Inner products

Let V be a vector space over a field F. Recall that a bilinear form is a function B which takes as input two vectors  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{w}$  and is linear in each input, meaning

 $B(\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2, \vec{w}) = B(\vec{v}_1, \vec{w}) + B(\vec{v}_2, \vec{w})$  $B(\vec{v}, \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2) = B(\vec{v}, \vec{w}_1) + B(\vec{v}, \vec{w}_2)$  $B(c\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = B(\vec{v}, c\vec{w}) = cB(\vec{v}, \vec{w}).$ 

Let V be a vector space over a field F. Recall that a bilinear form is a function B which takes as input two vectors  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{w}$  and is linear in each input, meaning

 $B(\vec{v}_1 + \vec{v}_2, \vec{w}) = B(\vec{v}_1, \vec{w}) + B(\vec{v}_2, \vec{w})$  $B(\vec{v}, \vec{w}_1 + \vec{w}_2) = B(\vec{v}, \vec{w}_1) + B(\vec{v}, \vec{w}_2)$  $B(c\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = B(\vec{v}, c\vec{w}) = cB(\vec{v}, \vec{w}).$ 

The bilinear form B is called *symmetric* if  $B(\vec{v}, \vec{w}) = B(\vec{w}, \vec{v})$ .

We now switch to the field being the real numbers. In this case, we can define a special kind of symmetric bilinear form: A symmetric bilinear form is called **positive definite** if, for all nonzero vectors  $\vec{v}$ , we ave  $B(\vec{v}, \vec{v}) > 0$ .

A positive definite symmetric bilinear form is called an *inner product*. We'll often denote an inner product as  $(\vec{v}|\vec{w})$ ,  $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle$  or  $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w}$ . We now switch to the field being the real numbers. In this case, we can define a special kind of symmetric bilinear form: A symmetric bilinear form is called **positive definite** if, for all nonzero vectors  $\vec{v}$ , we have  $B(\vec{v}, \vec{v}) > 0$ .

A positive definite symmetric bilinear form is called an *inner product*. We'll often denote an inner product as  $(\vec{v}|\vec{w})$ ,  $\langle \vec{v}, \vec{w} \rangle$  or  $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{w}$ .

There is a variant for complex numbers coming soon.

Let V be a vector space with an inner product B(, ).

For a vector  $\vec{v}$  in B, we put  $|\vec{v}| = \sqrt{B(\vec{v}, \vec{v})}$ .

For two nonzero vectors  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$ , we define the angle between  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  to be  $\cos^{-1} \frac{B(\vec{x}, \vec{y})}{|\vec{x}| |\vec{y}|}$ .

For two nonzero vectors  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{y}$ , we define the angle between  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  to be  $\cos^{-1} \frac{B(\vec{x}, \vec{y})}{|\vec{x}| |\vec{y}|}$ .

To see that this is defined, we want to prove the

**Cauchy-Schwartz inequality**: For any vectors  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$ , we have  $|B(\vec{x}, \vec{y})| \leq |\vec{x}| |\vec{y}|$ . Moreover, we have equality if and only if  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  are parallel.

For two nonzero vectors  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{y}$ , we define the angle between  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  to be  $\cos^{-1} \frac{B(\vec{x}, \vec{y})}{|\vec{x}| |\vec{y}|}$ .

To see that this is defined, we want to prove the

**Cauchy-Schwartz inequality**: For any vectors  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$ , we have  $|B(\vec{x}, \vec{y})| \leq |\vec{x}| |\vec{y}|$ . Moreover, we have equality if and only if  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  are parallel.

**Proof:** For simplicity, assume that  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  are nonzero. Put  $p = |\vec{x}|, q = |\vec{y}|$  and put  $\vec{u} = \frac{\vec{x}}{p}$  and  $\vec{v} = \frac{\vec{y}}{q}$ , so  $\vec{x} = p\vec{u}$  and  $\vec{y} = q\vec{v}$  with  $|\vec{u}| = |\vec{v}| = 1$ .

Then  $B(\vec{u} \pm \vec{v}, \vec{u} \pm \vec{v}) = B(\vec{u}, \vec{u}) \pm 2B(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) + B(\vec{v}, \vec{v}) \ge 0$ . We have  $B(\vec{u}, \vec{u}) = B(\vec{v}, \vec{v}) = 1$ , so this gives  $2 \pm 2B(\vec{u}, \vec{v}) \ge 0$ . So  $|B(\vec{u}, \vec{v})| \le 1$  as desired. Moreover, we have equality if and only if  $\vec{u} = \mp \vec{v}$ .  $\Box$ 

For two nonzero vectors  $\vec{v}$  and  $\vec{y}$ , we define the angle between  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  to be  $\cos^{-1} \frac{B(\vec{x}, \vec{y})}{|\vec{x}| |\vec{y}|}$ .

