Algebraic and Geometric Multiplicity: Proofs

Let A be an  $n \times n$  square matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$ . Let  $a_i$  be the algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda_i$ . Let  $V_i$  be the  $\lambda_i$ -eigenspace and let  $g_i = \dim V_i$ , the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda_i$ . **Theorem 1:** We have  $\sum g_i = n$  if and only if A has an eigenbasis. **Theorem 2:** We have  $g_i \leq a_i$ .

**Theorem 3:** We have  $\sum a_i \leq n$ , and  $\sum a_i = n$  if and only if  $\det(A - t \operatorname{Id})$  factors completely into linear terms.

Let A be an  $n \times n$  square matrix with eigenvalues  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_k$ . Let  $a_i$  be the algebraic multiplicity of  $\lambda_i$ . Let  $V_i$  be the  $\lambda_i$ -eigenspace and let  $g_i = \dim V_i$ , the geometric multiplicity of  $\lambda_i$ . **Theorem 1:** We have  $\sum g_i = n$  if and only if A has an eigenbasis. **Theorem 2:** We have  $g_i \leq a_i$ . **Theorem 3:** We have  $\sum a_i \leq n$ , and  $\sum a_i = n$  if and only if

det(A - tId) factors completely into linear terms.

We told the story in the order that explains the importance of the results, but the proofs are easiest in the reverse order.

**Theorem 3:** We have  $\sum a_i \leq n$ , and  $\sum a_i = n$  if and only if  $\det(A - t \operatorname{Id})$  factors completely into linear terms.

**Theorem 3:** We have  $\sum a_i \leq n$ , and  $\sum a_i = n$  if and only if  $\det(A - t \operatorname{Id})$  factors completely into linear terms.

We have

 $\det(A - t \mathrm{Id}) = \prod_{i} (\lambda_i - t)^{a_i} \cdot \text{(some polynomial with no roots)}.$ 

So

$$\deg \det(A - t \mathrm{Id}) = n \ge \sum a_i.$$

We have equality if and only if det(A - tId) factors into linear terms. **QED** 

**Theorem 2:** We have  $g_i \leq a_i$ .

**Theorem 2:** We have  $g_i \leq a_i$ .

To make notation simpler, abbreviate  $\lambda_i$  to  $\lambda$ ,  $g_i$  to g and  $a_i$  to a.

Let  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_g$  be a basis for the  $\lambda$ -eigenspace. We want to show that  $(\lambda - t)^g$  divides the characteristic polynomial.

Complete  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_g$  to a basis  $\mathfrak{B} = (\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_g, \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_{n-g})$  for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Complete  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_g$  to a basis  $\mathfrak{B} = (\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_g, \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_{n-g})$  for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In the coordinates of the basis  $\mathfrak{B}$ , the matrix of A looks like



Complete  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_g$  to a basis  $\mathfrak{B} = (\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_g, \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_{n-g})$  for  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . In the coordinates of the basis  $\mathfrak{B}$ , the matrix of A looks like

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda & & & \\ \lambda & & & \\ & \ddots & & \\ & & \lambda & \\ \hline & 0 & & C \end{bmatrix}$$

So

$$A - t \operatorname{Id}_{n} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - t & & & \\ & \lambda - t & & \\ & & \ddots & & \\ & & \lambda - t & \\ \hline & 0 & & C - t \operatorname{Id}_{n-g} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\det(A - t \operatorname{Id}_n) = \det \begin{bmatrix} \lambda - t & B \\ \ddots & B \\ 0 & C - t \operatorname{Id}_{n-g} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= (\lambda - t)^g \det(C - t \operatorname{Id}_{n-g}). \quad \mathbf{QED}$$

**Theorem 1:** We have  $\sum g_i = n$  if and only if A has an eigenbasis.

**Theorem 1:** We have  $\sum g_i = n$  if and only if A has an eigenbasis.

First of all, suppose that A has an eigenbasis:  $\vec{x}_1, \vec{x}_2, \ldots, \vec{x}_n$ .

For each  $\lambda_i$ , let  $h_i$  be the number of  $\vec{x}_i$  which are  $\lambda_i$ -eigenvectors. So  $n = \sum h_i$ .

The  $\lambda_i$ -eigenvectors are contained in  $V_i$ , so the number of them is at most dim  $V_i$ . So  $h_i \leq g_i$ .

So  $n = \sum h_i \leq \sum g_i$ . But we also already noted that  $\sum g_i \leq \sum a_i \leq n$ . So  $\sum g_i = n$ .

**Theorem 1:** We have  $\sum g_i = n$  if and only if A has an eigenbasis.

Finally, suppose that  $\sum g_i = n$ .

**Theorem 1:** We have  $\sum g_i = n$  if and only if A has an eigenbasis.

Finally, suppose that  $\sum g_i = n$ .

The notation for this one gets hairy, so let's rename things.

