

NOTES FOR APRIL 5TH

SCRIBE: XIN ZHOU

1. THE MAP $H^k(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{DR}^k(X, \mathbb{C})$

Given a geometric cocycle, how does it decompose under Hodge decomposition?

The bridge between the two is deRham cohomology through the following sequence of maps:

$$H^k(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^k(X, \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong H^k(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H_{DR}^k(X, \mathbb{C}).$$

The matrix form of this map is more complicated and we first study the following easier map on a smooth n-dimensional manifold.

$$\begin{aligned} H_k(X, \mathbb{Z}) &\rightarrow \text{Hom}(H_{DR}^k(X), \mathbb{C}) \\ \sigma &\mapsto (\omega \mapsto \int_{\sigma} \omega) \end{aligned}$$

On Problem set 3, we did some non-trivial chasing through diagrams and checked this for $k = 1, 2$. We know from algebraic topology that $H_k(X, \mathbb{C})$ is dual to $H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$. $\text{Hom}(H_{DR}^k(X, \mathbb{C}))$ is dual to $H_{DR}^k(X)$ by definition. Therefore

$$H_{DR}^k(X) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$$

is the matrix transpose of the above isomorphism, ie. mapping ω to $(\sigma \mapsto \int_{\sigma} \omega)$. The messy one is to invert this matrix to get the isomorphism from $H^k(X, \mathbb{C})$ to $H_{DR}^k(X)$. The clever way is as follows. Assume X is compact, oriented of dimension m . First, we have Poincare duality, as proved in (for example) Hatcher's book:

$$H^k(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{m-k}(X, \mathbb{Z})$$

by capping with the fundamental class $[X]$. And we have Poincare duality as proved in this class: $H_{DR}^k(X) \rightarrow H_{DR}^{m-k}(X)^*$ by $\alpha \mapsto (\beta \mapsto \int_X \alpha \wedge \beta)$. These fit together into the right hand of the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H_{DR}^k(X) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & H_{DR}^{m-k}(X)^* & \longrightarrow & H^{p,q}(X) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \downarrow \\ H^k(X, \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{(\cdot)^{-1}} & H_{DR}^k(X) & & \downarrow \\ \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \\ H_{m-k}(X, \mathbb{Z}) & \longrightarrow & H_{DR}^{m-k}(X) & \longrightarrow & H^{n-p, n-q}(X)^* \end{array}$$

This gives us a recipe to go from $H^k(X)$ to $H_{DR}^k(X)$, going down, right, up. That is to say, if $\alpha \in H^k(X, \mathbb{Z})$ maps to $\eta \in H_{DR}^k(X)$, then, for any $\theta \in H_{DR}^{m-k}(X)$, we have

$$\int_X \eta \wedge \theta = \int_{\alpha \cap [X]} \theta.$$

Now, suppose we want to understand how this all ties into Hodge decomposition. Suppose that X is compact Kähler, of complex dimension n . We then need the right hand side of the above diagram. The projection $H_{DR}^k \rightarrow H^{p,q}(X)$ is dual to the inclusion $H^{n-p,n-q}(X) \rightarrow H^{2n-k}$. In other words, if α maps to η in $H^{p,q}(X)$ then, for any $(n-p, n-q)$ -form η , we have the above equality.

2. LINE BUNDLES

Line bundles are rank one holomorphic bundles. They are usually given either with glueing data or by a divisor.

Let's start with glueing data: let L be a holomorphic line bundle over X , a complex manifold. Then the glueing data of L is $\{U_i\}$ an open cover on which L is trivial and sections σ_i on U_i . On $U_i \cap U_j$, $\sigma_i = g_{i \leftarrow j} \sigma_j$ for $g_{i \leftarrow j} \in \mathcal{O}^*(U_i \cap U_j)$. Here \mathcal{O}^* is the sheaf of nowhere zero holomorphic functions.

The g 's obey the Čech cocycle condition, so they can be seen as elements of $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*)$. $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*)$ is actually isomorphic to the isomorphism class of holomorphic line bundles on X whose group operation is given by tensor product.

We have a short exact sequence of sheaves:

$$0 \rightarrow LC_{\mathbb{Z}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \xrightarrow{\exp} \mathcal{O}^* \rightarrow 0$$

From this, we get long exact sequence of cohomologies:

$$H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O})$$

We're interested in the middle term, so define

$$\text{Pic}^0(X) := \text{Coker}(H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^1(X, \mathcal{O}))$$

$$NS(X) := \text{Ker}(H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}))$$

Note that $NS(X)$ is a discrete group. $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ is a quotient of vector space. It's connected and divisible. If $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z})$ is discrete in $H^1(X, \mathcal{O})$, which is (for example) the case when X is compact Kähler, then $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ is topologically a torus. (An abelian group G is divisible if, for any $g \in G$ and any nonzero integer n , there is an $h \in G$ st. $nh = g$.)

