## LECTURE 3: COMPLETE HOMOGENOUS SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS

SCRIBE: YI SU

Define the *complete homogenous symmetric functions* 

$$h_k = \sum_{1 \le i_1 \le i_2 \le \dots \le i_k} x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_k}$$

Example 1.

$$h_2 = \sum_{1 \le i \le j} x_i x_j = \sum_{i \ge 1} x_i^2 + \sum_{1 \le i < j} x_i x_j = m_2 + m_{11}$$

For a partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_r)$ , define

$$h_{\lambda} = h_{\lambda_1} h_{\lambda_2} \dots h_{\lambda_r}$$

Example 2.

$$h_{21} = h_2 h_1 = \left(\sum_{i \ge 1} x_i^2 + \sum_{1 \le i < j} x_i x_j\right) \left(\sum_{k \ge 1} x_k\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i \ge 1} x_i^3 + 2\sum_{i \ne j} x_i^2 x_j + 3\sum_{1 \le i < j < k} x_i x_j x_k$$
$$= m_3 + 2m_{21} + 3m_{111}$$

For future reference,

So we have a transformation matrix between h's and m's. For example, in degree 3, we just computed that the matrix is:

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 3 & 6 \end{pmatrix}$$

We have two main results today:

**Theorem 3.** The h's form a basis of  $\Lambda$ 

**Theorem 4.** The transformation matrix between h's and m's is symmetric.

## 1. The h's are a basis

Since the *h* polynomials are the monomials in  $h_1, h_2, h_3, \ldots$ , the claim is that  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}[h_1, h_2, h_3, \ldots].$ 

We first show that the natural ring map  $\mathbb{Z}[h_1, h_2, \ldots] \to \Lambda$  is surjective. Indeed it is enough to show every  $e_k$  is a polynomial in h's. Consider two identities

$$\prod_{i\geq 1} (1+x_i t) = \sum_{k\geq 0} e_k t^k$$

$$\prod_{i\geq 1} \frac{1}{1-x_i t} = \sum_{k\geq 0} h_k t^k$$

Hence,

$$1 = \prod_{i \ge 0} (1 - x_i t) \prod_{i \ge 0} \frac{1}{(1 - x_i t)} = (\sum_{k \ge 0} (-1)^k e_k t^k) (\sum_{k \ge 0} h_k t^k)$$

Comparing the coefficients on both sides, one gets the relation:

$$h_k - e_1 h_{k-1} + e_2 h_{k-2} - e_3 h_{k-3} + \dots + (-1)^k e_k = 0$$
 (\*)

The dimension of degree d parts of  $\mathbb{Z}[h_1, h_2, \ldots]$  and  $\Lambda$  are equal, which proves the injectivity.  $\Box$ 

So  $\mathbb{Z}[h_1, h_2 \ldots] \cong \mathbb{Z}[e_1, e_2 \ldots] \cong \Lambda.$ 

1.1. What about  $\Lambda_n$ ? We have  $\Lambda_n \cong \mathbb{Z}[e_1, e_2, \ldots]/I$  where I is the ideal generated by  $e_i = 0$  for  $i \ge n+1$ . If one thinks  $\Lambda \cong \mathbb{Z}[h_1, h_2 \ldots]$ , then  $\Lambda_n \cong \mathbb{Z}[h_1, h_2 \ldots]/J$ , where J is the coefficient of  $t^k$  in the power series expansion of  $1/(\sum_{i\ge 0} h_i t^i)$  in t for  $k \ge n+1$ . The above proof can also show that  $\Lambda_n = \mathbb{Z}[h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_n]$  so  $\{h_\lambda : l(\lambda^T) \le n\}$  is a basis for  $\Lambda_n$ . (In the lecture on Wednesday, we will show that  $\{h_\lambda : l(\lambda \le n)\}$  is also a basis for  $\Lambda_n$ ).

