

PROBLEM SET 9 – DUE NOVEMBER 12

See the course website for policy on collaboration.

Definitions/Notation Let V be a finite dimensional k vector space, X a Zariski closed subvariety of V and x a point of X . The **Zariski tangent space to X at x** , denoted $T_x X$, is the subspace of V consisting of those \vec{v} such that $\frac{d}{dt}f(x + t\vec{v}) = 0$ for all $f \in I(X)$. It is enough to check this condition for a list of generators of $I(X)$. The **Zariski cotangent space to X at x** , denoted $T_x^* X$, is the dual vector space, a quotient of V^* . Letting \mathfrak{m}_x be the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O}_X at x , we have a natural isomorphism $\mathfrak{m}_x/\mathfrak{m}_x^2 \cong T_x^* X$.

We use this definition in nonreduced rings as well: For a commutative ring A and a maximal ideal \mathfrak{m} of A , the Zariski cotangent space of A at \mathfrak{m} is $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$, an A/\mathfrak{m} vector space, and the Zariski tangent space is the A/\mathfrak{m} dual.

For X irreducible, we say that X is **nonsingular** at x if $\dim T_x X = \dim X$. We also say X is **regular**¹ or **smooth** at x . One can check that the property of being nonsingular at x is local, so we define a quasi-projective variety X to be nonsingular at x if some (equivalently any) open affine subset of X is nonsingular at x .

Let V be a finite dimensional k vector space and X a Zariski closed subvariety of V . We write A for the coordinate ring of X . The **tangent bundle** TX to X is the subvariety

$$\left\{ (x, \vec{v}) : f(x) = 0 \text{ and } \frac{d}{dt}f(x + t\vec{v}) = 0 \forall f \in I(X) \right\} \subset V \times V.$$

A **vector field** on X is a regular section: $\sigma : X \rightarrow TX$ of the projection $TX \rightarrow X$. The **Kähler differentials** Ω_X^1 are the regular functions on TX which are linear functions on each tangent space. Ω_X^1 is an A -module, generated by symbols df for all $f \in A$, with relations

$$d(u + v) = du + dv, \quad d(uv) = u dv + v du, \quad da = 0 \text{ for } a \in k.$$

The coordinate ring of TX can be described intrinsically as the A -algebra generated by symbols df with the same relations. We have $\Omega_X^1 \otimes_A A/\mathfrak{m}_x \cong T_x^* X$.

Problem 1 Check that the subvariety $\{(w : x : y : z) : wy = x^2, xz = y^2, wz = xy\}$ of \mathbb{P}^3 is nonsingular.

Problem 2 Compute the Zariski tangent spaces to the following subvarieties of \mathbb{A}^2 at $(0, 0)$:

$$y = 0, \quad y = x^2, \quad y(y - x^2) = 0$$

Problem 3 Let $X = \text{MaxSpec } A$ be an affine variety and x a point of X .

(a) Show that $T_x X$ is isomorphic (as a k -vector space) to the set of maps $D : A \rightarrow k$ such that $D(f + g) = D(f) + D(g)$, $D(fg) = f(x)D(g) + g(x)D(f)$ and $D(a) = 0$ for $a \in k$.

(b) Give a bijection between $T_x X$ and the set of k -algebra homomorphisms $A \rightarrow k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$ such that the composite $A \rightarrow k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2 \rightarrow k$ is reduction modulo \mathfrak{m}_x .

(c) Describe the vector space structure on $T_x X$ directly in terms of homomorphisms $A \rightarrow k[\epsilon]/\epsilon^2$.

¹As far as I know, “nonsingular” and “smooth” are always synonyms. In the context of finitely generated algebras over a perfect field, they are also equivalent to “regular”. In general, “regular” is defined in more settings. For example, \mathbb{Z} is regular at a prime p , because \mathbb{Z} has Krull dimension 1 and $(p\mathbb{Z})/(p\mathbb{Z})^2$ is a one dimensional $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ vector space, but one wouldn’t say \mathbb{Z} is smooth. Also, over a non-perfect field, “regular” and “smooth” are both words that make sense, but smooth is more restrictive. For example, let k be a field of characteristic p , and $t \in k$ a non- p -th power. Let $A = k[x]/(y^2 - x^p + t)$ and let \mathfrak{m} be the ideal $\langle x^p - t, y \rangle$. Then A is regular at \mathfrak{m} because $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ is a one dimensional A/\mathfrak{m} vector space and A has dimension 1 (Exercise: check this!). But A is not smooth at \mathfrak{m} , because $\frac{\partial}{\partial x}(y^2 - x^p + t)$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}(y^2 - x^p + t)$ both vanish in the field A/\mathfrak{m} .

