

**Question 1** (15 points)

We give a matrix  $M$  and its row reduction:

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & -2 & 2 & 5 & -1 \\ 2 & 4 & 0 & 2 & -1 \\ -1 & -2 & 2 & 5 & -2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{rref}(M) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

- (a) (2 points) What is the rank of  $M$ ?

There are two pivot columns, so the rank is 2.

- (b) (2 points) What is the dimension of the image of  $M$ ? Explain how you know.

The dimension of the image is the same as the rank, so  $\dim \text{Image}(M) = 2$ .

- (c) (3 points) What is the dimension of the kernel of  $M$ ? Explain how you know.

By the rank-nullity theorem, the dimension of the kernel is the number of columns minus the rank, so  $\dim \text{Ker}(M) = 5 - 2 = 3$ .

- (d) (4 points) Is  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  in the image of  $M$ ? Explain why or why not.

No, it is not. One can see this systematically by row-reducing the matrix formed by adding the column  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  to  $M$ . A quicker route is to notice that every vector  $\begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix}$  in  $\text{Image}(M)$  has  $x_1 = x_3$ .

- (e) (4 points) Is  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$  in the kernel of  $M$ ? Explain why or why not.

No, it is not. It is easier to check this using the row reduction of  $M$ , which has the same kernel. We compute

$$\text{rref}(M) \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 3 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 15 \\ 14 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \neq \vec{0}.$$

**Question 2** (19 points)

A quadratic polynomial (in two variables) is a polynomial  $q(x, y)$  of the form  $a + bx + cy + dx^2 + exy + fy^2$  for some real scalars  $a, b, c, d, e, f$ . Let  $V$  be the vector space of those quadratic polynomials which have  $q(1, 1) = q(1, -1) = q(-1, 1) = q(-1, -1) = 0$ .

(a) (9 points) Find a basis for  $V$ .

The condition  $q(1, 1) = q(1, -1) = q(-1, 1) = q(-1, -1) = 0$  unpacks to four linear equations:

$$\begin{aligned} a+b+c+d+e+f &= 0 \\ a-b+c+d-e+f &= 0 \\ a+b-c+d-e+f &= 0 \\ a-b-c+d+e+f &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Subtracting the first rows from the others gives

$$\begin{aligned} a+b+c+d+e+f &= 0 \\ -2b & \quad -2e &= 0 \\ -2c & \quad -2e &= 0 \\ -2b-2c & &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

We could keep row reducing at this point, but it is easier to directly notice that the bottom three equations give  $b = -e = c = -b$ , so  $b = -b$  and we deduce that  $b = c = e = 0$ . Thus, our equations simplify to

$$a + d + f = 0 \quad b = c = e = 0.$$

A basis of solutions is  $(a, b, c, d, e, f) = (1, 0, 0, -1, 0, 0)$  and  $(1, 0, 0, 0, 0, -1)$ . The corresponding polynomials are  $1 - x^2$  and  $1 - y^2$ .

(b) (5 points) Express the quadratics  $x^2 - y^2$ ,  $x^2 + y^2 - 2$  and  $x^2 - 1$  in your basis.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} x^2 - y^2 &= -(1 - x^2) + (1 - y^2) \\ x^2 + y^2 - 2 &= -(1 - x^2) - (1 - y^2) \\ x^2 - 1 &= -(1 - x^2) \end{aligned}$$

Of course, the answer to this part will depend on the answer to the previous part.

(c) (5 points) Are the three quadratics  $x^2 - y^2$ ,  $x^2 + y^2 - 2$  and  $x^2 - 1$  linearly independent, or linearly dependent? Justify your answer.

They are linearly dependent. The vector space of solutions has dimension 2, so any three vectors must be linearly dependent.

**Question 3** (10 points)

Let  $\vec{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\vec{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$ , and let  $L$  be the plane  $\text{Span}(\vec{u}, \vec{v})$  in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

- (a) (4 points) The vector  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$  is in  $L$ . Write  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$  in the coordinates of the basis  $(\vec{u}, \vec{v})$ .

We have

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{u} - 2\vec{v}$$

so the answer is  $\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$ .

- (b) (6 points) Let  $A : \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3$  be the linear transformation  $A \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \\ z \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} y \\ z \\ -8x \end{bmatrix}$ ; the transformation  $A$  takes  $L$  to  $L$ . Write the restriction of  $A$  to  $L$  in the basis  $(\vec{u}, \vec{v})$  of  $L$ .

We have

$$\begin{aligned} A\vec{u} &= \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ -8 \end{bmatrix} = 2\vec{u} - 4\vec{v} \\ A\vec{v} &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \vec{u} \end{aligned}$$

so the answer is  $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 \\ -4 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Question 4** (16 points)

Let  $V$  be a vector space and let  $A : V \rightarrow V$  be a linear map. Please prove or provide a counterexample to the following claims:

- (a) (4 points) If  $B : V \rightarrow V$  is invertible, then  $\text{Ker}(BA) = \text{Ker}(A)$ .

This is true. If  $A\vec{v} = \vec{0}$  then  $BA\vec{v} = B\vec{0} = \vec{0}$ . Conversely, if  $BA\vec{v} = \vec{0}$  then  $A\vec{v} = B^{-1}BA\vec{v} = B^{-1}\vec{0} = \vec{0}$ .

- (b) (4 points) If  $B : V \rightarrow V$  is invertible, then  $\text{Ker}(AB) = \mathfrak{S}(A)$ .