1.2. The map  $\omega$ . (Section largely added by editor). Noticing the symmetric between e and h, define a map  $\omega : \Lambda \to \Lambda$  by  $h_k \mapsto e_k$  and thus  $h_\lambda \mapsto e_\lambda$ . By applying  $\omega$  to the equation (\*), one can get  $\omega(e_k) = h_k$ , and hence  $\omega(e_\lambda) = h_\lambda$ .

From our perspective,  $\omega$  is pretty mysterious. There are lots of applications of the ring of symmetric functions. In some of those other applications,  $\omega$  is more motivated. For example, if you use  $\Lambda$  to study the cohomology of the Grassmannian G(d, n), then  $\omega$  is the isomorphism  $G(d, n) \cong G(n - d, n)$  which sends a *d*-plane to ints orthogonal complement. If you use  $\Lambda$  to study the representation theory of  $S_n$ , then  $\omega$  tensors with the sign representation. There is not a similarly elegant answer for  $GL_n$  representation theory.

Let's see what an answer would look like. First of all, what is the representation theory meaning of  $e_k$  and  $h_k$ ? Remember that we go from a representation of  $GL_n$  to a symmetric polynomial by taking the trace of the action of a diagonal matrix. Let V be the standard n-dimensional representation of  $GL_n$ . In V, a diagonal matrix acts by itself, and thus has trace  $x_1 + x_2 + \cdots + x_n = e_1 = h_1$ . Let's look at  $\bigwedge^k V$ . If V has basis  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$ , then a basis for  $\bigwedge^k V$  is the  $\binom{n}{k}$  elements  $e_{i_1} \land e_{i_2} \land \cdots \land e_{i_k}$ . A diagonal matrix of  $GL_n$  acts diagonally in this basis, with diagonal elements the products  $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_k}$ , and hence with trace  $e_k$ . Similarly, Sym<sup>k</sup>V will correspond to  $h_k$ .

So we want an operation which switches  $\bigwedge^k V$  and  $\operatorname{Sym}^k V$ .

Such a thing occurs in physics and is called the "boson-fermion correspondence"; I don't know much about it.

Such a thing also occurs in the theory of super-groups where, if V is the standard representation of  $GL_{-n}$ , then  $\bigwedge^k V$  computed in the category of super-vector spaces is what we would normally call Sym<sup>k</sup>V. I also don't know much about this, and we won't talk about it.

In a month, we will talk about Schur-Weyl duality, which is about the relation between  $GL_n$  and  $S_m$  representation theory. Since  $\omega$  has a simple meaning on the symmetric group

side, we can try to use that to extract an interpretation for  $\omega$  on the GL side; let's remember to think about that.

Question from the floor: Are you saying there is no functor  $GL - \text{rep} \rightarrow GL - \text{rep}$ which realizes  $\omega$ ? Answer: No, I am not willing to make such a specific claim. I am saying it is not any familiar or elegant operation.

## 2. The matrix is symmetric

Define  $A_{\lambda\mu} = \text{coefficient of } m_{\mu} \text{ in } h_{\lambda}.$ 

Theorem 5.  $A_{\lambda\mu} = A_{\mu\lambda}$ .

*Proof.* To get output  $x_1^{\mu_1} x_2^{\mu_2} \dots x_r^{\mu_r}$  the  $h_{\lambda_j}$  term must contribute  $x^{\alpha_j} = \prod_k x_k^{\alpha_j^{(k)}}$ , where  $\alpha_j$ 's are vectors in  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  with  $\sum_j \alpha_j = \mu$  and  $\sum_k \alpha_j^{(k)} = \lambda_j$  for all j. Thus,

$$A_{\lambda\mu} = \#\left\{ \left(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r\right) | \sum_k \alpha_j^{(k)} = \lambda_j, \ \sum_j \alpha_j = \mu \right\}$$

Which is equivalent to say

 $A_{\lambda\mu}$  = number of nonnegative integer matrices with row sum  $\mu$  and column sum  $\lambda$ 

Clearly, nonnegative integer matrices with row sum  $\mu$  and column sum  $\lambda$  is in bijection with those with row sum  $\lambda$  and column sum  $\mu$ . Therefore,  $A_{\lambda\mu} = A_{\mu\lambda}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Example 6.**  $\Lambda = (2, 1), \mu = (1, 1, 1), all possible such matrices are$ 

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

This shows that the coefficient of  $m_{111}$  in  $h_{21}$  should be 3.

