## Linearization Unstable but Nonlinear Equilibrium Stable

**Summary.** A basic instability theorem asserts that if X' = F(X) has an equibilibrium  $\underline{X}$  and the linearization at  $\underline{X}$  has an eigenvalue with strictly positive real part, then the equilibrium is unstable. The more general assertion "linearization unstable implies equilibrium unstable" is false. We give a very simple example.

The point of departure is the linear spring

 $\ddot{x} + k^2 x = 0, \qquad k > 0.$ 

This linear problem has the pair of complex conjugate purely imaginary eigenvalues  $\pm ik$ . It is stable. The stability can also be proved using the the conserved energy

$$E := \frac{\dot{x}^2}{2} + k^2 \frac{x^2}{2}.$$

The latter proof extends to more general attractive springs. For example

$$\ddot{x} + x^3 = 0$$

has the conserved energy

$$E := \frac{\dot{x}^2}{2} + \frac{x^4}{4}.$$

Therefore, the equilibrium and also the equilibrium (0,0) of the system version

$$\dot{x}_1 = x_2, \qquad \dot{x}_2 = -x_1^3$$

are stable.

The linearization at the equilibrium is

$$\ddot{x} = 0$$

or the system version

$$\dot{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} X \, .$$

They are unstable with solutions that grow linearly in time.

## The linearization is unstable. The nonlinear equilibrium is stable.

**Remarks. 1.** The instability theorem asserts that this could not happen if the linearization has a solution that grows exponentially.

2. This example complements the standard examples with linearizations that are stable centers and nonlinear dynamics unstable. For example

$$\dot{X} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} X + \varepsilon \|X\|^2 X, \qquad \varepsilon > 0.$$

**Exercise 1** Denote the velocity v := x'. The orbits are then the energy level sets

$$\left\{ (x,v) : \frac{x^2}{2} + \frac{v^4}{4} = E \right\}$$

Show that the level sets have the following properties.

i. They are symmetric under reflection is both the x and v axes.

ii. They are perpendicular to both the x and v axes.

iii. The intercepts with the x-axis are

$$(\pm (2E)^{1/2}, 0).$$

The intercepts with the v-axis are

$$(\pm (4E)^{1/4}, 0).$$

**Discussion.** The orbits near the origin correspond to 0 < E << 1. For these the v intercepts are much larger than the x intercepts. The ovaloid orbits are very long and very narrow. The long direction is along the v-axis.

**Exercise 2** Denote by  $\Gamma$  the level set of energy E = 1. Show how knowing  $\Gamma$  determines all the other level sets.

**Exercise 3** Show that the level sets have strictly positive curvature. Equivalently they bound a strictly convex set.