Most people find the proof of the Smith normal form theorem for Euclidean domains more intuitive than the case of a general PID. When I went to write them out, they actually came out very similar.

**Problem 18.1.** (Proof of Smith normal form for Euclidean integral domains) Let R be a Euclidean integral domain with positive norm N(). Let  $X \in Mat_{m \times n}(R)$ . If X = 0, the Smith normal form theorem clearly holds for X, so assume otherwise. Let d be an element of smallest norm among all nonzero elements occurring as an entry in a matrix Y with  $Y \sim X$ . Let Y be a matrix with  $Y \sim X$  and  $Y_{11} = d$ .

- (1) Show that d divides  $Y_{i1}$  and  $Y_{1j}$  for all  $2 \le i \le m$  and  $2 \le j \le n$ .
- (2) Show that there is a matrix  $Z \sim Y$  with  $\overline{Z_{11}} = d$  and  $\overline{Z_{11}} = \overline{Z_{1j}} = 0$  for all  $2 \le i \le m$  and  $2 \le j \le n$ .
- (3) Show that d divides  $Z_{ij}$  for all  $2 \le i \le m$  and  $2 \le j \le n$ .
- (4) Show that X is ~-equivalent to a matrix of the form  $\operatorname{diag}_{mn}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{\min(m,n)})$  with  $d_1|d_2|\cdots|d_{\min(m,n)}$ .

**Problem 18.2.** Consequence of the proof of Smith normal form for Euclidean integral domains: Define a stronger equivalence relation  $\sim_E$  where  $X \sim_E Y$  if Y = UXV where U and V products of elementary matrices.

- (1) Trace through your proof and check that you have shown, in a Euclidean integral domain, that every matrix is  $\sim_E$ -equivalent to a matrix of the form  $\operatorname{diag}_{mn}(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_{\min(m,n)})$  with  $d_1|d_2|\cdots|d_{\min(m,n)}$ .
- (2) Let R be a Euclidean integral domain. Let  $SL_n(R)$  be the group of  $n \times n$  matrices with entries in R and determinant 1. Show that  $SL_n(R)$  is generated by elementary matrices.

To do the case of a general PID, you'll need the following old problems:

(14.9) Let x and 
$$y \in R$$
 Show that there is a matrix  $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}$  with entries in R such that  $ad - bc = 1$  and  
 $\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{GCD}(x, y) \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  
(14.10) Let x and y be nonzero elements of R. Show that there are invertible  $2 \times 2$  matrices U and V with  
 $U \begin{bmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{bmatrix} V = \begin{bmatrix} \operatorname{GCD}(x, y) & 0 \\ 0 & \operatorname{LCM}(x, y) \end{bmatrix}$ .  
Here  $\operatorname{LCM}(x, y) := \frac{xy}{\operatorname{GCD}(x, y)}$ .

## Problem 18.3.

Let R be a Noetherian ring (such as a PID) and let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a nonempty subset of R. Show that there is an element  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  which is "minimal with respect to division": More precisely, show that there is an element such that if  $d' \in \mathcal{D}$  divides d, then d divides d' as well.

**Problem 18.4.** (Proof of Smith normal form for PID's) Let R be a PID and let  $X \in Mat_{m \times n}(R)$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the set of all entries occurring in any matrix Y with  $Y \sim X$ . Let d be as in Problem 18.3 for  $\mathcal{D}$  and let Y be a matrix with  $Y \sim X$  and  $Y_{11} = d$ .

- (1) Show that d divides  $Y_{i1}$  and  $Y_{1j}$  for all  $2 \le i \le m$  and  $2 \le j \le n$ .
- (2) Show that there is a matrix  $Z \sim Y$  with  $Z_{11} = d$  and  $Z_{i1} = Z_{1j} = 0$  for all  $2 \le i \le m$  and  $2 \le j \le n$ .
- (3) Show that d divides  $Z_{ij}$  for all  $2 \le i \le m$  and  $2 \le j \le n$ .
- (4) Show that X is ~-equivalent to a matrix of the form  $\operatorname{diag}_{mn}(d_1, d_2, \dots, d_{\min(m,n)})$  with  $d_1|d_2|\cdots|d_{\min(m,n)}$ .