Problem 4 Let $X = \text{MaxSpec } A$ be an irreducible affine variety of dimension d and x a point of X . Suppose that x is a *singular* point of x . Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d be regular functions on X vanishing at x . Show that the Zariski tangent space to $A/\langle f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d \rangle$ at \mathfrak{m}_x is nonzero. Conclude that $A/\langle f_1, f_2, \dots, f_d \rangle$ is not reduced.

Problem 5 Let $X = \text{MaxSpec } A$ and $Y = \text{MaxSpec } B$ be affine algebraic varieties and $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$ a regular map.

(a) Let $x \in X$ and let $y = \phi(x)$. Show that $\phi^*\mathfrak{m}_y \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_x$ and $\phi^*\mathfrak{m}_y^2 \subseteq \mathfrak{m}_x^2$, so we get a linear map $\phi^* : T_y^*Y \rightarrow T_x^*X$. We define ϕ_* to be the dual map.

(b) Construct a regular map $\phi_* : TX \rightarrow TY$ which descends to $\phi_* : T_xX \rightarrow T_{\phi(x)}Y$ for every $x \in X$.

Problem 6 This problem reuses the notations \mathcal{X} , c_{Fermat} , and C_3 from Problem on Problem Set 7. Let L denote the line $\{(u : -u : v : -v)\}$ in $Z(c_{\text{Fermat}})$. Let $[c_{\text{Fermat}}]$ be the point of $\mathbb{P}(C_3)$ corresponding to the Fermat cubic and let $[L]$ be the point of $G(2, k^4)$ corresponding to L .

Check that the equations defining \mathcal{X} cut out $[L]$ as a reduced point of $G(2, k^4)$. (This is also true for the other 26 lines on c_{Fermat} , I'm just trying to contain the computation.) Hint: I recommend first passing to a Schubert chart on $G(2, k^4)$ and the cotangent space.

~~**Problem 7** We only defined tangent bundles to affine varieties. The morally right way to define the tangent bundle to a projective variety is by gluing the tangent bundles to affine charts, but we aren't able to glue abstract varieties this term. This problem explores a morally wrong way.~~

~~Let W be a finite dimensional vector space. We will be working with subspaces of $\mathbb{P}(W \oplus \wedge^2 W)$, and will write $(w : \eta)$ for an element of this space, where $w \in W$ and $\eta \in \wedge^2 W$. Define~~

~~$$T\mathbb{P}(W) = \{(w : \eta) : w \neq 0, w \wedge \eta = 0\}.$$~~

~~Let $\pi : T\mathbb{P}(W) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(W)$ be the map $(w : \eta) \rightarrow w$.~~

~~This problem is removed because it is actually false, not just morally wrong. (Or at least I can't prove it is right.) It definitely defines a vector bundle over $\mathbb{P}(W)$, but I don't think that bundle is isomorphic to $T\mathbb{P}(W)$. Given a vector $\vec{w} \in W$, the tangent space $T_{\vec{w}}\mathbb{P}(W)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\text{Hom}(k\vec{w}, W/k\vec{w})$. Given $\bar{w} \in \mathbb{P}(W)$, we can lift \bar{w} to a vector \vec{w} and lift a map $\phi : k\vec{w} \rightarrow W/k\vec{w}$ to $\tilde{\phi} : k\vec{w} \rightarrow W$. I can then try to define an element of $\wedge^2 W$ by $\vec{w} \wedge \tilde{\phi}(\vec{w})$. The wedge product removes the ambiguity in choosing the lift $\tilde{\phi}$. But, if we rescale our choice of \vec{w} by some scalar a , then $\vec{w} \wedge \tilde{\phi}(\vec{w})$ rescales by a^2 , not a , so the class of $(\vec{w}, \vec{w} \wedge \tilde{\phi}(\vec{w}))$ in $\mathbb{P}(W \oplus \wedge^2 W)$ is not well defined.~~

~~We could fix this by introducing weighted projective spaces, where different coordinates can rescale by different powers. Or we could Veronese embed to $\text{Sym}^2(W) \oplus \wedge^2 W$, sending (\bar{w}, ϕ) to $(\vec{w} \otimes \vec{w}, \vec{w} \wedge \tilde{\phi}(\vec{w}))$. But, at this point, what was already a hard problem has become completely out of hand.~~