By the above definitions, we have

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X) \rightarrow NS(X) \rightarrow 0$$

where $\text{Pic}(X)$ is the group of isomorphism classes of line bundles on X .

In particular, if $H^1(X, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ and $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ then $\text{Pic}(X) = H^1(X, \mathcal{O}^*) = (e)$.

Let's look at the discrete part of the picture. We defined $NS(X)$ as $\text{Ker}(H^2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O}))$. If X is compact Kahler, then

$$H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \cong H^{2,0}(X) \oplus H^{1,1}(X) \oplus H^{0,2}(X)$$

$NS(X)$ is those integer classes not in $H^2(\mathcal{O}) = H^{0,2}$. Complex conjugation fixes $H^{1,1}$, exchanges $H^{2,0}$ with $H^{0,2}$ and fixes $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$. So $NS(X)$ consists of classes in $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ that map to $H^{1,1}(X)$.

Note: $\mathbb{Z}^6 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ can be an injection. In this way, we can have compact Kahler manifolds with trivial $NS(X)$.

COMPATIBILITY OF VARIOUS MAPS

Hunter Brooks raised a question. In the above discussion, we got maps from $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ to $H^2(X, \mathcal{O})$ in 2 ways, one is through the exponential sequence, the other by tensoring the cohomology with \mathbb{C} , then projection by Hodge decomposition. Hunter's question was whether these two maps are the same.

The inclusion of sheaf \mathbb{Z} in \mathcal{O} can be factored as $\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{2\pi i} \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$. Our first map is the map on H^2 derived from the composite of these maps. By functoriality of cohomology, we can break this up into $H^2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathcal{O})$. The first map is precisely the "tensor with \mathbb{C} " part of our second map. So the thing that remains to see is that the projection $H^2(X, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow H^2(X, \mathcal{O})$ coming from the Hodge decomposition is the one coming from the map of sheaves $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$. This is indeed true; it is perhaps easiest to think about this in terms of harmonic forms.

Even if X is not compact Kahler, we have:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & 0 & & 0 & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & \mathbb{Z} & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \mathbb{Z} & & \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow^{2\pi i} & & \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O} & \xrightarrow{\partial} & Z^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow^{\text{exp}} & & \downarrow^{\cong} \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{C}^* & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}^* & \xrightarrow{g \mapsto \partial g/g} & Z^1 \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
 & & 0 & & 0 & &
 \end{array}$$

where Z^1 is closed $(1, 0)$ -forms. The rows and columns are exact and the diagram commutes.

There are two ways to go from $H^1(\mathcal{O}^*)$ to $H^2(X, \mathbb{C})$ that we can observe from the previous diagram. One way is $H^1(\mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow H^1(Z^1) = H^1(Z^1) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{C})$, using the horizontal exact sequences. The other is $H^1(\mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{Z}) = H^2(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^2(\mathbb{C})$, using the vertical exact sequences. These maps are equal, which is a general homological fact.

$NS(X)$ is the image of $H^1(\mathcal{O}^*)$ in $H^2(\mathbb{Z})$; we thus see that we can describe $NS(X)$ as the subgroup of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z})$ consisting of those classes that have image in $H^2(X)$ representable by a closed $(1, 1)$ -form.

DIVISORS AND LINE BUNDLES

If X is compact Kähler then, as discussed at the beginning of lecture, $NS(X)$ is the subset of $H^2(X, \mathbb{Z}) = H_{2n-2}(X, \mathbb{Z})$ corresponding to codimension 2 cycles which integrate to 0 against all closed $(n, n - 2)$ -forms.

Similarly,

$$\text{Pic}^0(X) \cong H^1(X, \mathcal{O})/H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{0,1}(X)/H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong H^{n,n-1}(X)^* / (\text{functionals of the form } \int_{\sigma} \text{ for } \sigma \in H_{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{Z})) .$$

In particular, if $D \subset X$ is a complex submanifold of dimension $n - 1$, then $\int_d \omega = 0$ for any $\omega \in H^{n,n-2}(X)$ as $\omega|_D = 0$. So there should be some line bundle whose Neron-Severi class is D (which will prove two classes later).

We can also give a geometric way of thinking of the description of $\text{Pic}^0(X)$ as $H^{n,n-1}(X)^*$ modulo integration over closed $2n - 1$ cycles. Specifically, suppose $D \subset X$ has class 0 in $H_{2n-2}(X)$, so $D = \partial M$, where M is a real $(2n-1)$ -fold. Then we get a map $\int_M : H^{n,n-1}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, so M gives a point in $H^{n,n-1}(X)^*$.

If we choose a different M' with $D = \partial M'$, then $\partial(M - M') = 0$ so $M - M' \in H_{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$. Thus we have a well defined map $[\mathcal{O}(D)] \mapsto [\int_M]$ identifying Pic^0 with $H^{n,n-1}(X)^*/\text{integration over } H_{2n-1}(X, \mathbb{Z})$