We can write this proof using generating function identities. By definition, we have

$$\sum_{\lambda,\mu} A_{\lambda\mu} m_{\lambda}(x) m_{\mu}(y) = \sum_{\lambda} m_{\lambda}(x) h_{\lambda}(y)$$

and the above proof showed that

$$\sum_{\lambda,\mu} A_{\lambda\mu} m_{\lambda}(x) m_{\mu}(y) = \prod_{i,j \ge 1} \frac{1}{1 - x_i y_j}.$$

The right hand side is sometimes known as the *Cauchy product*. Similarly,

$$\sum_{\mu,\lambda} A_{\mu\lambda} m_{\lambda}(x) m_{\mu}(y) = \sum_{\mu} h_{\mu}(x) m_{\mu}(y) = \prod_{i,j \ge 1} \frac{1}{1 - y_i x_j}$$

The right hand sides are equal, so so are the left hand sides, showing  $A_{\lambda\mu} = A_{\mu\lambda}$ .

Let's explain the identity  $\sum_{\lambda,\mu} A_{\lambda\mu} m_{\lambda}(x) m_{\mu}(y) = \prod_{i,j \ge 1} \frac{1}{1-x_i y_j}$  more slowly. Expand the geometric series in the Cauchy product

$$\prod_{i,j\geq 1} \frac{1}{1-x_i y_j} = (1+x_1 y_1 + x_1^2 y_1^2 + \ldots)(1+x_1 y_2 + x_1^2 y_2^2 + \ldots) \cdots$$
$$(1+x_2 y_1 + x_2^2 y_1^2 + \ldots)(1+x_2 y_2 + x_2^2 y_2^2 + \ldots) \cdots$$
$$\cdots$$

The coefficient of  $x_1^7 x_2^3 y_1^5 y_2^5$  is the number of ways in which we pick  $(x_i y_j)^k$  such that the powers of  $x_i$ 's is (7,3), and powers of  $y_j$ 's is (5,5). This is in bijection with the integer matrices with nonnegative entries with row sum (7,3) and column sum (5,5). For example  $(x_1y_1)^5(x_1y_2)^2(x_2y_2)^3$  corresponds to the matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 5 & 2 \\ 0 & 3 \end{pmatrix}$ .

2.1. The Hall inner product. The symmetry of the matrix  $A_{\lambda\mu}$  can be used in the following way: Define a bilinear product  $\langle h_{\lambda}, m_{\mu} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$ , where  $\delta_{\lambda\mu}$  is the Kronecker delta, and then  $\langle h_{\lambda}, h_{\mu} \rangle = \langle h_{\lambda}, \sum_{\nu} A_{\lambda\nu} m_{\nu} \rangle = A_{\lambda\mu}$ . Since  $A_{\lambda\mu} = A_{\mu\lambda}$ , this bilinear form is symmetric. Here is a useful fact about this bilinear form, which we'll prove next time.

**Proposition 7.** Let  $\{f_{\lambda}\}$  and  $\{g_{\mu}\}$  be two homogenous bases of  $\Lambda$ . Then f and g are dual basis (i.e.  $\langle f_{\lambda}, g_{\mu} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda\mu}$ ) if and only if  $\sum_{\lambda} f_{\lambda}(x)g_{\lambda}(y) = \prod_{i,j} \frac{1}{1-x_iy_j}$ .

The homogeneity is just to make sure there are no issues about formal convergence of the sum; we could replace it with any condition that made the sum formally convergent.