Thus,  $\angle(\vec{x}, \vec{y})$  is *acute* if  $B(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) > 0$ , is *obtuse* if  $B(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) < 0$  and is *a right angle* if  $B(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = 0$ .

We say that  $\vec{x}$  and  $\vec{y}$  are *perpendicular* or *orthogonal* if  $B(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = 0$ . We say that two subspaces X and Y of V are *perpendicular* or *orthogonal* if  $B(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = 0$  for all  $\vec{x} \in X$  and  $\vec{y} \in Y$ .

We say that two subspaces X and Y of V are *perpendicular* or *orthogonal* if  $B(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = 0$  for all  $\vec{x} \in X$  and  $\vec{y} \in Y$ .

**Problem** Check that, if X and Y are orthogonal, then  $X \cap Y = {\vec{0}}.$ 

We say that two subspaces X and Y of V are *perpendicular* or *orthogonal* if  $B(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = 0$  for all  $\vec{x} \in X$  and  $\vec{y} \in Y$ .

**Problem** Check that, if X and Y are orthogonal, then  $X \cap Y = {\vec{0}}.$ 

This suggests that we will often have orthogonal direct sums. Recall that, when we have a direct sum  $V = X \oplus Y$ , we get linear maps  $p_X : V \to X$  and  $p_Y : V \to Y$  such that  $v = p_X(v) + p_X(v)$ .

**Problem** If  $V = X \oplus X^{\perp}$ , show that  $p_X(v)$  is the closest point to v in X.

In order to compute and discuss orthogonal projections, we introduce the notion of *orthonormal bases*. A list of vector  $\vec{u}_1$ ,  $\vec{u}_2, \ldots$ , is called *orthonormal* if

$$B(\vec{u}_i, \vec{u}_j) = \begin{cases} 1 & i = j \\ 0 & i \neq j \end{cases}$$

Here are the main results about orthonormal vectors:

- If  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots$ , are orthonormal, then they are linearly independent.
- If  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_n$  is an orthonormal basis of  $X \subset V$ , then  $p_X$  is given by the formula

$$p_X(\vec{v}) = \sum_{i=1}^n B(\vec{u}_i, \vec{v}) \vec{u}_i.$$

- In the above case,  $X^{\perp} = \text{Ker } p_X$ , and  $V = X \oplus X^{\perp}$ .
- A finite dimensional vector space, with an inner product, always has an orthonormal basis.

We'll head to Miro to prove the first three ...

So, take an n-1 dimensional subspace X of V. By induction, X has an orthonormal basis  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_{n-1}$ .

So, take an n-1 dimensional subspace X of V. By induction, X has an orthonormal basis  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_{n-1}$ .

Let  $\vec{v}$  be any vector not in X. Put  $\vec{u} = \vec{v} - p_X(\vec{v})$ . Then  $\vec{u}$  is orthogonal to X and (since  $\vec{v} \notin X$ ),  $\vec{u}$  is not 0. This means that we have

$$B(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}) = B(\vec{u}_2, \vec{u}) = \dots = B(\vec{u}_{n-1}, \vec{u}) = 0$$
$$B(\vec{u}, \vec{u}) > 0.$$

So, take an n-1 dimensional subspace X of V. By induction, X has an orthonormal basis  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_{n-1}$ .

Let  $\vec{v}$  be any vector not in X. Put  $\vec{u} = \vec{v} - p_X(\vec{v})$ . Then  $\vec{u}$  is orthogonal to X and (since  $\vec{v} \notin X$ ),  $\vec{u}$  is not 0. This means that we have

$$B(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}) = B(\vec{u}_2, \vec{u}) = \dots = B(\vec{u}_{n-1}, \vec{u}) = 0$$
$$B(\vec{u}, \vec{u}) > 0.$$

Rescaling  $\vec{u}$  by  $\sqrt{B(\vec{u},\vec{u})}$ , we get an orthonormal basis for X.  $\Box$ 

So, take an n-1 dimensional subspace X of V. By induction, X has an orthonormal basis  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_{n-1}$ .

Let  $\vec{v}$  be any vector not in X. Put  $\vec{u} = \vec{v} - p_X(\vec{v})$ . Then  $\vec{u}$  is orthogonal to X and (since  $\vec{v} \notin X$ ),  $\vec{u}$  is not 0. This means that we have

$$B(\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}) = B(\vec{u}_2, \vec{u}) = \dots = B(\vec{u}_{n-1}, \vec{u}) = 0$$
$$B(\vec{u}, \vec{u}) > 0.$$

Rescaling  $\vec{u}$  by  $\sqrt{B(\vec{u},\vec{u})}$ , we get an orthonormal basis for X.  $\Box$