This is false. A counter-example is to take  $B = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . Then  $AB = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ . So  $\text{Ker}(A) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ * \end{bmatrix}$  and  $\text{Ker}(AB) = \begin{bmatrix} * \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

- (c) (4 points) If  $A^2$  is invertible, then  $A$  is invertible.

This is true. If  $SA^2 = \text{Id}$  then  $(SA)A = \text{Id}$ , so  $SA$  is the inverse to  $A$ . Since the matrices are square, we only need to check one of the two identities  $(SA)A = \text{Id}$  and  $A(SA) = \text{Id}$ .

- (d) (4 points) If  $A^2 = 0$ , then  $A = 0$ .

This is false. A counter-example is  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Question 5** (10 points)

Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional vector space with basis  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$ . Let  $\vec{w} = c_1\vec{v}_1 + c_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_n\vec{v}_n$  be another vector in  $V$ . Prove that  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_{n-1}, \vec{w}$  is a basis of  $V$  if and only if  $c_n \neq 0$ .

First, suppose that  $c_n = 0$ . Then

$$\vec{w} = c_1\vec{v}_1 + c_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_{n-1}\vec{v}_{n-1}.$$

So  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_{n-1}, \vec{w}$  are linearly dependent and are not a basis.

Now, suppose that  $c_n \neq 0$ . We will show that  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_{n-1}, \vec{w}$  are linearly independent, and span  $V$ . We could also check just one of these, and then note that this is a list of  $n$  elements and  $\dim V = n$ .

**Proof of linear independence:** Suppose that  $a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_{n-1}\vec{v}_{n-1} + b\vec{w} = \vec{0}$ . We rewrite this as

$$a_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + a_{n-1}\vec{v}_{n-1} + b(c_1\vec{v}_1 + c_2\vec{v}_2 + \dots + c_n\vec{v}_n) = \vec{0}.$$

$$(a_1 + bc_1)\vec{v}_1 + (a_2 + bc_2)\vec{v}_2 + \dots + (a_{n-1} + bc_{n-1})\vec{v}_{n-1} + bc_n\vec{v}_n = \vec{0}.$$

Using the linear independence of the  $\vec{v}_i$ , we have

$$a_1 + bc_1 = a_2 + bc_2 = \dots = a_{n-1} + bc_{n-1} = bc_n = 0.$$

Since  $c_n \neq 0$ , we deduce that  $b = 0$  and thus  $a_1 = a_2 = \dots = a_{n-1} = 0$ .

**Proof of spanning:** We have

$$\vec{v}_n = \frac{1}{c_n} (\vec{w} - c_1\vec{v}_1 - c_2\vec{v}_2 - \dots - c_{n-1}\vec{v}_{n-1}).$$

So  $\vec{v}_n$  is in  $\text{Span}(\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_{n-1}, \vec{w})$ . Since  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_n$  span  $V$ , this shows that  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_{n-1}, \vec{w}$  spans  $V$  as well.

**Question 6** (10 points)

Let  $V$  be a finite dimensional vector space and let  $A$  and  $B$  be subspaces. Show that, if  $\dim A + \dim B > \dim V$ , then  $A \cap B$  contains a nonzero vector.

Here is one approach. Let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_a$  be a basis of  $A$  and let  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_b$  be a basis of  $B$ . Since  $a + b > \dim V$ , there is a linear relation:

$$c_1\alpha_1 + \dots + c_a\alpha_a + d_1\beta_1 + \dots + d_b\beta_b = \vec{0}$$

whose coefficients are not all 0. So

$$c_1\alpha_1 + \dots + c_a\alpha_a = -d_1\beta_1 - \dots - d_b\beta_b.$$

The sum  $c_1\alpha_1 + \dots + c_a\alpha_a$  is clearly in  $A$ , and the sum  $-d_1\beta_1 - \dots - d_b\beta_b$  is clearly in  $B$ , so this is a vector in  $A \cap B$ . Using the linear independence of  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_a$ , and of  $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_b$ , it is not zero.

**Question 7** (20 points)

Let  $\mathbb{R}^\infty$  be the vector space of infinite sequences  $(a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots)$  of real numbers. Let  $S : \mathbb{R}^\infty \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^\infty$  be the linear transformation

$$S((a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots)) = (a_2, a_3, a_4, \dots).$$

- (a) (4 points) What is the image of  $S$ ?

Every vector is in the image of  $S$ , since  $(a_2, a_3, a_4, \dots)$  is  $S((0, a_2, a_3, \dots))$ .

- (b) (4 points) What is the kernel of  $S$ ?

The kernel of  $S$  is vectors of the form  $(a, 0, 0, 0, \dots)$ .

- (c) (6 points) Is there a linear transformation  $T$  such that  $ST = \text{Id}$ ? Explain why or why not.

Yes. One such choice is  $T((a_1, a_2, \dots)) = (0, a_1, a_2, \dots)$ .

- (d) (6 points) Is there a linear transformation  $T$  such that  $TS = \text{Id}$ ?

No. We have  $S((1, 0, 0, 0, \dots)) = S((0, 0, 0, 0, \dots)) = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$  so, if there were such a  $T$ , we would have both  $T((0, 0, 0, 0, \dots)) = (0, 0, 0, \dots)$  and  $T((0, 0, 0, 0, \dots)) = (1, 0, 0, \dots)$ , a contradiction.