

**PROBLEM SET 12**  
**DUE APRIL 19, 2011**

This problem set is probably a bit too long. Choose the four problems you'd most like to write up.

**1** The point of this problem is to work out how connections work on tensor products. Let  $E$  and  $F$  be two vector bundles over a smooth manifold  $X$ , and let  $\nabla_E$  and  $\nabla_F$  be connections on them.

- (1) Define  $\nabla_{E \otimes F} : E \otimes F \rightarrow E \otimes F \otimes \Omega_X^1$  by  $\nabla_{E \otimes F}(\sigma \otimes \tau) = \nabla_E(\sigma) \otimes \tau + \sigma \otimes \nabla_F(\tau)$ . Show that, for any  $f \in C^\infty X$ , this formula gives the same result on  $(f\sigma) \otimes \tau$  and on  $\sigma \otimes (f\tau)$ , so it is a well defined connection.
- (2) Let  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_F$  be bilinear forms on  $E$  and on  $F$ . Define a bilinear form  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{E \otimes F}$  by

$$\langle \sigma_1 \otimes \tau_1, \sigma_2 \otimes \tau_2 \rangle_{E \otimes F} = \langle \sigma_1, \sigma_2 \rangle_E \langle \tau_1, \tau_2 \rangle_F$$

and extending linearly. Show that, if  $\nabla_E$  and  $\nabla_F$  preserve  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_E$  and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_F$ , then  $\nabla_{E \otimes F}$  preserves  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{E \otimes F}$ . (See Problem Set 6, Problem 2 for the notion of a connection preserving a bilinear form.)

- (3) Let  $X$  be a complex manifold; let  $E$  and  $F$  be holomorphic vector bundles; let  $\bar{D}_E$  and  $\bar{D}_F$  be the corresponding  $(0,1)$ -connections. Define  $\bar{D}_{E \otimes F}$  analogously to how we defined  $\nabla_{E \otimes F}$  above. Show that, if  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  are holomorphic sections of  $E$  and  $F$ , then  $\bar{D}_{E \otimes F}(\sigma \otimes \tau) = 0$ . This checks that  $\bar{D}_{E \otimes F}$  is the  $(0,1)$  connection for the holomorphic structure on  $E \otimes F$ .

**2.** Let  $X$  be a compact Kähler manifold. Let  $L$  be a holomorphic line bundle on  $X$ , equipped with an inner product  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Let  $\nabla = D + \bar{D}$  be the corresponding connection, and let  $\Theta$  be the curvature: The closed  $(1,1)$ -form such that  $\nabla^2 \sigma = \sigma \Theta$  for any section  $\sigma$ .

- (1) Check that  $\Theta$  is purely imaginary, meaning that it assigns an imaginary number to any pair of real tangent vectors. (Look at the formula for  $\Theta$  from the April 7 lecture.)
- (2) Replace the metric  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  by  $e^\beta \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  where  $\beta$  is some real valued function. Let  $\Theta'$  be the corresponding curvature. What is the relation between  $\Theta$ ,  $\Theta'$  and  $\beta$ ?
- (3) Suppose that we are given a closed  $(1,1)$ -form  $\Theta'$  such that  $\Theta$  and  $\Theta'$  represent the same class in  $H^2(X)$ . Show that there is a complex valued function  $\beta$  such that  $\Theta$ ,  $\Theta'$  and  $\beta$  obey the relation you found in the previous part.
- (4) Suppose that  $\Theta'$  is as in the previous problem, and is purely imaginary. Let  $\beta$  be the function found in the previous part, such that  $(\Theta, \Theta', \beta)$  obeys the relation from part (2). Show that  $(\Theta, \Theta', \text{Re}(\beta))$  also obeys the relation from part (2).

**Remark:** We have now shown a lemma we will need in class: If  $X$  is compact Kähler,  $L$  is a holomorphic line bundle, and  $\Theta'$  is a purely imaginary closed  $(1,1)$ -form representing the cohomology class of  $L$ , then there is a metric on  $L$  such that the connection has curvature  $\Theta'$ .

**3.** The goal of this problem is to compute the various Hodge groups for the projective plane  $\mathbb{P}^2$ . We write  $(x_1 : x_2 : x_3)$  for the homogenous coordinates on  $\mathbb{P}^2$ .

Let  $U_1, U_2, U_3$  be the open sets on which  $x_1, x_2$  and  $x_3$  are nonzero. So  $U_3 \cong \mathbb{C}^2$ , with the isomorphism given by the coordinates  $x_1/x_3$  and  $x_2/x_3$ , and likewise for the other two charts.

- (1) Write down the Čech complex for  $\mathcal{O}$  with respect to the cover  $U_\bullet$ . (For example,  $\mathcal{O}(U_3)$  is everywhere convergent power series in  $x_1/x_3$  and  $x_2/x_3$ .) Verify that  $H^0(\mathcal{O}) \cong \mathbb{C}$  and  $H^1(\mathcal{O}) = H^2(\mathcal{O}) = 0$ .

