

# Perturbations of Linear Sinks

**Summary.** *Small perturbations of linear sinks yield globally defined exponentially decreasing solutions. In particular an equilibrium whose linearization is asymptotically stable is itself asymptotically stable.*

## 1 The main result.

The following result which includes the possibility of nonautonomous perturbations has a simple elegant proof and several distinct applications. Denote by  $\mathbb{V}$  a real or complex finite dimensional normed vector space with norm  $\|\cdot\|$ . The linear asymptotically stable dynamics is

$$X' = AX \tag{1.1}$$

where  $A : \mathbb{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{V}$  is a linear transformation with eigenvalues with strictly negative real part so there exist strictly positive  $K$  and  $\rho$  so that for all  $t \geq 0$ ,

$$\|e^{At}\| \leq K e^{-\rho t}. \tag{1.2}$$

Here and in the following the norm of a linear transformation is taken as

$$\|B\| := \max_{\|X\| \leq 1} \|BX\|.$$

Consider the perturbed dynamics

$$X' = AX + f(t, X) \tag{1.3}$$

where  $f$  is continuous in  $t, X$  and uniformly Lipschitzean in  $X$  in the sense that there is a  $\Lambda$  so that for all  $t, X, Y$ ,  $\|f(t, X) - f(t, Y)\| \leq \Lambda \|X - Y\|$ . This implies uniqueness and existence for  $0 \leq t < \infty$  for the initial value problem. The next result shows that if  $f$  is sufficiently small then (1.3) inherits the asymptotic stability of (1.1).

**Theorem 1.1** *Suppose that there is an  $\eta > 0$  so that*

$$K\eta < \rho, \quad \text{and} \quad \forall t, x, \quad \|f(t, X)\| \leq \eta \|X\|. \tag{1.4}$$

*Then there is a  $\tilde{\rho} > 0$  so that solutions of (1.3) satisfy for all  $t \geq 0$ ,*

$$\|X(t)\| \leq K e^{-\tilde{\rho}t} \|X(0)\|. \tag{1.5}$$

**Proof.** The variation of parameters formula implies that for  $t \geq 0$

$$X(t) = e^{At} X(0) + \int_0^t e^{A(t-s)} f(s, X(s)) ds.$$

Using estimates (1.2) (1.4) together with the triangle inequality for sums and for integrals implies that

$$\|X(t)\| \leq K e^{-\rho t} \|X(0)\| + \int_0^t K e^{-\rho(t-s)} \eta \|X(s)\| ds.$$

Multiply through by  $e^{\rho t}$  to find

$$e^{\rho t} \|X(t)\| \leq K \|X(0)\| + K \eta \int_0^t e^{\rho s} \|X(s)\| ds.$$

Then  $\phi(t) := e^{\rho t} \|X(t)\|$  satisfies,

$$\phi(t) \leq K \|X(0)\| + K \eta \int_0^t \phi(s) ds.$$

Gronwall's inequality implies that

$$\phi(t) \leq K \|X(0)\| e^{K\eta t}.$$

Multiply through by  $e^{-\rho t}$  to find

$$\|X(t)\| \leq K \|X(0)\| e^{(K\eta - \rho)t}.$$

This proves the desired result with  $\tilde{\rho} := \rho - K\eta > 0$  thanks to (1.4).  $\square$

## 2 Application to linear equations.

Consider the linear equation

$$X' = AX + B(t)X, \tag{2.1}$$

with  $B(t)$  a continuous function valued in the linear transformations on  $\mathbb{V}$ .

