

Book Homework #6 Answers

Math 217 W11

4.1.13.

- No. There are three vectors in $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$.
- There are infinitely many vectors in $\text{Span}\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$.
- Yes, $\mathbf{w} = \mathbf{v}_1 + \mathbf{v}_2$.

4.1.14. We can answer this systematically by row reducing $(\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2 \ \mathbf{v}_3 \ \mathbf{w})$.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 4 & 8 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 4 \\ -1 & 3 & 6 & 7 \end{pmatrix} \rightsquigarrow \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

This tells us that \mathbf{w} is not a linear combination of the \mathbf{v}_i . (We can also see, if somehow we had not yet noticed, that $\mathbf{v}_3 = 2\mathbf{v}_2$.)

4.1.24.

- True.
- True. (Blue box following vector space axioms, proof sketched in problem 29. Most importantly, this is not “by definition”.)
- Syntax error. It only makes sense to ask whether a vector space is a subspace of another vector space. Two true, well-formed sentences similar to the given one follow.
 - A vector space is always a subspace of itself.
 - A subspace of a vector space is always a vector space.
- False. \mathbb{R}^2 is not even a subset of \mathbb{R}^3 .
- False. Quantifier error. We need $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \in H$ for all $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in H$, and we need $c\mathbf{u} \in H$ for all $c \in \mathbb{R}, \mathbf{u} \in H$.

4.1.32. We can check the three parts of the definition directly.

- $\mathbf{0} \in H$ and $\mathbf{0} \in K$, so $\mathbf{0} \in H \cap K$
- Suppose $\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{v} \in H \cap K$. Then, since H is closed under addition, $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \in H$. Since K is closed under addition, $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \in K$. Thus $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \in H \cap K$.
- Suppose $\mathbf{u} \in H \cap K$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Since H is closed under scalar multiplication, $c\mathbf{u} \in H$. Since K is closed under scalar multiplication, $c\mathbf{u} \in K$. Thus $c\mathbf{u} \in H \cap K$.

This shows that $H \cap K$ is a subspace of V .

Let H be the x -axis in \mathbb{R}^2 and let K be the y -axis, so that $H \cup K$ looks like a cross. This is not closed under addition. For example, $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \in H \cup K$ and $\begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in H \cup K$, but $\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \notin H \cup K$. (In general, the union of subspaces of V is almost never a subspace of V . The only time $H \cup K$ is a subspace of V is when one of H, K contains the other, so that $H \cup K$ is just H or K .)

4.1.33.

- a) Since $\mathbf{0} \in H$ and $\mathbf{0} \in K$, $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{0} \in H + K$. Now, let $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{k}$ and $\mathbf{v} = \mathbf{h}' + \mathbf{k}'$ be arbitrary elements of $H + K$, and let $c \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} = (\mathbf{h} + \mathbf{h}') + (\mathbf{k} + \mathbf{k}') \in H + K$, and also $c\mathbf{u} = c\mathbf{h} + c\mathbf{k} \in H + K$.
- b) We already know that H , K , and $H + K$ contain the zero vector in V and are closed under linear combinations, so the only thing to check is that H and K are *subsets* of $H + K$. For all $\mathbf{h} \in H$, $\mathbf{h} = \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{0} \in H + K$. Likewise, for all $\mathbf{k} \in K$, we have $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0} + \mathbf{k} \in H + K$. Thus $H \subseteq H + K$ and $K \subseteq H + K$.

4.1.34. Let $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{k}$ be any element of $H + K$. Then we can write $\mathbf{h} = \sum_{i=1}^p c_i \mathbf{u}_i$ and $\mathbf{k} = \sum_{i=1}^q d_i \mathbf{v}_i$ for suitable scalars c_i, d_i . Then we have

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{h} + \mathbf{k} = \sum_{i=1}^p c_i \mathbf{u}_i + \sum_{i=1}^q d_i \mathbf{v}_i \in \text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_q\},$$

which gives $H + K \subseteq \text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_q\}$.

For the other direction, let \mathbf{u} be any element of $\text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_q\}$. Then we can write

$$\mathbf{u} = \sum_{i=1}^p c_i \mathbf{u}_i + \sum_{i=1}^q d_i \mathbf{v}_i = \left(\sum_{i=1}^p c_i \mathbf{u}_i \right) + \left(\sum_{i=1}^q d_i \mathbf{v}_i \right) \in H + K,$$

so that $\text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_q\} \subseteq H + K$.

Together, these give $H + K = \text{Span}\{\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_p, \mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_q\}$.