- (2) Let  $\eta_{ij} = d(x_i/x_j)$ , this is a meromorphic  $(1, 0)$  form. For  $(i, j)$  equal to  $(1, 2)$ ,  $(2, 1)$ ,  $(3, 1)$  and  $(1, 3)$ , find meromorphic functions  $a_{ij}$  and  $b_{ij}$  such that  $\eta_{ij} = a_{ij}\eta_{13} + b_{ij}\eta_{23}$ .
- (3) Write down the Čech complex for  $\mathcal{H}^1$  with respect to the cover  $U_\bullet$ . Describe each term as “the space of forms  $a\eta_{13} + b\eta_{23}$  where  $a$  and  $b$  are of the form ...”.
- (4) Write down the Čech complex for  $\mathcal{H}^2$  with respect to the cover  $U_\bullet$ . Describe each term as “the space of forms  $a(\eta_{13} \wedge \eta_{23})$  where  $a$  is of the form ...”.
- (5) Compute that  $H^0(\mathcal{H}^2) = 0$ ,  $H^1(\mathcal{H}^2) = 0$  and  $H^2(\mathcal{H}^2) \cong \mathbb{C}$ .
- (6) Compute that  $H^0(\mathcal{H}^1) = 0$ ,  $H^1(\mathcal{H}^1) \cong \mathbb{C}$  and  $H^2(\mathcal{H}^1) = 0$ .

**Remark:** The ordering of (3)-(6) are meant to be in order of difficulty.

**4. (The Hilbert polynomial)** Let  $X$  be a smooth  $d$ -dimensional complex submanifold of  $\mathbb{P}^M$ . Let  $\omega$  be the restriction of the Fubini-Study form from  $\mathbb{P}^M$ . Bertini’s theorem states that there is a hyperplane  $H$  such that  $X \cap H$  is smooth; assume this in this problem.

- (1) Let  $H$  be a hyperplane such that  $X \cap H$  is smooth. On  $X$ , show that we have a short exact sequence of sheaves:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(N-1)|_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(N)|_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(N)|_{X \cap H} \rightarrow 0.$$

- (2) Show that, for  $N$  sufficiently large, we have  $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(N)) - \dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(N-1)) = \dim H^0(X \cap H, \mathcal{O}(N))$ .
- (3) Show that there is a polynomial  $h_X$  dependent on  $X$  such that, for  $N$  sufficiently large, we have  $\dim H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(N)) = h_X(N)$ . (Hint: Induction on  $d$ .)
- (4) Show that  $h_X$  has degree  $d$ .
- (5) Show that the leading term of  $h_X$  is  $\int_X \omega^d N^d / d!$ .

**5.** Let  $X$  be a compact<sup>1</sup> complex manifold and  $D$  a smooth hypersurface. In the past two lectures, we have seen that (1)  $D$  gives rise to a line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(-D)$  and (2) give a metric on  $\mathcal{O}(D)$ , we get a closed  $(1, 1)$  form on  $X$ . Choose  $U$  to be an open set containing  $D$ . Our goal in this problem is to show that we can take  $\omega$  to be 0 on  $X \setminus U$ .

The first part is a partition of unity argument.

- (1) Show that there is a finite open cover  $\bigcup V_i \cup W$  of  $X$  such that (a) each  $V_i$  is in  $U$ , (b) In each  $V_i$ , the divisor  $D$  is cut out by some holomorphic function  $z_i$  and (c) the closure  $\overline{W}$  of  $W$  is disjoint from  $D$ .
- (2) Let  $1 = \sum \rho_i + \sigma$  be a partition of unity subject to the cover  $\bigcup V_i \cup W$  (So  $\rho_i$  is a function on  $V_i$ , and  $\sigma$  is on  $W$ . Recall that the functions in a partition of unity are nonnegative.) Set  $\delta = \sum \rho_i |z_i| + \sigma$ . Show that  $\delta|_D = 0$  and  $\delta|_{X \setminus U} = 1$ .
- (3) Let  $V$  be an open set meeting  $D$  and  $w$  is a holomorphic function on  $V$  vanishing on  $D$ . Show that  $|w|/\delta$  extends to a continuous nonnegative function on  $V$ . Show that, if  $w$  vanishes to first order on  $D$  and nowhere else, then  $|w|/\delta$  is strictly positive.

Now we start working with line bundles. If the partitions of unity were painful to you, you might want to start here:

- (4) Define a map of sheaves  $\mathcal{O}(-D) \rightarrow \text{LC}_{\mathbb{R}}$  by  $N : w \mapsto |w|/\delta$ . Show that, if  $w_1(x) = w_2(x)$  for some point  $x \in D$  and some sections  $w_1$  and  $w_2$  of  $\mathcal{O}(-D)$  defined near  $x$ , then  $N(w_1)(x) = N(w_2)(x)$ . So  $N$  is a norm on the line bundle  $\mathcal{O}(-D)$ .
- (5) For this norm, what is  $\omega$ ? Recall that, if  $w$  is any holomorphic section, then  $\frac{1}{2\pi i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log N(w)^2$ .
- (6) In particular, check that  $\omega$  is supported on  $U$ .

---

<sup>1</sup>I am making  $X$  compact for simplicity. In fact, this works for any complex manifold – you just need to be careful to use locally finite covers instead of finite ones.