**Theorem 2.1** *Suppose that  $A$ ,  $K$ , and  $\rho$  satisfy (1.2). Suppose in addition that there is an  $\eta > 0$  and  $T \geq 0$  so that*

$$K\eta < \rho, \quad \text{and} \quad \forall t \geq T, \quad \|B(t)\| \leq \eta. \tag{2.2}$$

Then there are strictly positive constants  $\tilde{K}$  and  $\tilde{\rho}$  so that solutions of (2.1) satisfy for all  $t \geq 0$

$$\|X(t)\| \leq \tilde{K} e^{-\tilde{\rho}t} \|X(0)\|. \quad (2.3)$$

**Proof.** Theorem 1.1 implies that for  $t \geq T$  one has

$$\|X(t)\| \leq K e^{-\tilde{\rho}(t-T)} \|X(T)\|. \quad (2.4)$$

Let  $\Psi(t)$  the fundamental matrix for (2.1) with  $\Psi(0) = I$ . By continuity define

$$M := \max_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\Psi(t)\| < \infty.$$

Then

$$\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|X(t)\| \leq M \|X(0)\|. \quad (2.5)$$

Estimates (2.4) and (2.5) imply the desired estimate (2.3).  $\square$

### 3 Asymptotic stability of nonlinear equilibria.

Consider the nonlinear autonomous system

$$X' = F(X) \quad (3.1)$$

with equilibrium  $X_0$ . Suppose that  $F$  is a twice continuously differentiable function on the ball  $\{\|X - X_0\| \leq R_1\}$ .

**Theorem 3.1** *If the linearization*

$$Y' = AY, \quad A := D_X F(X_0)$$

*has  $Y = 0$  as an asymptotically stable equilibrium, then  $X_0$  is an asymptotically stable equilibrium of (3.1).*

We will prove a more precise stability estimate. It starts with some preparation. Translating coordinates we may suppose that  $X_0 = 0$ . Then Taylor's Theorem with remainder implies that

$$F(X) = AX + g(X) \quad \text{on} \quad \|X\| \leq R_1 \quad (3.2)$$

and there is a  $C > 0$  so that  $g$  satisfies

$$\|g(X)\| \leq C \|X\|^2 \quad \text{on} \quad \|X\| \leq R_1.$$

Choose  $K$  and  $\rho$  so that (1.2) holds. Choose  $0 < \eta$  so that  $K\eta < \rho$  and choose  $0 < R_2 \leq R_1$  so that

$$C R_2 < \eta. \tag{3.3}$$

Then

$$\|g(X)\| \leq \eta \|X\| \quad \text{for} \quad \|X\| \leq R_1. \tag{3.4}$$

**Theorem 3.2** *Suppose that  $R_1, R_2, C, \eta$  are as above. Then there is an  $0 < R_3 \leq R_2$  and strictly positive  $\tilde{K}$  and  $\tilde{\rho}$  so that solutions of (3.1) with  $\|X(0)\| \leq R_3$  exist for all  $t \geq 0$ , take values in  $\|X\| \leq R_1$ , and satisfies*

$$\|X(t)\| \leq \tilde{K} e^{-\tilde{\rho}t} \|X(0)\|. \tag{3.5}$$

**Proof.** The function  $g$  is only defined for  $\|X\| \leq R_1$ . Extend it to all  $X$  so that for  $\|X\| \geq R_1$ ,  $g$  is constant on rays through the origin. That is for  $\|X\| \geq R_1$ ,

$$g(X) := g\left(\frac{R_1 X}{\|X\|}\right).$$

Then  $\|g(X)\| \leq \eta \|X\|$  for all  $X$ . (**Exercise.** Verify this.) Therefore Theorem 1.1 with  $f(t, X) := g(X)$  implies that the equation

$$X' = AX + g(X) \tag{3.6}$$

is globally solvable and solutions satisfy (3.5).

Choose  $0 < R_3 \leq R_2$  so that  $\tilde{K}R_3 \leq R_1$ . Then if  $\|X(0)\| \leq R_3$ , (3.5) implies that for  $t \geq 0$ ,  $\|X(t)\| \leq R_1$ . Equations (3.2) and (3.6) imply that (3.1) holds.

$X(t)$  is therefore the unique solution of (3.1) with initial value  $X(0)$ . Therefore that solution takes values in  $\|X\| \leq R_1$  and satisfies (3.5).  $\square$