mathbf{F}_{q^m})| + |U(\mathbf{F}_{q^m})|$ . The assertion in the proposition is an immediate consequence of this and of the fact that  $\exp(u + v) = \exp(u) \cdot \exp(v)$  for every  $u, v \in t\mathbf{Q}[[t]]$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.8.** *The zeta function of the projective space is given by*

$$Z(\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{F}_q}^n, t) = \frac{1}{(1-t)(1-qt) \cdots (1-q^n t)}.$$

*Proof.* The assertion follows from Example 3.5 by induction on  $n$ , using Proposition 3.7, and the fact that we have a closed embedding  $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{F}_q}^{n-1} \hookrightarrow \mathbf{P}_{\mathbf{F}_q}^n$ , whose complement is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{A}_{\mathbf{F}_q}^n$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.9.** *Let  $X$  be a variety over  $k = \mathbf{F}_q$ , and let  $k'/k$  be a field extension of degree  $r$ . If  $X' = X \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } k'$ , then*

$$Z(X', t^r) = \prod_{i=1}^r Z(X, \xi^i t),$$

where  $\xi$  is a primitive root of order  $r$  of 1.

*Proof.* Let us put  $N'_m := |X'(\mathbf{F}_{q^m})|$  and  $N_m = |X(\mathbf{F}_{q^m})|$ , hence  $N'_m = N_{mr}$ . By definition, it is enough to show that

$$\sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{N_{mr}}{m} t^{mr} = \sum_{i=1}^r \sum_{\ell \geq 1} \frac{N_{\ell}}{\ell} \xi^{i\ell} t^{\ell}.$$

This is a consequence of the fact that  $\sum_{i=1}^r \xi^{i\ell} = 0$  if  $r$  does not divide  $\ell$ , and it is equal to  $r$ , otherwise.  $\square$