

PROBLEM SET 3 – DUE SEPTEMBER 24

See the course website for policy on collaboration.

**Notation** The symbol  $k$  denotes an algebraically closed field. Let  $X$  be a Zariski closed subset of  $k^m$ , let  $\Omega \subseteq X$  be Zariski open and let  $f$  be a function  $\Omega \rightarrow k$ . For  $x \in \Omega$  we say that  $f$  is **regular at  $x$**  if there is a Zariski open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x$  and regular functions  $g$  and  $h$  on  $X$  such that  $h|_U \neq 0$  and  $f|_U = (g/h)|_U$ . We say that  $f$  is regular on  $\Omega$  if it is regular at every point of  $\Omega$ . We write  $\mathbb{A}^n$  for  $k^n$  when we want to think of it as a geometric space. An **affine variety**  $X$  is a Zariski closed subset of some  $\mathbb{A}^n$ ; a **quasi-affine variety** is a Zariski open subset of some affine variety. For us, the word “variety” does not imply irreducible.

**Problem 1** Let  $X$  be an affine variety. Show that the Zariski topology on  $X$  is compact<sup>1</sup>.

**Warning:** Your intuitions about the consequences of this result are probably false: If  $k = \mathbb{C}$ , this doesn’t imply that regular functions  $X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  are bounded, because they are not continuous using the Zariski topology on  $X$  and the analytic topology on  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is regular, this doesn’t imply  $f(X)$  is closed, because  $Y$  isn’t Hausdorff.

**Problem 2** Let  $k$  have characteristic not equal to 2. Let

$$O_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{pmatrix} : \begin{pmatrix} w & x \\ y & z \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} w & y \\ x & z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}$$

- (a) Show that  $O_2$  is disconnected, explicitly writing it disjoint union of two closed components.
- (b) Find an idempotent in  $\mathcal{O}_{O_2}$  other than 0 and 1.

**Problem 3** Let  $X$  be  $\{(w, x, y, z) : wy = x^2, xz = y^2\}$ .

- (a) Show that  $X$  is not irreducible, explicitly writing  $X = X_1 \cup X_2$  for two Zariski closed sets  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  with  $X_1, X_2 \neq X$ .
- (b) Show that the  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  you found are irreducible. (Hint: A subring of a domain is a domain. Alternate hint: The image of an irreducible variety under a regular map is irreducible.)
- (c) What is the relation between the two hints? *I.e.* if  $A$  is a subring of  $B$ , is there a surjection  $\text{MaxSpec } B \rightarrow \text{MaxSpec } A$ ? What about *vice versa*?

**Problem 4** Repeat problem 3, parts (a) and (b) for

$$X = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} u & v & w \\ x & y & z \end{pmatrix} : \det \begin{pmatrix} u & v \\ x & y \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} v & w \\ y & z \end{pmatrix} = 0 \right\}$$

**Problem 5** Let  $X$  be an affine variety. Show that the units in the ring  $\mathcal{O}_X$  are precisely the regular functions  $f : X \rightarrow k$  which are nowhere 0.

**Problem 6** Let  $X = \mathbb{A}^2$ , with coordinate functions  $x$  and  $y$ . Let  $\Omega = X \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$ . Show that any regular function on  $\Omega$  extends to a regular function on  $X$ .

**Problem 7** Let  $X = \{(w, x, y, z) : wy = wz = xy = xz = 0\}$ . Let  $\Omega = X \setminus \{(0, 0, 0, 0)\}$ .

- (a) Decompose  $X$  into irreducible components. You should find two, call them  $X_0$  and  $X_1$ .
- (b) Show that  $X_0 \cap \Omega$  and  $X_1 \cap \Omega$  are disjoint.
- (c) Let  $f : \Omega \rightarrow k$  be 0 on  $X_0$  and 1 on  $X_1$ . Show that  $f$  is regular on  $\Omega$ .
- (d) Show that any function  $h \in \mathcal{O}_X$  which is nonzero on  $\Omega$  is constant. (*I.e.* equal to some  $c \in k$ .) Show that  $f$  cannot be written in the form  $g/h$  with  $g$  and  $h \in \mathcal{O}_X$  and  $h|_\Omega \neq 0$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>Compact here means that any open cover has a finite subcover; it does not mean that  $X$  is Hausdorff. Bourbaki and some other French textbooks call the open cover condition “quasi-compact” and use “compact” to mean “quasi-compact and Hausdorff”. In my experience, if you say “compact” in the English speaking mathematical word, people will assume that you mean just the open cover definition; if you say “quasi-compact” people will understand you to mean the same definition, but will be warned that you are particularly focusing on non-Hausdorff examples.