Let's suppose there are 3 eigenvalues:  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ .

Let the eigenspaces be U, V and W.

Let the geometric multiplicities be  $a = \dim U$ ,  $b = \dim V$ ,  $c = \dim W$ , with a + b + c = n.

**Theorem 1:** We have  $\sum g_i = n$  if and only if A has an eigenbasis.

Finally, suppose that  $\sum g_i = n$ .

The notation for this one gets hairy, so let's rename things.

Let's suppose there are 3 eigenvalues:  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ .

Let the eigenspaces be U, V and W.

Let the geometric multiplicities be  $a = \dim U$ ,  $b = \dim V$ ,  $c = \dim W$ , with a + b + c = n.

Let  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_a$  be a basis of U; let  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_b$  be a basis of Vand let  $\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_c$  be a basis of W.

**Theorem 1:** We have  $\sum g_i = n$  if and only if A has an eigenbasis.

Finally, suppose that  $\sum g_i = n$ .

The notation for this one gets hairy, so let's rename things.

Let's suppose there are 3 eigenvalues:  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$ .

Let the eigenspaces be U, V and W.

Let the geometric multiplicities be  $a = \dim U$ ,  $b = \dim V$ ,  $c = \dim W$ , with a + b + c = n.

Let  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_a$  be a basis of U; let  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_b$  be a basis of Vand let  $\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_c$  be a basis of W.

These are eigenvectors, so our goal will be to show that  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_a, \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_b, \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_c$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Let  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_a$  be a basis of U; let  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_b$  be a basis of Vand let  $\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_c$  be a basis of W. Our goal is to show that  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_a, \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_b, \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_c$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Since a + b + c = n, we just need to show that  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_a, \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_b, \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_c$  are linearly independent.

Let  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_a$  be a basis of U; let  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_b$  be a basis of Vand let  $\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_c$  be a basis of W. Our goal is to show that  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_a, \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_b, \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_c$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Since a + b + c = n, we just need to show that  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_a, \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_b, \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_c$  are linearly independent.

Suppose that we had a linear relation:

 $f_1\vec{u}_1 + \dots + f_a\vec{u}_a + g_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + g_b\vec{v}_b + h_1\vec{w}_1 + \dots + h_c\vec{w}_c = \vec{0}.$ 

Let  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_a$  be a basis of U; let  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_b$  be a basis of Vand let  $\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_c$  be a basis of W. Our goal is to show that  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_a, \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_b, \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_c$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Since a + b + c = n, we just need to show that  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_a, \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_b, \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_c$  are linearly independent.

Suppose that we had a linear relation:

 $\overbrace{f_1\vec{u}_1 + \dots + f_a\vec{u}_a}^{\alpha - \text{eigenvector}} + \overbrace{g_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + g_b\vec{v}_b}^{\beta - \text{eigenvector}} + \overbrace{h_1\vec{w}_1 + \dots + h_c\vec{w}_c}^{\gamma - \text{eigenvector}} = \vec{0}.$ 

Let  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_a$  be a basis of U; let  $\vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_b$  be a basis of Vand let  $\vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_c$  be a basis of W. Our goal is to show that  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_a, \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_b, \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_c$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Since a + b + c = n, we just need to show that  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_a, \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_b, \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_c$  are linearly independent.

Suppose that we had a linear relation:

$$\underbrace{\alpha-\text{eigenvector}}_{f_1\vec{u}_1+\cdots+f_a\vec{u}_a} + \underbrace{\beta-\text{eigenvector}}_{g_1\vec{v}_1+\cdots+g_b\vec{v}_b} + \underbrace{\gamma-\text{eigenvector}}_{h_1\vec{w}_1+\cdots+h_c\vec{w}_c} = \vec{0}.$$

Since  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are distinct, we must have

$$f_1 \vec{u}_1 + \dots + f_a \vec{u}_a = g_1 \vec{v}_1 + \dots + g_b \vec{v}_b = h_1 \vec{w}_1 + \dots + h_c \vec{w}_c = \vec{0}.$$

$$f_1\vec{u}_1 + \dots + f_a\vec{u}_a = g_1\vec{v}_1 + \dots + g_b\vec{v}_b = h_1\vec{w}_1 + \dots + h_c\vec{w}_c = \vec{0}.$$

But, since  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_a$  is a basis of U, this means that  $f_1 = \cdots = f_a = 0$ .

Similarly,  $g_1 = \cdots = g_b = 0$  and  $h_1 = \cdots = h_c = 0$ .

We have shown that all the coefficients of our linear relation are 0. So we have shown that the vectors  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_a, \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_b, \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_c$  are linearly independent.

Since a + b + c = n, we have shown that  $\vec{u}_1, \vec{u}_2, \ldots, \vec{u}_a, \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \ldots, \vec{v}_b, \vec{w}_1, \vec{w}_2, \ldots, \vec{w}_c$  is a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . **QED**