

Stable Manifold Difference Quotient Lemma

1 The setup

$$x' = \lambda x + f_1(x, y) := u(x, y), \quad y' = -\mu y + f_2(x, y) := v(x, y)$$

with $-\mu < 0 < \lambda$, f_j continuously differentiable in a neighborhood of $(0, 0)$ and $\nabla_{x,y} f_j(0, 0) = 0$.
Work in $C_M^+ \cap B_\varepsilon$ with

$$C_M^+ := \left\{ |x| < My, y > 0 \right\}, \quad B_\varepsilon := \left\{ |x_1| < \varepsilon, |x_2| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Definition 1.1 For a function h defined on $C_M^+ \cap B_\varepsilon$ we write $h = O(1)$ when there is a neighborhood of $(0, 0)$ on which h is bounded. We write $h = o(1)$ when

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \sup \left\{ |h(x, y)| : (x, y) \in C_M^+ \cap B_\varepsilon, 0 < y < \delta \right\} = 0.$$

Example 1.1

$$f_j = r o(1), \quad \nabla_{x,y} f_j = o(1), \quad \frac{r}{y} = O(1).$$

Define

$$G(x, y) := \frac{\lambda x + f_1(x, y)}{-\mu y + f_2(x, y)} = \frac{u(x, y)}{v(x, y)}.$$

2 Difference quotient estimate

For $-y/M < x_1 < x_2 < y/M$ estimate the difference quotient

$$\frac{\Delta G}{\Delta x} = \frac{G(x_2, y) - G(x_1, y)}{x_2 - x_1}.$$

Lemma 2.1

$$\frac{\Delta G}{\Delta x} = \frac{\lambda}{-\mu y} \left(1 + o(1) \right).$$

Proof. Write $x_2 = x_1 + \Delta x$, $\Delta u := u(x_1 + \Delta x, y) - u(x_1, y)$ and similarly $\Delta v := u(x_1 + \Delta x, y) - v(x_1, y)$. Then

$$\Delta G = \frac{u + \Delta u}{v + \Delta v} - \frac{u}{v} = \frac{(u + \Delta u)v - u(v + \Delta v)}{v(v + \Delta v)} = \frac{v\Delta u - u\Delta v}{v(v + \Delta v)}$$

where the two terms uv in the numerator cancelled. Therefore

$$\frac{\Delta G}{\Delta x} = \frac{v(\Delta u/\Delta x) - u(\Delta v/\Delta x)}{v(v + (\Delta v/\Delta x)\Delta x)}.$$

Since $f = r o(1)$ and $r/y = O(1)$, one has

$$u = \lambda x + r o(1) = r O(1), \quad v = -\mu y + r o(1) = -\mu y(1 + (r/y)o(1)) = -\mu y(1 + o(1)),$$

$$\frac{1}{v} = \frac{1}{-\mu y} \frac{1}{1+o(1)} = \frac{1}{-\mu y} (1+o(1)).$$

Since $\nabla_{x,y} f_2(0,0) = 0$ it follows that $\Delta v/\Delta x = o(1)$. Using also $\Delta x/y = O(1)$ yields

$$v + \frac{\Delta v}{\Delta x} \Delta x = -\mu y + o(1)\Delta x = -\mu y \left(1 + o(1) \frac{\Delta x}{y}\right) = -\mu y(1+o(1)).$$

Similarly

$$\frac{\Delta u}{\Delta x} = \lambda + \frac{\Delta f_1}{\Delta x} = \lambda + o(1) = \lambda(1+o(1)).$$

Therefore

$$\frac{1}{v + (\Delta v/\Delta x)\Delta x} = \frac{1}{-\mu y} \frac{1}{1+o(1)} = \frac{1}{-\mu y} (1+o(1)).$$

Write

$$\frac{\Delta G}{\Delta x} = \frac{\Delta u}{\Delta x} \frac{1}{v + (\Delta v/\Delta x)\Delta x} - \frac{u(\Delta v/\Delta x)}{v(v + (\Delta v/\Delta x)\Delta x)}. \quad (2.1)$$

Then the first summand is the product of two terms that have already been estimated,

$$\frac{\Delta u}{\Delta x} \frac{1}{v + (\Delta v/\Delta x)\Delta x} = \lambda(1+o(1)) \frac{1}{-\mu y} (1+o(1)) = \frac{\lambda}{-\mu y} (1+o(1)). \quad (2.2)$$

The second term is similarly the product of four terms,

$$u \frac{\Delta v}{\Delta x} \frac{1}{v} \frac{1}{v + (\Delta v/\Delta x)\Delta x} = rO(1) o(1) \frac{1}{-\mu y} (1+o(1)) \frac{1}{-\mu y} (1+o(1))$$

Since r/y is bounded, this is equal to $1/(-\mu y) o(1)$. Combining this with (2.1) and (2.2) proves the lemma. ■