## The Dog on a Leash Principal

Suppose that

$$m(t) : [0,1] \to \mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$$

is a continuous nonvanishing function with m(0) = m(1). The function m(t) describes the closed path of a man that winds exactly n times around a flagpole at the origin.

Suppose at the same time the man's dog also describes a closed continuous path d(t) for  $0 \le t \le 1$  with d(0) = d(1).

Finally, suppose that the dog is on a leash which is always kept shorter than the distance to the flagpole, that is

$$\operatorname{dist}(m(t), d(t)) < \operatorname{dist}(m(t), (0, 0)).$$

I hope that with this description, the following result is geometrically obvious.

**Theorem.** Under these hypotheses the dog also walks around the flagpole n times. That is, the closed path taken by the dog winds exactly n times around the origin.

Rouche's Theorem showing that the analytic functions f and f + g have the same number of zeroes inside the closed curve C is the special case with

$$m(t) := f(\gamma(t))$$
 and  $d(t) := f(\gamma(t)) + g(\gamma(t))$ 

where  $\gamma : [0,1] \to \mathbf{R}^2 \setminus \{(0,0)\}$  is a parametrization of the curve C.

**Discussion.** That the winding numbers are equal does not require any analyticity. That the winding numbers are exactly equal to the number of zeroes counting multiplicity is a consequence of The Argument Principal, a property of analytic functions.